

Moderators' Report/ Principal Moderator Feedback

Summer 2016

Pearson Edexcel GCSE in French (5FR02/2A) Paper 2A: Speaking in French

### **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or <a href="www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or our contact us page at <a href="www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

# Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

Summer 2016
Publications Code 5FR02\_2A\_1606\_ER
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2016

GCSE French Unit 2: Speaking in French Moderator Report

#### General

Teachers are to be congratulated on putting their candidates at ease during the orals. Moderators listened to some imaginative, creative and interesting orals and the level of performance and achievement of many of the candidates entered was very pleasing.

## Tasks

Centres have a choice of 3 tasks:

- 1. an open interaction
- 2. a picture-based discussion
- 3. a presentation and discussion.

Each candidate must undertake at least 2 of these 3 task types but only one has to be recorded and submitted. Centres are required to submit in the moderation sample recordings of at least two different task types for which they are submitting marks across the whole centre cohort. Although the majority of centres once again opted for the presentation and discussion and picture-based discussion, there was an increase in the number of centres who undertook an open interaction task.

The best tasks have a stimulus to support candidates. However, centres should remember that any such stimulus should not exceed 70 words. Furthermore, the stimulus may contain visual prompts in addition to the 70 words of either English or French. There is no word limit for the task itself but centres are advised to set concise tasks.

Centres create their own task sheets for the Presentation and Discussion and the Picture-based discussion – there are no Pearson Edexcel set tasks for these 2 options. Whilst some centres provided their candidates with a task sheet, other centres did not, simply giving a title, e.g. *Your school*.

Since all tasks have to be refreshed every 2 years, the best tasks consist of a title with 5 or so bullet points to guide and support candidates. The tasks can then be refreshed at the end of 2 years by merely changing one of these bullet points in these cases. If the task is 'just' a title, this title may not be used after 2 years and candidates will need to be given a new task.

Since the assessment criteria require candidates to demonstrate spontaneity, an ability to interact and to deal with unpredictable elements, task sheets with a specified list of questions to prepare will not allow candidates to access the higher mark bands.

An increasing number of centres differentiated their tasks to cater for the different levels of candidates while many centres continued to give all candidates the same task which differentiated the outcome. This was not always a positive experience for candidates.

Teachers may start with a common task but then modify it. For example, creating 2 or 3 versions to correspond to the ability level of different candidates within the cohort or

group. It is not a requirement for all candidates in the same teaching group to do the same task.

## **Themes**

Centres are free to choose their own themes for the orals: 4 themes have been identified by Pearson Edexcel (p9 of the specification) but these are not prescriptive. Candidates may undertake both tasks on the same theme if they wish although there should be no direct overlap of content.

Holidays (generally as a picture based discussion or a presentation and discussion) and school (generally as a presentation and discussion) continue to be the most popular topics, although the use of media, especially mobile technology and computers, is increasingly more popular. The topic of the environment was also well exploited by a wide range of candidates, particularly at the top end of the ability range. This often proved beneficial in terms of the range of language as candidates used some excellent vocabulary very proficiently.

Job or Careers interviews were a popular choice for Open Interactions and these worked well for offering a personalised response.

In contrast this year, themes such as Ma Famille or Ma Ville / Ma Région did not often allow the candidates the use of more sophisticated vocabulary nor did they lend themselves to a range of structures and tenses since candidates were often restricting themselves to simple descriptions and lists of things that they had done or seen.

#### Conduct

In general, the orals were well conducted and the skilful and appropriate questioning from the teacher-examiner afforded candidates the opportunities to fulfil their potential in line with the criteria enabling candidates to achieve their best.

Where candidates were successful, teacher examiners asked questions appropriate to the level of the candidate being examined, challenging more able candidates by asking for further explanation of points made and tailoring their questions to the responses of candidates, thus promoting more spontaneous conversations. In order for candidates to reach the higher mark bands they must also be given the opportunities to interact and to deal with unpredictable elements (questions they had not already planned to answer).

Weaker candidates should have the opportunity to respond to more modest questions using language which they are able to manipulate rather than attempt questions that they do not understand or have the capacity to answer. However, less able candidates were asked some very difficult questions, often in a range of tenses, where a simpler line of questioning would have instead enabled them to access higher marks for Content and Response.

Conversely, more able candidates need to have opportunities to express a range of ideas and points of view and to demonstrate a range of more complex structures and vocabulary.

Some teacher-examiners asked repetitive questions, such as:

Que fais-tu généralement le week-end? Qu'est-ce que tu as fait le week-end dernier? Que vas-tu faire le week-end prochain?

This limits the outcomes for the more able candidates.

There were occasions where teacher-examiners asked too many closed questions. Where a candidate was capable and clearly able to produce extended answers, this was extremely disappointing as the candidate, in a stressful situation, often opted for a yes/no response rather than produce responses that would allow them to reach their full potential. Similarly, on occasions candidates were not given enough thinking time before teacher-examiners rephrased questions, meaning that candidates were then judged to be reliant on teacher-examiner prompting.

# **Open Interaction**

Where the interactions were exploited skilfully by the teacher-examiner, there were some excellent performances by candidates of all levels of ability. The greater support that the Open Interaction allows within the stimulus often allowed weaker candidate to achieve better marks. Well-structured Open Interaction tasks encouraged high scores in the Content and Response grid due to the level of genuine interaction, spontaneity and the opportunity to respond to unpredictable elements, where teacher-examiners used the responses of the candidates to elicit further information. Candidates were able to engage in a spontaneous role-play type situation, e.g. in a tourist office responding to a tourist asking for information on the local area, working in a leisure centre or candidates being interviewed for a job.

Centres are reminded that there is no 'general conversation' task type in this Specification and conducting the Open Interaction task in this way will have implications for the mark which can be awarded for Content and Response due to the lack of interaction.

A significant number of centres conducted this task as a question and answer session – a general conversation rather than an extended unscripted role-play scenario in response to a stimulus.

You are being interviewed about healthy living by your French speaking friend or You are having a conversation with your French pen friend about your school / hobbies did not work well at all since there was no obvious role-play situation and these turned into general discussions on healthy eating, school or hobbies.

The role of the candidate is important, and should the candidate fail to maintain the role throughout the task, this is an obvious omission within the Content and Response section of the Assessment criteria.

There is an expectation in the Open Interaction that candidates will ask the teacher-examiner questions and the vast majority of tasks reminded candidates of the need to do so. It was unfortunate that some candidates forgot to ask questions and were not prompted by their teacher examiner to do so.

Centres should refer to the *Marking Guidance* for how to apply the assessment criteria in such instances. It is acceptable for candidates to be asked, *Vous avez des questions?* Candidates produced more successful tasks where the candidate questions were woven into the body of the oral rather than as an add-on at the end, as the teacher examiner and candidates were both able to exploit the situation more spontaneously.

## Picture Based discussion

This continues to be a very popular choice with centres. Candidates may give a presentation of up to one minute but it is not mandatory and the task may start with questions from the teacher-examiner. However, it bears repeating that the picture is a 'prompt to discussion' so the oral must start from the candidate's picture whether it be by a short presentation or by questioning such as *Qu'est-ce qu'il y a dans la photo?* or *Qu'est-ce qui se passe dans la photo?* 

Sometimes, the picture was not referred to at all – by either candidate or the teacher-examiner. The assessment criteria for Content and Response refer specifically to information related to the chosen visual. This will have an impact on the marks available to candidates who do not refer to the picture as it is considered to be a major omission.

Centres are reminded, however, that there is a difference between this task and the Presentation and Discussion in that the introductory presentation of the picture should only be up to a minute in length. Many candidates were clearly motivated by being able to bring in their own picture which often led to very individual performances. Pictures of a holiday, a hobby or a favourite celebrity were popular and candidates frequently spoke with great enthusiasm. In many cases, a short presentation or brief discussion on the photo or picture led on to wider conversation which was interesting to listen to.

## **Presentation and Discussion**

Centres should note that the specification states: students can ... give a presentation and then respond to a series of linked, follow-up questions... Therefore, if the presentation is on one sub-topic and the discussion is on another i.e. there is no linking or progression/follow-up between the two parts of this task, this will be deemed an omission. In some cases, there were two completely unrelated topics which has an impact on the marks awarded.

Where this was successful, centres used the presentation as a starting point, and the remaining time to follow-up on ideas given by the candidate, to probe further about the subject, and allow the candidate to take part in a spontaneous exchange.

The task was often less successful where the presentation was followed by a sequence of well-rehearsed questions and answers. This did not allow candidates to access the higher mark bands as there is a need for spontaneity, interaction and an ability to deal with unpredictable questions within the tasks.

Although many candidates performed well here and were a pleasure to listen to, in a minority of cases candidates who had prepared their presentation thoroughly, and were able to perform well, then had little left for the more interactive part. This was sometimes because the presentation took up the majority of the time available for the task and the candidate was unable to answer questions about the topic. 90 seconds is an adequate time for the presentation and allows more time for follow-up discussion. The presentation section only allows candidates to fulfil certain assessment criteria and the follow-up discussion section then allows them to fulfil others. It is therefore crucial to ensure that both sections are well represented and accomplished.

# **Timings**

Centres should be aware that moderators stop moderating after six minutes and any material beyond that will not be considered for assessment. Similarly at the other end of the time scale, four minutes may represent too long a time for weaker candidates and orals which last 3'30" will be tolerated. Centres should note that short tasks will incur a penalty of two marks from the Content and Response section of the assessment criteria.

Centres are reminded that in the presentation and discussion task, candidates give a presentation which must last between one minute minimum and three minutes maximum.

In a minority of centres, presentations less than one minute long were heard and these also incur a two mark deduction from the Content and Response grid. Many centres had not deducted two marks in these cases.

In the picture based discussion task type, candidates may give a presentation but they do not have to. If they do choose to start off with a presentation, this may last a maximum of 1 minute.

# Recordings

Centres are reminded that all recordings should be in digital format on either CD or USB.

It is important that centres check their recordings before sending off the samples. Moderators reported that in a number of samples that the CDs sent were blank and the work of candidates had not been burnt successfully or that individual candidates were missing. Centres are reminded It is important to check for compatibility and details of accepted digital formats (.mp3 (at least 192 kbit/s), .wav, .wma), these are listed in the Administrative support guide.

There were a significant number of centres where CDs were incorrectly labelled and centres are kindly requested to include with the CDs or USBs a track list, giving details of the centre number, candidate name and number, language and series. It also avoids confusion if details of the candidate name and number are announced clearly at the start of each oral task. It is not necessary to introduce the centre at the beginning of every recording.

Where orals have been recorded as music/audio files, it would be helpful to moderators if centres could write the relevant track number on the CM2 form in the appropriate space.

There were also a number of cases where the candidates could not be heard clearly. Whilst it is not necessary to conduct the orals one-on-one in a dedicated room, there is a need for minimal background noise so that the candidate being examined can be clearly heard. It is also important that the candidate favours the candidate rather than the examiner although both must be able to be heard.

All recordings will be returned to centres after the deadlines for the Enquiry about Results.

# Marking

It is pleasing for moderators to report that an increasing number of teacher-examiners showed a good understanding of the assessment criteria and were able to differentiate performances among their candidates. In centres with more than one teacher involved in the assessments, there was often clear evidence of internal standardisation both across teachers and task types.

Unfortunately, there were centres whose marks were not in line with the national standard and needed adjustment.

Moderators also reported that some candidates who scored 0 were worthy of at least one mark. It is important that centres are aware that to score 0 there must be no rewardable language throughout the test.

## **Content and Response**

It is important for centres to note that the assessment criteria are applied globally on a best-fit basis. Where marking was not in line with the agreed standards, centres tended to overvalue their candidates' performance. Centre marks and moderator marks often differed where there were pre-learnt 'conversations' which consisted of a question and answer session but lack interaction, or where there was no evidence of candidates ability to expand information or take initiative in the conversation.

The candidate needs to show evidence of the ability to interact well with the teacher examiner and respond spontaneously to unpredictable questions is necessary to attain marks in the higher mark bands. Thus marks were incorrectly awarded in the 16-18 mark band in cases where the candidate gave extended, informative answers which had clearly been pre-learnt but where they did not show any spontaneity or ability to respond to unpredictable questions.

Marks were also awarded too generously in the 12-15 mark band for candidates who answered a lot of questions but tended to give short answers, who were too hesitant and showed limited initiative to drive the conversation forward.

Centres were more accurate in their interpretation of the middle mark bands. On the other hand, in a number of centres performances of the weakest candidates were often under-valued in this section. Candidates who had given a decent amount of information, albeit it in short simple sentences and were able to maintain the conversation for four minutes, were still put in the 1-3 band when they deserved to be in the 4-7 band.

# Range of Language and Accuracy

Centres are reminded that the marks awarded are dependent on the amount of French conveyed by the candidate and the Range of Language grid rewards candidates for the breadth or range of language used. It is unusual for a candidate who scores in the lower mark bands for Content and Response to score highly in these grids since there is not the breadth of language required. In a number of centres where the marking was too generous, it was apparent that the use of tenses was given priority over the use of a variety of structures and vocabulary. As such, candidates were able to speak in three tenses, but only used short sentences and simple vocabulary.

Teachers should be aware then that the demonstration of a good grasp of the tenses alone does not mean candidates will automatically score a mark of 5 – they do need to demonstrate a wide range of structures and, in addition, varied vocabulary.

Similarly, centres were often also too generous when awarding the mark for Accuracy. It is important to note that the mere lack of error does not mean the candidate will score highly. The candidate must attempt to use more complex structures to reach 5 and there must be generally good pronunciation and intonation.

A number of centres marked too generously for Range of Language and Accuracy. Marks were based on the information given and level of language used by a candidate in the Presentation without acknowledging that this was not sustained in the follow-up discussion, therefore as the mark is awarded globally, and gave 5 marks when the mark was more suited to 4.

In order to decide whether a candidate should score 3 or 4 marks, teacher-examiners should look at the mark bands above and below and decide to which band the candidate is closer. In some centres, teacher examiners were harsh in not taking into account the attempts to use more complex language and different forms of subordination and candidates were awarded 3 and not 4, although the performance was closer to the 5 mark band than the 2 mark band. For the Accuracy mark too much emphasis was put on the poor pronunciation of some candidates where there were elements of the 5 box communicated, more than half was accurate and communication was rarely affected, thus the mark of 4 was merited rather than 3 marks.

Centres are reminded that marks awarded for all 3 grids are awarded globally across the whole performance.

### **Administration**

Many centres completed the administration excellently. However, some omitted to include vital documents but responded quickly to moderators' requests for material. Centres are advised to refer to the Administrative support guide (Instructions for the Conduct of the Examination and Controlled Assessments) available on the web site, <a href="here">here</a>. This details exactly which materials should be sent to the moderator.

#### CM2 forms

Centres are reminded that the Code of Practice requires that assessment evidence provided by candidates has been authenticated. The CM2 is also the authenticity form and **must** be signed by both candidates and teacher. The CM2 form is the updated Candidate mark sheet and replaces all previous mark sheets.

# CA2 forms

CA2 forms were not always used to their best effect, especially by weaker candidates who often wrote more complex vocabulary items. However it was apparent that they did not know how to pronounce them, and consequently communication was impaired. It is not recommended that complete sentences are written on the CA2 form as this restricts the amount of support from the form.

Candidates may not use coded form. E.g. jvfdc - Je voudrais faire des courses.

## Task sheets

A number of centres failed to send any task sheets or stimulus materials to moderators.

Centres are reminded that a copy of each task used in the moderation sample must be sent to the moderator. Moderators are only able to moderate a candidate's performance if they have access to the task used in the assessment.

The task sheet should be just that, a task sheet with no reminders to use a range of tenses, or to express opinions etc. Such reminders should be achieved via the bullet points e.g. mention an activity you did last week (to encourage past tense), say why this was enjoyable (to encourage opinion and reason) etc.

Below is a checklist of materials to send to the moderator.

For each candidate in the sample:				
Task/stimulus				
Form CA2 (Candidate Notes Form) or section on CM2 completed if not used.				
Candidate Mark Sheet for Unit 2: Speaking (CM2) - signed by both				
candidate and centre-assessor.				
Any candidate unable to provide an authentication statement will receive				
zero credit for the component.				
Recording of task 2A ONLY. Please do not send any 2B recordings.				
For your centre:	Tick			
The OPTEMS middle copy (yellow) or printout of marks submitted on				
Edexcel Online for 2A ONLY.				
The work of the highest scoring candidate and lowest scoring candidate, if				
not already included in the sample.				
For any asterisked candidates which are absent or scored zero, replacement				
candidates must be supplied to meet the required number of candidates				
A mixture of task types - ( Open Interactions / Presentations / Picture Based				
Discussions)				

## The GCSE 12 French web page

http://www.edexcel.com/quals/gcse/gcse09/mfl/french/Pages/default.aspx offers a wealth of support to teachers on the CA in speaking and teachers should check for any updates on a regular basis: it is possible to sign up for email alerts (see bottom of web page for details). E.g. under Teacher Support Materials, there is a Summary of useful support for GCSE Modern Foreign Languages - French, German and Spanish Controlled Assessment Unit

The Controlled Assessment Teacher Support Book – speaking is an invaluable resource with many FAQs

http://www.edexcel.com/migrationdocuments/GCSE%20New%20GCSE/GCSE-Controlled-Assessment-TSB%20MFL%20 Speaking %20finalised.pdf as is the Supplementary Frequently Asked Questions – GCSE Controlled Assessments (Speaking and Writing)

http://www.edexcel.com/migrationdocuments/GCSE%20New%20GCSE/FAQs-Supplement.pdf

The *Controlled Assessment Exemplar Materials* include exemplar orals, tasks and moderator commentaries.

For those wanting a brief overview, there is a Podcast

(<a href="http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/podcast-mfl.aspx">http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/podcast-mfl.aspx</a> ) and you can ask and answer queries and exchange ideas via the MFL Online community (<a href="http://community.edexcel.com/forums/22.aspx">http://community.edexcel.com/forums/22.aspx</a> ).

Any teacher with a specific query about any aspect of the CA process should send an email to one of the Senior Examiners for French at *Ask the Expert* gcsefrench@edexcelexperts.co.uk. A reply will be received within 48 hours (often

sooner). Alternatively, teachers may like to send their query to LanguagesSubjectAdvisor@edexcelexperts.co.uk

In addition to the GCSE French page, there is a generic languages web page and it is possible to subscribe to regular newsletters with updates and advice via this web page <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/Subjects/Languages/Pages/Default.aspx">http://www.edexcel.com/Subjects/Languages/Pages/Default.aspx</a>

Finally, Edexcel offers a programme of trainings events – both face-to- face and online. Please refer to

http://www.edexcel.com/resources/training/Pages/default.aspx

# GCSE Modern Foreign Languages - Main Taught

# Unit 2: Speaking Marking principles

Tests which are **too short**: < less than 3'30" - so 3'29 " is too short

- Automatic deduction of 2 marks on the Content and Response grid.
- There is no penalty applied to either Range or Accuracy.

Test which are **too long**: > more than 6 minutes

• Stop listening and assessing at the end of the first sentence after 6 minutes have elapsed

Test which are a monologue and have no interaction

- No more than 7 for Content and Response
- There is no penalty applied for either Range or Accuracy

# Open interaction tasks only

- Candidate asks only one question deduct 1 mark from the Content and Response (where two or more questions are clearly required)
- Candidate asks no questions deduct 2 marks from the Content and Response.

There are no penalties on the other two assessment grids.

There is an expectation in this task type that the candidate will ask the TE questions since it is a transactional task type. Although interaction is important in all three task types, there is no requirement for candidates to ask questions in the Presentation and discussion or in the Picture-based discussion task options.

## **Presentation and Discussion**

• The presentation must last between 1 - 3 mins (max). If the presentation is shorter than 1 min deduct 2 marks for Content and Response.

Marks for content and language are awarded discretely – i.e. if a candidate scores 8-11 for content, there is no imposed ceiling on either of the other assessment boxes for range or accuracy.

These are the only penalties to be applied. Pro-rata calculations from other specifications or languages should not be used.

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

**Grade Boundaries** 

link: