



Examiners' Report June 2012

GCSE History 5HA02 2B





Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u> for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: <u>www.edexcel.com/teachingservices</u>.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at <u>www.edexcel.com/ask</u>. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service. See the ResultsPlus section below on how to get these details if you don't have them already.

ResultsPlus

Get more from your exam results

...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2012

Publications Code UG032444

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2012

Introduction

There were some very impressive responses to this year's questions and it was particularly pleasing to see the approach to Question 3 becoming more secure. Whilst there was a time when Question 3 proved the most challenging on the paper, it is true to say that for a growing number of candidates it is now where their best answer is seen.

Although candidates coped well with almost all questions, Question 1(b) appeared to show that the Constitution of 1936 is not being taught in some centres. It is important that all elements listed in the Amplification of Content are covered, as any of them could form the basis of a question on the paper. It also seems that further guidance is needed on the use of events before 1917 and this is set out in the report on Question 2(a).

One area which schools might like to continue to address is the use of dates in a question. Often they act as means of helping candidates to avoid writing on events which are not relevant to the question. On Question 2(b) candidates should have spotted that the question talks about 1924-29 and so the purges and show trials of the 1930s were outside the time frame of the question.

In general, however, it is evident that there is some very good teaching of this specification taking place and examiners saw some thoughtful and analytical answers from candidates.

Question 1 (a)

This question was generally well answered, although a significant minority of responses included an inference that was little more than a paraphrase which could not, therefore, receive high level reward (e.g. 'We can learn that there was a desire for traditional education') However, most candidates were able to reach Level 3 by providing a supported inference. Most common was the suggestion that there was tight government control as not only were books controlled, but so were hair styles.

It is good to see that the candidate has gone straight into the question with no time wasted in describing the source or considering its provenance.

Study Source A. Source A: From a history of the modern world, published in 2000. Stalin introduced education reforms. Schools were told which textbooks to use, formal examinations were reintroduced and Communist ideology became a compulsory subject. By the end of the 1930s there was an emphasis on traditional values, including compulsory pigtails for girls. Higher education focused on technical and scientific learning to meet the needs of the Five-Year Plans. (a) What can you learn from Source A about the Soviet Union in the 1930s? (4)You can learn that the Soviet Union was under complete state control. The introduction of educeation Stalin showed that the state to way The fact that forced to be mainly communist. and nigher education fours on technical learning signed that he was and everything done was to eve to What he wanted. This showed thank hicial Russia were based on Stalin nour interve sts



The candidate has provided several inferences. The inference that the Soviet Union was under complete state control is valid and is supported in lines 2 and 3, so full marks are earned by the end of Line 4.



There is no need to describe the source or its provenance and certainly no need to discuss reliability. Equally, using a large amount of contextual information is unnecessary and won't be rewarded as support must come from the source.

There should be no need to use an extension sheet for this answer.

Question 1 (b)

This question proved very challenging for some candidates. There were a large number of blank pages in response to the question and comments from a number of candidates who wrote that they didn't think they had studied this topic. Examiners were surprised by the difficulty that the question caused as 'the 1936 Constitution' is clearly listed in the Amplification of Content in the specification.

Those who did know about the constitution were able to gain marks by describing how the Constitution changed the name of the Central Executive Committee to the Supreme Soviet and how it centralised power in Stalin's hands. The right to vote was extended, but only Communist candidates stood. Some answers described how the Constitution was a sham, designed to fool other countries or deflect criticism away from the purges.

This answer takes a slightly unusual route in that it begins with an explanation of why the Constitution was introduced, but the approach is a valid one.

(b) Describe the key features of the 1936 Constitution. (6) every of years, one to all 1936 Constitution was introduced convince 41 blyan \mathcal{H} munis and Prosperous (0) isolationium - countr return For assies and to e. to needed cres Jobmentic 15010 abroad. to do flat improve soviet Union.

Constitution consisted of several ballots The A that blue held elect be sto 3 Russian Apparently aM 0099 18 ene one Hese las and norb be The policy 04 0,6 tia sitorson 04 glance See

the 1936 Com Ho au gene 6 ot 0,r Unly bes and the some Cheirn 0 e4 M and ittee



Two paragraphs each addressing a key feature. One feature is the reason for the Constitution. Although the second paragraph is not strong, this answer scored a high Level 2 for two supported statements.



Candidates need to support two statements to reach a high mark in Level 2. They should, therefore, look to write two separate paragraphs emphasising how they have organised their answer into separate features.

Question 1 (c)

Few candidates had any difficulty explaining how the decision to keep Russia in the First World War caused discontent in the army and created shortages and resentment in Russian cities. Such answers generally scored well in Level 2. Where candidates were able to explain how the Bolsheviks exploited the resentment to bring down the government, or explained how the government should have seen it was creating its own downfall because a similar approach had brought the Tsar down, then Level 3 was awarded.

A standard approach to this question. The first two paragraphs (not shown) set out how the war caused resentment amongst the soldiers and unrest in Petrograd. Then there is an attempt at analysis in the final paragraph.

are linked on the decision eep World first soldiers Clase impor fle 5



The top of Level 2 was awarded for two good paragraphs on the growth of resentment at the front and at home. The final paragraph is not strong, but does attempt to explain how the discontent brought down the Provisional Government. The response was awarded a mark at the lower end of Level 3.



Candidates need to avoid wasting time by describing the event itself, rather than its impact. A good way to start an answer to this type of question is to say 'One effect of was'.

Question 1 (d)

Although a minority of candidates could not explain why Stalin introduced reforms (and a number of candidates confused Lenin with Stalin), most candidates coped well with the demands of the question. It may not have been quite the case that women were not used to work before Stalin's reforms (as some candidates stated), but certainly it is true that under Stalin there was a determined effort to increase the female workforce in industry. It was slightly disconcerting that some candidates thought that women had to work in the factories because their husbands were away at war, but it was definitely true that they played a major part in boosting industrial production. Some candidates talked of how Stalin's political beliefs would have favoured female equality, though more perceptive candidates noted that such equality did not seem to extend to membership of the party.

The answer addresses only one reason (to boost production) but explains it well.

(d) Explain why reforms affecting the position of women in Russia were introduced in the years 1928-39. (8)in 1928 came to power he n00931ble Lapil ern IONANE Decause ComPut sente was odneed aci olkhozes and Ingside be improving. tem output Con 01 heavis ive-lear Tlan which Focused armany

weaponry in the build up to the second Wold encouraged to work alongside their women Nrtho good of The Comuni

Results Plus Examiner Comments

Óne reason, explained well, scores a middling mark in Level 3. There is a good explanation of how the reforms were to revolutionise the position of women and their role was to work 'for the good of mother Russia.'



It is a good idea, on questions about causation, to try to prioritise between them. A consideration of whether one cause was more important than others will help you to analyse why a particular cause was important and you will be more likely to score high marks for in-depth explanation.

Question 2 (a)

Questions based on the early part of the specification always raise the issue of how much pre-1917 material candidates can use. It is worth stating here that we do not expect candidates to *know* the pre-1917 period, but some coverage is necessary in order to understand the 1917 events, since the earlier period has an impact upon them. With that in mind, examiners will reward material which reflects the 1917 position, but will not give reward to information that is a self-contained pre-1917 issue. So the Tsar's autocratic government in 1917 is valid and could be supported by reference to earlier events (though need not be and could be supported by 1917 material). Equally, the poor showing in war is predominantly pre-1917, but continues in 1917. What is not rewardable is the suggestion that the Tsar was brought down by mistakes such as losing the Russo-Japanese War, Bloody Sunday, or even the supposed sexual exploits of Rasputin.

Question 2 (b)

This question highlighted a problem with some candidates' grasp of chronology. The best answers were those which were able to explain how Stalin's position in the party, his treatment of Trotsky and his moves against the old Bolsheviks brought him the leadership of the Soviet Union. Where candidates were able to link these measures to see an overarching approach (e.g. manipulation or exploitation of others' weaknesses) high level reward was given.

Other answers, however, confused the period 1924-29 with the time when Stalin was in control and consolidating his position. Such answers talked of the purges and the Cult of Stalin, neither of which was rewardable. The use of propaganda could be accepted (especially when referring to the link with Lenin) but some candidates were clearly writing about the wrong period.

The response strays outside the period occasionally but, generally, the candidate outlines and explains how Stalin established himself as leader.

Chosen Question Number: Question 2(a) Question 2(b) 📓 Stalin's main concern was to eliminate all his had meteored that 17 Sta hoverer. potential successors, be 10 6 pover. k ench tensus Zivovien and komenen to exile norker alles before the only undid 1491 ule e vas in cont opposition removed all othe Supportes the Fi the party in Ð nearly all Stalin should succeed Lenin.

Station also made himself popular throughout Russian by his glaxification, using the propagando skills he had nt as chief eu (the Bolsten's remspaper) the branda 4 Resonality? inchding of. his u into aspe en about creating take lose present Thorna Lon death ð. deceased He B kuster and his country to 5 These factors we linked as Stalin created support, opposition and filling the party anianon fle throughout Russia, gloritying peorters and dictatorating. opposition 01' lerra ren establishing himself as lendermo his biggest thread the porty QQ2051 he may not be get in Stated Heit Rov



Examiner Comments

Two points are explained - the exploitation of division within the party and the link to Lenin (though the glorification of Stalin is a little later). Together with the final paragraph which attempts to show how the factors combined, this answer reaches Level 3.



Candidates must be very careful to take note of any dates given in questions. The dates of this question (1924-29) rule out the purges of the 1930s.

Question 3 (a)

This was a popular question with many candidates writing impressively on the way in which the various factors contributed to the maintenance of the Bolsheviks in power. Candidates had little difficulty explaining the contribution of each factor. Where prioritisation was attempted, there was a wide variation in the factor chosen as the most important reason. This was no surprise, a valid reason could be made for any of the factors.

It was interesting that hardly any candidates chose to bring other factors into their answer. There is no requirement to do so and no further reward, but examiners had expected to see factrors such as the weaknesses of the Whites mentioned occasionally.

A solid essay explaining why the various factors helped to keep the Bolsheviks in power (not shown), plus an attempt at prioritisation at the end.

The Early Decreen I feel and the most in portent reason Mat he are Bolsleviks remained in . They not only goined Ken power but also into place important security meaning that walls Key remained in control of Ko Soviet Union New Economic policy van important but it vanit erted while 1921 Was communism had coursed cry and lad to the Kronstadt Multing Lost K. Bolshen, U. Keir biggert 1510 which. Trokky won & One of the key Reds on the civil was but not the prey reintained power, Ket can down to Lerin



Good explanation of four factors takes the answer to Level 3 - 10 marks. The final paragraph does not quite make a direct comparison between the decrees and the NEP, but examiners thought that the answer came near enough to doing so to be awarded 12 marks.



Candidates must compare factors in order to prioritise, not just say 'this is the most important because it was very important'.

Question 3 (b)

As with Question 3(a) candidates wrote well on all the factors given. It seems that this period of Soviet history is well known. Most answers explained the difference that collectivisation made to agriculture, with better answers showing how this impacted on the Soviet Union more widely. The same can be said of industrialisation. Candidates generally argued that the purges were widespread and created an ethos of fear, but that they were short term in their impact. Opinion was divided on the Cult of Stalin; some dismissed it as an unimportant PR stunt, others saw it as underpinning all that happened under Stalin. Once again, opinion was very much divided on which factor had the greatest impact.

Paper Summary

In summary, the following notes are offered as an aid to preparation for future examination sessions:

- Candidates should take particular note of dates in questions; they are there to help them
- Candidates need to be familiar with the whole specification
- Candidates should be discouraged from using pre-1917 material
- Candidates should make sure that they look to explain answers, not just support them with detail.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code UG032444 June 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

