



Examiners' Report June 2016

GCSE History 5HA02 2A

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2016

Publications Code 5HA02_2A_1606_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2016

Introduction

Candidates seem to have found this year's paper accessible and there were many excellent responses, although there was some confusion about the difference between censorship and propaganda (Question 1b) and material on Nazi propaganda post-1932 in Question 1d.

It was pleasing to see an increased focus on change in responses to Questions 2a and 2b and to note that the requirement to provide a third factor to access higher marks in Question 3 did not appear to be a problem for most candidates. What was disappointing was that a large number of candidates, particularly on Question 3b, wrote significant detail without linking it to the stated outcome. Centres might like to consider an approach where their candidates make such a link at the beginning of each paragraph and then provide detail to support their argument. It seems that in providing detail first, some candidates are forgetting to then make the link.

Question 1 (a)

Candidates had few problems with this straightforward starter question, though some chose to answer on the Nazis in general, rather than Nazi employment policies. Most common inferences were that the Nazi economic policies were related to war or public works, that they were successful, or that they came at a cost in terms of working conditions.

Most answers were rewarded at Level 3 for supporting such inferences.

Source A: From a history of the modern world, published in 2001.

When Hitler came to power, there were millions out of work. The Nazis employed people to make weapons and recruited men into the army. Jobs were created by building houses, schools and autobahns. Unemployment dropped to less than one million by 1938. However, the working week rose from 45 hours in 1928 to 50 hours in 1939.

(a) What can you learn from Source A about Nazi employment policies?

Some A staged that Now employment palies

were my successful possing the source explains

that "they care to poor, there will million

art grand. Let want to source consider

manplyment to dop "to box then are million in \$738

Some A will superiors that Now employment

promises cased solple to make meaning.



The first lines of this answer are clearly Level 3 with an inference made and supported. The remaining three lines are an example of Level 1 paraphrasing or quoting.



Remember that candidates do not have to write at length on this question. They need to make an inference, support it with a quote from the source, and move on.

Question 1 (b)

This question revealed a confusion in the minds of some candidates between censorship and propaganda. Censorship involves control to prevent the wrong message being portrayed, whereas propaganda relates to the promotion of an acceptable message. There is obviously a link between the two, and where candidates wrote about propaganda being used to promote Nazi beliefs as part of a wider policy of ensuring only the correct message was given, answers were rewarded. However, some candidates wrote only on Nazi propaganda methods and these answers were not rewarded.

The majority of answers, however, received high marks for detailing Nazi political censorship (newspaper, radio, e.g.), but also social/cultural censorship (the Arts and anti-Jewish measures e.g.).

(b) Describe the key features of Nazi censorship in the years 1933–39. (6) eature of Nazi consorship in the years living as an altist and Modern ourt 98

Lastly a key feature was the of Nazi propaganda was Music and films. Jazz music was benned as it was considered as black music, and instead traditional German felk music was encouraged, the beethoven as well as classical music like beethoven and bach. Also films were consored if it did not support Nazi beliefs, so had to be approved by Joseph Goebbels, the Athead of the Ministry of propaganda. Over 250 milli In this way, the Nazi could promote their views in cinemas and theatres, whilst consoring any films that went against them.

-> * exactly what they wanted. Non-Nazi newspapers were banned as the Nazis consored anything anti-Nazi to promote their own views only and make themselves (pok good.



A very good Level 2 answer, with a number of features identified and supported with factual detail.



In this question, the examiners are looking for precise detail. So candidates should organise their response into two paragraphs and then provide as much detail as they can to support those points.

Question 1 (c)

There were a surprising number of nil responses to this question. The Enabling Act is, perhaps, the key to the Nazi dismantling of democracy within Germany and it is perplexing that some candidates did not seem to know what it was. Having said that, the overwhelming majority of candidates wrote well on the impact of the Act and how it gave Hitler the opportunity to remove opposition and carry out measures to introduce a totalitarian regime. Although the question was intended to limit answers to 1933, it could be interpreted to apply to years after that date and so material on e.g. the Nuremberg Laws was accepted.

(c) Explain the effects of the Enabling Act in Germany in 1933. (8)
one effect of the endbing act, which was
Payzed in warch 1933, was that \$ it gave Hotel
the lands to Ross laws which consulting the
Remotory. The law was possed by a vote of
244 to 94 and gove the exertisely brought an
end to the verner Resubble. De to his new panes
Hother was able to control the country in any
very theat he saw set - we are of is sist
laws personal us the removal of offisition. This want
that, in 1933, trade winers, comments and any
Other polytical apposition Hother Second were banned This
is unless to the country becoming a tobuilding
arctotorsiul aster the aeath of Anderburg in
on 2nd Agest 43ac
The enabling act, contained not throughouts death
allaced there to become fithere of cornery in
1930. The act allowed there to central not the
people 08 German, alkins the law to increase
both mari parlicenty and next control between 1933-1939.

De to the endoling and he was also able to personale
the gens in 1936 he passed the was murenloung
Laus. The fist but steet that gus could no
longed be Gerun Citizens of narry Geners. The
Second Ruft Rosed in 1938 states that Bus how
to register an lossessivis, the wal to carry an
white could steered with a J and prison doctors,
buses and destats could no longer was for
Aryan Germans. The enabling and was a cotallast
for the was aicpotosiil and was constantly
second to spectuary tragged an events which



A good explanation of how the Enabling Act opened the way for Hitler to assert his authority over Germany. A clear Level 3 response.



To score at the highest level candidates need to explain the effects of the action or event given. The question requires more than listing detail. The impact must be explained, and to reach the highest level, it is necessary to look at the wider effects.

Question 1d

The reasons for the growth in support for the Nazis are well-known, and there was good detail on the impact of the Wall St. Crash, the fear of communism, the weakness of the Weimar government and the steps taken by the Nazis to win popularity. However, there were many occasions when good detail was not rewarded with a Level 3 mark because the link between the factor and the outcome was not made. Explaining the problems created by the Wall St. Crash is a Level 2 response. Explaining why those problems brought about support for the Nazis (i.e. their promises to restore the economy) is a Level 3 response.

Candidates need to take care to write within the dates given in the question. Although the Nazis did use propaganda to win support, some candidates wrote at length about propaganda techniques adopted after 1933 and so could not be rewarded.

(d) Explain why support for the Nazi Party increased in the years 1929–32. One reason why support ofor the Nazi Party meneased the impact ountry, incheasing suf

Furthermore the Great Depression led to Political turnoil Que to these economic problems. Therefore Nazi propaganda allowed an increase insupport dur for the elections. The chancellor Bruning seemed weak as he incheased in presidential decrees from 5 in 1930 to 66 M 1932, Showing no Control over the Rexchstag. therefore the Mazi Campaign included Hitler as a figurehead who would be sthong and unite the country. As well as using scapegoats to show Nazipower, they also used the fourful SA during rollies to create an image of strength. Furthermore they used to most up-to-date techonology like aeroplanes to allow Hitler to speak in five cities a day, making him a major national figure in Germany before theelections.

Therefore the most important houson for the increase in support for the Nazi Party was the impact of the Great Depression because this made many people turn to the Nazi protect action deal with the handships as people wanted to support a strong, powerful leader, with the was Hitler.



A response which focuses largely on the importance of the Great Depression in bringing support for the Nazis. The factor is clearly linked to the outcome and so the response reaches Level 3.



To achieve the top level, candidates need to make sure that they link their reasons to the outcome. It is not enough to set out the problems created by the Wall St. Crash: e.g. the question why did those problems lead to an increase in support for the Nazi Party needs to be asked.

Question 2 (a)

This was very much the more popular of the two options in Question 2. Candidates were very familiar with the steps taken against the Jews in Germany and there was good detail on the Nuremberg Laws, although some responses went beyond 1938 and beyond the scope of the specification. Whilst there was little difficulty in explaining the measures taken against the Jews, not all candidates were able to explain how those measures brought about a change in their treatment. Whilst there was some anti-Semitism before 1933, this period saw a change from Jews being treated as full citizens of Germany to one where they were excluded from public office and often from ordinary day-to-day affairs.

One way the theatment of Jews changed in the years e Nazis Persecuted them through . Jewish people were banned from the army restaurants, but this was further developed 1935 When the Nuhmberg I aw e trom owning German 1985 ports yours as Jew Jews Were deemed HIPM hermore, the persecution of Jewish people in 1938 through further laws on bery Laws in 1935 It was mentioned that Jewish would have to register all their possessions from

938, as well as howing the big capital letter "I" passports. Fow Uneretone Jeunsh people's right as it made it easier for being sent to concentration



The response sets out the measures taken against the Jews, but also explains how these measures 'excluded them from being Germans'. A clear Level 3 response.



This question is about change, so it is not enough to explain just what happened in this period. Candidates have to explain how change occurred and what difference it made.

Question 2 (b)

Candidates seemed less secure in their knowledge of the changes within the Nazi Party in this period. What was required was an explanation of how the Munich Putsch both led to the party gaining its first seats in the Reichstag, but at the same time made Hitler realise that a more 'political' approach was needed. This led to a relaunch of the party in 1925, the restructuring of the party and the formation of the SS. The beginnings of a propaganda campaign were also seen in this period.

Most candidates explained the importance of the Munich Putsch, and better answers showed how it led to a different approach. However, other detail was less well-known and often information from before 1923 was included.

In the years 1923-28 the Nazi party Hitler became reactor. ler was leader the party wous an wha organisation lu reall*u kn*own They wou pub, drink about how much they hated Communists and the genemment. They were extremy anti-Sematic and extremely violent, branded as extremusts and not touron very senousu After Hitler became leader this changed, they he more organised and more of intsation which would compete w got uniforms and rallied toget 100,000 men, this was a significant it was the number to the of men the ami

reduced to auting after the Treaty of versailles. They then attempted to overthrow the government in the Munich Putsch as they believed they here enough support.

However, the number putsch failed but although Short term consequences included being branded by the country as extremists and halling the party banned it altotted gave them publicity. As well as publicity, it mile the loaders such as hitter were in prison it gave, in the long term, the party time to reevaluate. It was then that there began to see that in order to gain control they would have to use politics not force, And the Nazi party evolved again, more organised with charer view and a stable argument to which to the top of the openment.



This answer shows an understanding of how the Putsch brought about a change in Nazi tactics and therefore reaches Level 3. The rest of the answer is a little superficial, however, and so a low mark in the band was awarded.



This question is about change, so it is not enough to explain just what happened in this period. Candidates have to explain how change occurred and what difference it made.

Question 3 (a)

This was the more popular of the two options for Question 3 and candidates were able to write in detail on the introduction of the Rentenmark and other measures introduced by Stresemann. Discussion of the impact of the Dawes Plan was common, as was the Young Plan (though this was never actually implemented). Most candidates were able to give details of Germany's entry into the League and the Locarno and Kellogg-Briand agreements. Only a minority of candidates, however, went on to explain that the improved foreign relations helped create a context where economic recovery could take place.

Prioritisation was not common, with candidates often asserting that one factor was the most important (because it was very important) or listing factors as 'the most important' or the second most important', without attempting to justify this ranking.

Shesenan's inhoduction of the Revenues in er 1922 was the first sky ho Wesner Republic after the ha marche the old currens worth! in hand on the shall prop Goman econon, as a result, and other the currency, so they world merket. of its forms (naturally and internationally) a vay importent reason the inhoduction of 1927-29. Th signed; willoutile economic records would Monoriskel. The international element of ould be see as seposto to the inhoduction pen arrang, but it wis really the payment



The candidate explains the importance of the Rentenmark well in the first paragraph and then goes on to explain other factors. The conclusion makes an attempt to prioritise between the factors, taking the answer comfortably into Level 4.



When providing extensive narrative, it is important to explain why the factors being discussed contributed to the outcome in the question.

Remember also, that to prioritise reasons there must be a direct comparison between factors. Why was one reason more important than another, e.g.?

Question 3 (b)

This question was less well-done than Question 3b. Candidates knew the reasons why the Germans were not happy with the treaty (reparations, war guilt, land losses, and military restrictions) but many failed to explain why that led to opposition. Perhaps candidates thought it was self-evident why land losses, for example, would lead to opposition, but examiners were looking for an explanation of how those land losses divided Germany and impacted on the economy. Where such explanations were given, Level 3 was awarded and Level 4 was reached where the reasons were prioritised. However, some candidates disqualified themselves from reaching Level 4 by not providing the explanation needed to first reach Level 3.

Paper Summary

Based on the performance in this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- Make sure you are aware of the different skills tested in each question (e.g. Question 1(d) is always about causation).
- Pay particular attention to dates in the question. For example, if you are asked about the Nazi Party in the years 1923–28, then restrict your answer to those years.
- Make sure when explaining reasons in question 1d and Question 3, you always explain why the factor you are addressing brought about the stated outcome.
- Remember that the top levels are for explanation. That involves using factual knowledge to make your points, not just asserting that something is the case.
- In Question 3, you cannot reach the top of Level 2, or Level 3 (and cannot reach Level 4 at all) without bringing a factor additional to those in the stimuli into your answer.
- In Question 3 prioritisation involves direct comparison between at least two factors. It is not sufficient to explain why one factor was the most important without reference to other factors.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx





