



Examiners' Report June 2014

GCSE History B 5HB03 3B

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2014

Publications Code UG039149

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2014

Introduction

This was the ninth series of this specification and is one of four similar Source Enquiries. Each follows a similar pattern with the exception of WOTH. The structure of the paper and the mark scheme remain unchanged. Generally all that changes is the context provided by the evidence used and the particular focus of the questions provided. The paper this series focused on the methods used by the Suffragettes and whether Suffragette militancy discouraged people from supporting votes for women in the years 1908-14. The paper was comparable to other units in terms of the demands made by the questions set. Although with a much smaller entry than the Transformation of Surgery, it was again the second most popular paper in terms of candidates entered.

Some of the work seen was exceptionally good and demonstrated a sound grasp of the topic. However, the lack of additional recalled knowledge was again a feature of many of the responses to Question 5.The latter was surprising for this usually popular topic. In many respects the performance of candidates has continued to improve.

There is increasing evidence that candidates are using the time allocated for the paper more effectively. Fewer candidates failed to make a response to Question 5 although some left Question 4 unanswered instead. There were a number of outstanding responses at the very top of levels. There were, however, at the other end of the spectrum perhaps fewer really weak responses. Evidence based skills and ability to use sources were often better deployed than the candidates' additional recalled knowledge of the topic and subject area. This prevented some candidates being unable to access the highest marks of Question 5 at Level 3 and Level 4.

The majority of candidates achieved Level 3 on this question with a high proportion making two well supported inferences; those candidates achieving Level 3 did so with ease, clearly stating two separate inferences from the source which were explicitly supported with quotations from it. At Level 2 candidates struggled to support their answers with some comments which simply did not refer to the source in a way that supported their point. Candidates were often awarded limited marks for basic and general comments that made no attempt to select from the source but did comment on the use of 'violent actions being wrong'. There were a relatively large number of responses from candidates who are unsure what an inference actually is. Some merely copied out huge sections of the source, some inserted lots of own knowledge writing virtually two pages in some cases. The latter means that valuable exam time must have been wasted on what should be a simple, fairly brief process of giving two inferences and providing quotations to support them. Those who managed this then had more time to spend on the higher mark questions later in the paper. Most candidates were able to access the higher marks. It was obvious to see where pupils had been taught key skills that enabled them to infer from the evidence provided.

Most candidates focussed on supported valid inferences (in this order):

- Millicent's negative attitude towards the suffragettes as hated force/violence and preferring peaceful methods – how past great triumphs were made
- not being totally negative as accepted that inspiring self-sacrifices attracted support
- Suffragettes were not totally to blame for violent tactics/methods as it was the 'fault of stupid politicians' and the government
- women were being treated unfairly so not surprising that suffragettes used violence as if men were being treated like that there would be violence all over the country.

Responses that only managed to reach the lower end of Level 3 were characterised by weak and poorly developed inferences.

Many candidates would have scored 6 rather than 5 had they taken a little more care over developing their inferences.

Other responses made perfectly valid inferences that were not linked directly to the question focus of Millicent Fawcett's attitudes towards the Suffragettes.

The best responses recognised the nuances of Fawcett's words.

On the one hand she hated the Suffragettes' methods, but on the other she could not deny that they were a force for good in some ways.

1 Study Source A.

What can you learn from Source A about Millicent Fawcett's attitude to the Suffragettes?

(6) Millicent Fancett's attitude towards the Suffragettes is over all a very negotive one. She states in her first sentence the source that "militant hated by me This ruggests that doern't agree with such forceful doern't think its the a moral way to deal with things. She moves on to say that the "great triumphs of the women's movement have not been won by physical force" This shows Fawcett believes that "physical force" won't help the women get the vote in any way as it has n't helped triumphs in the past so why should it help now. tawcett rays they are "won by peaceful means" to back-up the may the believer is the correct way to deal with the cituation.

However, she doesn't blame the tactics on the suffragettes themselves, but on the government. This is backed up when she states "are the result of the stupidity of politicians."

Therefore, over all, although Millicent Fawcett doesn't agree with the way the suffragettes are behaving and believes "peaceful means" are more successful, she does agree with what they are fighting for, as she doesn't think the way so women were treated is right; like the suffragettes.



This is a Level 3 response. It makes several inferences and provides effective support from the source.



Make one inference with support: 5 marks.

Make two or more inferences with support: 6 marks.

Do not paraphrase the details from the source.

Good responses to this question were characterised by a clear analysis of specific details and the words chosen by the cartoonist.

Many successfully exploited the quote 'you help our cause? You are its worst enemy' to clearly explain the intended impression of the cartoon.

Many others successfully explored the contrast between the appearance of the 'sensible woman' and the 'shrieking sister'.

Less successful answers (generally Level 2) were able to identify the impression of the source and support with specific detail, but did not analyse specific choices made by the cartoonist.

Candidates should be careful not to simply describe the source they see. The weakest responses to this question were typified by an inability to identify the impression of the cartoon. Although this was rare, it significantly limited students scoring potential.

At Level 2 some candidates focused on the impression by describing what they saw in the cartoon to explain a general impression.

Others suggested a negative impression due to the woman being shown as a mad suffragette or the women's vote is split between the shrieking militant and sensible woman.

Candidates referred to the negative anti-suffragette impression but at this level did not use the key skills to show how this message was portrayed by explicitly linking the cartoon with how the artist purposely or selectively built up and created the impression with detailed examples.

At Level 3 candidates used key words/observations/methods of portrayal/ such as:

- shrieking is used / done purposefully to show they are irritating, not normal....
- 'Sister' is used in a deliberately patronising way whilst the suffragist is called a sensible woman
- the suffragette is drawn as a scruffy, old, poor and on her own in contrast to the richer looking calm woman to show that they are of a lower class, isolated and do not know how to behave
- the phrases 'Help our cause' and 'Worse enemy' are deliberately used sarcastically to show that the suffragettes have not got a clue.

At top levels (7 & 8 marks) the candidates systematically showed how the cartoons antisuffragette's impression was built up and reinforced. The responses tended to focus on what the cartoon was suggesting but not what the cartoon wanted to imply. Some did manage to do this with suggestions such as the cartoonist is clearly trying to show that the angry irrational woman will do the suffrage movement no good with her strategy of violence while the more sensible woman will be angry at the fact that the suffragist is doing this.

2 Study Source B.

What impression of Suffragettes does the cartoonist try to give? Explain your answer, using Source B.

(8)

Overall the contoon gives app a very regalive impression of the Supprogettes. The first thing that is not need that the woman presented to be a Juppragette is clerchia her fists and is in a very angry stance which suggests that these women were dearly esulational with their behaviour and how they presented themselves It suggests that they were to looked social proce which comen were deemed to how and therefore were not treated as greatly as the would have liked to have been. In the contoon there is also Shown to be another woman which has a firm grip on the "Suppragette". This gives the impression that not all women in that era were Diffragettes which would represent a bak as respect rationly from the men which was abrious but from certain women as well. The nonation of the contract of all all the strates are actions as the suppression. is The Shrieding Sister which represents that the Suppropetter were clearly not respectful to those around and also backs up the part of housing very little social groce. Also bey repercise it to a 's ster' the contourist is betitling the Supprogettes which could suggest that he abolidan't are them as a potential threat as all they won't a diention 'shireking' rather than actually trying to get Least words is not losson at model . I stored thousand He Supprogetted had very little respect and were seen to do more horn thou good as "the sensible woman" & exclaims You help our course? You're it's won't energy! showing that

many people didni) agree with what the Suppragettes were doing and pelt as it they were domograp. He cause rather than helping it.



This is a solid Level 3 response that focuses on the impression the cartoonist tries to give of the Suffragette protestors' behaviour and the reaction of the sensible woman and makes effective use of the source in support.



Decide what impression is being made before you attempt to answer the question

Use information and details from the source that support the impression given.

Comment on how the language used/ picture details support the impression.

Very few candidates approached this question by directly cross-referencing details of support and challenge in the sources. Those that took this approach were generally successful.

However, many responses were limited to 9 because of a lack of engagement with the nature, origin and purpose of the sources and how this impacted on the quality of the evidence.

Most candidates approached this question by taking the sources in turn and looking for details of support and challenge.

For some candidates this limited their progression into Level three because there was no judgement offered as to 'how far' the sources supported/challenged the view.

Despite this, some candidates did successfully take the sources in turn and produce well-balanced judgements.

The top responses recognised that much of the publicity that the Suffragettes received was negative but that this still contributed to raising awareness for the cause.

Less successful responses tended to present key details from the sources without a clear focus on the question (whether or not the Suffragettes got the type of publicity they wanted).

Many candidates focused more broadly on what type of publicity the Suffragettes received without addressing whether or not this is what they wanted.

Answers in Level 1 tended to involve a simple narration of the source content.

A typical Level 2 answer would state that all the sources show they got what they wanted which was publicity whether good or bad/ or used militant methods to get publicity and this was supported with source content. Candidates understood that Source B was clearly against the suffragettes/they were seen as militant and so although the suffragettes got publicity it was bad publicity; Source C showed they got bad publicity/they were militant and even got upset if not arrested and D showed that they wanted to get arrested as it made news or D showed that they wanted publicity and because they had been ignored with more peaceful types of protest, they were going to be militant.

At Level 3 going beyond matching most were at the bottom of Level 3 (7) and some got to 8 (a few 9) marks by demonstrating challenge and support/testing how far using good source content detail or reasoning the bias of the sources to weigh the validity of their content.

The reason why most candidates stayed in the bottom to mid Level 3 was typically because one of the above cross-references would be a bolt on at the end of a Level 2 response so just lifting it into Level 3: 7 or 8. A discussion of one or more of these cross-references with more detailed cross-referenced explanation woven into the response, or at the end with selected detailed support, would get a higher mark.

The key to this question is cross-referencing. Candidates are not able to access Level 3 without it, and few answers attempted it successfully. This suggests candidates are not at ease with this question. Many candidates are taking the sources one at a time (eg 'Source E says... Source C says... Source D shows...'), even extending this to their conclusion (eg 'Overall Source B says... Source C says... Source D shows...'). Merely summing up what has already been said in a conclusion does not count as successful cross-referencing.

Candidates are increasingly using connectives, words and expressions while still in Level 2, which suggests they are getting good at technique, but still do not have the actual skill of cross- referencing. This is a question that would benefit from repeated practise with teachers, because there is a significant portion of marks available (10) and the majority of answers stay at Level 2.

The same is true of some well-expressed detailed answers which thoroughly deconstruct the content and the NOP of the sources in quite a sophisticated way, but fail to cross-reference.

Some candidates attempted to cross-reference each source with the question. It would be better if candidates focus instead on cross-referencing the sources with each other.

The majority of Level 2 answers on this question were descriptive, whereas Level 3 answers were using the sources to support an argument they were making. This is a skill which centres would benefit from teaching their candidates, as it will help candidates to access higher levels in not only this question, but also Question 5.

Where only NOP or content was addressed, it was nearly always content that candidates covered. Candidates are clearly still very unsure how to deal effectively with NOP in a way that goes beyond simple statements of reliability based on primary/secondary evidence being reliable or not. Many candidates found making links between the content of the sources in order to provide a clear cross-reference challenging. Answers often followed a formulaic structure, plodding through the sources, identifying elements of support and/or challenge. Candidates needed to identify areas of support and then challenge by linking/combining elements of the content of Sources X, Y and Z together to produce a clear, focused answer. Using phrases such as 'which agrees with' or 'which supports the opinion' and judgement phrases such as 'to an extent' or 'partially agrees with/supports' would be helpful. Candidates need to realise that in order to achieve high marks for this question less is more.

Candidates should ensure that they read the sources, and their origin, carefully before beginning their answer.

How far do Sources B, C and D suggest that the Suffragettes got the type of publicity they wanted? Explain your answer, using these sources. (10)

(Question 3 continued) Sciendly, Source B & form a new pager ande anned at informing recipio of actions. of the suffragettes. Strong regative words have been used such as "work and "disquisted" This again shows the regame publicity his suffragette movement use of the word 'nthence had gain publicity which is estentially what they wanted the opinion of me "Wever before have sensible wother gote so fa is an example of a very exaggerated The writer has left out the pressure this has to do achone to me suffrage movement union only furner hinder no reliability. This source gives he in pression must minory mese act me subcageties merely wounted publicuty and didn't really care whether it was upula regative or pontine. murd Source (source D) further highlight

neutral opyruon



This is a very good response that combines effective cross-referencing of both nature and content to make a balanced assessment of the suggestion. This is a Level 3 response.



Make sure you cross-reference between all three sources. Avoid writing simply what each source shows. Make use of both content and NOP in your answer.

The response to this question improves annually. There is an obvious understanding from most centres about what the candidates must do when analysing the usefulness of the evidence provided. Most candidates recognised the potential for bias within the Suffragette article. Better answers also explained in detail how this would weaken the strength of the evidence, however some would just regard it as 'useless'. Some candidates were able to comprehensively acknowledge the strengths and weaknesses of using a photograph. A common response recognised the failure of a photograph when assessing emotion or events before or after the 'snapshot in time'.

All candidates interpreted the evidence and were able to at least say whether it was useful or not. It was rare to read a response that focused solely on the evidence.

The best responses to this question retained a clear focus on the particular enquiry in the question (impact of Davison's death).

Some exceptional responses recognised that Source E presented a limited picture of the long term impact of Davison's death. Others identified that while it could be inferred that the numbers present at the funeral suggested sympathy, this may not have been the case.

Again, with Source F, top responses recognised that the source is rooted in assertion and offers no concrete evidence of impact.

Responses that reached the top level were often restricted the middle of the level because of a weak piece of analysis in one area, often in relation to nature, origin, purpose.

A significant number of responses only reached Level 2.

There were a couple of main reasons for this. Many only discussed content or provenance. Others attempted to discuss the provenance of the sources but were only able to offer simple statements built around assumed bias; 'Source F is biased because it was the Suffragette newspaper' and 'Source E, it is reliable because it is a photograph'.

Most responses were in Level 2 mid to top range 6 to 7 marks but also quite a few were in Level 3, 8 and 9.

At Level 2 the majority focused on what the source tells us ie content as opposed to reliability/nature/origin/purpose. Candidates found it easy to explain the value of the photograph - Source E - in terms of it showing the impact was big as a large crowd, mixed gender support, people showed their respects etc. There was also emphasis on what it did not tell us before and after and being a limited snapshot of one moment in time. For Source F, the candidates focused on the content's usefulness of what it said about Emily's courage, touching hearts, telling the world etc. Most candidates recognised that the source was biased and limited due to it being in the suffragettes' newspaper and examples of the exaggeration/propaganda/martyrdom were evidenced – taking some candidates into Level 3, 8 and 9.

Level 3, 10 was awarded on a few occasions where there was clear integration of explaining value from the content and NOP.

Is Source E or Source F more useful to the historian who is enquiring into the impact of Emily Davison's death? Explain your answer, using Sources E and F. (10)Emily Duson's death made an incredibly by impact, as it shows in Source E. Source E Shows It was a big impact on publicity of there was a large amount a people at her unexil. that many it's hard to find spire spice in the photo t even shows police there this suggests the was that many people crowded they heeded help keeping things under control. Source & is very reliable to the historian as its picture prog of the east and shows exerts and amount of people and attended thouser it is only a one second Source F States the Improt of Emily Ourdson's dooth ed has to and eight able brown to it includes includes things Such as amoreing, incredibe Courage" "touched the nears of the people" "are so pution i mately for the close. willing to die for it This Sture Bhows Courage and pushes people to go extremes to anot they want especially the Supragettel Source F Certainly turgers the Supragettes es its audience as it printed them and emily & Dawidson for what they believe in source F isn't very reliable as it is published

(Question 4 continued) The Suppregate non-spaper. This

Shows it is one sided and for the suppregates which

doesn't make it very relicible to the historian

acroll Source & is the Me most relicible to the

historian as it is a mapshot of the event and

Can clearly see the impact that Emily Davidons

death acrossly made unlike the Surce Ewhich

is one sided and on the symagethel side



This is a well-argued response that deals with both content and NOP in making a judgement. It achieved a high Level 3 mark.



Do not just describe each source in turn.

Cross-reference between the three sources not just the question posed.

Look at the overall impression provided by all three sources.

This question really served its purpose of allowing the stronger candidates to perform well. However, the majority of candidates tended to be in Level 2.

The main reason was that they treated the question as a source analysis question rather than constructing and developing an argument which made use of their own recalled knowledge. Examiners often felt that many candidates have difficulty in expressing themselves sufficiently well to construct a coherent argument and VERY few considered strength of the evidence to reach an overall conclusion. ARK was sometimes deployed effectively although few candidates seemed to fully understand the evolution of the suffragette movement in the period in question.

However, most candidates had a reasonable attempt at answering this question and were able to answer confidently at Level 2 using the sources. Most marks were given in the range Level 2, 8 to Level 3, 10 as own knowledge typically at Level 3 was limited. Some students stayed at Level 2 due to lack of use of sources despite good historically accurate, well-written answers. In contrast it was felt that candidates did not get beyond Level 3, 10 as they were not confident of the wider knowledge they should bring in to help answer – role of the media, the split in the women's suffrage movement, political/historical context of the Liberal and Conservative Party's attitudes to the women's vote, Black Friday, Cat and Mouse Act.

At Levels 2 and 3 sources A, F and G were used confidently to agree and disagree with the statement. Some candidates also used other sources notably B and D to reinforce their answers. Good responses only using sources typically got Level 3, 9 or 10.

At Level 2 typically candidates made supporting statements to agree or disagree:

- A= Yes Millicent and women didn't like the militancy or No Millicent was inspired by the self sacrifice
- F= No Emily's death showed great support or Yes it was biased supporting suffragettes
- G= No it gained notice for the cause or Yes not enough to achieve aims

At low to mid-Level 3 (9 and 10 marks) there tended to be a more detailed examination/ discussion of the sources to support a Yes/No position and quite often a mixed judgement of Yes and No as the support went up and down/varied over time/started off with support but lost it later.

At the top end of Level 3 and bottom of Level 4 ARK was used with good reasoning to evaluate more the extent of whether militancy discouraged people from supporting votes or other factors helped to discourage: the government's attitude, the role of the media, extreme militancy etc.

At Level 4 most responses remained in 13 or 14 marks. These were typically sustained, balanced answers which looked at both sides and tended to conclude that other factors played a part along with militancy. Comment was frequently made that militancy had kept up publicity which was very important to keep the women's vote in the public's mind. Conversely, some comment concluded that it was the bad publicity which was responsible for discouragement, or it was the government's refusal to give the vote that was the problem.

Spelling, punctuation and grammar will be assessed in this question.

'Suffragette militancy discouraged many people from supporting votes for women in the years 1908–14'.

How far do you agree with this statement? Use your own knowledge, Sources A, F and G and any other sources you find helpful to explain your answer.

(16)

source A supports this statement as Fawcett was a Suffred ist so she would oppose the militury. This can be confirm with the quote, " Militant action by me", this clearing agrees others (women) would otherwise do nothing. Because I agree, but source people to support suffrage death of Emily Davison, implying incident, suggesting suffrage also because it was ttes; with this evidence this oppose womens with G, but only source could unk to G

militarry was not enough, the arrests proved that militarry was a key factor of getting votes. However it was not only militarry that discouraged others to support the Suffragettes it was the fact that they were women as seen in source C, "forgetting that they were women", this is because many saw politics as something of a male's role, and this is what also discouraged people from supporting them.

source A agrees with C in terms of militancy being a negative aim, as it is 's disgusting, this may have been one of the reasons why some were discouraged, however a disagrees as the militancy aims did gain or help gain more attention therefore voters but this was not the reason why some where discouraged as the Suffragettes (shown in sources E and F) risked their the lives, so many people thought that supporting would encourage more deaths, so many stayed away; the Conciliation Bill being abandoned also encouraged more support, as many felt sympathy for this disappointment and militancy was apart of this disappointment which only encouraged them to gather more support.



This is a good Level 4 response that makes effective use of ARK and sources with a sustained and focused argument.



Answer Question 5 with enough time to spareit is worth 19 marks.

Use both the sources indicated as well as ARK in your answer.

Be sure to make a judgement on how far you agree with the statement in the question.

Paper Summary

Based on their performance in this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- plan to use your time well, spend longer on high mark questions
- answer all 5 questions
- make two or more inferences on Question 1 and support them by using the source
- decide what the impression/message is in Question 2 before answering the question
- cross-reference the three sources in Question 3 and comment on content and NOP
- use both sources using both content and NOP.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx





