



Examiners' Report June 2013

GCSE History 5HA02 2B

#### **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.



### Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

### Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>.

June 2013

Publications Code UG036174

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2013

### Introduction

As in previous years, this option saw the largest number of high quality scripts. This was particularly noticeable on Question 3 where sophisticated explanation was often built upon with well-supported prioritisation to produce excellent responses. Candidates now have few technical issues with this extended writing question and this is seen in the confident way many of them shape their answers.

Although candidates generally answered the paper well, there were some areas which disappointed.

Question 1b asked about censorship, but many candidates failed to see how this was different from propaganda. There were, therefore, some detailed accounts of the Cult of Stalin, but these could not be rewarded as examiners were looking for restrictions on expression, not how the media could be used positively.

Question 1c did catch out a number of candidates who did not know what was meant by the 'decrees passed in 1917 by the Bolshevik government'. This was disappointing as the specification lists 'the early Bolshevik decrees' in the amplification of content.

Question 1d highlighted one of the issues when studying any period of history. The specification begins in 1917, but to understand the events of 1917 candidates have to be familiar with events before that date. When asked why the Tsar was unpopular in 1917, however, candidates should not be relating events from as far back at the Russo-Japanese War. There is plenty of material from close to February 1917 which explains the loss of popularity and it is not necessary to highlight long-term causes. Candidates will not be rewarded for discussing events before 1917, but will be rewarded for 'issues' which exist in 1917 and have their roots before that date (incompetent leadership, poor performance in the war etc.).

### Question 1 (a)

Although some candidates mistakenly made inferences about Stalin or the Soviet Union in general, this question presented few difficulties for candidates and most talked about how women were not treated as equals under Stalin. Consequently, many answers reached Level 3 by supporting inferences that women were second-class citizens, that the promises of the revolution were not fulfilled, or that they were not given the same opportunities in government as men.

Although some answers mistakenly made inferences about Stalin or the Soviet Union in general, this question presented few difficulties for candidates and most talked about how women were not treated as equals under Stalin. Many answers reached Level 3 by supporting inferences that women were second-class citizens, that the promises of the revolution were not fulfilled, or that women were not given the same opportunities in government as men.

can learn from source A that women were discriminated by society under Stalin I can fell this because in source A it till me that women were under the impression of being seen to do certain domestic chores and not having a postion high office when Stalin is in power.



The answer reached Level 3 by immediately making an inference ('women were discriminated against') and supporting this with a paraphrase of the source (women had to 'do certain domestic chores.') It showed good technique by avoiding irrelevant introduction or detail.



This is a question which does not require extended writing. Make your inference straight away and then quote or paraphrase to support it.

### Question 1 (b)

This answer revealed a misunderstanding on the part of some candidates. What examiners were expecting to see was detail of Stalin's control of the general media and of education through censorship. Many responses used those two areas as their two 'features' and provided supporting detail to score well in Level 2.

However, there was a significant minority of candidates who failed to understand the difference between censorship and propaganda. Giving details of the propaganda Stalin used to boost his popularity was not rewardable as a response to this question. Explaining how Stalin prevented negative messages being propagated was.

This question revealed a general misunderstanding by some candidates, who failed to grasp the difference between censorship and propaganda. What examiners expected to see was detail of how Stalin controlled the message that was portrayed of communist rule both in education and in the media in general. Many candidates did so and used the media and education as their key features. A significant minority, however, wrote of Stalin's positive use of propaganda to build his image. This was not rewardable; showing how he built his image by preventing negative messages was rewardable.

Out, nuelvy, music and

veurforced and shaned his lorged version of history-Stalin also made communist ideology hacking compulsory, to consum train children publically that communism is the jight way, but not allowing the idea of capitalism in



This is a good answer, covering a number of features (publishing, culture, education) and providing sufficient detail to earn reward towards the top of Level 2.



Remember that this question is your opportunity to provide detail on a topic and to do so by developing two features. So go straight in with 'One feature of censorship was...', give detail and then move on to the second feature.

### Question 1 (c)

This was a question where some candidates were unable to provide a satisfactory response because they did not know what was meant by the 'decrees passed in 1917'. That was a little surprising as the Bolshevik decrees are outlined in the specification. Where the decrees were not known, there was often reference to the July Days, to the work of the Cheka and to the Kronstadt Mutiny. Fortunately 'Peace, Bread and Land' or details of Brest-Litovsk often produced material relevant to the question and gained some reward.

Where candidates knew their material, there was often good detail on the Land Decree, the Peace Decree and the Workers' Decree. This was rewarded in Level 2. Where candidates were able to show the effects of the various decrees, perhaps in increasing or decreasing support for the Bolsheviks, or perhaps in making civil war inevitable, Level 3 was achieved.

docrees because while alerses support for example the

Litovsk was signed as a result of the

Peace de cree and this angered ex
Travist army generals as well as patriots
as Russia was greatly weakened, for
example, they lost 80% of their industry



This is a good answer which showed an understanding of the effects of the Land Decree and the Peace Decree. The answer went beyond the immediate impact of the measures and explained how the Land Decree increased Bolshevik popularity, but the Peace Decree led to the Treaty of Brest Litovsk and an element of resentment at giving so much away. This took the answer into Level 3.



Don't forget that on a question about effects, the examiners are not looking just for detail of the topic identified (in this case the decrees), but want to know the impact. That is, what difference did they make?

### Question 1 (d)

Unsurprisingly, this was a topic which was well-known, though it also showed a lack of focus on the part of some candidates. The question asked why the Tsar was unpopular in February 1917 and it was not expected that candidates would trace this unpopularity back to 1905 (n.b. the specification starts in 1917).

What was expected was reference to the poor showing in the war under the Tsar's leadership, the failure to end the war, inflation, poor living conditions, the mutiny of the troops in Petrograd and weak autocratic rule as exemplified by the Tsarini in the Tsar's absence. This was a much more valid set of criteria to use than the off-specification material on the Russo-Japanese War and Bloody Sunday.

Most candidates took this route and scored securely in Level 2 by providing detail to support these causal factors. Where candidates were able to make a clear link between the factor and the increased unpopularity of the Tsar, Level 3 was awarded.

Lebruary 1917. mene 1 and needed by help. Has norting was done The was very for Soung no can deason to Stay in he he Tear's unpopur in charge. comony and wa Kussia The lack of populary lawards He believe the poor life Syles to the as he biggest problem r he populanh

# Results lus Examiner Comments

This is a good answer which linked the points to the unpopularity of the Tsar, rather than just describing each reason. Failing to leave the war, poor living conditions and the unpopularity of the Tsar's wife were all explained and so Level 3 was reached.



Remember that this is a causation question. The examiners do not want to read the story of the Tsar's overthrow. They want you to explain why he was unpopular.

### Question 2 (a)

To score highest marks on this type of question, candidates have to realise that it is a question about 'change', rather than a question about economic policy in the years 1918-21.

It was pleasing to see that the majority of candidates were able to identify and explain the various 'twists' in the Bolshevik economic policy. Most could explain the move from War Communism to the New Economic Policy, but many also gave detail of the change from the freedoms of immediate post-revolution times to War Communism. Consequently there were many answers at Level 3, though sometimes the detail of War Communism or NEP was all that was provided. Answers which restricted themselves to such detail were rewarded at Level 2.

## Question 2 (b)

There were a variety of approaches which could be taken in answering this question. Most candidates considered the impact of collectivisation on the peasants. This certainly was the policy which had the greatest effect, but there were also some very good answers which looked at the impact of industrialisation, of educational and social reform and even Russification. Where detail was provided, reward was given in Level 2, where an explanation of how the life of the peasants was different as a result of Stalin's policies, then Level 3 was achieved.

Between 1928-1939, Stalen was in power and he demanded very highly of the peasant to provide for his idea of Industrialisation to occur. They went from the previous policy of the NEP (New economie Bligy), where they would have to produce a correction for the government and then could sell their supplies grown: This lead to the formation of the kulaks, who were the wealthy farmers who benefited from this penod. Then in 1929-308, Stalen made his policy of Collectivisation compulsory for every peasant. This mount that all the peasants land was rounded Separated into 240,000 Kotkhoz (collective farms). These were about 500 hectacres big and possant If lived on them with 80 other families. There was also of sorkoz which were the big estates, were asually 3600 bockers. forming methods for the peasants changed greatly, staling

began the Mechanisation of the agricultural Industry. He placed MTS (machine Tractor Stations) around the countryside with froughly 10,000 tractors. This was seen as a possitive but indeed only slowed farming down as 1 in: 12 tractors worked and spare parts were very rare.

Overall peasant life changed dramatically but most Importantly have was staline control over them now and the process of de-kulakisation (getting not of the Wealthy peasant) by sending from to gulags.



This answer follows the conventional route of discussing the impact of collectivisation of peasants. It does, however, break away from the conventional story of peasant opposition and appreciate that there was change in the extent to which peasants had freedom. Under NEP they had some freedoms, but as a result of collectivisation and the need to support industrialisation, there was an increase in 'Stalin's control over them'.



Remember that this is a question about change. So what you need to do is look at the difference that Stalin's rule made to the life of the peasants. How different was it to what had gone before?

### Question 3 (a)

This question asked candidates to consider the reasons why the Provisional Government was overthrown. Almost all candidates were able to write on the four reasons given (the weaknesses of the Provisional Government, the work of Trotsky, the role of Lenin and continuing to the fight in the First World War.

There was a good level of explanation, taking candidates into Level 3 and a pleasing number of answers prioritising between reasons, or more commonly, explaining the relationship between them. Such answers were rewarded at Level 4.

In October 1917, the Bolsheriks Scient four from the provisional
government, there were many reasons to why they were successful.
One reason by the Bolsheviks were Successfy were the
Weakreggy of the provisional government, they circleded the lack of
Support from feasonts, and the poor bedeating on kearthy. In
Many September 1917 General Romilar began to murch for Petrograd to seize
gone, kerently those to am the Bolsheridg Whom had been
imprisoned Since the July days. Ask Ask Ho world had
been Stopped the Bolshaviko were now arned and out & sail,
putting then is a persent Situation to seize power from kenoky.
This ever of judgment Soon keansky was a major
Park or his own download as the Boshinks Seined pour is
Odobe 1917.
Another Bream Sor Bolsheick Sucar in October 1917 von the
role of Lerin Levin was instructed as a Dolsheick and was
a brilliest leader. All After De Sleeing to Firland able the
July days he returned to regain form. Previously he had world
the 'April there's This is what he promised to give the people it
he succeeded is toking power. The promises were land, bread speace
and breedom. Thee province gave him much support from people in
Rusia Lesis been when to Seise the mount and coordinate
Rusing Levis know We to Seize the mount and Coordinate

an attack on the Praising Government and this front to be an invaluable quality for taking over and landing Russia. Another reason for Boloberth Success was because of the Provisional Comment's decision to continue Sighting in the fight World Var. They were getting heardy it caused Samire in the rest of Russia, So it reshord all resources for the provisional Government and made Bolobarth Success an inevitability. This could perhap be dyred of a weakness of the Provisional Covernment or another foor error 08 judgment from Kerensky. Another reason for Bolshevik Success was work and role of Trotoky. Trotoky worked hard organize and communicate with the Sorrer across Red Guard, He Boldevik Goreo. Trotohy organized Were to attack and who would try to obtain vartage points such or train liver and Communication Centres. Due to the high level of preparation and organization, the Pravioural Governant did not Stand a chance against the Bolohevides. From my son an knowledge of all so accounts and events it is clear to me that due to all of the provisional governments mitales and weaknesses, the Sull Strength os the Balghande party was not readed in order to seize pone in ordeher 1917. Without the role of being on the work of Trotoly it is a possibility that the Bolsberike could have von, honers it the provisional government had all as their Sover, it is unlittly that the Bolsherik party could have battled then all and some

Sel	red pan	<i>S.</i> 4	***************************************	*************************	************			
***************************************	In	Concluira	although	all	08	there	Sactor	
here	important	Sor a	Boloberite	Success	I	feel	that the	
most	important	were the	e weakrea	er m	Ц	P	Visional	
Cove	mest ad	herenshix	Codeoliz	o ad	de	isino	, such as	
Ele	decisions	regarding t	he first	World	₩ ov	- and	the	
konilar Revalt								



This is a concise answer, but it provided an explanation of the reasons why the Provisional Government fell and in the final two paragraphs compared the relative importance of the weakness of the Provisional Government and the work of Lenin and Trotsky. The conclusion was reached that no matter how important the two Bolshevik leaders were, the Provisional Government would not have fallen if it had been stronger. That took the answer to high Level 3.



Remember when you are prioritising, it is not enough just to say 'this was the most important because ...' You need to say 'this reason was more important than that reason' and explain why by referring to both reasons..

# Question 3 (b)

This was very much the less popular of the alternatives in Question 3, with the fall of the Provisional Government proving much more popular than Stalin's industrialisation. Despite that, there were some very good responses to this question. Details of the Five Year Plans and the Stakhanovites were well known and candidates were usually able to explain how these factors help Stalin to industrialise the Soviet Union. Some candidates were less secure in explaining how propaganda and methods of control contributed to industrialisation and their answers tended to be more descriptive, than analytical. The very best answers argued that the Five Year Plans produced the blue print, propaganda and Stakhanovites encouraged people to work towards industrialisation and, if that didn't work, methods of control forced the Soviet people into contributing whether they wanted to or not.

## **Paper Summary**

# Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- Answers should be confined to the years of the specification (1917-39) with earlier material used only to reinforce what was happening within the period mentioned in the question.
- Good teaching has meant we see a significant improvement in the responses to Q3, where explanation and prioritisation are now seen regularly.
- Care should be taken on Q1b to ensure that details relate precisely to the question asked.
- In Q1c and Q2 effects and change are best explained if candidates can give a summary of the position that existed previously.

# **Grade Boundaries**

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx">http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx</a>





