



Examiners' Report June 2012

GCSE History 5HA03 3C

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: www.edexcel.com/teachingservices.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at www.edexcel.com/ask. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service. See the ResultsPlus section below on how to get these details if you don't have them already.



Get more from your exam results

...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2012

Publications Code UG032457

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2012

Introduction

It was pleasing to see a good standard of responses from candidates in the fifth session. The paper requires candidates to answer five questions in 75 minutes and some candidates managed to write at considerable length in this time. However, it was noticeable that a number of candidates failed to complete (in some cases even start) question 5. This was due to mismanagement of time often as a result of writing over-long answers to previous questions. Centres should note that the amount of space provided in the booklet for answers, is more than we would expect any answer to take, not a recommendation of the amount candidates should write.

There were strong answers to all questions although, in some cases, there were formulaic responses which did not directly address the question. For example, some answers referred to the reliability of the sources in questions 3 and 5 without directly relating this to either the strength of support or challenge for the cross referencing or the hypothesis.

There was also a noticeable increase in the number of candidates who wrongly labelled the source they were referring to especially in question 4 with Source E being confused with Source D.

An example response from the examination is given for each question in the report. Please note that in some cases part answers only are given as exemplification, not full answers. However, a general summary for improvement in the approach to question types (which are common across the three options) may prove of benefit to centres and is included at the end of the report.

Candidates were asked to make inferences about the USA in 1954. Most candidates were able to make supported inferences from the source although there was some variation in the quality of inferencing. A minority of candidates misunderstood the source or focused on McCarthyism or Truman rather than the USA as required by the question.

The key to answering this question is to make the inference and use the wording of the source only as the *support* for the inference. An inference should not be made using the words of the source, as that is likely to produce paraphrasing. So candidates who stated that 'the USA has abandoned its belief in fair play'

were copying the source, not making valid inferences and were marked at Level 1. Those who suggested that 'The source suggests that McCarthyism has corrupted the USA' ' and then added "I know this because the Source says 'McCarthyism is a horrible cancer which is eating away at the USA' " were making a valid inference and supporting it from the source. Such answers were rewarded at Level 3.

1 Study/Source A.
What can you learn from Source A about the USA in 1953?
(6)
Source A suggets that the USA has become
a country of no Justice or moral, this can be
sen when term famer president of the US Harry
S Truman says 1/2, The USA have abandoned
its belief in fair play and the proper use of
the law. Source A also suggets that come
de USA is now turning into a corregot
country due to Marthyism bocause Prosident
Treymon also states they im so a
horrible cancer eating away at America
destroying the great belief in freedom!



This is a level three answer as the candidate has made two supported inferences. The first is that the USA has no justice and the second is that the USA is a corrupt country. Notice how the candidate signposts the inferences with the phrase 'Source A suggests'.



To gain higher marks you must make two inferences supported with evidence from the source. Why not say 'I know this because the source says...'? Do not write too much although, if you have time, do include three supported inferences.

This question asks candidates to explain the purpose of a statement by Ed Murrow on a TV programme. The majority of candidates were able to identify the message and support it from the source, that McCarthy has gone too far in the methods he is using and now is the time for people to stand up to him. The source insists that he has repeatedly stepped over the line and persecuted people and is threatening the American belief in freedom.

However, to reach Level 3 candidates had to explain the purpose of the source - what it was intended to make people think or do. The purpose of the broadcast is to expose the methods used by McCarthy and to encourage powerful people in the USA to stand up to him. This is shown in the source when Murrow suggests that McCarthy has repeatedly stepped over the fine line between investigating and persecuting. The televised programme about McCarthyism was produced at a time when there was growing opposition in the media to McCarthy and his methods.

A minority of candidates confused the message of the source, (the point it is trying to put across), with its purpose, (what the message is trying to achieve). The best candidates made effective use of discourse markers such as 'suggests', 'persuade'.

Ed Mumon was the host of an extrenely popular TV
Show, See it now, This demonstrates that he possesses great
porce in addressing issues in America and influencing citizens'
opinions. Therefore, when he talk about how bad or
regative Melasthysism is, a lot of jublicity and support is
gained of the view.

The purposes of this statement are varied. Firstly,
I think that one of the purposes, and the primary purpose,
is to rally viewer into taking a stand against Melasthy's
bullying tactics and trying to help them see that they do
not none to live a like compounded with the fear of being
blacklisted or imprisoned.

The statement also tries to expose Melastry for
who he was - a power hungry denator. It states that people
who "oppose senator Me Cathy's methods "should not keep "silest
This displays there was a hidden apposition to Melastryism, but
people were too scared to speak up about their views."



This is part of a Level 3 answer. The candidate begins by placing the TV programme in the context of the USA in the mid 1950s. In the second paragraph the candidate clearly states the purpose of the source and then supports it with evidence from the source in this and the next paragraph.



The key to Level 3. Why not go straight to the purpose of the source? Remember, however, that this must be explained fully by reference to the source itself and/or contextual knowledge. Try to do both to ensure top marks.

The question is asking candidates to decide how far Sources B and C support the evidence of Source A about McCarthyism. A number of candidates achieved higher Level 2 by identifying agreement and disagreement between the sources. The best candidates cross-referenced Source B to Source A and Source C to Source A and made reference to the content of the source to establish the extent of agreement and disagreement. Again, well prepared candidates were able to make skilful use of discourse markers ('similarly', 'on the other hand', 'by contrast', 'to some extent') to get their point across. Candidates who were able to cross-reference effectively and comment on the extent of agreement/ disagreement were able to access Level 3 and could achieve Level 3 (9 marks) or even full marks.

Some candidates, however, gave formulaic comments on reliability and did not use these to address cross-referencing and the extent of support. Others compared each source to the view given in the question and showed little or no evidence of cross-referencing between the sources. Such candidates were able to access Level 2 but not Level 3. This question specifically asks candidates to cross- reference and to make comparisons between the sources.

Again, a minority of candidates demonstrated a tendency to make comments on the provenance of the source without focusing on the question. While candidates are not penalised for doing this it often resulted in time management problems later on in the paper. Comments on the provenance of the source must compare the attitude and motives of the writers of the sources to be credited.

Quite a common issue with this question was candidates getting side-tracked into assessing how far B and C disagreed rather than cross-referencing A - C and A - B.

(10) as then, enoughth time for change in the



This is part of a Level 3 answer. The candidate begins by making an explicit judgement about the extent of support between Sources A and B and then provides evidence from each source. At the start of the second paragraph the candidate makes a further explicit judgement which is supported with evidence from each source.



Ensure that you cross-reference between the sources and give evidence of support and challenge. Remember to identify and explain agreement and disagreement between the sources. Make explicit judgements about the extent of support/challenge throughout your answer and especially in your conclusion based on the contents and reliability of the sources. Use judgement phrases such as 'strongly agree', 'partial agreement', 'totally disagree'. Ensure that reliability is used to judge the extent of support between the sources.

For this question, candidates had to examine the utility of Source D, a cartoon from a national newspaper, and Source E, from the televised Army-McCarthy hearings in the Senate. Most candidates achieved Level 2 by examining the contents/information given in each source or commenting on the nature, origins or purpose of the sources. Many candidates were able to interrogate the sources effectively, commenting on both their content and provenance. Candidates who were able to do this effectively with both sources could score Level 3 (9/10) with full marks awarded to candidates who interrogated the sources most effectively. Candidates were generally confident with the provenance of both sources. However, a number of candidates used formulaic responses in which they went through the nature, origins and purpose of each source without making direct reference to how these affected utility. Others simply described the contents of each source - what they could see or read.

In general candidates handled source E more confidently than source D and did not always make effective use of the provenance of Source D 'A cartoon which was published in an American national newspaper'.

On the other hand, there were a number of strong and balanced evaluations. Weaker candidates paraphrased the sources or made simplistic comments or gave pre-learned responses about the sources, often referring to them as biased or as primary/secondary sources. Such responses were confined to Level 1. A number wrote at great length about the utility of the contents of the sources and compared this to their contextual knowledge about the decline of McCarthyism but failed to evaluate the nature, origins or purpose of either of the sources with reference to utility.

Finally, although utility and reliability questions require the application of similar skills -evaluating the contents and nature, origins or purpose of the sources, candidates must ensure that they focus on utility. The question is whether the two sources are useful and not reliable.

may also be unreliable for sonallari l'ultra dont Macarty sincluse a cartoon clearly illustrated Jenisopo enoemae picking inpluences the way (010) noggue po or conjul bod of songelass how wood solution of the an excell com what long Localinos the Cill impression The part that the

tolevised might also have inpluenced the way

Lhe defendant and Malarky actor, and

so might pravide a slightly one

sided view of the inpluence Malark had at

the line

In conclusion, I think source 3 Dand

E are balk aspell and non-very for

providing evidence of Malarky's inpluence bacause

they reiterate the support Malarky had

at the time such as 25 states bringing

in anti-communism laws and the Holaran

Ad being passed by they also do not

paithfully show how in pluenced people

were in terms of NOP



This is part of a level 3 answer. Notice how the candidate evaluates the nature, origins and purpose of Sources D and E and comes to a final judgement on the utility of the sources.



Remember to mine and interrogate each source. Make judgements on the information/contents as well as the origins, nature and/or purpose of each source.

There were a number of well structured answers to this question which asks whether the sources support the hypothesis that television brought about the downfall of McCarthyism. Some candidates were able to achieve at least Level 3 by addressing the issues inherent in the question and the extent to which the sources addressed them. At Level 4, candidates were able to select, draw inferences and use extracts from the sources to address the question set. The best candidates were able to weigh the evidence from each source, based on contents and/or reliability, and use their conclusion to make a final judgement based on the weight of evidence given in the sources for or against the hypothesis.

Use of provenance/reliability varied in quality and often was overtly mechanical making it difficult to ascertain the direction of an answer. The most effective use of provenance/reliability was woven into the wider argument of whether or not the source supported or challenged the hypothesis, with candidates making explicit judgements about whether the reliability of the source weakened or strengthened it as evidence.

In a minority of cases, time management issues undermined candidates' responses to this question. A small number of candidates failed to make sufficient use of the sources and used their own knowledge about the decline of McCarthyism to discuss the hypothesis. Remember that this is a source enquiry question. Candidates are being asked to evaluate the strength of the evidence in the sources themselves - in their content and reliability. Candidates should not bring in additional "own" knowledge to support or challenge the hypothesis but should use their contextual knowledge to identify the issues involved and to evaluate the provenance of the sources.

Sources B, E, and F all doison Agree with

Me view that 'talevision brought about the dampfall of

Malathy', whereas A and It D disagree with the view Sarce

Cagrees and disagrees.

Source B Strongly agrees with the dear that It Television

foraght about the dampfall of Mclarthy'. It shows as that

Ed Marrow in sweenest on 4th March 1854 made Melathy

look like a builty because he didn't have array evidence. It also shows us that people were starthy to

Stand up to Mclarthy and 90th allow him to canthing to

make people lect boad from my out snowledge I know

Mut no are had before shaced up Mclarty like this and so was a luge minert in the dewriful of Mclarthy. It

B reliable because it stoo was a felevision some some it show was a felevision some from It happened have is unreliable because It we dent know

If this is what every one else thought.



This is part of a Level 4 answer. Notice how the candidate begins by organising the sources to support or challenge the view. Then the candidate makes an explicit judgement about the extent of support given by Source B to the hypothesis 'Source B strongly agrees' and supports this with evidence from the source.



Ensure that you use the sources to make judgements on the hypothesis. Do not simply summarise each source or use own knowledge. Your overall judgement should be based on the weight of evidence given by the contents/reliability of the sources and not based on your own knowledge. Leave enough time to write an answer to this question; remember that it is worth 16 marks.

Paper Summary

The following notes are offered to centres to support future exam preparation:

Question 1. Candidates often wrote too much for inference. It is sufficient to make the inferences and support them from the source, often with a direct quote. The reliability of the source is not a relevant issue.

Question 2. Ensure that candidates identify the underlying purpose of the source, not just the message. This could be what the source is trying to make people think or do. This should be supported with evidence from the source itself and/or contextual knowledge. It is better to begin with the purpose and then support this with the message of the source.

Question 3. Ensure that candidates focus on the style of a cross-referencing question and actually cross-reference the sources. They should identify support and differences and make judgements on the extent of support based on the content and reliability of the sources.

Question 4. Candidates should avoid simply describing the contents of the sources. They should evaluate both the information/contents in the context of utility or reliability as well as the nature, origins and purpose.

Question 5. A small number of candidates made little or no reference to the sources and instead wrote extensively about the decline of McCarthyism.

Ensure that candidates focus only on using the sources to test the hypothesis. Highest marks require them to make judgements on the extent of support and challenge to the hypothesis based on the weight of evidence given in the sources and/or their reliability.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u>
Order Code UG032457 June 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





