

Examiners' Report
June 2018

GCSE History 1HI0 29

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2018

Publications Code 1HI0_29_1806_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2018

The Period Study focuses on an understanding of the unfolding narrative of a time period. In this first GCSE History (9-1) examination most candidates seemed well prepared for the question styles in this examination on Conflict in the Middle East, 1945-95. Most candidates attempted the required three questions, although it would appear that some students answered Section B first. Whilst this is perfectly acceptable it should be noted this has could have implications on timing and unfinished questions, perhaps explaining the number of blank responses for question 2.

Question 1 will always focus on consequence, requiring candidates to explain two valid consequences, giving equal attention to both. Very few candidates failed to attempt question 1 which is deliberately designed to be accessible to the entire ability range, however some provided more detail than was necessary, leaving less time to address higher tariff questions.

Question 2 is a new style of question which focuses on analytical narrative, in which candidates are expected to write an account that not only describes what happened, but to also find connections and make sense of events with an analysis of the links between events as they unfolded. The analytical narrative will always focus on a period containing events or ideas that can be perceived as a sequence; this could cover several years or a much shorter period. Candidates should be clear about the time span of the question to ensure they cover an acceptable range and what it is the narrative is designed to analyse, in this case Egypt's relations with Israel in the years 1973-77. It is clear most candidates found the new style of question challenging. It is vital they understand the narrative concept, with the sense of a beginning, development and end, rather than producing three paragraphs which do not directly link. The quality of responses varied based primarily on depth of knowledge of the topics addressed. These stimulus points serve a different purpose to those on other questions: they will be useful reminders to candidates of sign posts along the narrative and not things they need to develop. Candidates do not need to use these stimulus points but there is an expectation that there will be some depth of knowledge, shown by three discrete points in the narrative being covered, although this does not mean candidates need to identify three different events. This question appeared to be the most common answer left blank, perhaps due to timing.

For question 3 candidates were required to analyse the importance of an event/person/development. The question focuses on what difference the event/person/development made in relation to situations and unfolding developments. For example, in the third choice on this question, candidates are not being asked to comment generally on the importance of the end of the Cold

War, but to consider its importance *for attempts to a solution in the Middle East*. They had to answer two topics out of a selection of three. It is clear many candidates had been prepared for the importance styles questions. Responses ranged from impressive analysis focused on the appropriate second-order concept (AO2), which were supported with accurate, relevant and good knowledge (AO1), to those from candidates that offered simple comment with limited knowledge for support.

All the Period Study examination questions use a level of response mark scheme. Progression in AO1 is shown by the candidate's increasing ability to select information precisely and show wide-ranging knowledge and understanding. Progression in AO2 is shown by a candidate's response moving from simple or generalised comments to analytical explanations which show a line of reasoning which is coherent, logical and sustained. Centres are reminded that the indicative content in the mark scheme does not imply what must be included in a response nor does it give any expectation as to how candidates are expected to structure their responses.

Sufficient space is provided in the exam papers for all questions to be answered in full and although some candidates did write on extra sheets they were not always as successful as those who produced more concise answers. It is of vital importance that candidates do not continue answers from one question in the space reserved for another and, if they wish to write more than the booklet allows, they should clearly identify this on the paper and ask for additional sheets.

Question 1

In question 1, candidates were asked to provide two valid consequences of the territorial changes following the 1948-49 Arab-Israeli War. There are 4 marks available for each consequence, which needs to explain a consequence (AO2) supported with specific information showing good knowledge and understanding (AO1). Most candidates understood the second-order of concept of consequence, although some candidates focused on the consequences of the Arab-Israeli War generally rather than the territorial changes. There was also a tendency by some candidates to give generalisations for a consequence, such as Israel gained land without any further elaboration. Some candidates merely rephrased the same consequence and as such were only awarded for one of them. A limited number of candidates left the question blank.

SECTION A: Conflict in the Middle East, 1945-95

Answer ALL Questions in this section.

1 Explain **two** consequences of the territorial changes following the 1948-49 Arab-Israeli war.

Consequence 1:

Arabs lost lots of land. As a consequence of this, larger powers were taking more interest in the war in the Middle East, being provided with SAMs and other weaponry, causing an even larger war than what there should've been.

Consequence 2:

As a result of the 1948-1949 war, revenge was wanted against the Israelis for their taking their land.

Examiner comment: For the first consequence the candidate identifies a valid consequence, 'loss of land' but there is no explanation making the AO2 a low Level 1. There is no creditable material to demonstrate knowledge and understanding so the AO1 gains no level. Overall the first consequence is low Level 1.

The second consequence also has a simple statement in terms of wanting revenge so AO2 is low Level 1. However there is no credible knowledge so AO1 gains no level. Overall the second consequence is low Level 1.

Examiner tip: Candidates should ensure they provide two different consequences.

Question 2

This new style of question was not generally approached in an appropriate manner by the candidates who attempted it. The overall structure of demonstrating a beginning, development and end was clearly demonstrated by candidates who attained Level 3. It was clear that candidates had been taught to use language which demonstrated analysis of links, for example 'consequently', 'which resulted in' which was apparent even if they had more limited knowledge. Many candidates wrote several discrete, and often detailed, explanatory paragraphs about separate events, developments and key individuals rather than writing a coherent analysis linking the events and developments to create the unfolding narrative at the focus of the question. The topic of Egypt's relations with Israel in the years 1973-77 resulted in a range of responses, with a good number able to establish a sequence. Most candidates were aware of the details of the Yom Kippur War but it is vital that candidates don't limit their responses to only part of the period, for example some candidates only discussed the Yom Kippur War in isolation. There were some candidates who referred to the events of the Six Day War instead of the Yom Kippur War and confused Sadat and Nasser. There were a considerable number of candidates who did not attempt this question.

2 Write a narrative account analysing Egypt's relations with Israel in the years 1973-77.

You may use the following in your answer:

- Yom Kippur War (1973)
- President Sadat

^{Cairo Conference}
You **must** also use information of your own.

After Nasser had ~~died~~ ^{died}, Sadat then became president of Egypt and wanted to negotiate peace with ~~Egypt~~ Israel. He wanted to re-open the Suez canal after it was demolished and he didn't mind the right for Jews' existence in Palestine. Sadat however ~~put together~~ planned a bomb strike on the Israelis, which ~~increased~~ increased publicity and more Arabs joined him and the PLO.

^{the} The day of Jews' national holiday, was celebrated in 1973; on this day everyone, including soldiers took a day off to celebrate. However Egypt saw this as a chance to take control over the lost lands. They attacked the bar lex line ~~at~~ and regained control over Golan Heights. Their actions didn't take ~~actions~~ ~~didn't~~ ~~take~~ much of an effect, as Israel retaliated, causing Egypt to block the Suez canal and the Straits of Tiran. The Suez canal was demolished and full of debris.



and israel still gained control over Egypt and Syrian lands.

Furthermore, a Cairo conference was held in secret with ~~Egypt, Syria and Iran~~ leading Arab countries, ~~where they~~ as a result of the Yom Kippur War, where they negotiated that ~~2/3~~ two thirds of the river Jordan was to be diverted into the sea of Galilee. So Israel as a result, would have ended to channeling their fresh water. Israel's weren't affected by the Arabs until they stopped the supply of water and was ^{- got scared as} ~~was~~ ^{- Arabs} seen as a threat, and wanted to fight back.

Examiner comment: This candidate has demonstrated some analysis of links in the second paragraph, with phrases such as 'retaliated', whereas the first paragraph reads as a list more than a link. However there is a lack of organisation as sequencing is not correct with the final paragraph prior to earlier events so AO2 is a low Level 2. Some knowledge and understanding given hence AO1 is Level 2. Overall the response is a mid Level 2.

Examiner tip: Candidates need to ensure they have the narrative in the correct order of events.

2 Write a narrative account analysing Egypt's relations with Israel in the years 1973-77.

You may use the following in your answer:

- Yom Kippur War (1973)
- President Sadat

You **must** also use information of your own.

Egypt originally tried to make peace with the Israelis, however the option was turned down.

AS the option was turned down they began war and did it on the Jewish day called "Yom Kippur". They did this as they knew most soldiers would be on holiday and at 'Yom Kippur' they fast for 24 hours; therefore the army would be malnourished and be unable to but up a fight, is what Egypt thought.

Egypt then proceeded to take prisoners which was a big step, however after 3 days the Israelis came back and won the war, as although Egypt now had weapons from Russia, Israel had the American backing them, and had a larger army to get the win.

The Yom Kippur war then lead Egypt and



Palestine (Arabs) into the oil embargo which would change things massively as America got no oil as they backed the US and the price of oil rose by 10%. This caused worldwide upset and got Egyptians the recognition they deserved. Because of this America decided to talk to them as they needed oil and this meant ~~Israel~~ had to back down and the Egyptians had gotten the recognition ~~needed~~.

Sadat recognised he needed peace and he got peace with Israel in the 'Sinai Peace Accords' this is where both countries would have a truce and couldn't engage in war on each other and Egypt ended up getting the Sinai desert back, which was a massive win. Even though this did rattle up things with other Arab countries as it looked like Egypt had sided with the Jews. ~~(betrayal)~~ (betrayal by Sadat).

(Total for Question 2 = 8 marks)



P 5 7 3 7 6 A 0 5 3 2

Examiner Comment: This response shows material which has been organised into a narrative with a beginning, a middle and an end so AO2 is Level 3. They have used language with demonstrates linkage of analysis such as 'this caused' and 'America decided'. The knowledge is relevant and goes beyond the stimulus so AO1 is also Level 3. Overall the response is in the top of Level 3.

Examiner tip: Candidates need to remember this is foremost a narrative, with a beginning, middle and end, rather than a set of three separate paragraphs. Show how one point in the narrative leads to the next.

Question 3

This question comprised of two 8 mark questions based on the second order concepts of significance and consequence. Candidates had to explain the importance of two of the following three topics: Nasser for leadership of the Arab world; the occupied territories following the Six Day War for Arab-Israeli relations; the end of the Cold War for attempts to find a solution in the Middle East. All questions were equally addressed. Candidates who addressed the importance of the factor raised in relation to development produced Level 3 responses when supported by good knowledge and understanding. This was opposed to candidates who explained the importance of the factor in general terms which normally stayed in Level 2.

In terms of the question on Nasser, Level 2 responses often provided general knowledge on what Nasser did rather than his leadership of the Arab world or only looked at one aspect of the impact of Nasser. Candidates who attained Level 3 recognised multiple implications and were more likely to focus on things such as his role for the Cairo Conference and the withdrawal of British and French from the Suez Canal.

The question on the Six Day War produced some very good responses at Level 3, with many candidates addressing the loss of land increasing the popularity of the PLO or providing a more secure border for Israel. The responses which remained in Level 2 often demonstrated knowledge on the Six Day War itself or named the occupied territories yet did not link these to Arab-Israeli relations. One misunderstanding which occurred was when some candidates talked about the territorial changes following the Arab-Israeli War 1948-49.

The topic of the end of the Cold War unfortunately led to some general responses about the Cold War itself rather than the end of the Cold War. Most candidates identified the role of the USSR and the USA in supporting different sides during the period. Better responses linked the Cold War to the impact of the withdrawal of support to the PLO and US pressure on Israel to find a solution.

Indicate your FIRST choice on this page.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

- The importance of Nasser for leadership of the Arab world.
- The importance of the occupied territories for Arab-Israeli relations after the Six Day War (1967).
- The importance of the end of the Cold War for attempts to find a solution in the Middle East.

Nasser was of great importance to show leadership for the Arab world because he came in a time of need for the Arabs. For example we was with the Arabs and standing with them when events like the 6 day war was taking place, this showed courage on his behalf because they bombed Jordan, Syria, and Egypt and caused major disruption. He was also good for when the Gulf war had happened. ~~and the~~



P 5 6 2 7 4 A 0 7 3 2

7

Turn over ►

Indicate your SECOND choice on this page.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

- The importance of Nasser for leadership of the Arab world.
- The importance of the occupied territories for Arab-Israeli relations after the Six Day War (1967).
- The importance of the end of the Cold War for attempts to find a solution in the Middle East.

Israel bombed places on the first day in airfields of Syria, Jordan, and Egypt. Then on the second day they would invade other countries and started to use ~~armor~~ tanks to destroy houses.

These doings angered the invading places hugely and as a result kicked out the PLO from Jordan and they had to move to Beirut.

This ~~action~~ triggered more reactions and did not help the Arab-Israeli relations.

Examiner comment:

First response – Nasser – The candidate has given a simple answer showing limited development with credit for statement because 'he came in a time of need' making the AO2 a weak Level 1. There is no rewardable knowledge for AO1 which is relevant to the time period. Overall the response is a low Level 1.

Second response – Six Day War – This response has no rewardable material for AO2 so has no level. They do have limited knowledge on the war itself so AO1 is low Level 1. Overall this response is a low Level 1.

Examiner tip: Two well developed explanations that also have good knowledge and understanding will enable candidates to attain Level 3. It is vital to have both knowledge and understanding used to support answers.

Indicate your FIRST choice on this page.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box . If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then indicate your new question with a cross .

- The importance of Nasser for leadership of the Arab world.
- The importance of the occupied territories for Arab-Israeli relations after the Six Day War (1967).
- The importance of the end of the Cold War for attempts to find a solution in the Middle East.

When Nasser attended the Cairo conference in 1964, it was a way of showing he supported the PLO in a non-violent way. The ~~new~~ Divergent water plan was set up as well as the PLO and PLA. He wanted to show he was not a coward and he acted as the Arab leader. Nasser and other Arab leaders held a secret meeting before the 6 day war in 1967. They decided on the 5th of June that they would go to war alongside other Arab states. He also attended the Kofore conference when the 'three nos' were decided upon, one of which being no accepting Israel's right to exist. Nasser had stepped in when King Farouk of Egypt lost power and he wanted all Arabs to have pride, give more to Arabs and build a stronger nation. He was important as a leader as he was strong and allied with Jordan, Lebanon and Syria.



P 5 7 3 7 5 A 0 7 3 2

7

Turn over ►

Indicate your **SECOND** choice on this page.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross . If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then indicate your new question with a cross .

- The importance of Nasser for leadership of the Arab world.
 - The importance of the occupied territories for Arab-Israeli relations after the Six Day War (1967).
 - The importance of the end of the Cold War for attempts to find a solution in the Middle East.
- 1977
oil crisis
OPEC

The ending of the cold war meant America could focus on sorting out the Middle East. The OPEC reduced the amount of oil it was exporting and oil went up by 25% in 1977. This was an action to make USA realise they needed to change. The cold war had caused tensions in the ME as USSR backed Egypt whilst USA backed Israel. Now the cold war had ended, both accepted Israel's state creation by David Ben-Gurion. USSR had been of great aid to Syria as it had supplied them with infra-red missiles and SAM-3 missiles. However, the oil crisis put emphasis on USA that something needed to change. USA put pressure on Israel but they continued to fight. In 1975 USA helped clear the Suez canal for reopening, showing they wanted to end the fighting. Overall, the ending of the cold war meant that USA and USSR had less tension in the involvement in the conflict in the ME.



P 5 7 3 7 5 A 0 9 3 2

9

Turn over ►

Examiner comment:

First response – Nasser – The candidate has demonstrated some reasoning but no explanation of the importance of Nasser to the Arab world until they talk about wanting all Arabs to have pride so AO2 is Level 2. There is clear and relevant knowledge so AO1 is Level 3. Overall the response is low Level 3.

Second response – Cold War – This response has some reasoning and attempted analysis however much of the answer is based on an earlier period so the AO2 is weak Level 2. Knowledge is also weak for AO1, hence low Level 2. Overall the response is a low Level 2.

Examiner tip: Candidates need to ensure they address the time period which is indicated in the question to be credited with relevant material.

Indicate your FIRST choice on this page.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box . If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then indicate your new question with a cross .

- The importance of Nasser for leadership of the Arab world.
- The importance of the occupied territories for Arab-Israeli relations after the Six Day War (1967).
- The importance of the end of the Cold War for attempts to find a solution in the Middle East.

Nasser was important for the leadership of the Arab world as he began his presidency with the aim of bringing pride, prosperity and independence to the Arabs and Egyptians. Nasser also stood up to Israel in order to protect the rights of the Palestinians. An example of his involvement in the Arab conflict is his confidence in the consequences of the Gaza attacks in 1955. Upon making a secret arms deal with Czechoslovakia in return for \$200 million worth of Egyptian cotton, he ~~realized that~~ made the arms deal public in order to show ~~the~~ Israel and the US that he wasn't afraid of them. This is important as it inspired pride in the Arabs at the time.

Additionally, during the Cairo conference of 1964, Nasser led the head water diversion plan which stated that 2/3 of the sources of the River Jordan would be diverted as Israel were using it for settlements. Furthermore, they set up the PLO and PLO which, all of which reinstated Nasser's leadership as he was upholding the rights of Palestinians as well as leading the other Arab countries. The formation of the Arab League with Nasser as president is also a crucial



P 5 6 2 7 4 A 0 7 3 2

7
Turn over ▶

reason for Nasser's leadership of the Arab world as it demonstrated the unity of the Arab countries and ~~significan~~ evidently highlighted Nasser's significance as he held rank as the leader.

Indicate your SECOND choice on this page.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

- The importance of Nasser for leadership of the Arab world.
- The importance of the occupied territories for Arab-Israeli relations after the Six Day War (1967).
- The importance of the end of the Cold War for attempts to find a solution in the Middle East.

The end of the Cold War between the US and USSR meant that the US was the only superpower left in the world. This meant that it could apply pressure to the countries in the Middle East without the USSR interrupting and supplying aid to the opposing side. Moreover it meant that the US no longer needed Israel as its 'only true ally' in the Middle East as the war was over, hence it began to take a more neutral position and mediate the peace between Israel and Egypt as well as the other countries.

However, the demolition of the USSR meant that an influx of 200,000 Jews migrated to Israel as according to the 1950 'Law of Return', any Jew anywhere in the world could migrate to Israel and receive citizenship. This is important as it meant that there was an increase in the number of Jewish settlers in the Israeli occupied territories such as West Bank. This meant that there was even less space for Palestinians to live now; however this was ignored by the other Arab countries.

The end of the cold war also meant that the US had more time and money to designate to finding a solution in the Middle East as it didn't have to worry about the USSR. This is important as it led to agreements such as the Oslo Accords of 1993 and the Israeli-Jordan peace treaty of 1989.

Examiner comment:

First response – Nasser – This response has an explanation given with analysis of the importance of Nasser in terms of bringing pride and also his role at the Cairo Conference so AO2 is Level 3. Clear specific knowledge is shown which makes the AO1 Level 3. Overall the response is the top of Level 3.

Second response – Cold War – In this response an explanation is given with analysis in the first paragraph, however the second paragraph lacks the explanation which means the AO2 is weak Level 3. Some knowledge is shown although it is not specific so AO1 is Level 2. Overall the response is a low Level 3.

Examiner tip: Candidates need to ensure that they explain the importance of event in relation to the focus asked in the question in order to reach Level 3.

Section B

Section B of paper 2 assesses the British Depth Study with candidates required to answer three questions targeted at AO1 and AO2. Candidates receive an examination paper with either the two Medieval Depth Studies or the two Tudor Depth Studies. It is the only time for the Edexcel GCSE History examination where candidates need to ensure that they answer questions on the particular option for which they have been entered.

From this Summer's scripts there were very few candidates that attempted to answer questions from both Depth Studies although there were clearly a significant number of candidates that had started answering the questions on the study for which they were not entered before crossing out their work and moving to the section for which they were entered. There was also a number of candidates who had continued their Depth Study responses in the booklet under the option they had not studied, rather than asking for extra paper. Candidates do need to indicate clearly where their response to an item should be found if it is different to the specified section of the answer booklet.

Questions 4(a) and 5(a) follow an identical format to question 1 on Paper 1. Candidates need to be clear that the feature identified should be a characteristic of the topic and that having identified a feature, they should add a further detail which will explain the feature or provide context. Some candidates did not seem to understand that two marks are available for each feature – one for identifying the feature and one for additional information about the identified feature; answers that listed four features or disconnected points of separate information were limited to a maximum of two marks. There was also a number of answers that tried to use the same point as two separate features.

Question 4(b) and 5(b) follow an identical format to the 12-mark tariff to question 4 on Paper 1 and question 2 on Paper 3, but with a difference in the second-order concept being assessed. On Paper 1 the 12-mark tariff question focuses on the process of at least 100 years, whereas on Papers 2 and 3 it relates to the causes of an event, development, success, failure and so on, over a shorter period of time within a Depth Study. The stimulus points do not normally include dates and are simply intended to help candidates to associate what they have learned with the question being asked. Use of the stimulus points is not compulsory but it should be noted that the mark schemes do require

deployment of material not prompted by the stimulus points to reach the top of Levels 2 and 3 and entry into Level 4.

In Question 4(c) and 5(c) candidates choose between (i) and (ii) and the questions may target any of the second-order concepts (cause, consequence, change, continuity, significance, similarity and difference). This question follows the same principles as question 5 and 6 on Paper 1 but without a requirement for Spelling, Punctuation and Grammar (SPaG) to be assessed. For Q4(c) and Q5(c) the stimulus points in the question will often be useful reminders to candidates of the two sides of the issue or the chronological range covered in the question. Note that they will not necessarily be presented in chronological order. Note also that the stimulus points will usually relate to aspects of content rather than directly indicating a factor that should be included. Candidates do not need to use these stimulus points but there is an expectation that there will be both depth and breadth of knowledge, shown by three discrete aspects of the question being covered.

Many answers remained at Level 3, despite excellent knowledge, because they missed the focus of the question. Candidates who reached Level 4 realised that the topic provides the context but that there is a specific focus on which a judgement should be offered. Similarly, whilst it was pleasing to see how many answers were clearly structured to consider both sides of the issue, sometimes other structures may be more appropriate. Although the question asks how far the candidates agrees, the answer should also take account of the second-order concept being assessed, for example, structuring the answer to look at different aspects of change and continuity or of significance. One reason that many responses remained in Level 3 was that the judgement tended to be simply a summary of the two sides of the issue and the decision that the statement was 'somewhat' true. At Level 4, there should be a sense of evaluation, recognising nuances of partial agreement and showing which evidence carries most weight. Answers should also show what criteria are being applied. For example, a judgement on significance could be based on the number of people affected, the length of time that the effects were felt, the groups affected or how wide-ranging the secondary effects were. Ideally, this will create a sense of argument running throughout the answer and the best answers often had plans, showing that the argument was thought through before beginning to write the actual response.

If extra paper is taken, candidates should clearly signal that the answer is continued elsewhere. However, in many cases where additional paper had been taken, the marks had already been attained within the space provided rather than on the extra paper. Candidates should be discouraged from assuming that lengthy answers will automatically score highly. Indeed, candidates taking extra paper often ran out of time on the final, high mark question and therefore disadvantaged themselves. There were also some completely blank answers to the final question, suggesting that time management was a problem for some candidates.

There were no indications that for Paper 2 as a whole, candidates had found it difficult to answer both sections in the one hour and forty-five minutes allowed.

All examination questions use a levels of response mark scheme. Progression in AO1 is shown by the candidate's increasing ability to select information precisely and show wide-ranging knowledge and understanding. Progression in AO2 is shown by a candidate's response moving from simple or generalised comments to analytical explanations, which show a line of reasoning that is coherent, logical and sustained. Centres are also reminded that the 'Indicative Content' in the mark scheme does not imply what must be included in a response nor does it give any expectation as to how candidates are expected to structure their responses.

Question 4(a)

Candidates were asked to describe two features of the Eltham Ordinances. Where candidates attempted this question, they were generally able to answer confidently, achieving Level 2 by identifying a motivation for the Ordinances. An example was cutting costs, and an example of how this was achieved was by providing set mealtimes. Other common responses mentioned banning hunting dogs and reducing the number of palace servants. Many candidates were able to associate the Eltham Ordinances as one of Wolsey's policies, although a number of responses confused the Eltham Ordinances with other features of Henrician policy, most commonly the Amicable Grant or the Break with Rome. A high proportion of candidates left the answer blank.

Option B3: Henry VIII and his ministers, 1509–40

If you answer Question 4 put a cross in the box .

Answer Question 4(a), Question 4(b) and EITHER Question 4(c)(i) OR Question 4(c)(ii).

4 (a) Describe **two** features of the Eltham Ordinances. (4)

Feature 1

Animals weren't allowed as they brought in dirt with them.

Feature 2

There were set times for meals because people wouldn't do their jobs when they should.

Examiner comment: The candidate has identified two features on the Eltham Ordinances. The first one lacks supporting evidence. The second one has incorrect supporting evidence. Therefore, this response scored 2 marks.

Examiner tip: Use connectives to encourage supporting evidence.

Option B3: Henry VIII and his ministers, 1509–40

If you answer Question 4 put a cross in the box .

Answer Question 4(a), Question 4(b) and EITHER Question 4(c)(i) OR Question 4(c)(ii).

4 (a) Describe **two** features of the Eltham Ordinances.

(4)

Feature 1

One feature was that the amount of members within court was reduced from 12 to 6 as it ~~is~~ this meant that Wolsey would have less ~~open~~ opposition ~~to~~ within court that would threaten his relationship with Henry.

Feature 2

Another feature was that ~~of~~ Wolsey designed the ~~the~~ Eltham Ordinances ~~to~~ to reduce the amount of royal expenditure and so that this money save could be used elsewhere, such as funding for Henry's wars.

Examiner comment: The candidate has identified two features of the Eltham Ordinances and provided supporting detail for each one. Therefore, this response scored 4 marks.

Examiner tip: The content you need for full marks on this question should easily fit on the lines provided: if you are writing in the blank space underneath, you have probably written too much.

Question 4(b)

Candidates were asked to explain the causes of the Pilgrimage of Grace. The majority of candidates were able to expand on the first stimulus point, although significantly fewer were confident in linking enclosure to the Pilgrimage. At Level 2, many responses delivered a detailed explanation of the conditions in the monasteries, with descriptions of monastic vice being a common feature, but often went on to explain how this led to Dissolution without making a link to the Pilgrimage of Grace.

Stronger answers developed from the first stimulus point with specific details of the *Valor Ecclesiasticus* and linked this back to upsetting people in the north of the country. Common additional information offered by candidates included Cromwell's unpopularity, and unrest stimulated by the religious changes connected to the Break with Rome. However, a large number of responses remained in Level 3 due to over-reliance on the first stimulus point, which prevented candidates from demonstrating wide-ranging knowledge.

The best responses were able to synthesise a range of causes into a coherent line of reasoning. A few candidates showed that the reason for the rebellion varied according to location or class or differentiating between the two waves of revolt.

There was a significant number of responses where candidates wrote about the events or consequences of the Pilgrimage of Grace, rather than focusing on causation, which, while displaying good knowledge of the topic, unfortunately resulted in a loss of marks at AO2. A number of candidates showed chronological confusion by placing the Pilgrimage within the Wolsey era or after the Dissolutions had been completed.

(b) Explain why the Pilgrimage of Grace took place.

Wolsey, Anne
A
Charles V
121

You may use the following in your answer:

- inspection of the monasteries
- enclosure

You must also use information of your own.

> Protestantism
Henry VIII
uprising

The Pilgrimage of Grace took place in Lincolnshire and Yorkshire. Henry VIII was very distant from these areas, so he lacked influence over them and he desired that. Henry wanted to gain more influence over these areas as it would heighten his power. Henry ordered ~~Wolsey~~ ^{Wolsey} to go and inspect the monasteries. This ~~later~~ ^{was} became known as the ~~enclosure~~ ^{enclosure}, this included extensively searching the home and backgrounds of several monks and nuns. They were found to have been living extremely luxurious lives and going against the word of God. Some monks were even accused of raping ~~girls~~ ^{girls}. This gave Henry a swift advantage to be able to close down the monasteries with good reason. Many Catholics were absolutely mortified as Henry VIII was trying to essentially force their religion into obscurity (the dark/nothing). This caused major uprisings known as the Pilgrimage of Grace, they weren't very successful, many

Catholics ended up dead.

Henry VIII had now gained enough power and influence over these areas to control them easily (despite their distance). Many ~~pieces~~ ^{pieces} of land were taken by Henry, Wolsey initiated the enclosure. Many agricultural lands had been taken away and the Catholics were absolutely furious. Their land had been God's gift to them and Henry was just ~~dismissing~~ ^{dismissing} it as though it was nothing. Many Catholics planned to resist the power of Henry, the uprisings were stronger and this caused Henry to appear weaker. Henry became furious at this and blamed Wolsey. Many other powerful people saw Henry as deceitful, the treaty of London (in the 16th century - around 1525) showed Henry to be a man of peace, but the Pilgrimage of Grace unravelled that idea very quickly.

Examiner comment: This response shows good development from the stimulus points. Although there are some inaccuracies, the candidate has remained focused on the conceptual focus of the question. However, since the content of the response is limited to what is prompted by the stimulus, this answer cannot achieve a mark above the middle of Level 3. Therefore, this answer was awarded 8 marks.

Examiner tip: Make sure you include information beyond the stimulus points, or your answer will be capped at 8 marks.

(b) Explain why the Pilgrimage of Grace took place.

The Act of Ten Articles.

(12)

You may use the following in your answer:

- inspection of the monasteries
- enclosure

1536 - dissolution of ^{lesser} monasteries
Northeners - upset about
The Ten Articles

You must also use information of your own.

The Pilgrimage of Grace took place due to the dissolution of the lesser monasteries in 1536. This is because it made the Northeners angry and disappointed; the monasteries were played an important part in society; the monasteries took care of the sick and the poor; without the monasteries, these social issues would have increased. Moreover, the Northeners believed that the monks and nuns had an important role to play in society and without the monasteries, they would be put out of work.

Also, ~~Further~~ more, the Pilgrimage of Grace took place because of the inspection of the monasteries. Due to Cromwell's visitations, it was revealed that the monasteries broke rules. For example, it was revealed that they had sexual relations and were not celibate; also cases of homosexuality was revealed. It was believed that this was invalid; Cromwell just wanted to get rid of the monasteries to increase Henry's finances; the monasteries

owned a third of the land in England ^{Northen} and had an income of £600,000.

Another reason why the Pilgrimage of Grace took place was because of the Ten Articles. ~~to~~ Cromwell had change the Seven Sacraments from 7 to 3. This saw England becoming more Protestant. As a result, the Northeners were strongly against it because they were strong Catholics and the Ten Articles had been seen as a threat; they did not want England to become Protestant.

Examiner comment: This response demonstrates very specific knowledge and covers a range of points, all loosely connected to the theme of religion. Each one is used to explain the growing dissatisfaction that led to the rebellion. This answer scored 12 marks.

Examiner tip: Good answers can be very succinct and to-the-point, using precisely selected evidence to address the conceptual focus of the question.

Question 4(c)

Candidates were asked to write about an aspect of the rule of Henry VIII's ministers – either Wolsey's rise Q4(c)(i) or Cromwell's political reforms Q4(c)(ii). A significant majority of candidates opted to answer Q4(c)(i).

Responses to Q4(c)(i) were variable, with an unfortunate number of responses exemplifying his rise using events from later in Wolsey's premiership, such as the Amicable Grant or Wolsey's failure to secure an annulment for Henry VIII. It was clear that a lot of candidates had been well-prepared to answer questions on Wolsey's policies and his fall, but that, in some cases, less emphasis had been placed on his rise to power.

Lower-scoring answers often included vague references to Wolsey's background but were rarely able to exemplify Wolsey's organisational skills or make effective use of the stimulus points. A disappointing number of responses assumed that 'expedition to France' referred either to the Field of Cloth of Gold or a fact-finding mission preceding the Treaty of London.

In the mid-range responses, more detailed information was provided about Wolsey's formative years, although the links to his rise to power were usually left implicit and candidates in this range found it difficult to achieve any sort of argument, with conclusions usually being simplistic and stated. Candidates who answered the question well paid clear attention to the question focus and did not go beyond 1515. They elaborated on the Battle of Spurs and campaigns with France in Henry's early reign, often combining this with an explanation of how Wolsey's education and work ethic, combined with Henry's lack of interest in day-to-day governance, enabled Wolsey to impress the king and achieve more power. Many responses at Level 4 also referenced Henry's unwillingness to work with his father's ministers and Wolsey's parallel rise to prominence in the Church.

Although significantly fewer candidates attempted Q4(c)(ii), responses to this question were generally much stronger. Some impressive knowledge of Cromwell's reforms was demonstrated across Levels 3 and 4 answers, including his reforms to regional government in Wales and an explanation of how the legal aspects of the Break with Rome had an impact on the way England was governed. Some candidates had been taught the historiography surrounding this topic, with occasional references to the alleged revolution in Tudor government of Elton's thesis in the strongest responses. Though beyond what is necessary for this paper, which focuses specifically on AO1 and AO2, it was extremely pleasing to see that this wider aspect of the topic is being covered.

Most candidates were able to build on the stimulus points and include a variety of points from their own knowledge, which helped to ensure that they scored highly on AO1; thus the limiter at Level 3 was often candidates' inability to assess the extent of the consequence of the changes identified. At Level 4, candidates demonstrated an impressive ability to set criteria for making their judgements. The wider impact of parliamentary changes being more important than the narrower impact of the Privy Council reforms was a popular view.

At Level 2, candidates were usually able to expand on the stimulus points with a description of each one or provide evidence of other changes that Cromwell made. A small number of candidates focused on Cromwell's later work with the Dissolution of the Monasteries or the marriage to Anne of Cleves, neither of which was sufficiently well-linked to changes to government to be creditworthy.

<p>Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box <input type="checkbox"/>. If you change your mind, put a line through the box <input type="checkbox"/> and then indicate your new question with a cross <input type="checkbox"/>.</p> <p>Chosen question number: Question 4(c)(i) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Question 4(c)(ii) <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>I do not agree with the statement that the main reason Wolsey rose to power was because of his organization. This is because even though Wolsey got into Henry's good books when he provided him with a fast, well-organized army a year before later only a year after his last campaign it wasn't that important. The only thing it did was get in his good books and his organization had failed at other points so it was not a major reason.</p> <p>The main reason Wolsey rose to power was because of his ambition and his background. Wolsey was born in 1473 to a poor man. His father was a butcher. He went to school and attended Oxford university. Then went on to enter the church. He eventually became Royal chamberlain and Henry liked Wolsey. This was because Henry VIII was paranoid about the nobles trying to steal his power and his throne so he trusted Wolsey. His big ambition was that he took on all the roles that Henry didn't want plus extra roles in the church that made</p>	<p>him an very wealthy yet helped him.</p> <p>Another reason for Wolsey rise to power was his intelligence. Wolsey was a very smart and well educated man also was very good at his job. He had from himself to Henry many times and show him he was intelligent enough to take on any role. However this is less important than Wolsey's ambition and background because this allowed the trust and confidence to display his intelligence to the monarch Henry VIII.</p> <p>Wolsey also rose to power because of his position in the church and as Royal chamberlain and member of the royal household. These granted Wolsey a lot of power which he used to influence Henry VIII. Also Henry liked the fact that Wolsey was so well connected to the church and received him by giving him lots of his responsibilities. These responsibilities included things like running day to day life in England while Henry planned campaigns. However this is less important than Wolsey's background because without his humility and humble background Henry would not have started to give Wolsey more and more power and responsibilities.</p>
--	--

The wit, charm and loyalty of Wolsey was also a way in which he rose to power. Wolsey was a very witty and charming person. Characteristics which Henry VIII liked quite a lot. Also he displayed absolute loyalty to Henry carrying out his every wish and strategy by heart. These were ~~major reasons why~~ ~~the~~ reasons why he wanted his power because he helped himself to vice positions using his wit and charm and his loyalty gained him many boxes ~~power~~ with the king. However it was less important than his ambition because Wolsey wanted to begin with and his humble background reduced the resistance of the king to allow him to get more power.

So in conclusion I do not agree with the statement that the main reason Wolsey rose to power was because of his organization. This is because I believe that Wolsey's ambition and back ground were the keys to ~~unlocking~~ ~~his~~ his rise to power. Also other reasons like his wit, influence, loyalty etc. were just as important as his organization skills.

Examiner comment: This response details a range of reasons for Wolsey's rise, including his education, popularity with Henry, and religious connections, as well as developing from the stimulus points. The content of the answer targets the conceptual focus of the question and there is a conclusion, although the criteria against which the judgement is made are left implicit. Coupled with this, the candidate has not weighed the different causes against

one another in the body of the essay, which means this essay lacks strong debate. This response gained 11 marks.

Examiner tip: Try to express a sense of debate within your essay and, in your conclusion, evaluate the different causes against criteria to make a judgement.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box . If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then indicate your new question with a cross .

Chosen question number: Question 4(c)(i) Question 4(c)(ii)

I do not agree with his statement, I would say that the most important change that Cromwell made to the government was to give it the ability to pass laws.

Following shortly after Cromwell's usurpation of Henry's chief adviser, Cromwell decided to use the government to attempt to help Henry get his marriage to Catherine cancelled so that he could marry Anne. He did this by allowing the government to vote to pass laws. In particular, it was the Act of Severance that made Henry to ~~the~~ ^{end} of the church but allowed to annulment to get ~~the~~ ^{through} and to marry to Anne to be allowed. This was the most important reform that Cromwell made to the government because it highly modernised the government, and this was the basic foundation of the government in place in Britain in modern society, meaning that it has been vital to the country's development for decades.

Another important change made to the government by Cromwell was the introduction of new sectors to the government's members. A key example of this is the department of the Exchequer. The Exchequer is a section

of the ~~Queen~~ government that handles money and the country's economy. Examples of this were monetary loans and interest rates, as well as looking at the loss of ~~trading~~ ^{Britain's} trading with other countries. This was an important change because not only did it increase the government's influence, it also helped to ~~modernise~~ ^{modernise} the government, as many of ~~the~~ ^{these} segments are still in place today, however this is less important than the introduction of the government's ability to vote to pass laws, as this was the basic foundation that had to be in place for the government to gain its influence, which without, the segments would have little foundation.

Another change that Cromwell made to the government was the introduction of the council in the north. This was where members of the government were sent to the areas in the north of England and Wales, where ~~there~~ ^{at the time} Britain had little influence over. This role was to introduce the current laws of England to these areas as well as enforce them, soverignly if need be. This ~~was~~ ^{was} council consisted of ~~the~~ ^{the} British had come out of the blue and started discussing changes to be made to legislation in towns, causing many problems. However after some time, peace was found and slight compromises were made. This ~~was~~ ^{was} important as it increased England's ~~own~~ ^{own} control and influence, as well as giving potential ~~to~~ ^{to} bring ~~more~~ ^{more} members from these areas. However I would say that

It was not as important as the introduction of the
government's ability to pass laws, as it did not do as much
to modernise the ~~government~~, government, and had smaller future
impact.

Finally, the Council made reforms to the Privy Council,
making its membership far more exclusive than previously. This
reduced its size greatly, and also made it much more
efficient at making important decisions as its members were
now carefully selected to actually fulfil a purpose. This
was important as it meant that the House of Lords was more
efficient and better as a whole, but not as important as it
had a much less significant future impact than the introduction
of the government's ability to pass laws, and so was a
less important change.

To conclude, the most important change made
by Cromwell to the English government was ^{primarily} its ability to
pass and change laws in the country, as this heavily ~~influenced~~
influenced future government developments in Britain,
and whilst there were other significant changes made to
the government by Cromwell, this was the most influential
one.

Examiner comment: This response demonstrates a wide range of content relating to the conceptual focus, analysing each one and comparing it with the factor named in the question.

There is a coherent line of argument leading from the introduction to the conclusion, suggesting that the candidate has planned the response before beginning to write. The judgement is made against criteria – influence on future government developments. This answer received full marks.

Examiner tip: Write a short plan for your essay before you begin writing to ensure you have a coherent line of argument running throughout.

Question 5(a)

Candidates were asked to describe two features of Elizabeth's religious settlement. Where candidates achieved marks in Level 2, usually they identified a relevant piece of legislation and provided some information about it, for example, associating the Act of Supremacy with Elizabeth's role as supreme governor of the Church. A number of responses that received marks low in Level 2 did so due to a lack of clarity or irrelevant material: Mary, Queen of Scots and Mary I both featured regularly, as did the grievances of the Puritans, serving as a reminder of the importance of staying focused on the topic.

At Level 1, candidates were able to identify Elizabeth's religion or an aspect of her legislation, for example English Bibles, but had not added further context to this, for example by saying that each parish needed to have one.

Option B4: Early Elizabethan England, 1558-88

If you answer Question 5 put a cross in the box .

Answer Question 5(a), Question 5(b) and EITHER Question 5(c)(i) OR Question 5(c)(ii).

5 (a) Describe **two** features of Elizabeth's religious settlement (1559).

(4)

Feature 1

~~Elizabeth became the head of the Supreme leader~~
Elizabeth was made the head of the Church under the act of supremacy. All Church leaders had to swear allegiance to her.

Feature 2

A common book of prayer, written in English had to be placed in every church.

Examiner comment: Although the candidate begins with an incorrect statement, the rest of Feature 1 identifies a legal aspect of the Religious Settlement and goes on to add a supporting detail. The second feature has confused the Book of Common Prayer with the English Bible but has enough evidence to be awarded a mark. Therefore, this response gained 3 marks.

Examiner tip: Avoid crossing work out on the short question – instead, see if you can continue adding to it, as you will not lose a mark for a mistake. This will save you time.

Option B4: Early Elizabethan England, 1558-88

If you answer Question 5 put a cross in the box .

Answer Question 5(a), Question 5(b) and EITHER Question 5(c)(i) OR Question 5(c)(ii).

5 (a) Describe **two** features of Elizabeth's religious settlement (1559).

(4)

Feature 1

Elizabeth made an act which made her governor of the church the name of this act was called the act of supremacy

Feature 2

Elizabeth made both Protestants and Catholics go to the same Sunday mass. If Catholics were caught not going they would have to pay a fine.

Examiner comment: Although the phrasing is clumsy, and the knowledge is a little vague, this response identifies two features of the Religious Settlement and provides each with a piece of supporting knowledge. Therefore, this response gained 4 marks.

Examiner tip: Be strict with the time you spend on this question: you do not need much information to achieve full marks.

Question 5(b)

Candidates were asked to explain the reasons for increased exploration during the Early Elizabethan period. The vast majority of candidates were able to achieve at least Level 1 on this question, with the weakest answers providing brief comment related to the stimulus points, for example, improved journey outcomes thanks to more accurate maps.

At Level 2, candidates frequently described specific examples of aids or the positive examples set by Drake and, latterly, Raleigh. Many candidates emphasised the impact of rivalry with Spain, although at Level 2 this was often not linked explicitly to increased exploration. Other Level 2 answers maintained a good focus on AO2 but were limited by a lack of precise evidence, discussing luxury goods in vague terms, for example.

At Level 3, responses were able to extrapolate from, for example, the successes of Drake and other traders to explain that this motivated more Elizabethans to attempt the journey.

At Level 4, responses combined the motivation provided by other people's successful journeys with the enabling factors of better maps and bigger, safer galleons, to provide a coherent and sustained explanation of the reasons for the increase.

There was an over-reliance from some candidates on content that was only obliquely relevant to the topic, for example, increased poverty in the era. Whilst this may have led to more crew members available for the expeditions, it is not a reason why the number of explorative journeys increased.

(b) Explain why there was an increase in exploration in the years 1558-88. (12)

You may use the following in your answer:

- navigational aids
- luxury goods

You must also use information of your own.

There One reason why there was an increase in exploration in the year 1558-88 was the use of navigational aids. As the years went on, technology improved - better boats were built and more technical compasses were built ensuring better navigation when sailing the sea. These aids were very useful and therefore increased exploration as it allowed the sailors to know where they were going and therefore where they had been, allowing them to move in new directions and discover new places.

Another reason why there was an increase in exploration in the year 1558-88 was because of luxury goods. This meant because of the discovery of the new world - there was more discoveries in luxuries. This meant more had to be exported and traded between countries, so therefore more ~~navigation~~ exploration as they looked for new places with goods.

The last reason there was an increase in exploration in the years 1558-88 was because of Francis Drake and other privateers. Francis Drake was a privateer close to Elizabeth so often sailed to find new places to steal money and invade ships to get England out of their £300,000 debt. This meant, as they got more in debt, Elizabeth demanded more exploration to pay it back and so Drake did this job for her.

Examiner comment: This response covers three aspects of content but there are issues in each instance. In the first and second paragraphs, which develop the stimulus points, knowledge is vague, although the link to further exploration is explicit. The last paragraph demonstrates stronger subject knowledge but there is only an implicit link to increased exploration. This answer reached the top of Level 2 – 6 marks.

Examiner tip: Ensure that the evidence you select to answer the question is related back to the conceptual focus.

(b) Explain why there was an increase in exploration in the years 1558-88. (12)

You may use the following in your answer:

- navigational aids
- luxury goods

Colonies
treasure

You must also use information of your own.

Navigational aids grew much better in the second half of the 16th century. Merely that ~~people could~~ explorers could travel much further and have more of an idea where they were. Also, maps of the Americas were made which meant that when the expeditions got there they could have around ^{more} easy this meant that it was more of an attractive prospect; exploration.

Luxury goods were just being bought to ~~bring~~ England by Spanish merchants in the 1550s. Some people got used to the luxury goods and wanted more. Sugar was one of these things that Elizabethan England loved, it was being consumed more and more. This meant that exploration to the Americas was more profitable and businessmen funded people to go and buy sugar, tobacco, potatoes and tomatoes and sell them in England for ~~to~~ the king the

price. It was a very profitable business plan that made lots of money for people in high places.

England wanted an empire like the Spanish Empire. The idea of colonies was relatively new, and Spain led the way to show how it was done. There were many adventures to colonization, cheaper rates on luxury items, markets earlier here and for the country and more natural resources in the colony. The queen herself and other businessmen funded explorers to go and to try and get colonies for England, the most famous one of these being Sir Francis Drake. However, he and his team weren't very successful at setting up colonies, ~~the Spanish had beaten them to it and so they~~ However, they were good at being pirates. Rumour spread that English ships captained by Drake sank Spanish ships and stole their goods, they even sometimes bought the boat home as well. This meant the richest were bought back to England, causing people to fund even more exploration. Lots of gold was captured and the queen personally knighted Drake, to the dismay of King Francis II of Spain who was ~~was~~ outraged by the piracy.

Examiner comment: In comparison with the 6-mark example, this response covers very similar points but has achieved a mark in Level 4. The knowledge demonstrated is more detailed and links back to the question are therefore more explicit. Although there is some deviation from the focus on the second page, the final part of the paragraph ties its content back to the question. This answer achieved full marks.

Examiner tip: Select evidence precisely, to help you explain the causes of the event in the question.

Question 5(c)

Candidates were asked to debate an aspect of Early Elizabethan England history – either the reason for the failure of the Spanish Armada Q5(c)(i) or changing attitudes towards the poor Q5(c)(ii). A significant majority of candidates opted to answer Q5(c)(i).

Weaker responses to Q5(c)(i) tended to focus on vague descriptions prompted by the stimulus points, of the impact of bad weather and/or fire ships. A common error at this level was to describe fire ships as ships that fired cannons at the Spanish or destroyed their ships, as opposed to breaking their formation. A significant minority of candidates also misapplied their knowledge of improved galleons, perhaps fresh in their minds from answering 5(b), by explaining that the English had larger ships than the Spanish. Many candidates seemed to think Elizabeth was personally responsible for the tactics used.

In the mid-range, knowledge was usually accurate and more detailed, with Drake's Raid on Cadiz, the weakness of the Spanish leadership and England's tactics to attack Spain's crescent formation the most common range of causes explained. However, links to the focus of the question were often left implicit, with candidates not analysing how the Raid on Cadiz had a long-term impact on the Armada's ability to succeed, for example. This, coupled with a tendency to continue adding causes rather than to analyse those already identified, often led to a list-like outcome that lacked coherence or an appreciation of the debate to be had. This was particularly evident in answers where candidates had continued on additional paper: although they clearly knew a lot about the topic, this was not well-deployed to construct an evaluative analysis.

Answers that scored high in Level 3 and in Level 4 were marked in their ability to group causes together and provide a supported judgement that identified key turning points in the event: Spain's inability to secure a deep-water port coupled with the bad weather, for example.

When considering change in attitudes towards the poor in Early Elizabethan England in their responses to Q5(c)(ii), weaker answers to the question often included material related to the poor from other sections of the course. References to a lack of education and the different leisure pursuits of the poor were common but usually not rewardable above Level 1, due to their lack of connection to the focus of the question.

A small number of candidates developed the Houses of Correction stimulus point by writing about workhouses in Victorian England, displaying a slightly

worrying lack of chronological understanding. Candidates that focused on the different categories of poor and/or the treatment of vagabonds tended to achieve slightly higher marks because these were more relevant to the conceptual focus. At Level 2, candidates were able to describe deserving poor and idle poor, the treatment of vagabonds and the work of the Houses of Correction. They were unable to identify or explain how this reflected change or continuity in the reign of Elizabeth.

At Level 3 and above, candidates were able to deploy more specific knowledge in relation to the topic. Common content included the names and dates of the poor legislation that was passed during this time period, although some candidates included references to the later Poor Laws, which were unfortunately not rewardable within the scope of this question. At this level, candidates were more likely to address the concept of change and continuity. Some answers deployed evidence about the lack of change in educational opportunities successfully, here, to exemplify continuity of attitudes. The changing treatment of vagabonds was also widely used.

The best answers were able to identify the nuances in the change of attitudes. For example, they explained that there was little change in the attitudes of the public towards the poor, but that government legislation reflected a softening of approach from the ruling class. Criteria against which judgements were made also sometimes considered change at different times during the period, linking increased poverty with changing attitudes.



I agree that the effect of Naval tactics was the reason for British victory over the Spanish Armada.

For example Fire Ships were used by Britain on the Spanish Armada. They set a ship on fire and drove it straight into the Spanish ships causing these ships to catch on fire and kill the people on board and destroy a ship. They had done this several times and causing lots of Spanish ships to catch on fire. At this tactic used by Britain caught the Spanish off guard and caused the loss of many Spanish ships.

However Britain had some luck with bad weather conditions causing many Spanish ships to crash into the side of cliffs and sink.

on the way to Britain the Spanish ships got into a sticky situation. When a horrendous storm caused many of the ships to crash and cause the amount of ships in the fleet to decrease by a great number.

New tactics used by the British helped them to defeat the Spanish Armada but Sir Francis Drake had an important role before they set sail two years prior to when the Spanish Armada set sail. Drake set fire to the Spanish cord jacks and set alight ships which caused the Spanish to rebuild everything which prolonged the already planned attack and allowing the British to prepare for the attack.

On the other hand Britain were victorious over the Spanish Armada due to poor planning from the Spanish which led them into crashing and giving time for the British.

time to prepare for the attack.

Finally British were victorious against the Spanish Armada due to the British strategy as the British were outnumbered but they were brave and with cunning tactics they brought the already dispersed Spanish fleet and were victorious.

In conclusion Britain beat the Spanish due to a bit of luck, great tactics and absolute desert from the Spanish who were prepared.

Examiner comment: This response develops from the stimulus points and adds several points of their own. In many cases, this evidence is descriptive, focusing on the events of the Armada, rather than analysing the reasons for its failure. The conclusion is stated and unsupported. However, in the paragraph on the Raid on Cadiz the candidate makes a link back to the conceptual focus and therefore this answer reaches the bottom of Level 3 – 7 marks.

Examiner tip: Remember to relate your evidence to the conceptual focus of the question regularly throughout your answer to ensure you are writing an answer to the question, rather than a narrative of the topic.

Chosen question number: Question 5(c)(i) Question 5(c)(ii)

I disagree because Elizabeth felt that the poor had been neglected by society. She ~~had~~ put the poor law into action which taxed people and the money was given to the poor. This changed many people's attitudes towards the poor and ^{some} wealthy people decided to donate to charities as well as pay the tax. This was an improvement because before many people in society didn't care or take social responsibility for the poor so it was a huge step. The poor law lasted for 200 years.

Another reason why ~~that~~ I don't agree with the statement is because ^{because} society ~~was starting~~ ^{were starting} to recognize that ~~most poor~~ ^{most poor} people were "deserving poor" because they had tried and tried looking for job opportunities but never found any. They were called the deserving poor because they weren't beggars on the street but there was still stigma around beggars as they were seen as lazy and some weren't even poor, but frauds.

On the other hand I ~~do~~ also agree that there was little change because vagabonds still weren't given the same attention as the deserving poor. Vagabonds would steal instead of looking for jobs and ~~as~~ many people in Elizabethan England didn't approve of it so, if vagabonds were caught they would get whipped publicly and sent to jail.

I ~~agree that~~ agree with the statement also because the House of Correction was a place where the sick and deserving poor would stay while looking for a job. This was extremely helpful in supporting the poor community as they were able to give them a place to stay and encouraged them to find work. This also helped reduce poverty in Elizabethan England.

Overall there was a huge change in attitudes towards the poor due to Elizabeth taking action and ~~also~~ encouraging ~~society~~ ~~to~~ society to ~~take~~ take social responsibility over the poor.

Examiner comment: In this response, the candidate has presented a balanced answer using varied evidence. This could be more precise, for example by naming the legislation described. Although the candidate has recognised the debate, there is a lack of coherence in the response, which might have been avoided by planning the order of the paragraphs before beginning the essay. The judgement has some support but the criteria are left largely implicit. This answer achieved Level 3 – 11 marks.

Examiner tip: Improve the sense of debate in your essay by writing a short plan before beginning and, in your conclusion, weigh up the different causes against the criteria to make a judgement.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

