

Examiners' Report June 2019

GCSE German 1GN0 4H



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

ResultsPlus

Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <u>www.pearson.com/uk</u>.

June 2019 Publications Code 1GN0_4H_1906_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2019

Introduction

The higher level writing paper requires candidates to answer three questions in German on a range of topics from the specification. The three tasks set have an incline of demand, from writing an informal email or letter to a friend based on bullet points in German as a stimulus, to writing a formal letter or article based on bullet points in German, to a translation from English into German of four sentences. The total for the paper is 60.

Generally, candidates had been entered for the correct level. There was a wide range of ability in the paper, with most being able to attempt all questions. Throughout the paper when a topic was familiar, candidates managed to expand on the information sometimes with interesting extra detail.

Writing within the suggested word count for the two essay questions is sufficient to access the whole mark range, although examiners mark the whole essay, irrespective of length. However, many candidates wrote in excess of this and occasionally penalised themselves by exceeding what they could realistically do, with grammatical inaccuracies and lack of clarity in expression creeping in. This was particularly noticeable in Question 1. It would be more sensible to write a modest answer here and to spend more time crafting the response to Question 2 which required evidence of using greater complexity in the language.

There were, however, many examples of successful answers to both these questions, where candidates addressed the bullet points succinctly and with some development, showing a good grasp of tenses and structures such as subordinate clauses, modal verbs, infinitive clauses and complex syntax.

Question 1 (a)

This essay was popular with many candidates, who cited causes of stress including exams, teachers and homework. In terms of the second bullet point, sometimes this was linked to general things the candidate had done such as playing football and in a good number of cases a school trip or exchange the candidate had been on. A number of candidates found it difficult to answer the third bullet point clearly and *helfen* was regularly used incorrectly in sentences such as *Die Lehrer sind nicht helfen*. Ideas for the final bullet point often linked back to the first bullet point and the usual solution was fewer exams or less homework or the summer holidays.

Schule and *Schüler* were often muddled. *Lehrer* (like *Freund*) had many varieties and it was often unclear whether the candidate wanted to imply singular or plural.

Although there were many excellent responses, not all higher level candidates produce outstanding responses to this question. This candidate has struggled to convey all the points and to remain accurate.

n Mariana Angelana Angelana Angelana Angelana
Balagija, Pinacanjin sitea- Pinacanji site Pinacanji site Bala sporter
an a san san san san san san san san san
4 1.127 1.128 m

Schreibe ungefähr 80-90 Wörter auf Deutsch.

(20)

meta Cal el elern arre Ø

çe Immer un r 1ch



The first bullet point is not really addressed although it could be argued that this candidate does not suffer from school stress. However, this means that details about the first and fourth bullet points are missing. The second bullet point is well-developed but the writing is slightly repetitive and begins to ramble rather than remain focused. The candidate also manages to suggest that the teachers are helpful. However, whilst there are attempts to use language to express individual thoughts and ideas, these are not always successful. This essay gains a mark in the middle of the 4-6 band for Communication and Content.

Structures are mainly accurate in simple sentences. When more complex language is attempted, the accuracy falters. Thus, there is a lack of clarity in some sections for example with *um spielen die Schlagzeug* and *obwohl Mathe is sehr stressig*. Inversion is not in evidence and some verbs do not agree with the subject. This scores a mark at the top of the 3-4 band for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy.



Candidates should aim to avoid repetition in their writing by using a variety of verbs and verb forms, for example by including modals and infinitive clauses.

Many higher level candidates write well beyond the suggested word count. This example shows a candidate who has produced a response just about the suggested range and fulfils all the requirements of the task.

1 (a) Deine Freundin Lena möchte wissen, wie stressig dein Schulleben ist. Langers Schreibe eine E-Mail an Lena. ware with Du musst diese Punkte einschließen: No. OF THE R. (er) Present warum du Schulstress hast - why , have school stress 4) Past. was du letzte Woche in der Schule gemacht hast - what I did I cast week School of present ob die Lehrer helfen oder nicht - whether my teachers are halpful (Present wie dein Schulleben nicht so stressig sein könnte. - How school life could be not so spressificate Schreibe ungefähr 80–90 Wörter auf Deutsch. (20)anten tag Lena Mein Schulleben ist außerst Stressig, weil Ich zu viele pon Prügungen babe machen muss. Dieses Jahr, muss ich zweichdzwanzig Prüfungen in die Sportshalle and mein schule? Letzte Woche, habe ich Sieben Philjungen gemaant, deshall es viel Druch aug mir gab. Ich glaube dass sieben Pnigungen in eine Woche zu Niele Druch und Stress ist, weil Man to Zu viele Studièren haster. Obuchi, meine Lehrerin Sehr Lighten nett und Sie helfen mich Zum Beispiel geben sie manan Arbeit za Prüfungen Zu uben. Ich denne dass mein Schulleben nicht so Stressig Sein Könnte, wenn es nicht so viel Druch acus.



The candidate includes and develops details in response to all four bullet points. Vocabulary is mainly predictable but includes more unusual examples such as *deshalb*, *Prüfungen* und *Druck*. However, the reader hesitates when the word order hinders the fluency of the response and the clarity of the final ambitious sentence is hindered by the lack of a conditional tense at the end. Nevertheless, this essay scores a mark in the middle of the top band for Communication and Content.

Language is generally very accurate and the candidate displays a knowledge of a wide range of complex structures including a firm command of tenses, modal verbs with an infinitive used in a subordinate clause, subordination with *weil*, *obwohl* and *dass* and a secure command of syntax. Minor errors do not prevent meaning from being conveyed. This response scores full marks for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy.



It is not necessary to show the same range of structures in this question as in the more challenging tasks in Q02. However, candidates should always aim to show as wide a knowledge of structure as possible.

Question 1 (b)

In this more popular option of Question 1, candidates often wrote enthusiastically about learning German, French, Italian or Spanish and gave good reasons for the importance of learning languages. The most common ideas given for languages helping in the future were that candidates wanted to work abroad, embark on a career as a translator or interpreter or simply that they wanted to communicate well in the global market. However, whilst there were many responses which scored full marks at higher level, some candidates were unable to produce a clear past tense to explain which countries they had already visited; some wrote about the countries they wished to visit in the future instead, thus missing the intention of this bullet point.

The vocabulary used in this question often impressed with such examples as *Pflichtfach, Wahlfach, Kommunikationsfähigkeiten* and *Aufstiegsmöglichkeiten*. However, the verb *sprechen* in its various forms caused problems for many.

This essay is a good example of a candidate's work which is fluent though not wholly perfect.

(b) Dein Schweizer Freund Bernd lernt gern Englisch und Italienisch.

Schreibe eine E-Mail an Bernd.

Du musst diese Punkte einschließen:

- Fremdsprachen in deiner Schule
- · welche Länder du schon besucht hast
- warum Fremdsprachen wichtig sind
- wie Fremdsprachen dir in Zukunft helfen werden.

Schreibe ungefähr 80–90 Wörter auf Deutsch.

(20)

allo lieber B erna hulde RIA Spanis noes ren

man haben atent Merde SMA

Gribe Lean



Despite spelling errors and some grammatical inaccuracies, this essay reads fluently and there is a sense that the candidate is at ease with the language. There is good use of connecting words such as *dazu*, *weil*, *wenn*, *sonst* and *leider* to help the reader to link the ideas. In addition the candidate has given a personal response to the question without resorting to pre-learned material. The use of *lch freue mich sehr darauf* followed by an infinitive clause gives the response a strong ending. The answer gains full marks for both Communication and Content and for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy. In assessing work for Communication and Content, examiners balance the amount communicated successfully with the quality of the communication.

Ich lerne Frendsprächen in meiner Schule. Ich lenne Frendsprachen zweimal pro wocher Ich leibees weil Interessant ist. Ich Finde Frendsprachen 63 lernen & prima jund onantching Langweili Frendsprachen etemeiner Schule Wimachen fispas. Warn ich auf urlaub ausland fähren, ich besuche Fremdsprachen. Letze Letzte jobr, bin ich mit Meine familie nach der Deutschland gefahren. a Eswar weil ich fremdsprächen besucht. Exemptionaries bistorsets Ich Finde Frenchorachen interess ount isk. Sie Wich Eig weil 23 wichtig, obwohl es nicht eurfach ist sind Frendspreichen werden helfen ober WEAR genst austand. UNG die Zukunfb, Frendoprachen helfen Ich un & Deutchleind werden weil möchte in Deutchland für ein Jahr 1ch Fähren Fremdsprehen werden helfer.



This candidate addresses all four bullet points and develops each one. However, the quality of the writing is not always effective since it tends to become repetitive and deteriorates a little as a new idea is added. There is some ambiguity because of the confusion of *besuchen* and *benutzen*. In addition the response lacks the linking words such as adverbial phrases which would help it to flow more naturally. This scores a mark in the middle of the 7-9 band for Communication and Content.

Although some complex structures are attempted with *weil*, *obwohl* and *wenn*, these examples are not accurate. However, references to the three time frames required in the answer are mostly successful and there is no more than occasional ambiguity. The response scores at the top of the 5-6 band for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy.



This candidate's response could have been improved with greater attention to varying the syntax of each sentence.

Question 2 (a)

Candidates had to read the rubric for the letter to the manager of a hotel carefully. Some candidates wrote a pre-learned essay about a past holiday or a letter of complaint without relating the second two bullet points to foreign visitors. Often some omitted to mention why they had stayed in this particular hotel. However, there were some interesting and imaginative responses. Reasons for staying in the hotel included its location, a previous experience or a positive review online. Candidates were often impressed by the quality of the rooms or the delicious food in the restaurant.

However, the second two bullet points which aim to stretch the more able candidates proved more of a stumbling block. When candidates understood what they had to write, they often mentioned lack of language skills amongst the staff, lack of translations of important documents and signs or more creatively the hotel's failure to cater for the dietary requirements of visitors from overseas. The final bullet point which required the language of persuasion and suggestion was least well answered, but some candidates managed to suggest at least that all staff should speak English.

This question required a higher level of language than Q01. In particular candidates must show a secure ability to deal with the three main timeframes and to include complex structures in their answers. Some struggled with the verbs *wohnen* and *gefallen*, whilst others managed to include more complex or idiomatic structures such as *weder/noch*, *sowohl/als auch*, *meines Erachtens* or *was mir am beindruckt hat, war*

This candidate writes a full response and covers all the bullet points but is pushed to a linguistic ceiling towards the end of the response.

**** 2 (a) Sie haben in einem Hotel in Deutschland gewohnt. Schreiben Sie einen Bericht für die Managerin des Hotels, damit der Service für 1.01 ausländische Gäste besser wird. 3 Sie müssen diese Punkte einschließen: warum Sie in diesem Hotel gewohnt haben - Why your stayed in this hoted was Ihnen gefallen hat - what his preased your / is good welche Schwierigkeiten ausländische Gäste im Hotel haben – 🐲 🕷 which dyprulti do foreignes wie das Hotel den Service für ausländische Gäste verbessern könnte. How the lotel Con improve Rechtfertigen Sie Ihre Ideen und Meinungen. For foreiner Schreiben Sie ungefähr 130–150 Wörter auf Deutsch. (28)etster Monak when in all in herenne Hotel demakt. Oaline gerandon a und Loke. the color Which my nor the Later Solor Selle . elsner 25 H in generat desnegen M un ment Wenn desin Il garden Ann forred gut, Es vor server es Som mons Doppelbett m teneker Berge 8 the 81 . eile besorders fing ist Konnen 61 in Denterth Mer Wenteren ode Beizpel Ein Bissdren tehen. 1000 ADDA Wenn Andrine in Restant essen Midie Rectanat.

möchten



The candidate begins with relative ease and a certain degree of fluency in the letter to the manager of the hotel. A smooth transition is made to the third bullet point with the use of *jedoch*. However, in response to the fourth bullet point, the candidate struggles somewhat to make clear sense and the writing becomes muddled with the sentence *Ich denke dass, diesem Hotel viel zu verbessern den Service für ausländische Gäste könnte*. However, overall the candidate has addressed all four bullet points with reasonable development of each one. There is some variety in the vocabulary chosen with occasional examples of uncommon language such as *deswegen, Doppelbett, verstehen* and *Erlebnis*. This scores towards the bottom of the 9-12 band for Communication and Content.

The language used is varied throughout. There are some examples of modal verbs, a range of verb forms, subordination and infinitive clauses. With one or two exceptions the syntax is generally sound and there are some prolonged sequences of almost fluent writing with ideas mostly well linked. This scores a mark in the middle of the 7-9 band for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy.



In preparation for writing a formal answer to Q02, candidates should build up a bank of useful phrases and sentences which can be used to convince and persuade the reader.

It is important to realise that Q02 requires a more formal style of writing than in Q01. Some candidates restricted themselves to narrative accounts of a holiday or hotel visit rather than showing the ability to manipulate language at a higher level.

• meir	her Mei	nung na	cn r	impe ic	nin	dies	e
HOTEI	gewor	inen di	enn i	es se	nr m	odern	nund
	÷	reise ist					
		Bruder			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
senr	lecker	wenn	er fø	reis	t letz	te mi	oncut.

•	Ich liebe dire meine schlafzimmer, es war
	sent pot groß und entspannend. Mein Bett
	war server pequem und die Tisch war server
	when kiein und nett. Die Restucurant in der
	Hotel hat viele essen. Auf dom lozte abound,
	have ich wach Hänchen mit dem Bornmes-
	pommes und Kartöffelsalat gegessen. Es
	war senr gesund und Lecher. met in der

ZURUNFT, notte ich genen aliei 10tel, weil diversion service und essen icn viewer.

ODWONI den Service war senr gutt und die Hotel Kenner war freundlich, die leute Ziemlich Langsam, verbessen zu waren schnell mere sein Auch due Kannft es r schwierig r Kommunizieren manchmeu für die ausländische Gäste. Die cente Konnte ellisch oder franzoisich um (QY span nommunizieren besser mit den dem ausianelische Gaiste. maute



Although the level of communication is fairly simple throughout, the candidate has covered all four bullet points and makes an attempt to develop each one. This is particularly successful in response to the first two bullet points. The third and fourth bullet point are more challenging but the message given is clear. There are inconsistencies in the register and style of this formal letter. The mark for Communication and Content is towards the top end of the 5-8 band.

The language used is fairly straightforward and the accuracy variable. However, tenses are generally sound and syntax reasonably secure. On the second page there are attempts to use subordination with *weil* and *obwohl*, an infinitive clause and a conditional tense. This scores a mark in the middle of the 4-6 band for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy.



Candidates should aim to use as many complex structures as they can. These are listed in the specification. To reach the top end for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy, there would have to be evidence of a wide and varied use of structures such as sentences beginning with a subordinate clause, complex verbs used in subordinate clauses, varied infinitive clauses and a wide range of different adverbial phrases.

Question 2 (b)

In general, this question was answered more effectively than Q02(a) as long as candidates had understood what was required of them. Those who did not grasp the full significance of the question often wrote general accounts of school rules or pre-learned essays about technology.

However, there were many solid answers which covered all four bullet points and which were written convincingly as if to the headteacher of a German school. In response to the first bullet point, most were able to convey that they had heard about the new rule from a friend or had read it online, but often candidates had misinterpreted the meaning of *wie* in the stimulus and explained when rather than how they got the news. Those who understood the plural form *die Schüler* usually explained that their friends were against the banning of phones in school, whilst a modest few supported the ban. Many candidates were able to describe how they had used their mobile phone recently with tales of using apps to learn new vocabulary, retrieving a forgotten item from home or searching the internet for information for projects. The persuasive language necessary for suggesting what the headteacher should do was restricted to the very best candidates who usually said that he should reconsider his decision.

The use of verbs in this essay was often insecure. The verb *verbieten* in all its forms was problematic and *dürfen* often appeared wrongly instead of *erlauben*. However, there were many instances of useful phrases such as *nicht nur/sondern auch, ich bin fest davon überzeugt* and *ohne Zweifel*. Idioms and examples of uncommon language were also found in abundance, although these were supported by accurate use of complex structures only in the best essays. Uncommon vocabulary can help raise the mark for Communication and Content, as in this example.

(b) Der Direktor an Ihrer deutschen Austauschschule will Handys im Unterricht verbieten.

Schreiben Sie einen formellen Brief an den Direktor der deutschen Schule, um Ihre Meinung zu der Situation zu geben.

Sie müssen diese Punkte einschließen:

- wie Sie von der neuen Schulregel gehört haben
- was die Schüler über die neue Schulregel denken
- wie Ihr Handy im letzten Monat in Ihrer Schule nützlich war
- was der Direktor machen sollte.

Rechtfertigen Sie Ihre Ideen und Meinungen.

Schreiben Sie ungefähr 130–150 Wörter auf Deutsch.

Sotar geehrte Herr Mar,
Meine tohrerin haben gejagt, dass fie wollen
Handys in Unterricht verbeeter und ich denke, dass das
to nicht eine gute Idee ist. Alles schule sprechen jetzt
über dieser Problem. Ich habe ge eine lehrenn gehort
Schr gechnter Herr Klar,
Letzte Woche naben meine Lehrerin gesagt, dans
Sie nollen Handys im Unterricht verbeiten und ich meiner Meinung nach ist es denke, dasspricht eine gute Idee. ist Meine Austauschpartnenn
auch hat auch geragt, dar diese Sr über dieser
Situation gesagt und sie glaubte act auch es eine Probleme
the jet.
Viele Schüler denken diese mue neue Schulregel
ist eine Schlechte Idee, weil Handys sehr michtig für

(28)

junge Menschen and Ein Freund meine dass wir nicht viel
untere Handys im Unterricht benutzte benutzen, also dies
durfte dierer file soll diese situation nicht durfen. Eine
andere Schul Freundin h at gesa dachte, dan diere
Regel unfair ist, da sie selten ihren Handy in Unterricht
benutzt.
Letzter Monat war meine Handy ziemtich nutzlich
Letzter Monat war meine Handy ziemtich nutrlich in unserer Schule. Wir haben eine Schulpmjeht gemacht,
also konnte ich mein Handy benutzen, um Informationen
auf Internet zu finden ^m Gute daran ist dag wir die haben gemacht Projekt Schnell Machen und wir die Computer nicht brauchen.
In gober und Ganzen
In Großen und Ganzen glaube ich, dass fie diese
Regel nicht roll durfen, aber wir rollen unkre Handys veriger
benutzen in Unterricht benutzen. In Zuhuntt höffe
ich, fie diere regel nicht nutzen werden.
Mit freundlichen Grüßen



The paragraph structure helps the examiner in this instance to see that all four bullet points are addressed. On closer inspection, it becomes clear that the candidate has developed each bullet point with original ideas and thoughts and has justified ideas fully. There is some ambiguity with the misuse of the modal verb *dürfen* rather than *erlauben*, but otherwise errors do not prevent meaning being conveyed. In addition, some uncommon vocabulary and phrases such as *echt, im Großen und Ganzen, selten* and *im Unterricht* mean that this essay can be placed at the top end of the of the 9-12 band for Communication and Content.

Word order is secure throughout and there is evidence of a range of structures. However, although the response is predominantly fluent, there are some inaccuracies, particularly with verbs. For example, when a past tense is attempted with a modal verb rather than the perfect tense, the past participle *gemacht* is used instead of the infinitive. Agreements are not always correct. Nevertheless, this candidate scored at the top of the 7-9 band for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy.



It is important to learn how to use the six modal verbs in German effectively both in the present and past tense and to be sure of their meaning. In an essay like this their use adds variety to the sentence structures and therefore makes the essay more interesting to read. The mark scheme for Q02 in the higher paper begins at its lowest band with an assumption that candidates write with some degree of fluency. Centres should not be surprised when an essay which appears to communicate a lot gains a low mark since this is the question which allows more able candidates to access the higher grades.

(28)ler neuen eunde

NOIDA 1 **NA** M kany

M

NIT 01



From the outset it is clear that this candidate struggles to write coherently. However, despite the use of 'differ' and 'miles', most of what is written is just about comprehensible. There is occasional detailed information such as how the candidate will use the phone in a German lesson next week or why phones are useful. There are not many examples of uncommon language but the candidate has made a valiant attempt to express individual thoughts and idea. The mark for Communication and Content is towards the top of the 1-4 band.

Structures are rarely accurate. In particular the candidate's use of verbs is insecure: *gern* used as a verb, incomplete complex verb forms, wrong agreements are in abundance. However, simple sentences tend to be almost accurate. This scores a mark in the middle of the 1-3 band for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy.



Candidates should try at all costs to avoid using English in their answers.

Question 3

There were an impressive number of good translations which suggested that candidates had been well-prepared for this question. The sentences are intended to have an incline of difficulty. However, the level of challenge may be from the vocabulary or from the structures required to transfer the meaning, and this can vary from candidate to candidate. In this passage, the most problematic issues were with *Swiss*, the verb *to enjoy, disappointed* and *was not playing*. Although some candidates simply left gaps in their translations if they came across an unkown word, others showed evidence of coping strategies in their version, for example by using *Freunde aus der Schweiz, lch mag Konzerte* or *traurig/nicht glücklich* for *disappointed*. The first sentence was translated successfully by many candidates who managed to use a discernible past tense, and even the least able candidates made a good attempt at the final sentence with an *um/zu* clause.

Although examiners often saw accurate translations which included *letzten Monat, bin gefahren/gegangen, normalerweise, dieses Mal, hat gespielt/spielte* and *nächsten März*, it was noted that the word order in the first and last sentences was often challenging.

This is a typical example of a candidate who has tried hard to translate all the ideas in the passage but is hampered by lack of accuracy.

3 Übersetze ins Deutsche.

Last month I went to a music festival in Zürich with my Swiss friends. I usually enjoy pop concerts, although the tickets can be quite expensive. However, this time I was very disappointed because my favourite group was not playing. I am hoping to go to Berlin next March to see them there.

Letzie,	- Manut	bù	ich	eife	Musikfult	nuch	zunich	mit
Meine	Schweize	Freunde	ge fail	a <i>c</i> \$-0	teh Ride	Pop A	onzens	Turny
Obuch	Kunn	die Ku	ALC 3	secondich t	ever. Ju	hada	, When	ich
sehr							-	
Ich h	uffe (lachstes A	NENZ M	uch Berl	in Meine	leldings	- kand	geschar.



This candidate has made a fair attempt at translating the whole passage and overall there is partial communication. An alternative is given for 'disappointing' but the meaning is not quite clear. Although *jedoch* is known the concept of *normally* is omitted. Accuracy is varied in some phrases such as *letzten Monat*. However the clause with *obwohl* is not successful and in the final sentence the confused word order and inaccurate verb forms prevent meaning from being conveyed. Subsequently this translation is awarded a mark in the middle of the 4-6 band.



Candidates should check their work carefully to ensure that the word order is correct and that all ideas are included in the final version in German.

Many candidates managed to produce an almost perfect translation with only minor errors as in this example.

Letzten Monat bur ich mit mener tighessessention ichweize Freunden zu einen Muschgestwal um L geganger. ich gennose Normalerweise geneße ich Popkonzerts, obwohl die Jedoch, Entritskarten melset tener sein Konnen. tänscherd, weil Meis assauppe Marz 1 Stre Ma Jahren zu seher. gaolooca nuch Berl Un se



This candidate writes confidently and almost translates all aspects of the English text fully. The adjective *enttäuschend* rather than *enttäuscht* is the only idea not expressed. Errors such as wrong agreements with case and gender do not hinder clarity of meaning. As a result, this candidate scored a mark in the middle of the top band for this version.



Candidates should prepare for the translation by learning as wide a range of vocabulary as possible. They can do this by referring to the minimum core vocabulary list in the specification.

Paper Summary

In conclusion, candidates taking this paper should:

- ensure that they know what the requirements of each task are
- ensure that they have a good working knowledge of the three main tenses (present, past and future)
- develop as wide a range of vocabulary as possible covering all topic areas in the specification
- build up a bank of useful adverbial phrases that can be used in any topic area and which help to link the ideas in writing
- learn as many complex structures as they can to enable them to access the higher mark bands for Linguistic Knowledge and Accuracy
- read the stimulus tasks carefully to ensure that they are answering the question which has been set
- practise translation regularly, always checking that the verb agrees with the subject of the sentence and that the word order in each sentence is accurate
- develop coping strategies for dealing with challenging aspects of the translation, such as rewording and use of synonyms.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London WC2R 0RL.