

Examiners' Report June 2018

GCSE History 1HI0 22



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2018 Publications Code 1HI0_22_1806_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2018

The Period Study focuses on an understanding of the unfolding narrative of a time period. In this first GCSE History (9-1) examination most candidates seemed well prepared for the question styles on British America, 1713-83: empire and revolution. Most candidates attempted the required three questions, although it would appear that some candidates answered Section B first. Whilst this is perfectly acceptable it should be noted this has could have implications on timing and unfinished questions, perhaps explaining the number of blank responses for question 2.

Question 1 will always focus on consequence, requiring candidates to explain two valid consequences, giving equal attention to both. Very few candidates failed to attempt question 1 which is deliberately designed to be accessible to the entire ability range, however some provided more detail than was necessary, leaving less time to address higher tariff questions.

Question 2 is a new style of guestion which focuses on analytical narrative, in which candidates are expected to write an account that not only describes what happened, but to also find connections and make sense of events with an analysis of the links between events as they unfolded. The analytical narrative will always focus on a period containing events or ideas that can be perceived as a sequence; this could cover several years or a much shorter period. Candidates should be clear about the time span of the question to ensure they cover an acceptable range and what it is the narrative is designed to analyse, in this case the relations between colonists and Native Americans. It is clear most candidates found the new style of question challenging. It is vital they understand the narrative concept, with the sense of a beginning, development and end, rather than produce three paragraphs which do not directly link. The quality of responses varied based primarily on depth of knowledge of the topics addressed. These stimulus points serve a different purpose to those on other questions: they will be useful reminders to candidates of sign posts along the narrative and not things they need to develop. Candidates do not need to use these stimulus points but there is an expectation that there will be some depth of knowledge, shown by three discrete points in the narrative being covered, although this does not mean candidates need to identify three different events. This question appeared to be the most common answer left blank, perhaps due to timing.

For question 3 candidates were required to analyse the importance of an event/person/development. The question focuses on what difference the

event/person/development made in relation to situations and unfolding developments. For example, in the third choice on this question, candidates are not being asked to comment generally on the importance of the Stamp Act, but to consider its importance for relations between Britain and America. They had to answer two topics out of selection of three. It is clear many candidates had been prepared for the importance styles questions. Responses ranged from impressive analysis focused on the appropriate second-order concept (AO2), which were supported with accurate, relevant and good knowledge (AO1), to those from candidates that offered simple comment with limited knowledge for support.

All the Period Study examination questions use a Level of response mark scheme. Progression in AO1 is shown by the candidate's increasing ability to select information precisely and show wide-ranging knowledge and understanding. Progression in AO2 is shown by a candidate's response moving from simple or generalised comments to analytical explanations which show a line of reasoning which is coherent, logical and sustained. Centres are reminded that the indicative content in the mark scheme does not imply what must be included in a response nor does it give any expectation as to how candidates are expected to structure their responses.

Sufficient space is provided in the exam papers for all questions to be answered in full and although some candidates did write on extra sheets they were not always as successful as those who produced more concise answers. It is of vital importance that candidates do not continue answers from one question in the space reserved for another and, if they wish to write more than the booklet allows, they should clearly identify this on the paper and ask for additional sheets.

Question 1

In question 1, candidates were asked to provide two valid consequences of the War of Independence for Native Americans. There are 4 marks available for each consequence, which needs to explain a consequence (AO2) supported with specific information showing good knowledge and understanding (AO1). Most candidates understood the second-order of concept of consequence, although a disappointing number confused Native Americans with colonists and subsequently the relationship between the British and the Native Americans. There was also a tendency by some candidates to give generalisations for a consequence, such as the Native Americans were 'hated by colonists' without any further elaboration. Some candidates merely rephrased the same consequence and as such were only awarded for one of them. A limited number of candidates left the question blank.

	Answer ALL Questions in this section.
	plain two consequences of the War of Independence for Native Americans.
	nsequence 1.
	One consequence of the War of Independence
	for Notive Americans is that it left problems
	for Native Americans is that it left problems over the control of the Platerend who
	had the 175/45 to at.
1	
o	nsequence 2:
	0 11
	Another consequence is it led to
	trade deds being broken and the
	Notine losing at an though
	the, needed

Examiner comment: For consequence 1 the candidate has made a simple comment about a consequence in the form of it left problems over control with generalised information on the topic with reference to the Plains. For consequence 2 the candidate only makes a simple comment for a consequence with trade deals being broken, but with no supporting knowledge. Therefore the response is high Level 1 for consequence 1 and low Level 1 for consequence 2.

Examiner tip: Candidates should ensure they provide two different consequences.

SECTION A: British America, 1713–83: empire and revolution
Answer ALL Questions in this section.
1 Explain two consequences of the War of Independence for Native Americans.
Consequence 1:
Many native Americans lost their land
to the newly independent Colonists Having
The breaty of Paris, that helped end the
was, made very little recognition of the
Notices and their rights so once the wor
was over people cased very little about the
Natives and would often take Native land
For their themselves, Causing the Natives to
lose It their land
Consequence 2:
Notive American tribes such as the
Troquiry Split. This was mainly due to
the divisions between tribes during the
was with some Fighting for the British
and others Fighting For the Colonists. This
Conflict bindered many relations in the
tribes, and caused many to split and
ga de elsewhere.

Examiner comment: The candidate has provided two clear consequences, with loss their land and the tribes splitting, both of which have been explained with reference to specific features of the period such as The Treaty of Paris

and the fact some Native Americans fought for the British. Therefore the candidate gained full marks for both consequences.

Examiner tip: Candidates should avoid writing overly detailed responses, taking note of the space provided.

Question 2

This new style of question was not generally approached in an appropriate manner by the candidates who attempted it. The overall structure of demonstrating a beginning, development and end was clearly demonstrated by candidates who attained Level 3. It was clear that candidates had been taught to use language which demonstrated analysis of links, for example 'consequently', 'which resulted in', which was apparent even if they had more limited knowledge. Many candidates wrote several discrete, and often detailed, explanatory paragraphs about separate events, developments and key individuals rather than writing a coherent analysis linking the events and developments to create the unfolding narrative at the focus of the question. The topic of relations between the colonists and Native Americans in the years 1763-64 resulted in a range of responses, with a good number able to establish a sequence. Most candidates were aware of the conditions of the Proclamation Act. The biggest issue was with candidates stating that Pontiac's alliance was a result of the Proclamation Act, as opposed to a causal factor. There were a small number of candidates who talked about King George's Proclamation of 1717. There were a considerable number of candidates who did not attempt this question.

Write a narrative account analysing the relations between the colonists and Native Americans in the years 1763–64.

You may use the following in your answer:

- · Pontiac's alliance with northern tribes
- Proclamation Act, 1763

You must also use information of your own.

The relationship between the colonists and Native Americans in the years 1763-64 were details breaking down:

The proclamation act, 1763 stated that the colonists Should not take the Netive Americans land. However the Colonists clid not listen and this angered the Native Americans. This shows that the Native American were loyal to the Monarch but not the Darliament.

The pontiac's rebellion was the Native Americans retaliating to the colonists taking their land however British retaliation using Br biological warfare by giving Small pox to the Native Americans really strained the relations between the colonists and Native Americans.

Paring this At Although some Native American Aribes decided to remain neutral. The relations between the colonists and Native Americans



In the years 1763-64 progressively workened.

Examiner comment: The candidate has provided a response which is not in chronological order, placing the Proclamation Act before Pontiac yet they have statements of events with some analysing links so AO2 is a low Level 2. The knowledge used to support is lacking in detail making the AO1 Level 2. Therefore overall this response is placed at mid Level 2.

Examiner tip: Candidates need to ensure they have the narrative in the correct order of events.

Write a narrative account analysing the relations between the colonists and Native Americans in the years 1763-64. You may use the following in your answer: Pontiac's alliance with northern tribes Proclamation Act, 1763 - no outling w of You must also use information of your own.

Noviva orran

Examiner Comment: The response demonstrates a narrative about the conflict showing linking of events, as demonstrated with phrases such as 'in order to do something about this' and 'decided to' resulting in the AO2 being placed in Level 3. The content goes beyond the stimulus points with details regarding the Paxton Boys providing clear knowledge and understanding, meaning AO1 is also in Level 3. Therefore overall the response is awarded a high Level 3.

Examiner tip: Candidates need to remember this is foremost a narrative, with a beginning, middle and end, rather than a set of three separate paragraphs. Show how one point in the narrative leads to the next.

Question 3

This question comprised of two 8 mark questions based on the second order concepts of significance and consequence. Candidates had to explain the importance of two of the following three topics: slavery for the development of plantations; the Enlightenment for cultural development in British America; the Stamp Act (1765) for relations between Britain and America. The question on slavery was the topic most often selected, with the other two equally addressed. Candidates who addressed the importance of the factor raised in relation to development produced Level 3 responses when supported by good knowledge and understanding. This was opposed to candidates who explained the importance of the factor in general terms which normally stayed in Level 2.

In terms of the question on slavery, Level 2 responses often provided general knowledge on conditions that slaves endured rather than their impact on the development of plantations or only looked at one aspect of the impact of slavery. Candidates who attained Level 3 recognised multiple implications and were more likely to focus on the knowledge and skills the slaves brought with them and the reduction in production costs.

The question on the Enlightenment produced some very good responses at Level 3 with many candidates addressing the importance of education and the role of Benjamin Franklin. The responses which remained in Level 2 often demonstrated knowledge of things such as of the opening of libraries yet failed to explain how these led to cultural development. One misunderstanding which occurred was when some candidates talked about the Great Awakening rather than the Enlightenment.

The topic of the Stamp Act unfortunately led to some general responses such as it made the colonists unhappy, along with some detail of what the Act entailed. Better responses linked the Stamp Act to the establishment of opposition such as the Sons of Liberty and the issue of taxation without representation. There however was some confusion with candidates referring to the Sugar Act.

	Indicate your FIRST choice on this page.
	e which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box 図. If you change yond, put a line through the box 晏 and then indicate your new question with a cross 図.
	<u> </u>
	The importance of slavery for the development of plantations.
×	The importance of the Enlightenment for cultural developments in British America.
×	The importance of the Stamp Act (1765) for relations between Britain and America.
Ty	Te stomp act was token Britain
to	XXX America co postage ting
\sim	as
70	atomo act uses ushed Queen tored
	ie stamp act was when Britain taxed
	verice on the postage of letters. The
	ricons did not like being boxed so
The	y Fought back The Stamp Act
an(yered the americans and deterrated
	er relation ship
	Indicate your SECOND choice on this page.
dicate mind	which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box 図. If you change you, but a line through the box 图 and then indicate your new question with a cross 図.
\boxtimes	The importance of slavery for the development of plantations.
	The importance of the Enlightenment for cultural developments in British America.
	The importance of the Stamp Act (1765) for relations between Britain and America.
	wantion books liming word all
	pt of the enighterment it was
	cational and meant annone could
Ea Pa e do	ucation, books, librigs were all of the enighterment it was cational and meant anyone could an anything

Examiner comment:

First response – Stamp Act –The response has no analysis, merely a general statement referring to how it angered the Americans making the AO2 a low Level 1. There is very limited knowledge demonstrated and a failure to understand the tax itself claiming it was on 'postage of letters', making the AO1 a low Level 1. Overall this is a low Level 1.

Second response – Enlightenment – The response consists of a simple statement, 'anyone could learn anything', making AO2 Level 1. There is general information about libraries and books but it is not specific enough so AO1 is Level 1. Overall this is a mid Level 1.

Examiner tip: Two well developed explanations that also have good knowledge and understanding will enable candidates to attain Level 3. It is vital to have both knowledge and understanding used to support answers.

Indicate your FIRST choice on this page.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ⊠. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.

- The importance of slavery for the development of plantations.
- The importance of the Enlightenment for cultural developments in British America
- The importance of the Stamp Act (1765) for relations between Britain and America.

Slawery was very important for the development of plantations because the products produced (rice, sugar, tobacco) contributed to a huge part of North America and Britain's revenue.

The slaves who worked on the plantation were not paid for their labour. This was beneficial to the plantation owners as it meant they could some money t make more profit.

The slaves were also a lot and had knowledge of some Crops eg.

The slaves too were also used to the hot climates and were seen as the suitable workers for the plantamon. Their knowledge of Crops



helped plantations develop well and their large work force also mean more work would be done in little time.

Slawery was really important for the development of plantation feith fields because without the slawes, the quantity as well as the hanest of crops wouthit have been in so much abundance, therefore making plantation owners therefore making plantation owners the south).

The successfulness of the use of slawes on plantation as well as the valuable profit made, expanded the plantation farms and led to development of more plantations.

Indicate your SECOND choice on this page.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box 図. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 弻 and then indicate your new question with a cross 図.

- ☑ The importance of slavery for the development of plantations.
- The importance of the Enlightenment for cultural developments in British America.
- The importance of the Stamp Act (1765) for relations between Britain and America.

The stamp act was one of the key events that strained Britam's relationship with America.

The Americalonist distilled the rolea of paying task on goods - especially goods made by them. Croods like paper, newspaper had to be tasked under the New Act.

The colonist sow the act as suppression of their freedom of speech because it taxed items like newspapers. They rebelled against it using arguements such as "no taxatron without representation".

They also put pressure on the stamp collectors who were put in charge



by the British and most of them
resigned. The volonists trived to prove to
Britain that they wouldn't accept the
unfair taxation:

The Stamp Act was significant for the
relations between America and Britain
because after their opposition to it,
a chain of event - as well as other
acts buch as the Intolerable acts?

led to their fight for Independence from
against Britain.
In conclusion, the
They acts caused a strain in the
relations between America and Britain

Examiner comment:

First response – Slavery –The response shows an attempt at analysis with line of reasoning. The candidate has raised issues of increased profit and knowledge of crops with a clear focus on plantations which makes the AO2 Level 3. Knowledge is included but not fully specific so AO1 is low Level 3. Overall the response is a mid Level 3.

Second response – Stamp Act – The response provides some explanation regarding suppression of freedom of speech but it is not fully organised and therefore the AO2 is placed in Level 2. There is accurate and relevant information, such as 'no taxation without representation', which places the AO1 in Level 3. Overall the response is a low Level 3.

Examiner tip: Candidates need to ensure that they explain the importance of events in relation to the focus asked in the question in order to reach Level 3.

Section B

Section B of paper 2 assesses the British Depth Study with candidates required to answer three questions targeted at AO1 and AO2. Candidates receive an examination paper with either the two Medieval Depth Studies or the two Tudor Depth Studies. It is the only time for the Edexcel GCSE History examination where candidates need to ensure that they answer questions on the particular option for which they have been entered. From this Summer's scripts there were very few candidates that attempted to answer questions from both Depth Studies although there were clearly a significant number of candidates that had started answering the questions on the study for which they were not entered before crossing out their work and moving to the section for which they were entered. There were also a number of candidates who had continued their Depth Study responses in the booklet under the option they hadn't studied, rather than asking for extra paper. Candidates do need to indicate clearly where their response to an item should be found if it is different to the specified section of the answer booklet.

Question 4(a) and 5(a) follows an identical format to question 1 on paper 1. Candidates need to be clear that the feature identified should be a characteristic of the topic and that having identified a feature, they should add a further detail which will explain the feature or provide context. Some candidates did not seem to understand that two marks are available for each feature – one for identifying the feature and one for additional information about the identified feature; answers which listed four features or disconnected points of separate information were limited to a maximum of two marks. There were also a number of answers which tried to use the same point as two separate features.

Questions 4(b) and 5(b) follow an identical format to the 12 mark tariff to question 4 on paper 1 and question 2 on paper 3 but with a difference in the second-order concept being assessed. On paper 1 the 12 mark tariff question focuses on the process of at least 100 years whereas on papers 2 and 3 it relates to the causes of an event, development, success, failure and so on over a shorter period of time within a Depth Study. The stimulus points do not normally include dates and are simply intended to help candidates associate what they have learned with the question being asked. Use of the stimulus points is not compulsory but it should be noted that the mark schemes do require deployment of material not prompted by the stimulus points to reach the top of Levels 2 and 3 and entry into Level 4.

On questions 4(c) and 5(c) candidates have a choice between (i) and (ii) and the questions may target any of the second-order concepts (cause, consequence, change, continuity, significance, similarity and difference). This question follows the same principles as question 5 and question 6 on paper 1 but without a requirement for SPaG to be assessed. For questions 4(c) and 5(c) the stimulus points in the question will often be useful reminders to candidates of the two sides of the issue or the chronological range covered in the question, although they will not necessarily be presented in chronological order. It should also be noted that the stimulus points will usually relate to aspects of content rather than directly indicating a factor that should be included. Candidates do not need to use these stimulus points but there is an expectation that there will be both depth and breadth of knowledge, shown by three discrete aspects of the question being covered.

Many answers remained at Level 3, despite excellent knowledge, because they missed the focus of the question. Candidates who reached Level 4 realised that the topic provides the context but that there is a specific focus on which a judgement should be offered. Similarly, while it was pleasing to see how many answers were clearly structured to consider both sides of the issue, sometimes other structures may be more appropriate. Although the question asks how far the candidates agrees, the answer should also take account of the second order concept being assessed, for example, structuring the answer to look at different aspects of change and continuity or of significance. One reason that many responses remained in Level 3 was that the judgement tended to be simply a summary of the two sides of the issue and the decision that the statement was 'somewhat' true. At Level 4, there should be a sense of evaluation, recognising nuances of partial agreement and showing which evidence carries most weight. Answers should also show what criteria are being applied. For example, a judgement on significance could be based on the number of people affected, the length of time that the effects were felt, the groups affected or how wide-ranging the secondary effects were. Ideally, this will create a sense of argument running throughout the answer and the best answers often had plans, showing that the argument was thought through before beginning to write the actual response.

If extra paper is taken, candidates should clearly signal that the answer is continued elsewhere. However, in many cases where additional paper had been taken, the marks had already been attained within the space provided rather than on the extra paper and candidates should be discouraged from assuming that

lengthy answers will automatically score highly. Indeed, candidates taking extra paper often ran out of time on the final, high mark question and therefore disadvantaged themselves. There were also some completely blank answers to the final question, suggesting that time management was a problem for some candidates.

There were no indications that for paper 2 as a whole, candidates had found it difficult to answer both sections in the one hour and forty five minutes allowed.

All examination questions use a levels of response mark scheme. Progression in AO1 is shown by the candidates increasing ability to select information precisely and show wide-ranging knowledge and understanding. Progression in AO2 is shown by a candidates response moving from simple or generalised comments to analytical explanations which show a line of reasoning which is coherent, logical and sustained. Centres are also reminded that the indicative content in the mark scheme does not imply what must be included in a response nor does it give any expectation as to how candidates are expected to structure their responses.

Question 4(a)

Most responses at Level 2 identified military and economic features of the roles of tenants-in-chief in supporting the king. The most common further details added to these features were knight service for forty days a year and providing a proportion of the income from their fiefs to the king. Some candidates stated the legal and advisory roles of the tenants-in-chief but these features were rarely supported with relevant further details such as the judging of land disputes or serving on the royal council. Some responses were unrewardable where there was clearly confusion with the roles of thegas and sheriffs.

	Option B1: Anglo-Saxon and Norman England, c1060–88
	If you answer Question 4 put a cross in the box $ oxdots$.
	Answer Question 4(a), Question 4(b) and EITHER Question 4(c)(i) OR Question 4(c)(ii).
(a)	Describe two features of the role of tenants-in-chief in Norman England.
4 × × ×	One cature of the role of manter ants-in-chief was to collect taxes. This meant they ould collect taxes for the king their area.
A M an	Feature 2 thother feature was proving the Ing with an army This eart that when that there was invasion each tenant in-Chie sould have to provide a certain would be soldier for the army.

Examiner comment: Two clear features specific to the role of tenants-in-chief are given 'to collect taxes' and 'the king with an army' with supporting information on their role in handing over revenue collected to the king and number of soldiers provided fixed at a set amount. The response gained full marks.

Examiner tip: Candidates should make sure that the feature offered is both valid and supported with relevant information for full marks on Q4(a).

Question 4(b)

There were some very impressive full-mark responses which gave a sustained analytical explanation of why Anglo-Saxon monarchs had so much power together with accurate and relevant wide-ranging knowledge. In such Level 4 responses most candidates included the belief that the king was anointed by God, the king's relationship with the Witan, control of taxation, and the ability to raise a vast army through military service. Some candidates also explained how the monarchy was able to maintain power with a system of local government and the divisions of shires into hundreds. The king's power as a law-maker and provider of justice was also occasionally mentioned with references to blood feuds and wergild. Level 3 responses whilst directed mainly at the power of the monarchy tended to explain more what kings were able to do and relied more on expanding the two stimulus points provided to show knowledge and understanding. At Level 2 most responses were weaker in terms of knowledge shown or included irrelevant information by giving details of the power of Norman monarchs such as the Forest Laws and the building of castles. Level 1 responses were frequently simple comments added to the stimulus material. Some responses were unrewardable such as those where candidates clearly did not understand the actual term 'monarch' and described how they were given land by the king.

	(12)	
	You may use the following in your answer:	
	landholding law-making	-
	You must also use information of your own.	
A,	yla-Soun Kings held a bol of land or it gave than	
pro	er. It went that the King could offer pieces of land	1
f.	important Earls and Bishops or withdow land. It also	
	wed Kings to guis pass nor his people; by wh ha	cu
ŕ	Wil land, ransing ended hoppen of easily.	
,		100
ora	I could make cultin inner prinshable by death, dates	21
	I inminels. This is a popular method of establishing	m.m
	E LI II Proposer melyan of establishman	
en	tool as the law ould be presed by the King olon	e
an	I would beter crowned behaviors. I reason and heress	7
vre	re cogilal purishments - the law making these purishab	4
	death established power and control for him.	

Examiner comment: The response is concise and it secures Level 2 for AO2 with a limited analysis which is focused in the first paragraph on the conceptual focus of the question. AO1 is more limited with no aspects beyond the stimulus points are provided. The overall mark is therefore 5 marks in mid Level 2.

Examiner tip: Candidates should try and give accurate and relevant information which includes aspects which go beyond the stimulus points to support their explanation.

Question 4(c)(i)

Level 4 responses gave a clear evaluation as to the extent to which the tactics used by the Normans was the reason for their victory at the Battle of Hastings. The various tactics mentioned included the Normans' extensive military preparations, the immediate building of a castle after landing at Pevensey and strategies during the battle itself such as the assaults eventually breaking through the Saxon shield wall and the use of feigned retreat. The Normans' tactics were then weighed against factors such as Harold's army being tired following a 300-mile march south, the Saxon army being levied from the fyrd, and William having papal support in his fight against what was regarded as Harold's usurpation of the English throne. Most Level 4 responses were able to justify a judgement with valid criteria such as the range of Norman tactics being clearly significant in overcoming the shortcomings of the Saxon army. Other candidates used the length of the battle as evidence that the Normans' victory at Hastings also needed an element of luck and the outcome of the battle could easily have turned out rather differently. Level 3 responses frequently analysed both sides of the statement in the question without making a justified judgement. Level 2 responses tended to rely heavily on the stimulus material provided to explain the Saxon army's march from Stamford Bridge as being a disadvantage for the ensuing battle and the Normans' use of mounted knights as devastating for the Saxon foot soldiers. Level 1 answers normally offered simple development of the stimulus material. There were a number of candidates who produced confused responses such as William's success at Stamford Bridge, Edward's role at Hastings or the Norman forces at the top of Senlac Hill at the start of the battle.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \boxtimes and then indicate your new question with a cross \boxtimes . Chosen question number: Question 4(c)(i) Question 4(c)(ii) was clearly a held many soldiers from gighting

then would

Examiner comment: This response secures Level 2 for both AO2 and AO1. The explanation shows limited analysis and the links to the conceptual focus of the set question are not sustained. There is accurate and relevant knowledge included but the overall judgement is missing to fulfil the third strand of the mark scheme. The overall mark is therefore 7 marks.

Examiner tip: The quality of analysis or knowledge is not expected to be better on the (c) 16 mark questions than the (b) 12 mark questions. It is the third bullet point of the mark scheme on the assessment of judgement that differentiates the (b) and (c) questions of the British Depth Study.

Question 4(c)(ii)

Level 4 responses offered a sustained analytical explanation consistently focused on the extent to which the destruction of lives and property was the main consequence of the Harrying of the North. At this level candidates mostly distinguished between the immediate impact of the Harrying of the North against the key long term advantages that William secured. William was now able to gain overall control of the North and significantly reduced the threat of further invasions from Denmark. A number of candidates reached the judgement that in many ways this marked the completion of the Norman Conquest with control over what had been a rebellious part of England. Some candidates mentioned that the Pope's criticism meant that William had to appease the Church and his summoning of papal legates to request forgiveness was linked to the devastation that he had caused. Level 3 answers tended rely more on the stimulus material and a typical third aspect covered was often the salting of the earth, preventing future crops from growing or the description of Yorkshire as 'waste' in the Domesday Book some years later. Level 2 responses typically gave more descriptive accounts of the way that lack of crops and livestock to slaughter made it very difficult for many to survive and the burning of many homes meant that some had no protection from the winter. At this level many responses included descriptions of the harsh living conditions leading to starvation, people freezing to death and reports of cannibalism. Level 1 responses often simply expanded on the stimulus material and stated that farms were burnt down and that lots of people died.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \boxtimes and then indicate your new question with a cross \boxtimes . Chosen question number: Question 4(c)(i) Question 4(c)(ii) was clearly a held many soldiers from gighting

On the other bares, here were other consequences of the Harrying of the North Wash may be ver now impolare The Harrying of the North put on end to the rebollion temperaisty. This Robert was important because it did mean that William had some control. This was created by the fact that the reshels could so larger hide from roop or the area was whatishable. William had beaten New as heir gone of querilla Harlove. This is so important because it slowed Williamini control which controluted naminaly to the future of him as king which theread the entire country. Therefore you could argue Not this is not impolan because of the combustion it made. It so only stopped De current rebullion in the North but contibuted to the failure of Juture one on feate become now scard of William. It also contributed to William being accepted on king. It was he can point of Angle - Sovan accepting him - although through year on they reeded to submit or they would probably die. However is also contributed to the acceptance of ret sint william but general Hornan rule It was a sign of the extents that May would go to. Overall, I disagree with the Statement. I think Hat the nam canaguarce of the Harrying of the Worth was auhually Wham establishing control because it goes him a

my input for the future of England. Therefore I think that it ment important because the description of him and property was a few long-landing impact and it disho's affect the whole of the country like William's could did on it enablished his dominance and readenting for year to later come. That is they I think had in the most important of an the consumers.

Examiner comment: The response was awarded full marks as it meets all the requirements of Level 4 of the mark scheme. There is a clear analytical focus directed at the set question, accurate and relevant information is included which goes beyond the stimulus points and a judgement is made with criteria applied.

Question 5(a)

The most frequent features of life in medieval towns that were supported with a relevant further detail to give Level 2 were the crowded conditions and lack of cleanliness leading to disease spreading easily, that people were involved in different trades organised into guilds, the relative safety of towns protected by strong walls and that regular markets were important for a town's economy. Some responses were limited to Level 1 as the features were not specific to towns but were also applicable to rural life such as the use of harsh punishments or the Church having a central role. There were also a significant number of responses which were not relevant at all to town life and were unrewardable such as comments on people working as peasants in the fields or working for the Lord on a manorial estate.

Feature 2	Inswer Question 5(a), Question 5(b) and EITHER Question 5(c)(i) OR Question 5(c)(ii). (a) Describe two features of life in medieval towns. (4) Feature 1 Most boun diverses were bree this reach that, unlike Villagers, they were note consect to a Lord and could choose they are occupations.	Option B2: The reigns of King Richard I and King J	ohn, 1189-1216
(a) Describe two features of life in medieval towns. (4) Feature 1 Most town diverses were free. This react that, unlike Villagers, they we not correct to a Lord and could chook bet an occupations. Feature 2	(a) Describe two features of life in medieval towns. (4) Feature 1 Most town dwelles never the third east that white white white white and could choose between the could choose formed guidas, which were organisationed croups of people in bounds formed guidas, which were organisationed croups of people who are no bed in the same croups, such as	If you answer Question 5 put a cross in th	e box ⊠ .
Feature 1 Most town divelles were free. This meant that, unlike villagers, they was not comed to a Lord and could show that an occupations.	Feature 1 Most town diverses were the thirty units Villagers, they were note content to a Lord and could choose West own occupations. Feature 2 People in bours formed guidas, when were organizations of people who are noted in the same craft, such as	nswer Question 5(a), Question 5(b) and EITHER Question 5(c)(i) O	R Question 5(c)(ii).
Most town dwelles never the this reast that, unlike villagers, then we now cover to a Lod and could choose the own occupations.	Most town duelles were tree this react that, untite villagers, they were not somed to a Lord and could choose they are occupations. Feature 2 People in bours formed guilds, which were organisations of people who are noted in the same craft, such as	(a) Describe two features of life in medieval towns.	(4,
Villagers, Hey were not contains a Lod and could choose blech and occupations. Feature 2	Feature 2 People in bours former guilds, which were organisations of people who are noted in the same craft, such as	Feature 1	
Feature 2	Feature 2 People in bours former guilds, which were organisations of people who are noted in the same craft, such as	Most town divenes were tree. This me	ant that , unlike
Feature 2	Feature 2 People in bours former guilds, which were organisations of people who are noted in the same craft, such as	Villagers, Hen we not somed to a Lord	and could choose
	People in bours formed guilds, which were organisationsor cyclups of people who are noted in the same craft, such as	SHOT OUR -CCINALATION	
People in somes former guids, with with offer of an isothorsis		SUS OUN SCUPPINS	
		Feature 2	
	black swith,	Feature 2	coparishour
black miths.		Feature 2 People in bowns formed guilds, which were coroups of people who are noticed in the sa	e oganisatour
		Feature 2 People in bowns formed guilds, which were coroups of people who are noticed in the sa	e organisations
		Feature 2 People in bowns formed guilds, which wer Cyroups of people who are worked in the sa	e oganisations

Examiner comment: Two clear features specific to life in medieval towns are given 'town dwellers were free' and 'formed guilds' with supporting information on differences for those living in towns to villages and information on the organisation of those working in the same craft or industry. The response gained full marks.

Examiner tip: Candidates should make sure that the feature offered is both valid and supported with relevant information for full marks on Q5(a).

Question 5(b)

The highest scoring responses showed a very high level of understanding of the importance of religion in the medieval period and consequently were able to analyse the impact of the Interdict on the lives of ordinary people. Candidates at Level 4 clearly understood that the suspension of all church services (except baptism and penance for the dying) meant that there could be no mass or Christian services for marriages and burials. This loss of ritual and the fear of offending God would have had a significant impact on ordinary people's lives. Some candidates however did mention that the effects varied with some individual churchmen ignoring the Interdict and carrying on with services. There were very few candidates that mentioned the Pope allowing of services behind closed doors from 1209 or the Interdict's overall duration of six years. At Level 3 many responses, although mainly directed at the conceptual focus of the question, often included other largely irrelevant material such as the reasons why the dispute between John and the Papacy had come about, John's excommunication or the eventual reconciliation. Responses in Level 2 tended to focus on explaining individuals missing Holy Days as time out from work and their sadness at having to miss important church services. Simple comments at Level 1 tended to add a piece of information to the stimulus points. There were some occasional responses where candidates clearly had no knowledge or understanding of the Interdict and claimed for example that it actually introduced burials and Holy Days.

You may use the following in your answer:

- burials
- Holy Days

You must also use information of your own.

One reason why the Interdict had a big impact on people's lines is that the deceased could no longer be buried in churchyand cemeraries, & had to be busies elsewhere. One reason who this was a very large impart was that people who all being had place so these made - shift burial sites would verguicky. Askell as new monied wout this because they next appeal Cemetary their sails would not go to be even Anothe reason to Intedict had such an impact on ordinary people's lives in that you we barned from entering the church, so could not celebrate holy days such Easter and Unist mass properly. However, many Priests dishipot tollar the Interdict, and continued to perform maso however thee was a large significant, partitionent for people wh Some decided to have made outside man people could still access mass for this time of your

They're although thee would have been alting impact proone

people who coult access these illegal masses, i't disd not have too

much of an impact for other who could coops it.

Furthermore, the interdict barner many suraneut from being performed by such that he performed be that has been a being that suraneut but had to be performed be that the constant of the meant hat sacroments that were seen as been crucial to yethers washipping that, surners the Eucharit, could not be absent the people at the time as the church was combact to people life, and not afterward was being allowed to enter heaven. Adailmonally, people could not be involved in the sacrament of manifest, which was a horizontal the middle agences resolvent, so people want not have hear much hope time to get married.

Examiner comment: This response gains full marks. For AO2 it meets the Level 4 requirements of an analytical explanation which is consistently directed at the focus of the set question and for AO1 it meets the Level 4 mark scheme descriptor with accurate and relevant information which goes beyond the stimulus material with knowledge for example on the conduct of baptisms during the period in which England was under the Interdict.

Question 5(c)(i)

There were some very knowledgeable responses for this question with candidates skilfully evaluating the reasons for Richard's failure to recapture Jerusalem despite the fact that an advance party, including Richard himself, did get within actual sight of the city's walls. At Level 4, responses analysed the role of Richard's decision-making regarding the two occasions when he ordered his army to retreat even though on the second of these even Saladin expected the city to fall. Whilst some maintained his actions can be regarded as weak leadership others regarded his decisions as justifiable as many English crusaders were suffering from disease, there were difficult weather conditions, some were advising Richard to retreat to the coast, water supplies were limited, and in all likelihood, even if Jerusalem was recaptured it was almost certain that Richard's army would not hold out against Saladin. There was also the need for Richard to return to England urgently with news that John was plotting against him. At this level candidates were also able to further justify their judgement on Richard's leadership by either explaining Phillip Il's decision to leave the crusade, depriving the English of crucial French support, as leaving Richard with little choice but to abandon his march on Jerusalem, or Richard's actions as causing Phillip to abandon the crusade. Level 3 responses were mainly an explanation of both sides or one side of the argument and without an explicit overall judgement. Level 2 responses were frequently more of an account of Richard and the Third Crusade in general and sometimes included accounts of his military victories at Acre and Arsuf, his later capture, the demands for ransom and his return to England. Level 1 responses tended to be limited knowledge added to the stimulus material.

Chosen a	uestion number: Question 5(c)(i) 🗵 Question 5(c)(ii) 🖸
	nee with their statement to a certain expert
The n	eason why I do not Fully agree is that schools a
	were so frang that it was very without thing
	nd would'be contined Trousden in the first place - So Sold
	a Forces couldness been a newson who the mission to
	e Jewsolen Falled.
House	me, king Rithard's pear Leadorship dall's also led added
t	eir defeat massively. If he was a better leader, he
	we been able to solve the problems between him and the
	de King. If this happened, their forces would've ben sho
-	to Gelit agality Soladin to cartine & Servisalon.
enguel	
•	
	Ridgard did not retreat the world have known it
\$ T F	Riduard did not reduced, he wouldn't have known it
#IF	Ridgers did not remost, he would have known it who adeally to coopered Sensalem. The Fact that they when they stocked destroy destroy the way

Examiner comment: The response was awarded top Level 1. For AO2 the answer is weak, simple and generalised and the overall knowledge is limited and the candidate does not offer a judgement.

Question 5(c)(ii)

After the signing of Magna Carta the invasion by Prince Louis was just one of a number of problems that faced King John: the signing itself was little more than a truce in John's conflict with the barons, the Charter itself was declared illegal by Pope Innocent III, there was the threat of invasion from Scotland, John's son was very young making the succession very uncertain, the barons had control of London and the north of England, and English support for Louis was beginning to grow. Level 4 candidates often effectively analysed the threat from Louis as the biggest problem facing John and supported their judgement by referring to the large French army, Louis' supporters capturing Rochester Castle and the growing allegiance of barons to Louis. Other judgements that candidates justified at Level 4 assessed that Civil War was the main problem as it made England weak in many ways with threats from both Scotland and Wales and making it impossible to collect taxation. Level 3 responses generally argued either that the invasion from Louis or the renouncing of Magna Carta just three months after its signing was the main problem facing John. Level 2 responses tended to give an account of the events surrounding the signing of Magna Carta and the latter part of John's reign. At Level 1 most candidates offered some simple comments about Magna Carta.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ⊠. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🔀 and then indicate your new question with a cross 🗵.

Chosen question number: Question 5(c)(i) Question 5(c)(ii)

King John sound a number or serious problems by the end or the mission of the

at the Battle of Liveds of Sordnich and retired Ex proben do up to and post blue death rain scaling / tres living his doing Herry I very which can tou Turn over ▶

Examiner comment: The response was awarded full marks as it meets all the requirements of Level 4 of the mark scheme. There is a clear analytical focus directed at the set question, accurate and relevant information is included which goes beyond the stimulus points and a judgement is made with criteria applied.

Paper Summary

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- To spend some time planning responses for the (c) question to help ensure a coherent and logically structured response.
- To try and ensure that an aspect beyond those offered in the stimulus material is used to support responses for the (b) and (c) questions.
- To ensure that the information provided in question 1 supports the key feature.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx