

Examiners' Report June 2022

GCSE Greek 1GK0 4H



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2022

Publications Code 1GK0_4H_2206_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2022

Introduction

This is the second full iteration of the 1GK0 4H examination, which is assessed by means of a 1 hour and 25 minute examination. Candidates are assessed on their ability to communicate effectively through writing in Greek for a variety of purposes across a range of specified contexts; write short texts of recommended word count (80-90 words), using language accurately and effectively to convey meaning and exchange information; produce clear and coherent text of more extended length (130-150 words) to present facts and express ideas and opinions appropriately for different purposes and in different settings; make accurate use of a variety of vocabulary and grammatical structures, including some more complex forms, to describe and narrate with reference to past, present and future events; manipulate the language, using and adapting a variety of structures and vocabulary with increasing accuracy and fluency for new purposes, including using appropriate style and register; make independent, creative and more complex use of the language, to express and justify individual thoughts and points of view, in order to interest, inform or convince; translate sentences and short texts from English into Greek to convey key messages accurately and to apply grammatical knowledge of language and structures in context. The instructions to candidates and the titles of the examined themes are all in Greek. This year, however, as an acknowledgement of the disruption caused by the pandemic, in addition to the advance notice that was given to centres, the titles of the essays were in English.

The total mark for this paper is 60 and the use of dictionaries is not allowed. Candidates are required to answer two open-response questions and complete one translation from English into Greek. Questions in this paper address a range of relevant contemporary and cultural themes. There are five prescribed themes for this specification and these are each broken down into topics and sub-topics. These five themes are listed below. Please refer to page 9 of the published specification for information on the sub-topics under each theme.

- Identity and culture
- Local area, holiday and travel
- School, future aspirations, study and work
- International and global dimension.

All questions in this paper are marked against the assessment criteria as published in the current specification (pages 52-61). Question 1 has two options from which candidates have to select one. This question assesses candidates on their ability to convey information, narrate, express opinions, interest, and convince the reader about a certain point. Candidates must use the informal register in this question, which is also common to the Foundation tier. Question 2 has two options from which candidates have to select one. This question assesses candidates on their ability to convey information, narrate, express and justify ideas and opinions, and interest or convince the reader. Candidates must use the formal register. Question 3 is the translation question. Candidates are required to translate a short paragraph from English into Greek. The individual sentences are ordered by increasing level of difficulty.

Question 1 (a)

Question 1 has two options, Q01(a) and Q01(b), from which candidates have to select one. It is worth 20 marks: 12 for Communication and Content, the ability to write 80-90 words with information relevant to the task, with effective adaptation of language to narrate, inform and give convincing personal opinions; and 8 marks for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy, which involves evidence of accurate language and structures, good linking of the piece as a whole and successful reference to past, present and future events.

This year, Q01(a) drew its content from the theme of 'Future aspirations, study and work'. The subtopic was 'summer jobs'. It required that candidates write an email to a friend in Greece, in which they explain why they want to go to Greece to work, describe the type of work they would like to do and their preferred schedule and mention prior work experience. A large number of candidates were able to write clearly in response to these prompts, with predominantly accurate and unambiguous references to past and future events, using a broad range of vocabulary. Often, there was detailed development of key ideas, especially in relation to why one wanted to work in Greece or what their preferred work was. Thanks to Advance Notice, there were some patterns of rehearsed, but not always 'on point', phrases, which took over and often side-tracked the candidate from writing with appropriate detail and for the right purpose. Examples included lengthy and irrelevant descriptions of beautiful Greece, its beaches, its blue skies, its warm weather, its souvlaki and gyro etc. giving the impression that someone would go there for a holiday only. Often, candidates would write about what they were going to do in Athens, or Crete, even though the work was supposed to be in Rhodes. At levels 7-9 there were successful references to the past, with some unusual verbs and apt detail. Areas of weakness were mainly in relation to the following:

- unnecessarily lengthy descriptions of a specific bullet point, mostly bullet point one, with some digression into verbose accounts about the desirability of Greece as a holiday destination;
- cursory references, and often omissions, regarding a bullet point, in particular the last one (eg δούλεψα σε μαγαζί/δεν δούλεψα);
- at the lower level of achievement, insecure time references rendered the required information about the schedule of work ambiguous (eg θέλω να δουλεύω στις οχτώ ώρες). Often it wasn't clear whether someone was talking about how many hours they wanted to work or at what time they wanted to start/or finish.

This response earned 7 marks. It communicates some information relevant to the task with some acceptable variety of predominantly straightforward grammatical structures.



The candidate attempts to address two bullets points with partial success and communicates some basic information about how they can help and on which days, but we have no indication of the hours. Information about the reason they wish to work in Greece and prior work experience is obscured by insecure knowledge of Greek.

Communication and content: 4 marks

Linguistic knowledge and accuracy: 3 marks



Familiarise yourselves with the letters of the Greek alphabet, especially the ones that do not resemble any letters in the alphabet of romance languages (eg ξ , η , π) to avoid misunderstanding and to be able to use some basic vocabulary like ξέρω, στην, ανθρώπους unambiguously etc.

Try to give your writing some structure, by addressing each point in a separate paragraph. Good organisational structure adds to the 'effectiveness' of narration, even when language skills are limited.

This essay earned full marks. It communicated all the information that is required in this task eloquently, effectively and mostly accurately.

(**4**U) Axathré Avenn Mus acoai; A konora Thus yétes, Ou Jouré yeu as Ferodoxilo con motile con. Esu acido anís το μίνομα επιδή ελπίζω να με αρήσει να έρθο να δουλί μω μυζά σου απο τον Ισύνιο μάνει ενν Zenzipoph. H E & Nista por Fried Trajen Todi, The organización to knyo paige ochy Eddish. Ta Trockyovyma cpin κρόνια ένω περώσει τα καλωκαίρια μου εδώ στην Azzdín obov o kongá cívaz káden Ero Jero Janio Ou proposen en Joulius our σιρυσίοςοι ή και ακύμα και βοηθοί στην κουζίνα άξού n themen you Joudain new var organização oca Kuperób eou threign por ocho Kenen Kni Exa Journal Joshiva as Jensey Court of the Bond and Son Son Despendent of the Bond and Son Son Despendent of the Bond and Son Son Despendent of the Bond and Son Eddison Son Bassachen Son Bassachen



This is a fluent piece that integrates the information required by the prompts in an imaginative way that adds a natural flow to a relevant and interesting piece. The candidate is clearly at ease with subordination and a wide range of vocabulary (άψογους, επιλέξεις) that is apt for this theme. The range of time frames required by this exam is fully covered (θα μπορούσα να δουλέψω, ελπίζω να με αφήσεις να έρθω, η πρώτη μου δουλειά ήταν, έχω δουλέψει).



When you are asked to write an email to a friend, try to observe the conventions of email or letter writing, by addressing your friend correctly and closing your piece appropriately, as you would when communicating with a friend.

Question 1 (b)

Q01(b) fell under the theme of 'School' and the subtopic was 'exams'. It required that candidates wrote an email to a friend, sharing information about how they study and prepare for exams, expressing opinion about this year's exams and the subject they did well in and wrapping up by stating their plans for the summer, after exams are over.

Many candidates conveyed relevant information appropriate to the task. Often, points were made with effective development and good linking of extended sentences, particularly in relation to the first and the last bullet points.

Occasionally, some required information was omitted, especially in relation to offering opinion and time references that extended to the future and the past. Some candidates bypassed the prompts by stating that they do not have exams or by neglecting to refer to the exams that posed difficulty or worked as expected, writing instead about a lesson they find challenging. Because of these omissions, there was not enough evidence of the ability to employ the full range of structures, tenses in particular, expected at this level of demand.

A noticeable area of strength in this question is imaginative use of language when candidates expressed individual ideas about useful study tips (bullet point one). An area of weakness was in relation to either ignoring the third bullet point or not taking it at face value, therefore neglecting to use a reference to past time frame, which is a requirement for this exam.

This essay earned 7 marks. It communicates some basic information relevant to the task, with occasional development of a point. There is some evidence of accuracy and range of common vocabulary.

WS, MPNV EEE TOOELS, Kavw



The piece launches into the theme without preamble, using a long sentence where lack of punctuation and some awkward syntax obscure the effectiveness of the explanation. The reader is left with the impression that the candidate revises a lot in preparation for the exam, but spelling errors and an apparent omission or confusion (κάνω πάρα αλλά...) make the piece very difficult to read.

The candidate misreads the second and third bullet points, neglecting to refer to as past time-frame. The last bullet point is completely omitted. Spelling errors are intrusive and they impact clarity.

Communication and content: 4 marks

Linguistic knowledge and accuracy: 3 marks



When you consider your responses to the four bullet points, make sure that you employ the time-frames that you are asked to employ, in this case (σε ποιο μάθημα τα πήγες καλύτερα). If you devote a separate paragraph for each bullet point, then you will give your response an organisational coherence that will also ensure that you did not leave any important information out. Four paragraphs correspond to four bullet points.

This response earned full marks. It contains excellent variation in grammatical structures and frequent examples of creative language use to express information and interest the reader.

Axannon Monn,
Onus épages, pohis zértermen zes set éfecaceus cou zértous ons
gxodimis xpovias. Suvidous, now and eva reer in him effermen feguldific
zo Biblio zou padripacos cou onoiou da spayou rau enferiero za
πράχματα το οποία δευ Subailian και πολύ καλά. Μετώ, κάνω ότι
finopiu s'ivere va za favorbajou ivere va zpavou nata era egeraisera.
Or GEOVES EFETAGELS NOW APKETA SIAGOPEZIKES MON ADMINIBLES
na Elièva, agoù dazw zou Kobre. Jeu ejou favagpaives noze egezaiens
van Ser Inopoisa va Eusernain he anzès. Aux
Majorda oura, offus, se kanoia hadrifiaza ca nija nati kada.
Na napademba, za hadnuazira nijan ceitera. Auzo garzaitakai eirai
pazi eiva eriens kau zo agannfieiro fra fiadopla van pazi o
madynanis pou a egypti ila zico comactino.
Two nou rédernseau on exercises, de nam se Siamones de
onv auxogèvera bou 600 v Dàco και on Agrilia. Exei, eileur aijoupos
nus de ca repaison teilera, var da le bondriser enions la gexaison
zo Graleio.
Mε noli aya'nn,



The candidate produced a confident and fluent piece that organised the information with an appropriate opening and closing statement (Αγαπητή Πόπη – με πολύ αγάπη) and in paragraphs that are linked with good transitions. The references to different time frames employed a range of complex structures and unusual verbs (ξεφυλλίζω, σημειώνω, ξαναγράψω, δεν μπορούσα να εξασκηθώ, θα με βοηθήσει να ξεχάσω). Frequently extended sentences, as for example the one in the first paragraph, 'Συνήθως ...πολύ καλά', are so well-linked together, that they show excellent control of grammar and syntax. In addition, the clear presentation of the piece made it a pleasure to read and added to its variety and interest.



Candidates who possess advanced language and literacy skills usually fail to narrate and inform in a succinct manner, as they are often, mistakenly, under the impression that the more they write the better their chances to impress. This is a perfect example of the ability to write effectively and succinctly and include all the necessary information, without unnecessary and often not interesting to read details. Try to make judicious use of your language skills to answer the question within the framework of its rubric, and nor against it.

Question 2 (a)

Question 2 has two options from which candidates have to select one. This question assesses candidates on their ability to convey information, narrate, express and justify ideas and opinions, and interest or convince the reader, as well as use the formal register. The recommended wordage is 130-150 words and the question is worth 28 marks: 16 for Communication and Content, which involves the ability to communicate relevant facts, expand, express individual thoughts and opinion, while maintaining appropriate use of register and style throughout; and 12 marks for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy, which invites accurate use of a variety of complex grammatical structures, cohesion and successful references to various time frames.

Q02(a) related to the theme of 'Identify and Culture, Cultural life' and the subtopic was 'Television'. It required that candidates write a formal response to a statement that a teacher made on the online school magazine, in order to express agreement or disagreement with the teacher's claim about the harmful impact of television in young people's lives. Candidates were also asked to describe their friends' viewing habits, something they recently watched which impressed them, and recommend a TV series or programme to watch. The purpose of this particular question focused on expressing and justifying opinion and a demonstrated ability to write in order to explain, interest and convince. The purpose and register of writing were main aspects of assessing performance.

The level of success in this question was satisfactory. A good number of candidates were able to write their opinion, usually a disagreement with the teacher, courageously and unambiguously, supporting it with good examples that demonstrated the positive and educational role of television – for example, when it comes to programmes about the environment or documentaries that serve as a source of information for school subjects. Occasionally, when the topic of environment was chosen in order to substantiate a claim about educational programmes that focus, for example, on climate change, there was a tendency to linger too much on this topic, which was not the main focus of the question. Candidates who wrote such lengthy accounts did not score marks from the top bands of the criteria, that make mention of 'consistently effective' development of key ideas.

Candidates' performance at the higher level of competence was as expected, with often excellent adaptation of language to argue, inform and explain/interest. Their errors, although present, rarely hindered clarity.

There was a very small number of candidates – noticeable especially in this question – who misunderstood the rubric and spoke about cinema instead, as they claimed that they do not or that no one watches TV anymore. This is an example of the guidance given in the summary of this paper: when you do not have a view on a topic, choose another one, so that you can demonstrate your individual thoughts and points of view.

The language of candidates at the lower level of competence showed some significant breakdown when it departed from memorised phrases, often mixing English and Greek words together, with minimal linking of predominantly short sentences and no structure that is appropriate for an essay. Areas of strength were mostly linked to strong arguments about the role of TV and what the candidates usually watch as well as what they watched recently. These were expressed with varied success regarding language accuracy, but the points were clear and justified.

An area of weakness common to Q02(a) and Q02(b) was observed in relation to consistent use of appropriate register. Many candidates neglected to adopt the formal tone of an argumentative essay and wrote in a conversational, chatty style that was not appropriate for this purpose.

This essay earned 23 marks. It communicated detailed information relevant to the task, with mostly effective development of key points and ideas and some inconsistency in the opinions offered. The candidate employed a wide variety of structures, which included some complex language.

Αγαπητή κυρία, som are to hyrtha he B lagarodaboù eavies opons

Epopuliceités couvies par elégotes mou LE EXEL a river Exugues have bendanced have good Tapatodos Onro tell slava situal sigirpinos tria catragia near model assess dareia kay how étans Ereúnuanco feporós oci de rayour va redás ourégera jupis despossor or noonoisi va rédais Otar dère acreia. Eventucato ormhaqueis Han, uboceinm Erz Epoplakelbés eawies fraci Eiva . Auto eivau, pari TOS atodoudnoses texpi eèdos. EAMJEON Da apócerna ea marxin Sial mporpólique cupeopou siaci de ravour va atencesan a a posei sal he was his anabusen ELDECKRICERO Sporchpidence anou Eival va dissert otèque ou tal va Bojoteis en anavenon parculion. you SEV DEW DEL M ENDEDPOON TIPE TIEL va anapopeerei ano the sun eur veur eners'h eival APHOTHEN TIC LO FREDENCES SON LEGO LACTORIOSTIMS orus or Ershoers, or Earnies Spaans rat or requirers cavies Einar pla Snoteson cal yuxaqueia Tèdos, avea eixa va au o o o a apopa eux podo ens conteópaons och Zun zur véur tal Ednita oco pièdos va addaker, va hermoon een xpono reprincos con proceso de Entracionos. e excitmon,

Turn over ▶



The essay begins with some invented data about young people and television, which slightly detract from the effectiveness of the explanation and do not add any relevance; especially as the question eliciting agreement or disagreement with the teacher's statement is not answered directly. The candidate proceeds to provide relevant information and some convincing opinion, particularly in relation to a programme they watched and they liked (which however remained unnamed and therefore detracted from the authenticity and persuasiveness of the narration).

There is some inconsistenty in the way the popularity of horror movies is simultaneously derided (bottom of the first page) and recommended as essential and entertaining viewing (second page, second paragraph). The conclusive remark towards the end of the second page that concedes that television should not be banned (Φυσικά και δεν λέω...) does not derive logically from the previous information and arguments.

Communication and content: 12 marks

Linguistic knowledge and accuracy: 11 marks



Argumentative essays should have a clear progression towards substantiating an opinion that has already been clearly stated in the introduction. Do not wait until you get to the end of your essay to let the reader know what you think, as this may then seem as a bit of a surprise that does not follow from the material you presented in the main body of the essay. Reread your essay carefully for any inconsistencies in your arguments that may take away from their effectiveness.

This essay earned 12 marks. It communicated some information relevant to the task with tentative and not always consistent development of key points. There was acceptable variation of basic grammatical structures, with some ambiguity evident when more complex structures and vocabulary were attempted.

Αγαπητή κυρία,

5 page you por SEV MICTEUM ÓOI SIVA two véwy va y mabairon EVGiacpépouoss 1280 pagg. Ettions our perá pia súokodi

Adda, and Karnes fores SNOTTEXOS, TON VEWN OFFIERA PLETION Traquata Tou SEV Tous Conbois Kai TOUS INVOUV TOVOKaipados Tia Trapafaigna, ouvious etter παρακολουθίσουν προγράμματα που είναι φοβασμένους Καί SEV DOUS RON GOLLE pia De Oxodeio. # ETW JEPW OU K prepika 7 Trospápuata juropoúv va σε κάνεὶς να μην πάρις χλα Επινο χλατί επο φοβασμένα 10 Tipó pappa Tiou prou ékars EVOSTIWON NEW TO «Ham Potter > siaci oi nootroiss near Katatiankoikés, addá, der pou aprox óti ei TEPIOTOSTEPOL MAOTICIES TREGAVOUR KABE TRÉVTE ZETITA! FOR THE 2 BO TUPOTELYON EDIS, Oa PTYSTELVA TO nine-nine ». Eivai Koposia kou Trávoa pe káver va de Jáw: A EXW EPEN KÁTTOIOS TOU SEV TOUS

apéori to « Brooklyn nine-nine». Eívai Koposía adla eívai Est ESHOOU LEITINMÉVEI D'au prepillés Copés Káti Kakó Trabaivsi. Mropó Va tru ousoupa óti sivas to αγατημένω μου πρόσραμμα. Kaipayes Otav Kari es aoxyuan Tabaivei ou zun ous, sivai onpavokó va éxett & Eva TIPÓSPAJUJA * TOU OBSKÁVETEVA VIWOETS ÉVETN Kai & Vorigo óti to ε βrooklyn nine-nine ->
μπορεί να cos βοηθήσετε. Ελτίζω να σε βοηθήσει και Χαιρομαί που δνάβασανε την arravenon pou.



The candidate shows ability to express opinion firmly and clearly, and in the correct register also, with some relevant explanation in the second paragraph, that unfortunately does not end well ($v\alpha \tau o u \zeta \beta$ / φοηθάει να ξαπλόνουν). The third paragraph offers an unfounded claim about television and headaches and attempts to justify this claim with an example that is not logically linked to the claim: Αλλά δυστιχός...για το σχολείο. A similar line of argument that focuses on the 'fear factor' of television continues in the next paragraph and it is not very convincingly or logically included with information about watching Harry Potter. The candidate concludes in a more appropriate way with a good recommendation about a TV programme.

Occasionally, insecure knowledge of verb persons and tenses beyond the Present Tense, make references to different time frames with unsuccesful and obscure meaning: παρακολουθήσουν, πεθάνουν, κάτι άσχημο παθαίνει στη ζωή σου, σας κάνετε να νιώθετε άνετη κ.τ.λ.

Communication and content: 7 marks

Linguistic knowledge and accuracy: 5 marks



Candidates may use some of the language employed in the prompts but they must do so judiciously and with appropriate manipulation that respects the conventions of syntax. The repetitive use of 'των νέων' in this essay, copied from the bullet points of the question, is consistently inaccurate (να φοηθάει των νέων, των νέων σήμερα βλέπουν). To work on the clarity of your vocabulary, practise verbs that you confuse (πεθαίνω/παθαίνω, παίρνω/περνώ) in context and in a variety of tenses.

Question 2 (b)

Q02(b) related to the theme of 'International and Global Dimension/Environmental Issues' and the subtopic was 'access to natural resources'. It required that candidates write a formal response to a newspaper that published a photograph that upset their environmental sensibility, protest against the destruction of natural resources, explaining why and recommend some rules for correct environmental behaviour when in nature. The final prompt asked candidates to mention an environmental activity that they were recently involved in – which constituted their cue to use past time frames.

Performance at the higher level of language competence and literacy was often marked by communication of detailed information relevant to the task and an attempt to justify an acceptable range of thoughts in relation to the importance of protecting the environment ('n γη είναι το σπίτι , το δικό μας και των ζώων ', ' η φύση είναι απαραίτητη για τη σωματική και ψυχική υγεία των ανθρώπων ' κ . τ . λ .). These were predominantly fluent responses, with frequent extended sentences, effective subordination and correctly spelled material that was well-linked.

A pattern of omissions emerged when candidates launched into their essay on the environment – which they had clearly prepared for – but failed to pay attention to the specificity of bullet points (eg they did not describe the photo they saw online, or if they did, they only skimmed over it, with a very brief reference). Other patters of weakness were in relation to inappropriate use of register, too lengthy or too short descriptions of a specific bullet point and extensive references to extraneous details (describing environmental disasters in the ocean, rather than drawing from the picture that showed littering and general environmental neglect on a mountain).

This essay earned 27 marks. Performance shows consistently effective development of ideas, clear ability to describe and inform accurately and with examples of varied vocabulary.

Σας χράςω αυτό το χράμμα επειδή προσφαία είδα μία Co. Es BBOSO LUPIO LECU Στην φωτογραφία, είδα διάφορα πλαστικά μπουκάλια στο από ετα δεύτρα. Επίσης μπορούσα να είχαν βαχητό μέσα τους να πταυ * Πεταγμένα μέσα στην λύμνη. Α. Αυτή η ζωτοχραφία γη είναι το σπίτι μας και πρείτει να το σεβοίμασες. Morein de Odor mas mas mener va repopares env porn pari Bondasi Tov Marnin gva sivai Hio βρεσκος. Πρέπει να μην πετάμε σκουπίδια παυτού γιατί

Καταστρέρει το Περιβάλλον
Άμα πάει κάνεις σε της εκδρομή στη
βύση, Πρέπει να θυμάται αυτούς του λίχους Κανόνες.
Na mín metate ta okoumidia pas onou me de le va
Περιμένετε μέχρι να βρείζε εναν μέζο κάδο και εκει να
Ta METATETE. Na Kalapijete Tov xúpo das per mpu
GUYETE & Kai va Horsente à da Ta Jua kai ta Guta
γύρω σας.
Τον περασμένο μήνα, εχώ και με μερικούς αποί τους
συμμαθητές μου πήγαμε σε μία παραδία που είναι
Περίπου 5 λεπτά μακριά από το σχολείο. Όταν φτάσαμε
Ekei, Mipape Tis vakoudes pas, Prodane La Manovierra Kar iss
κάλτος και αρχίσαμε να Καθαρίζουμε την παραλία.
Μαζεύαμε σκουπάδια χια σχεδόν τρεις ώρες μέχρι να
Grant Competer ton Va Elxape Kadapleer
ödokanpn znu napadía.
Hráv káti Modé diooksdootnkó Mou skava pe zous przous peu
kaι θα in θελα να το ξανά κάνω.
V
ME EKTIPHON



This is a very strong performance in both domains. The candidate organised their response very effectively and wrote a purposeful and fluent piece about the importance of environmental protection.

The first paragraph combined the description of the photograph in question with the reasons why it is imperative to protect the environment. The description was carried out vividly, with good detail and with varied vocabulary, albeit with some awkwardness in expression (να ήταν πεταγμένα, να είναι πιο φρέσκος).

The following paragraph dealt well with some guidelines one would follow when they are out in nature. The recommendations were conveyed in appropriate register and contained the right amount of appropriate detail (Να μην πετάτε, να καθαρίζετε, να προσέχετε).

The penultimate paragraph offers the required narration about a past event in support of the environment. The narration is succinct, to the point and contains an appropriate number of correct structures (πήγαμε, φτάσαμε, καθαρίσαμε).

Communication and content: 16 marks

Linguistic knowledge and accuracy: 11 marks



The comprehensive economy of this piece and the balanced distribution of information across the requirements of the four bullet points, together with carefully curated language that avoids unnecessary complexity, are largely responsible for the succesful performance in this essay. Ensure that there is some symmetry in the way you tackle the different demands for description, narration and opinion, in order to produce a balanced and comprehensive response. This essay earned 11 marks. An attempt is made to interest and give convincing opinions, which are occasionally effective. The organisation of the material is very weak and not appropriate for an essay at this level of demand.

Αγαπητέ κύριε διευθυντή,	
Da nock va pantarocapias ji Eva	
repe sold ourselve spayes to	
UshirBagon bos- Liz Woodes	
Eije DE Mic nologetige des	
poropogies non égisser orounid	
noc a passas sto pouso. Asseportions	·
tiobertores car to baron	
de ketchapsivour ou talors	
to represent and Apercia	
The opposited the	
Dela vi de sancionali	Sep
Deformer de partie pes	
oc por Elour Moghya	

Otav ansparrep queprivouras JE Moura bata Of EIRC La poposospar Keutia fla vapor depoverper to repupadon ber ca par t pages note or poaro, Tpir pria Epsopasa po tou work to poor tou Davo rappai na pasayari ofa to observoir and to Boaro lati va pro to know know all all reda par Kauri Kako dru porkas ICAUSI, OCUTANTA TOI QUE 1000 po topsus acoundé bai wpaio repipedou choi Da Sourage i noto logdurape top topers apoplares rai 1098pg guon



The candidate launches into the topic rather abruptly, without observing the register and neglecting to describe the photograph, as asked, other than repeating what the prompt says about it. What follows transitions from one piece of information to the other, without any attempt to link and sequence the material, so that a point emerges. The justification offered about the need to protect the environment is ambiguous and seems incomplete (bottom of first page).

Communication and content: 6 marks

Linguistic knowledge and accuracy: 5 marks



Indicate the position of the stress when appropriate.

Familiarise yourselves with the Greek letters, to ensure you do not confuse, for example, φ with θ ($\theta \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$).

An essay that requires a formal register cannot be told in an oral, informal tone, as if you are speaking to a friend. Appropriacy is key at this level of demand and to gain good marks from the various bands of the criteria (even the lower ones), you must make appropriate use of register and style consistently. Seriously mispelled words obscure the point about what rules we should follow, when we visit the mountain (να φορουσαμαι κάντια;).

Some communication emerges towards the end, which also includes succesful references to a past time frame. Lack of punctuation, inaccuracies in spelling and choice of words, as well as absence of connective devices detract from the effectiveness of the second page.

Question 3

Question 3 related to the theme of 'Local Area, Holiday and Travel' and the subtopic was 'Tourist Transactions, Shopping'. This is a translation question that requires transfer of meaning from English into Greek. The assessment criteria consider the candidate's response across the whole paragraph and the total marks awarded is 12.

Performance in this question was generally good, with emerging patterns of errors in the rendering of the first sentence ('All my friends are interested in fashion'). Frequent misspellings did not affect clarity significantly (πσονια, δείκσουν). Some inaccurate renderings of vocabulary (eg 'spent' as ' ξόδε $\psi\alpha$ ', ' ρού $\chi\alpha$ ' as 'fashion' and 'show me' as ' $\mu\epsilon$ δείχνουν') detracted from the overall effectiveness of the translation. Insecure knowledge of case and syntax affected the clarity of some individual sentences, eg ' τους φίλους μου ενδιαφέρονται ' or ' οι φίλοι τους αρέσει '. The preposition that complements the collocation for 'interested in' was often inaccurately rendered as ' ενδιαφέρονται στην μόδα ' or 'ενδιαφέρονται με τη μόδα '.

This response earned 11 marks. Despite isolated errors, the meaning of the passage is fully communicated.

Μετάφρασε το κείμενο που ακολουθεί στα ελληνικά.

All my friends are interested in fashion. I do not like shopping at all, even when I am on holiday in Cyprus. I spent every Saturday last month at home because I did not want to go to the shops with them. Tomorrow, they will come to my house to show me what they bought.



The candidate begins well, with the verb in the correct voice, correctly spelled, and the subject accurately indicated in the nominative. The use of the wrong preposition (στη instead of για) and the awkward rendering of 'spent' deprived the candidate of full marks. The translation, however, reads well and there is evidence of linguistic achievement, particularly in the first two sentences.



When you practise translation, consider compiling an inventory of key prepositions and how they are used differently in English and in Greek. Wrong use of prepositions is one of the most common patterns of errors in translations that involve these two languages. This inventory could include common collocations such as 'on time', 'in time', 'on foot', 'by bus' etc.

This translation earned full marks. It is flawless and shows nuanced understanding of Greek and English.

Μετάφρασε το κείμενο που ακολουθεί στα ελληνικά.

All my friends are interested in fashion. I do not like shopping at all, even when I am on holiday in Cyprus. I spent every Saturday last month at home because I did not want to go to the shops with them. Tomorrow, they will come to my house to show me what they bought.



The candidate renders the main verbs in the appopriate voice, mood, tense, person and with accurate inflections: ενδιαφέρονται, πέρασα, ήθελα να πάω, θα έρθουν, για να μου δείξουν. The addition of the emphatic form of the personal pronoun in the second sentence, εμένα δεν μου αρέσουν, shows advanced literacy skills and understanding of the implied opposition between what the friends do and what the narrator does.



The candidate's correct use of ακόμα και όταν to convey 'even when' shows excellent translation skills. There was a pattern in this question where some phrase like 'even when', 'even though' 'even if' were used interchangeably by candidates and conveyed as 'ακόμα και αν' or as 'παρά το ότι' and therefore, wrongly. 'Even when' is used when something is occasionally done, whereas 'even if' is used when something is rarely done. Compiling a list of such phrases in both English and Greek (even though, despite/ in spite of, etc.), in context and with examples, is useful preparation for the translation question.

This translation earned 6 marks. The meaning of the passage is partially communicated.

3 Μετάφρασε το κείμενο που ακολουθεί στα ελληνικά.

All my friends are interested in fashion. I do not like shopping at all, even when I am on holiday in Cyprus. I spent every Saturday last month at home because I did not want to go to the shops with them. Tomorrow, they will come to my house to show me what they bought.

'Odor ar didor tron tons abstrant in thosa. The tron ab va ywinow, nexp, kan brow kanw Slavenex orny onin you kabe Zapparo to repartieso phys EDDW MAZI TOUS OTCH



The first sentence shows a breakdown of the conventions of grammar and syntax that affect the clarity and effectiveness of communication. The use of the Aorist Subjunctive, να ψωνίσω – instead of the continuous one that required for the verb 'I like' is a serious error that creates ambiguity. Wrong use of vocabulary (μέχρι και όταν, έρθω, με) hamper communication and clarity. The phrase 'I spent every Saturday last month at home' is translated very well.



The Greek subjunctive conveys an aspect of time that is not part of the 'to + infinitive' structure that is often its English equivalent. Therefore, every time you come across a sentence that introduces to+ infinitive, consider the aspect of time. Is the action conveyed as part of a habit or routine, eg On Mondays, I want to walk to school? In that case the subjunctive should employ the imperfective stem, ie the form of the verb that resembles the Present Tense: eg Τις Δευτέρες θέλω να πηγαίνω στο σχολείο με τα πόδια.

If, however, the action is conveyed as a specific plan, linked to an 'once only' event, eg This Monday, I want to walk to school, then the Greek subjunctive should employ the perfective stem of the verb, ie the form that resembles the future tense eg Τη Δευτέρα θέλω να πάω στο σχολείο με τα πόδια.

This translation earned 2 marks. It shows very limited understanding of Greek.

3 Μετάφρασε το κείμενο που ακολουθεί στα ελληνικά.

All my friends are interested in fashion. I do not like shopping at all, even when I am on holiday in Cyprus. I spent every Saturday last month at home because I did not want to go to the shops with them. Tomorrow, they will come to my house to show me what they bought.

Addein ondrei pou tot acreitour
ta eariou. & Asu pou araira va
Moovioo Ko ka Godov kai ozav
Tiyayai oth knopoo hace oabato
to peiva eikaza oco ottnen yiden
Elkava deu elkava Mos Moovipia
με acros. Aupio Ga esper στο
80 020 5MMIN UC F HOU & JINXOWA
th agoparava.



Meaning of some individual words is coveyed but the meaning of the passage shows very limited ability to write even the most common words in Greek clearly and correctly (words such as 'all', 'friends' or 'like'). There is evidence of inability to distinguish between the verb persons and occasionally individual letters are conveyed wrongly or with English characters.



When you prepare for this examination consider practising writing often enough, so you are able to identify your level of language competence and whether it is most suited for the Foundation or the Higher Level. Familiarise yourself with the assessment criteria of a question, which will help you understand whether you are able to communicate the meaning of a passage partially, mostly, fully, or not at all.

Paper Summary

In general, it was evident that many candidates had been taught this specification and were well prepared for the examination. What broke the common pattern of the assessment experience in this year's examination entry is, in fact, the increased number of entries and a noticeable gap in performance between higher and lower levels of competence. The candidates who responded well to this level of demand demonstrated the following strengths:

- good to excellent ability to communicate relevant information;
- good to excellent control of basic and higher frequency vocabulary and complex structures:
- ability to write extended sentences, employing subordination accurately and using good links and transitions between paragraphs;
- ability to make creative use of language and express a good range of individual and relevant thoughts.

The candidates who did not respond to this level of demand successfully exhibited some of the following areas of weaknesses:

- inconsistent use of register;
- limited adaptation of language to narrate and inform;
- overreliance on vocabulary provided in the prompt;
- tendency to stay with common, familiar and often repetitive language;
- inappropriate use of stock or rehearsed sentences that were not often relevant for the task in question;
- weak spelling in basic and complex language;
- weak development or omission of certain points.

Performance at the less able level of ability were noticeably more insecure, than in previous years. Some responses were indicative of lack of maturity in understanding the requirements of the questions fully and handling an exam at this level and weaker than usual knowledge of even rudimentary conventions of the Greek language. For example, inability to indicate the position of the stress at all or to write certain letters in Greek (τ , υ , ϕ), mixing Greek and English letters (ιμe), spelling phonetically – which resulted in either obscured meaning or hampered clarity and very limited vocabulary to express one's world of experience or develop ideas with even the minimal justification (especially in questions 2(a) and 2(b)).

Most entrants for 1GK0/4H performed consistently well in questions which required description and narration, and often in questions that required justification and opinion. Some candidates were less successful in questions which required a formal register, the provision of details across time frames or the knowledge of vocabulary specific to a theme that went beyond the personal. In conclusion, a good number of candidates scored very well throughout the paper. They demonstrated their ability to convey information, develop points using familiar vocabulary and use language with, often, high degrees of success.

Based on their performance in this year's examination, candidates are asked to consider the following guidance:

- read every question on the exam paper carefully, before you choose the one that you feel the most competent to provide a good response to. Choose the topic that you know from experience or because you heard/read about. Questions 1 and 2 off er a choice and each choice covers a different theme. Make sure you write about a topic that interests you and that you have something to say about each bullet point. If you do not have direct experience of something (eg plans for the summer), feel free to imagine that you have, instead of ignoring this prompt;
- look very carefully at the whole question, including the rubric, to make sure that you fully understand what you are being asked to do, especially when it comes to prompts that target different time frames. If when asked to refer to a past event/experience you neglect to do so, the higher bands of the assessment criteria, that reward use of different tenses, are no longer within reach;
- pay attention to key words in the bullet points, as these will help you to answer each of the bullet points correctly. You may make judicious use of the vocabulary that appears in these bullet statements but you are advised against relying on them too much;
- answer each of the bullet points in the order that they appear, so that you do not end up omitting any. Use a new paragraph for each one and employ apt transition phrases and linking words (πιο συγκεκριμένα, για την ακρίβεια, είναι αλήθεια ότι, επιπλέον, επιπρόσθετα, ακόμη, συμπληρωματικά, λοιπόν, επομένως, ώστε, άρα, etc) to demonstrate good linking and organisation skills;
- familiarise yourself with the assessment criteria, so that you are aware of expectations regarding a good response. This is particularly important for questions that elicit the use of a formal register or purpose linked to persuasion, interest etc.;
- adhere to the recommended word counts, which are specified for each question. Candidates will not be penalised for writing more or fewer words than recommended in the word count, as long as these are pertinent to the question asked and the style and register required. Unfortunately, the majority of overly long responses that continued on extra paper provided unaccountable digression and irrelevance;

- indicate your choice of the question (only one for question 1 and only one for question 2) clearly. Write each response in the space that corresponds to the specific question. Do not write a response, fully or partially, in the space reserved for another question. It is bad practice to violate these instructions;
- write clearly, in a presentable and legible manner. An excessive amount of crossed out words, paragraphs and illegible writing obscure meaning;
- leave enough time to check your work carefully at the end of the exam.

Grade boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/support-topics/results-certification/gradeboundaries.html

