

Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2010

GCSE

GCSE Geography (1313) Paper 1F

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Mark Scheme that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

Summer 2010

Publications Code

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2010

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.
- Mark schemes will indicate within the table where, and which strands of QWC, are being assessed. The strands are as follows:

i) ensure that text is legible and that spelling, punctuation and grammar are accurate so that meaning is clear

ii) select and use a form and style of writing appropriate to purpose and to complex subject matter

iii) organise information clearly and coherently, using specialist vocabulary when appropriate.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
1(a)	On brownfield sites	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
1(b)	<p>Brownfield = Land that has been built on before (1)</p> <p>Greenfield = Land that has not been built on before (1)</p> <p>Green belt = Land where unsuitable development will not be allowed (1)</p>	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
1(c)	<p>Housing that is subsidized (1)</p> <p>Housing that is below the market rate/price (1)</p> <p>Low cost housing that is aimed at / designed for / provided for people on low pay / first time buyers / key workers (1)</p> <p>Do NOT accept just "low cost / inexpensive / cheap housing" OR "low cost housing that people on low pay can afford"</p> <p>Also accept a definition of a particular type of affordable housing:</p> <p>e.g.</p> <p>Housing which is cheap because you can buy only a part of it from a council/housing association) (1)</p> <p>OR Housing which is cheap because you can buy only a part of it and pay rent on the rest (1)</p> <p>OR Housing which is suitable for people on low pay because you can buy only a part of it and pay rent on the rest (1)</p> <p>Council/social housing provided at a low rent (1)</p> <p>OR accommodation provided <u>at</u> a low rent by a housing association / registered social landlord / RSL (1)</p> <p>Do NOT accept just "council/social housing"</p>	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
2(a)	Planned (1) Money (1) Greenfield (1)	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
2(b)	They were designed to be self-sufficient towns OR meant to provide residents with homes and jobs (1) but many residents commute to (or work in) London (1) Do NOT accept "there are no jobs in New Towns" Instead of the first point accept for 1 mark a problem caused by this commuting: e.g. road traffic congestion (1) air/noise pollution (1) overcrowded trains (1)	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(a)	404 (people) per sq. km 0 mark for just 404 or 404 people	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(b)	People living in the same dwelling	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(c)(i)	Increase (1) Married couple (1) One person (1)	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(c)(ii)	<p>Do not accept explanations for trends other than the three identified in 3(c)i and given below</p> <p>Increase in total number Population growth OR increased birth rate OR greater life expectancy OR increased immigration (1) More people living alone so total number increases (1) OR more people divorcing so total number increases (1) More people buying second homes (as incomes rise) (1)</p> <p>Decrease in married couple households Higher divorce rates (1) Increase in cohabiting couples (1) Religious/moral decline (1) Do NOT accept "fewer people getting married"</p> <p>Increase in one person households Young people wanting greater independence (from parents) (1) Greater life expectancy / more pensioners (1) More divorcees (1)</p> <p>Do NOT accept same point twice (e.g. more people divorced) Max 2 on any one trend Max 1 for reasons that candidate does not link to a named trend</p> <p>Accept the general point: They are projections of existing trends (1)</p>	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(d)	<p>It suggest the numbers of new dwellings that will need to be built in future(1) It suggest the different types/sizes of new dwellings that will need to be built in future (1)</p> <p>Accept answers that focus on a specific example: e.g. It shows they will need to build more flats for one-person households in future (1)</p>	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
4(a)	Semi-detached and terraced (1) OR terraced and semi-detached (1) Both types are needed for the one mark	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
4(b)(i)	Housing owned by council /government / housing association (1) Housing rented from council /government / housing association (1) Accept council housing (1) Do NOT accept just "rented housing"	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
4(b)(ii)	The amount of decent social housing increased (1) from 49/50% to 64/65% homes (1) OR by 14/15/16% (1) Instead of the first point above, accept: The quality of social housing improved (1)	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
4(c)(i)	Private rented	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
4(c)(ii)	Question asks for main changes - do not credit descriptions of minor ones eg It increased (continuously) (1) from 32% (in 1951) to 70/71/72% (in 2005) (1) It grew more rapidly after 1981 (1) It grew more slowly after 1991 (1) MAX 2 marks if no data (percentages) included	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
4(c)(iii)	<p>Post-war house building programme (1) Rising incomes (1) Rising aspirations (1) OR increased desire to buy property as an investment or for greater financial security (1) Increased costs of private rented (1) OR relaxation of rent control (1) Right to buy council-housing (from 1981) (1) OR sale of council houses to tenants (from 1981) (1) Greater availability of mortgages (1)</p> <p>Credit other valid points eg link to changes in government in 1951 (Conservatives started a big house-building programme) and 1979 (Conservatives introduced right-to-buy for council tenants)</p> <p>Do NOT accept "owner-occupation increased because social renting decreased"</p>	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark																					
5(a)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>True</th> <th>False</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>All homeless people were rough sleepers</td> <td></td> <td>X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Local councils placed 105,000 households in temporary accommodation</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>The main cause of homelessness was partners splitting up</td> <td></td> <td>X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16% of homelessness was because people got behind with the payments for their housing</td> <td></td> <td>X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>About 0.8% of households in the West Midlands were homeless</td> <td></td> <td>X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The region with the lowest amount of homelessness was the South West</td> <td></td> <td>X</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Six correct = 3 marks; four or five correct = 2 marks two or three correct = 1 mark; one correct = 0</p>		True	False	All homeless people were rough sleepers		X	Local councils placed 105,000 households in temporary accommodation	X		The main cause of homelessness was partners splitting up		X	16% of homelessness was because people got behind with the payments for their housing		X	About 0.8% of households in the West Midlands were homeless		X	The region with the lowest amount of homelessness was the South West		X	(3)
	True	False																					
All homeless people were rough sleepers		X																					
Local councils placed 105,000 households in temporary accommodation	X																						
The main cause of homelessness was partners splitting up		X																					
16% of homelessness was because people got behind with the payments for their housing		X																					
About 0.8% of households in the West Midlands were homeless		X																					
The region with the lowest amount of homelessness was the South West		X																					

Question Number	Answer	Mark
5(b)(i)	Six (times)	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
5(b)(ii)	Houses are now very expensive for first time buyers	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
6(a)	2005	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
6(b)	<p>May be expensive to buy (1) May be contaminated/polluted (from its previous use) (!) May be expensive/time-consuming to clean up / prepare (1) Site access may be difficult in (inner) urban areas (1) Site may not be in a location where people will want to live (so the houses may not sell) (1) VAT has to be paid on the redevelopment (1)</p> <p>The question is about disadvantages for developers/builders. Do NOT accept other sorts of disadvantage: e.g. habitats destroyed; local residents disturbed by noise</p>	

Question Number	Answer	Mark
7(a)(i)	<p>Value of their houses may fall (1) It will encourage urban sprawl (1) Large scale of the development will destroy the environment (1) Loss of animal habitats / decrease in biodiversity (1) Spoiling scenery / visual pollution (1) Noise/air pollution from (increased) traffic / building work (1) (Increased) road/traffic congestion (1) OR road accidents (1) (Increased) pressure on local services e.g. schools (1) (Increased) flood risk (1)</p> <p>MAX of 1 mark for alternative solutions: Empty housing in towns should be used instead (1) Brownfield sites in towns should be redeveloped instead (1)</p> <p>Do NOT accept vaguer answers such as "environmental damage", "loss of countryside", "pollution" or "congestion/overcrowding". Do NOT accept just "because they are NIMBYs" OR "loss of jobs to newcomers"</p> <p>Do NOT accept statements from the list in Q7(b): "If you run out of petrol it will be a long way to an eco-town", "Walking and flying will be only ways of travel to an eco-town", "Roadworks will delay traffic when an eco-town is being built", OR "Cars will be kept out of eco-towns"</p>	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
7(a)(ii)	<p>They might get rich by selling their land to developers * (1) Their children cannot afford to buy a house here unless more homes are built * (1) OR will have to leave the area if more homes not built * (1) They may get employment in the eco-town* (1) They will get access to more services/facilities (must give an example: e.g. hospital/cinema) (1) They may have a business which will get more custom (1) Many people are homeless (1) OR there is a need for more affordable housing (1) OR it will provide affordable housing (1) Rural house prices rose more quickly than urban ones recently (1) Some green belt land is of low environmental quality (so it doesn't matter if it is built on) (1) OR Eco-town may be built on brownfield land in the green belt (1)</p> <p>Do NOT accept just "eco-town will be environmentally-friendly" BUT award marks for possible examples: e.g. it will have zero-carbon housing (1), it will use renewable energy (1), it will help combat global warming (1), it will emphasise public transport / cycling / walking (1)</p> <p>Accept the argument that NIMBYs should be less selfish but ONLY IF a selfish reason is not credited above (see * above)</p>	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
7(b)	An eco-town will have to keep cars out if it is to be truly environmentally-friendly	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
8(a)	<p>Use empty houses (1) Discourage ownership of second homes (1) Encourage elderly people to move into smaller properties (1) Build on brownfield sites in (existing) urban areas/towns/cities (1)</p> <p>Do NOT accept "build on green belt/greenfield land", or "build more social housing" (as these are not necessarily different from buildings eco-towns) OR "improve the energy-efficiency of existing houses"</p> <p>Do NOT accept solutions that are not mentioned in Figure 12</p>	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
8(b)	<p>Marks are for reasons that support their stated opinion - they may agree, disagree or have mixed views. Credit any valid evidence given in support of their opinion.</p> <p>Reasons For England has a high and growing population (density) so it is important to save land (1) Makes fuller / more intensive use of spare land in cities (1) Less rural/greenfield land need be lost to housing (1) Flats may suit growing number of single person households (1) May be more affordable than low density housing (1) so may reduce homelessness (1) High-rise flats have lower infrastructure costs (eg water, electricity) than low density estates (1)</p> <p>Reasons Against High-rise flats proved unpopular in England in 1970s/1980s (1) so many blocks of flats were demolished (1) High-rise flats not suitable for elderly people (1) due to access problems /lifts breaking down (1) High-rise flats not suitable for young children (1) due to lack of accessible play space (1) May not save much land if green spaces are created for recreation/sunlight (1) New blocks may be luxury apartments which do not address problem of affordability/homelessness (1) Low density housing not a problem as England has plenty of open space left (88% of its area) (1)</p> <p>Any three points (reasons for and/or against)</p>	

		(3)
Question Number	Indicative content	
9(a)	<p>No mark for choices. Credit valid reasons for their choices.</p> <p>Criteria from Figure 10 are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economic - are there enough varied local job opportunities? • Social and cultural - is it safe and inclusive with a good community spirit? • Environmental - is it considerate to local and global environments? • Equity - are all groups fairly catered for? • Housing and built environment - is it well designed and built? • Services - are they varied and locally accessible? • Transport and Connectivity - are transport services adequate? • Governance - is it well run and with good public participation? <p>NB Candidates do NOT have to use all these particular criteria. They may also use other criteria (eg cost, time to complete). See the Information for Examiners table (at end) for more details.</p>	
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material
Level 1	1-3	<p>Only considers a few relevant criteria.</p> <p>Makes vague comments without evidence or makes simple points lifted from Figures 13 - 16</p> <p>Eg Vague:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town A will have lots of affordable homes • Town A will have lots of things for people to do • Town B will provide many jobs • Town B will have good transport • Town C will be attractive to visitors • Town C will be good for the environment <p>Eg Lifted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All buildings in Town A/B/C will be carbon-neutral • One company owns all the land so Town A can be built quickly • Town A will have a park with chalk grassland • Most existing hedges will be kept in Town A • Bio-fuel may be made from waste cooking oil at Town A • Town B will make use of a derelict quarry • Town B will get rid of a derelict cement works • A trust with local representatives will help run Town A/B/C • Shipton eco-town will be self-sufficient in water • Facilities at Town B's schools will be for community use • Town C will use the site of an old army depot • C will relieve the pressure for building on Stratford's floodplain <p>To reach the top mark</p>

		Makes several simple points
Level 2	4-6	<p>Considers a number of relevant criteria. May use more sources than Figures 13 - 16</p> <p>Makes a number of simple points, but also includes at least one developed point (ie elaborates with more detail, or explanation, or makes comparisons)</p> <p>Eg Developed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town A/B/C will have its own renewable energy supply • Unlike Town B, no part of Town A/C is in the green belt • Town A will have dwellings to suit all sizes of households • Town A has the most accessible site by rail and by road • Town A will attract firms - and therefore jobs - because of its excellent accessibility • Town A offers a big choice of schools (5 primary, 2 secondary) • Town A will have sustainable drainage systems that reduce run-off and encourage infiltration • Town A has the highest proportion (40%) of affordable homes • Town A's trust has residents who are elected so it will definitely encourage participation and growth of a community spirit • Using treated waste water from Town A to top up water levels in the River Dever will improve biodiversity there • Town A will provide social clubs for every age group • Most of the green belt farmland taken by Town B will be used as a nature reserve/not actually be built on • The part of Town B's site with a flood risk will not be built on • Farmland lost at Town B is of lower quality than that lost at A • The anaerobic digester at Town B could provide fertiliser for local farms or residents' allotments • B will provide new habitats with bird and bat boxes in the trees • Town B's trains will provide fast public transport to Oxford • The nearness of Oxford Airport to Town B will encourage business people to locate firms there. • Town C will be built on a brownfield site • Town C's waste will be recycled or used for energy recovery - none will go to landfill • The electric car pool at Town C will encourage sustainable travel • Services at Town C are in walking distance of homes so a healthy lifestyle will be encouraged. • Town C will be safe from traffic due to the pedestrianised CBD • Extra tree planting at Town C/A will improve biodiversity • The emphasis on cycleways, footpaths and accessibility of on-site services may reduce car dependency at Town C • An eco-tourist amenity / centre for eco-town sciences at Town C will raise public awareness of green lifestyles <p>To reach the top mark:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes a few developed points • Writes in sentences with a clear, structured style • Spells, punctuates and uses the rules of grammar with some
	QWC	

		accuracy
Question Number	Indicative content	
9(b)	<p>Credit valid reasons for their choice.</p> <p>Criteria may be the same as in 9(a). See the Information for Examiners table (at end) for more details.</p>	
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No rewardable material
Level 1	1-2	<p>Only considers a few relevant criteria</p> <p>Makes vague comments without evidence or makes simple points lifted from Figures 13 - 16</p> <p>Eg Vague:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water will be used poorly at Town A • Town A will be bad for wildlife • The lay-out of Town B will be unpopular • Building on green belt is wrong • Growing bio-crops for Town B is a bad idea • Town C would be bad for the environment • People won't like Town C <p>Eg Lifted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town A will be built on Grade 3a agricultural land • Town A will engulf the village of Micheldever Station • Town B will be built on a quarry which is a Site of Special Scientific Interest with fossil reptiles • Town B will be built over a quarry which is a Country Wildlife Site • Shipton quarry has breeding birds and water fowl • Much of Town B will be built on farmland • Much of Town B will be built in the green belt • The Middle Quinton site only has a 'B' road • Town C will be next to the Cotswolds AONB • The rapid transit at Town C will be built on a greenway <p>To reach the top mark</p> <p>Makes several simple points</p>

Level 2	3-4	<p>Considers a number of relevant criteria. May use more sources than Figures 13 - 16</p> <p>Makes a number of simple points, but also includes at least one developed point (ie elaborates with more detail or explanation, or makes comparisons)</p> <p>Eg Developed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nearly all of Town A will be built on greenfield land • Town A will destroy higher quality farmland than Town B • Endangered species (e.g. stone curlew and brown hare) will lose their habitats if Town A is built • Residents of Micheldever Station village will have their scenic surroundings ruined by the building of Town A • Residents of Micheldever Station village will protest because a school is to be built on the fields near their homes • There may be social conflicts at Town A between newcomers and long-established residents of the village • People living in Town A will just commute to London by train • Town B will be an eyesore spoiling the green belt • The lowest part of the Town B's site has a high flood-risk • Some habitats at Town B's Country Wildlife Site will be destroyed so endangering rare plants and birds • The neighbourhoods in Town B do not have good mixes of housing so this could prevent social cohesion • Flights to and from Oxford Airport will cause noise pollution at Town B • Town B will encourage local farmers to grow bio-crops instead of producing food • Town B will take longer to build than Town A/C because the quarry has to be infilled first • Town C will spoil the view from the Cotswolds AONB • Town C may have drainage problems with its clay bedrock • Middle Quinton is the least accessible of the three towns • There is no guarantee that Town C will get a rapid transit link to Stratford • Town C may not attract enough firms to supply 3,000 jobs • Town C will be a commuter settlement causing congestion and noise on small country roads • The rare dingy skipper butterflies may lose their habitat if Town C is built • Much of the open space in Town C may be lost to more houses in the future <p>To reach the top mark:</p> <p>Includes a few developed points</p>

Information for Examiners

	TOWN A - MICHELDEVER STATION	TOWN B - SHIPTON	TOWN C - MIDDLE QUINTON
SITE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land • Relief, drainage and geology 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mostly greenfield (farmland) • Small brownfield area (sidings) • Not green belt • Undulating = easy to build on • No flood-risk (no surface streams on permeable chalk) • Sustainable drainage systems (swales, permeable paving) to reduce run-off 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brownfield (old quarry) and greenfield_(farmland) • Some housing in green belt • • Gentle slope = easy to build on • No flood risk where housing to be built (permeable limestone) • Land by R Cherwell has high flood-risk BUT nature reserve here to absorb run-off • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mainly brownfield (army depot and scrap yard) • Some greenfield (farmland) • Not green belt • Flat land = easy to build on • Poor drainage (due to clay) BUT permeable drives will reduce run-off • Slight flood risk in north BUT ponds and reed beds to store run-off (no houses there) •
HOUSING and BUILT ENVIRONMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12,500 new homes (most) • 24 houses per hectare • Pop density 55 per ha (lowest) • Traditional building styles and materials will suit local area • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5,000 new homes (fewest) • 27 houses per hectare • Pop density 63 per ha • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At least 6,000 new homes • 25 houses per hectare • Pop density 63 per ha • Tile/stone building materials will suit local area
SOCIAL and CULTURAL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neighbourhoods with mix of housing plus schools - should foster community spirit • BUT may be conflicts between villagers and newcomers • Car-free CBD = safer roads 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residential areas lack mix of housing - less social cohesion • Two 'A' roads crossing site hazardous for children • BUT emergency services in town e.g. ambulance, fire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neighbourhoods with mix of housing, community centre and school - should foster spirit • BUT spirit may be low if town is only a dormitory • Car-free CBD = safer roads

<p>EQUITY</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 40% affordable homes (most) • Housing for range of incomes • Social clubs for different ages (but adult males less well served than others?) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30% affordable homes (least) • Housing for range of incomes and household sizes (especially small ones - 33% housing is flats) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 33% affordable homes • Housing for range of incomes • Fewer job opportunities for females? (construction and recycling emphasised)
<p>GOVERNANCE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust with elected reps should encourage local participation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust could encourage local participation BUT will reps be elected? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust could encourage local participation BUT will reps be elected?
<p>ECONOMIC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jobs and income • Finance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population seems big enough (critical mass) for economically self-sustainable town • Good variety of new jobs • 16,250 jobs for population of 28,750 (so probably very little out-commuting) • Great accessibility for firms - so 16,250 new jobs are feasible • IT-based working from home • Much prime quality Grade 3a arable land built over (400ha?) so food/ job/income losses (larger losses than at B and C) • Won't divert investment from regeneration of Portsmouth and Southampton • No government spending on housing subsidies or infrastructure will be needed • • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town may be too small to be economically sustainable • Fair variety of new jobs • Some jobs/income in quarrying • Only 2,500 jobs for population of 11,400 (so much commuting to Oxford likely) • Good accessibility for firms • Some good quality Grade 3 arable land built over (120 ha?) so food/ job/ income losses • Switch to bio-crops would reduce local food output • Unlikely to divert investment from regenerating other cities (as quite remote from these) • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town may be too small to be economically sustainable • Fair variety of new jobs • Only 3000 jobs for population of 15,000 (so much commuting to Stratford and Birmingham likely) • Poor accessibility - 3000 jobs and economic lift-off dubious • BUT scope for IT-based work at home • Little farmland lost • Some existing jobs (in freight businesses) to continue • Unlikely to divert investment from regenerating other cities (as quite remote from these) • Government will get money from the development value of their former MoD property •

<p>TRANSPORT and CONNECTIVITY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External • Internal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessibility NOW is excellent (M3, 'A' roads, rail and bus) • Local airfield (private flights) • Great access could encourage commuting (e.g. to London) BUT few residents may need to (as many local jobs available) • Accessibility will be improved: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Upgraded train station * New rail freight depot may attract industry • Priority in CBD for public transport, pedestrians, cyclists • Bus services, cycleways and paths throughout town will encourage sustainable travel 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessibility NOW is good (by 'A' roads and bus) • Commercial airport readily accessible (unlike A and C) • Accessibility will be improved: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * New train station with shuttle to Oxford (quicker than car) * Park 'n ride for 500 cars * Bus services to local towns • Quarry fill brought by rail - no lorries causing traffic jams • All daily needs in walking distance from housing • Car club may reduce car use BUT edge locations of CBD and park & ride may encourage residents in west to use cars 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessibility NOW is poor (no 'A' roads or passenger station) • Local airfield (private flights) • Accessibility will be improved: • Passenger station with rail link south and possible new rapid transit link north <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * More frequent bus services • Car commuters may jam roads BUT funds for Stratford relief road and better access to M40 • CBD pedestrianised and each housing area easily walkable • Electric car pool, cycle paths and shuttle bus services will encourage sustainable travel
<p>SERVICES</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wide range of shops + market • 7 schools + their sport facilities for community use • Community groups for all ages: e.g. cubs, teenagers, mums and toddlers, WI, U3A • Park, playing fields and local greens for recreation • Allotments • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Range of shops + market • 4 schools + their facilities (e.g. IT, sport) for community use • Wide range of other services e.g. hotel, health centre, fire, police, ambulance • Playgrounds, open-air gym, lake & maybe marina for recreation • Allotments and orchards • Eco-education centre 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Range of shops + market • 4 schools + sport facilities of one for community use • Range of other services e.g. medical centre, fire, police • Possible Eden Project-style eco-tourist amenity • Green spaces for recreation • Allotments • University eco-town centre •

<p>ENVIRONMENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Energy and carbon emissions • Waste • Water • Biodiversity • Noise • Landscape 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renewable energy - <u>solar</u> plus town waste, also wind • Carbon-neutral buildings • Few carbon emissions from cars (commuting limited) • Composting and storage of sorted waste (for recycling) • Some waste for energy recovery • Biofuel from waste cooking oil • Treated wastewater recycled • Piped in and then recycled into chalk/river - will top up supply in this water-stressed region • Rainwater harvested • Grey water reused • Habitat lost (threatened species) • BUT hedges kept and trees planted = wildlife corridors • New habitat - chalk grassland • R. Dever's biodiversity boosted • Little noise from extra cars • Little noise from aircraft at local airfield (flight paths avoid Micheldever Station village) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renewable energy - bio-crops/ waste plus solar & ground heat • Carbon-neutral buildings • BUT many carbon emissions if there is much commuting by car rather than train • • Reduce, reuse and recycle waste • Some waste for energy recovery • Fertiliser is by-product of anaerobic digestion • • Self-sufficient in water from aquifer and recycling - useful as this is water-stressed region • Drinkable and recycled water piped separately to dwellings • CWS habitats lost • BUT lake kept for waterfowl • Trees with bat and bird boxes BUT falcons don't nest in trees • New wetland habitats created • Some noise from extra traffic • Take-off flights from Oxford Airport go over eco-town site - so noise pollution for residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Renewable energy</u> - from town waste and sewage, also solar • Carbon-neutral buildings • BUT much commuting by car will increase carbon emissions • Maybe biofuel tram/bus • Buildings vacuum-connected to recycling centre (no waste trucks needed) • Sewage for energy recovery • No waste to landfill • Rainwater harvested (for use in toilets and washing machines) • Grey water reused • Not in a water-stressed region • • Rare butterfly habitat ruined? BUT new habitats - lakes and plantations (wildlife corridors) • Habitats further threatened • if more than 6,000 homes built • Much noise from extra traffic • BUT local airfield already has music festivals and drag racing • Little aircraft noise (flight paths avoid Long Marston village)
---	---	--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rural scenery will be spoilt especially for existing villagers • Largest area of land lost to buildings (site totals 520ha) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ugly works demolished and derelict quarry reclaimed BUT rural scenery in west spoilt • Much of SSSI will be buried BUT six limestone exposures saved for public to see fossils 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Views from hills of Cotswolds AONB will be spoilt BUT army depot and scrap-yard are already eyesores
DEMAND	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local MPs and all councils anti so little local support • Protests by local villagers • BUT many people on housing waiting lists in Winchester, Basingstoke and Andover 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local MP and most councils anti (only one in favour) so only limited local support • Protests by local wildlife group • BUT many people on housing waiting list in Oxford 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local MPs and all councils anti so little local support • Protests made by local CPRE and local villagers • BUT many people on housing waiting list in Stratford
DELIVERY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Built quickly as all land belongs to just one owner 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delay to house-building due to quarrying and then infilling BUT 3200 built by 2016 and town still complete by 2020 • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Built quickly as land belongs to only two owners who are working together •

Further copies of this publication are available from
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467

Fax 01623 450481

Email publications@linneydirect.com

Order Code

Summer 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750
Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH