

# **GCSE**

150/06

ENGLISH HIGHER TIER PAPER 2

P.M. WEDNESDAY, 18 May 2011 2 hours

#### ADDITIONAL MATERIALS

A 12 page answer book.

Resource Material for use with Section A.

#### INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

Use black ink or black ball-point pen.

Answer all questions in Sections A and B.

Write your answers in the separate answer book provided.

You are advised to spend your time as follows:

Section A – about 50 minutes

Section B

Q. B1 – about 35 minutes Q. B2 – about 35 minutes

### INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES

Section A (Reading): 40 marks Section B (Writing): 40 marks

The number of marks is given in brackets at the end of each question or part-question.

VP\*(S11-150-06) Turn over.

#### SECTION A: 40 marks

#### Answer all the following questions.

The Resource Material for use with **Section A** is an article by Mark Hodson.

In the passage on the opposite page, Max Hastings addresses the issue of 'binge flying' and its effect on the climate.

Look at the passage by Max Hastings on the opposite page.

A1. (a) Look at the first four paragraphs of the passage.

What arguments does Max Hastings put forward in favour of flying? [5]

(b) Now look at the rest of the passage.

According to Max Hastings, why is it difficult to change our behaviour when it comes to flying? [5]

Now look at the article 'When It's Good To Fly' by Mark Hodson in the separate Resource Material.

- **A2.** Explain carefully why, according to Mark Hodson, Porini Camp and Grootbos can be 'a great force for good'. [10]
- A3. How does Mark Hodson try to persuade his readers that Shinta Mani and Zeavola are worthwhile places to visit?

Think about:

- what he says;
- how he says it.

[10]

To answer this question you will need to refer to both texts.

**A4.** Compare what these texts say about the effects of flying and tourism.

You should organise your answer using the following headings:

- the effects of flying and tourism on the **environment**;
- the effects of flying and tourism on the **people of poor countries**.

[10]

## Addressing binge flying is vital for the climate

Almost all of us are hypocrites about climate change. We know that it is real, and desperately serious. Yet, we are in a shocking muddle about how to relate our personal behaviour to the phenomenon.

For those who inhabit the developed world, opportunities for travel represent the most significant new personal freedom of the past half-century. Even as recently as the 1960s, hitch-hiking to Greece or Turkey was a big deal for the adventurous young middle class. South America and Australia were almost off the map. Today, it is possible to fly cheaply almost anywhere, and we all do. Every arriving jet at Nairobi or Buenos Aires disgorges crowds of tourists, and short breaks, which mean intensive plane use, are booming.

Common sense tells us that all this is environmentally disastrous. Yet common sense also tells us that tourism is doing great things for the economies and people of poor countries all over the world. Carbon emissions soar as a result of flying flowers and vegetables to Europe and America from Africa and Mexico. Yet if that traffic stopped, millions of needy people in the grower's trade would suffer.

All this leaves many of us confused. Relatively speaking, the travel boom has hardly started. In the decades ahead many more millions will possess the means and the desire to fly further and more often. The Chinese, for example, have only just begun to discover the joys of holidaying abroad. Suggesting to people who live in the third world that they should not travel is like the modern, Western enthusiasm for saving Africa's great animals after slaughtering them for two centuries.

Even in the West, it is not popular for any government to try to reduce the passion for flying. Flying could be made more expensive, but it does not sound good if the poor cannot travel while the rich stay airborne.

The obvious way forward would be to tax aviation fuel and end the ridiculous situation where flying is cheap but driving a car is expensive. However, it is almost impossible to reach an international agreement which would stick, or persuade people to pay more to fly.

The bad news for the environment is that it is impossible to believe that the global travel boom will stop. Whatever is done in Britain, or other Western countries, many other nations that have only just begun to experience prosperity have no intention of depriving their citizens of its privileges.

However, that is no reason for us to do nothing. Indeed, it would be irresponsible not to do anything. We must impose some discipline on our own travel, refusing to give in to 'binge flying'. However, only a minority of people, the same kind who buy organic products, are likely to listen. Most of us change our habits only when we are made to do so. We will fly less only when it hurts our pockets too much to fly more, but that will not be easy to enforce.

Max Hastings (Guardian News and Media Ltd. 2007)

(150-06) Turn over.

#### SECTION B: 40 marks

#### Answer Question B1 and Question B2.

In this section you will be assessed for your writing skills, including the presentation of your work.

Take special care with handwriting, spelling, punctuation and layout.

Think about the purpose and audience for your writing.

A guide to the amount you should write is given with each question.

**B1.** Many people think that we should take holidays in Britain rather than travel abroad.

Write a letter to a newspaper giving your views on this issue.

[20]

The quality of your writing is more important than its length. You should write about one to two pages in your answer book.

**B2.** Write a lively article for your school or college magazine with the title: 'How To Survive Your GCSE Year'. [20]

The quality of your writing is more important than its length. You should write about one to two pages in your answer book.



# GCSE

150/06-A

ENGLISH HIGHER TIER PAPER 2

P.M. WEDNESDAY, 18 May 2011

Resource Material for use with Section A

# WHEN IT'S GOOD TO FLY

First we say you've got to fly less. Now we're saying you have to jet across the planet to stay in eco-luxury. Life's confusing, says Mark Hodson



Flying is bad, right? Nobody with a social conscience should fly halfway round the world on holiday, spewing carbon into the atmosphere.

Well, it depends. If we were all to stop travelling to developing countries tomorrow, who would suffer? Not just us, but hundreds of thousands of people whose livelihoods depend on tourism. We've spent a generation trying to persuade people in poor countries throughout the world that if they protect their local ecosystems, we'll pay them a rewarding visit. So, do we walk away from the rainforest eco-lodges, community-run safari camps and conservation diving schools just because environmentalists tell us to?

This does not give us the right to whizz around the world, but it should remind us that travel, when organised with care, can be a great force for good.

# PORINI CAMP, Kenya

African national parks are a good thing. That's a no-brainer, isn't it? But what happens on the other side of the fence? In Kenya, it turns out that the local Masai people felt excluded and their feelings weren't exactly boosted by the busloads of tourists who were herded into their villages to stare at the 'colourful' natives. No surprise, then, that the Masai continued to hunt protected animals, which they regarded as a threat rather than a benefit.

But Jake Cook, a white Kenyan, had an idea. Why not open a camp on Masai-owned land outside the national park, employ local people to build and run it, and pay them rent? Not only would the tribes reap a real benefit from tourism, they would also have an

incentive to conserve the wildlife. Visitors, in turn, would experience a genuinely warm welcome and get the run of a vast area of wilderness.

The result is Ambolesi Porini, a small tented camp a few miles north of Ambolesi National Park. Because only twelve visitors a day are allowed in, the animals – elephants, cheetahs, lions and leopards – remain genuinely wild and unaccustomed to the sight of vehicles.

#### **GROOTBOS, South Africa**

On paper, the Grootbos private nature reserve looks as though it might be too goodie-goodie to be true. A five-star eco-resort, it's so environmentally friendly that it lectures its guests about trees and seaweed.

Dull and worthy? Not when you get there. Barely visible from the road, the hotel hugs a wooded hillside overlooking a protected wild beach. It's stylish and comfortable, with cosy cottages hidden among trees. The hotel's ethos is 'luxury, conservation and social



responsibility'. The owner has opened a gardening school, the first in South Africa, to train jobless men from the nearby town, and built four football pitches for local schoolkids. The children can play only if they turn up with a bag of rubbish to recycle. Trained guides – also recruited from the local towns – walk guests through the grounds, pointing out the wildlife and some of the 9,700 plant species. Even if you have only a passing interest in plants, you'll be entranced.

#### SHINTA MANI, Cambodia

There aren't many hotels where you can phone room service and order two live piglets. But then Shinta Mani is no ordinary hotel. It works with the local community to take young people out of poverty and set them up in a career in the hotel industry. Each year, the hotel takes 20 disadvantaged youngsters and puts them through its own hospitality school. Guests can sponsor a student in exchange for photos and progress reports, or support local villagers: a donation of £45 buys a freshwater well, while £40 pays for the pair of piglets that an enterprising local family raise and sell on for a profit.

All well and good, but how is the hotel? With all those students running around, are you in for a Cambodian-style Fawlty Towers experience? Happily not. The service is outstanding, and the staff are polite and friendly.

#### **ZEAVOLA**, Thailand

When the tsunami swept over Thailand's coast, one of the worst affected areas was Ko Phi Phi. In the weeks after the disaster, there was talk that tourism was finished. The islanders had other ideas. A sparkling example of Phi Phi's resurgence can be seen in Zeavola, a sumptuous hotel on a white-sand beach. Guests stay in villas built in the style of a traditional village, and dine at a seafront restaurant. Since it opened, the hotel has worked with a local school which was badly hit by the tsunami. A donation is made for each night a guest stays, and the locals have repaired the school which now has a new classroom and a playground. The hotel also does good work in the community – donating staff and materials for clean-up projects.