



Examiners' Report June 2016

GCSE English Literature 5ET2F 01

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2016

Publications Code 5ET2F_01_1606_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2016

Introduction

The Unseen Poem was chosen from a prescribed list of fifteen poets. For the Anthology Poems, candidates are set one essay in which they have to compare the set poem from the Collection they have studied with one poem chosen by the candidate from the relevant Collection. There were considerable numbers of responses where candidates engaged with the nature of the poetry presented. Examiners for this paper were pleased with the quality of responses they read, in particular for the Unseen Poem. There were also many sound responses to the Anthology Poems, where candidates looked for links and comparative points across two poems, and there was evidence of careful preparation. The more successful candidates offered a sound analysis of the named poem as well as clear comparisons with the poem of their choice. Less confident candidates struggled to compare the poems effectively, often adding a brief comparative conclusion after treating each poem in isolation. Making more detailed and integrated links throughout both texts would have gained additional marks since most candidates showed an understanding of each poem.

Centres might like to consider further helping candidates to find, and comment on, similarities and differences between two poems, especially in their handling of thematic material.

With regard to the Unseen Poem, candidates could be encouraged to start with an intuitive approach to the text and then look for techniques which bear out their opinion, as opposed to a formulaic approach which tends to come across as somewhat 'artificial'. On the other hand, candidates who write entirely empathic responses without commenting on techniques tend to have difficulty hitting the criteria in the mark levels.

Overall, the responses had many sound features, demonstrating the candidates' enjoyment and engagement with the study of poetry.

Question 1

The poem selected for this paper was *Next Door* by Helen Dunmore, a poem reflecting on the similarities and differences between two apparently contrasting families. This was an appropriate choice for the Foundation paper and the majority of responses demonstrated at least some grasp of its themes and concerns. While some responses focused on the narrative content, the question's emphasis on 'thoughts and feelings' directed most candidates to make some comment on the more implicit meanings. More confident responses included observation and comment on the shifts in the poet's tone and presented phrases and techniques to support their arguments.

Most candidates could relate to the poem in some way, even if only on a literal level such as experiencing noisy neighbours with their loud invasive music or the possibly snobbish attitude of the poet. Many examiners noted that the imagery of the mirror was a real discriminator with candidates examining the metaphor and its possible meaning of how a mirror can distort and not show the full picture. Conflict and identity were picked up on and the final two lines, with their open-ended and ambiguous meaning, provoked some sensitive interpretations.

Examiners felt the poem lent itself to analysis of language techniques and candidates were able to identify and comment meaningfully on a range of language devices used by Helen Dunmore. At the lower end of the mark range, however, many responses focused on decontextualised 'technique and feature spotting' rather than explanation. The poem invited commentary on structure and form. Responses across most levels made at least some attempt to consider aspects of the poet's craft, linking for example, the use of enjambment and caesura to convey a sense of noise and busyness in the first two stanzas and then a sense of reflection in the final one with its feelings of ambiguity.

Most candidates had been taught to comment on the three bullet points which helped structure their responses. However, some stuck too rigidly to this approach and this lent itself to 'tagged-on' comments about language that did not really explore the context of the quotations selected.

In a number of candidates' responses the over-arching meaning of the poem became somewhat lost in the emphasis given to the poetic devices employed. An excessive focus on the use of particular parts of speech meant that sometimes the candidates failed to make connections between these points and the presentation of the poet's ideas. The balance between a holistic and technically specific approach was something which differentiated the most successful and the less successful responses.

This is a candidate's response to the Unseen Poem.

Helen Dunmore presents knoughts
and feelings about people living
next door by explaining what
is oping on in the house.
When we have parties they
tense into silence. This shows
that the neighbourhood don't
enpy the fact of other people
Dartifung.

The writer has organized
the poem into three stanza's.

At the end of the poem the
writer have used a rhetorical
question to get the reader
more interested in what
is actually going on in
that house

Helen Dunmore uses an easy language about what she thinks about the house but also uses some descriptive words such as demolition.

The meaning of this poem is to tell the readers about some random neighbours that are acting all strange. They have children that are slamming the doors and running around like wild playing war opines.

This poem has an effect on the recider as it gets them thinking what type of people they are, where aid they move from and why they are acting all strange, the suspicion from the other next doors gets the reader to be left with no more than the enaing: ... look over the fence, what will I see? This will leave the reader with the concussion about what is actually over the fence



There is some explanation of the poet's ideas and how effects are achieved with particular reference to language. All three bullet points have been addressed. There is a comment on the rhetorical question, but it is more generic than specific to the poem and so is not developed. The response was awarded a mark of 12 which is in Level 3.



Remember that a short introduction helps to focus on the task in hand. A conclusion rounds up the argument and frames the response. This is another candidate's response to the Unseen Poem.

In Next Door short stanza lengths are

used to show how little law other

knows how each other. They have lived

next to each other fer years but strut

they have no clue. This is strange

because normally neighbours get along

and have somethings in common but

this show that neither of them have

tried to get along.

A juxtaposition is used showing they live
In the same hause but they present is
differently. Is the same as ours but
different' shows how two people or
two families can be so opposite when
Surrainaed in the same environment.

Another Jux to position is used to show how sometimes the other family use 'silence' but are sometimes 'fighting' thu show now two faced people can be and what they want to keep to themselves about their personal lives and what they don't want people to know.

The rhetorical question shows at the end of the steinza shows she never knows when to accept exept aspect to expect with the Ahour behavour.

The powerful word -scab' shows the reader
that the neighbours patch of the lawn is
alguring like a ccab on your knee and that
they are letting their Children playon it
can show that they don't care about
what their house looks like or what
their children do but on the other hand
they could be striggling for money and
that is what all the fighting is about
and then the spence because he to so
nothing to do.

Helen Dunmore shows emphasise on their children' saying that the other lady or man now more respect and seven more about their Children than to play them with them. They may not want their children to interact with their children because they may be a bad influence.



Some understanding and explanation are evident. There is reference to language, technique and to some of the imagery. Personal engagement is clear and there are some thoughtful interpretations of the poem. More direct textual reference would have moved this response into the next level. This response was awarded 16 marks.



To reach Level 5, the candidate would need to ensure that all comments were more developed and fully supported by quotations from the text. This is part of a candidate's response to the Unseen Poem from midway until the end of the response.

Hown Dunmore presents her thoughts and feelings as a poem sme talks about how " After the Last Scheech and slam, tweir childern play war on their scan lawn" this means that after the kias have stopped fight they go outside to play fight and the word scap implys that it old, aried out and un crown. This is almost like they alsilie each other because the parents and thore.

Hellen aunimore prebents ner thought and feelings as a poem sne talks about now they aren't snowing their feelings bet they just pretend. 'We are murrors be one another never showing what's real "their imputant they might show that they are own that they are the show are shown are they are two faced because they only show what they want people to see.

theren Dunmore presents her thought and feelings as a poem sno tarks about know if sne looked over the fence quickly what will sno see this come be from both neighbors perspectives like if the naught the neighbor looks know then will sno see the solver then will sno see the solver the now they see them are or will to how they see them are or will to be the other way round.



The response improves as it proceeds and there are some thoughtful final points. The candidate has tried to get to grips with direct quotations. This is a well-structured and focused response that was awarded 18 marks.



Note how the candidate refers to the text and uses quotations which clearly illustrate the points made.

This final extract from a candidate's response consists of the opening paragraph with part of the answer immediately following it and the closing paragraph.

Plan; person, Short Stanzas and Sentences, them peing the same as each other, Children player having parties and music. In Helen Dunmoies poem 'Next Door', She about what happens next and what they do, she makes the two house holds Sound very Similar to eachother as if she is talking about just the one house hold. The first Sentence of the first Stanza 'is the same as Ours but different' this written in first person and tells the reader that she is talking and Comparing one house with another Front Stairs, and a pass that the music of demolition' this the gives the reader the impressions on the next door neignpours and it tells us that they noisey Throward The Smilie used helps us understand that

they are loud and its not nice music.

The organisation of this poem is

3 very Short Stanzas with Shert Sentences which helps make the poem

Junchy and also stick into the readers

head. At some points in this poem Helen
has made the reader feel tike we
need to know more and made the

reader think hard and question some
of the things so has said



A strong personal response is evident and there are some thoughtful comments which attempt to move away from the literal meaning of the text. Comments are supported by textual references.

The total response was awarded 20 marks.



Note how the candidate has included a short plan to help focus on the response. The opening paragraph focuses on the task in hand.

Question 2

Pity me not because the light of day by Edna St. Vincent Millay from Collection A was the named poem. Examiners felt that the focus of the question enabled a range of poems to be selected from the cluster. Most candidates engaged with the given poem and a variety of poems were chosen to compare. Many candidates commented on how rejection is hard to come to terms with. The most popular poems chosen for comparison with the given poem were: One Flesh, Song for Last Year's Wife, Our Love Now and Sonnet 116. All of these were suitable choices and each of them gave rise to some thoughtful analysis of the form of the poems and some comparison of the ways in which each of the poets has used the form. The most challenging of these choices was perhaps Sonnet 116, although the more successful candidates argued that Shakespeare shows how true love does NOT change, thus the element of change was incorporated in the responses.

Some candidates did not pick up on the requirement of the question to discuss 'changes in relationships' and poems such as *Nettles, Rubbish at Adultery* and *04/01/07* were offered as companion poems. These proved to be less successful as candidates struggled to bring the 'change' element into their responses.

Quotations were used with varying degrees of success; some candidates used embedded references very successfully but there were occasions where paraphrase was the only form of reference which made comment on language difficult. Stronger responses made comparisons as they moved between the poems rather than discussing them separately and then linked them with a general comparison towards the end of the response.

This candidate has chosen *Rubbish at Adultery* as the companion poem. The extract consists of the first half of their response.

In both poems pity me not the because the ught of day and 'Pubbish at adultary' born where export a similar idea of a bood relaitement p showing how a women has been damaged by love and trouved wranging in ardulanship, as born perms are cles consed as misiaws, whereaningful and brothen. This can be seen in the cine of the prum' pity me not because the liash of day' when it says 'pry me that the heart is slow towarn' this implies that she has med to get past the broken and on trust worms elements of her releationship with another man but has taken long to accept these musicus as she object sill love him but anows she needs to move any as that supposes the little of the prem 'pity me not' as this exports the idea that she about want him to seel sary for ner or howe any

calch on to her hear and pull her back on to their relationship.

The waier also uses the allieration pring mench a to the restriction that she closes I want him to give her sympathy as she obsern want to see weak and helples as sympamy them gives therefore after

This ean also be supposed by the poem publish at Adultary' as this suggests that a man has committed actualty to his love (in which means he has had afterior with another women whill married which and implies that this relationship is also take and on farshipu also not trustworthy. The speakers seewings and emercine which are coming from a women is broken; incumber and in meurongful and liements of cheating This is shown when it says about how tember your feel in the 3rd stemmer that suggests how disciponies and how she feels that she now been let down and pushed away as her lover has tried to make her Rei symaphy for him and forgive his adultury mistaks but she has shown to not let him deser ber strength by not giving in to him and not letting her be loved alloweresquin but then burt allover by his mistake again. This can also support the line You shipid, shipia git on the last storea implies that she is angry and showing aggression towards him in the words stupped git that shows the court put up with his ices and toment of his

to try and make him feel bad excet some



The response has a lot of detail looking into language and structure and there are some sound comparisons made between the texts. However, the response is not always secure where the companion poem is concerned.

The response overall was awarded: AO2 13 marks; AO3 14 marks.



Note how the candidate makes comparisons throughout and tries to link and compare the poems right from the start of their response. This candidate has chosen $Sonnet\ 116$ as the companion poem. This extract consists of the first half of the response and the closing paragraph.

I will compare "Pity me not because the light of day," to "Sommet 116" by
light of day," to "Sommet 116" by State
William Shakespear Pity me not, is a poem
about a love that has passed away in contrast
to "Somet 1/6" which is about on never- ending
love that can survive everything. Shake speare's
idea was to represent the love as
best as it can be although "Pity me not."
is saying that when years go by the love
tades away but those's always a now day
that may house something. The two pooms
are a contrast to each other. From field
and thicket as the year goes by" which
The is saying that we is shrinking pad
day and it's beauty has passed any, to
day and it's beauty has passed any, to Shockespear's: "Lave's not Time's food" which means that buleve cannot fade arrow as the time gos by the Monthaut
means that believe cannot pade onser
as the time good by throughout
the whole "Pity me apt." poem , the uniter
gays "Pity me not" but on the last lines
says "Pity me not" but on the last lines. The says "Pity me that the heart is show to
•
learn which indicates that she's not sad
from anything but only that she council learn to not st to hall in love again.
lean, to not so to tall in love again.

The two poems tell the dipposent story and a different view upon love, States Shallespan View or may be represented as a view on love in Shallespan times and the modern view on love on love in love whosented in Pity me not because the light of day is a modern type of love that has changed.



This response starts confidently and the element of comparison is immediately apparent. However, there is little analysis of the chosen poem. The comparative element is more secure.

The response overall was awarded: AO2 12 marks; AO3 13 marks.



Note how the candidate has moved between the poems comparing both; the poems are not treated in isolation but linked throughout the response.

Here, the candidate has chosen *One Flesh* as the poem for comparison. The extract is from just after the introductory paragraph to about halfway through the response.

Both poems show on how love thed has ananged between e two people. In "Aty me not" the man has lost unterest in the woman and Iknow this because the man "no langer look [5] with love on [hei] which tells us as reades that he's lost interest in the woman and no longer loves has anymore Wheleas in "One flesh" the man an the and the woman still love each other but its not like old times, to show this the post says "She was like a girl areaming of childhood" which could imply that she missed on how they use to be The title "one flesh" could represent on how they were once one and a staying in the same bed but now they are lying aparties in a seperate bed". This could also show how close they use to be and now things have changed whereas the title "Aty me not ... could show that she class it really care up he doe doesn't love her - this could also imply that the man was once doubting her and she is now trying to get her point ociose by repeating "Pity me not" throughout the Stanza. In the poem "Pity me not ... day" the woman the man no longer loved her, due to her saying this little have I known always" and due to it maybe happening before She also knows that "love is no more" which implies

and that to the she's so tued of not being loved she's given up on the point she thinks for the love but real anymore. The word of possion, comment and happiness but in "One plesh this case its all died down whereas in they're stull together but bordly touch which gives me the impression that they don't touch or even communicate and holding together Also due to them shows they have that little feeling of love tagether but they don't have love that I to touch each other. The word "hardly" think of not a lot of communication and could also reerings for one onether (haidly lov



This is a sound, detailed, focused and well-balanced response. One Flesh has worked particularly well in this response showing how relationships change over time.

The response overall was awarded: AO2 Level 5, 15 marks; AO3 Level 5, 15 marks.



Note how the candidate does not labour the point but makes a comment, supports it with a relevant quotation and moves on.

Question 3

The poem *Our Sharpeville* by Ingrid de Kok from Collection B was the named poem. The focus of the question allowed for a range of poems to be selected looking at various types of violence and the situations leading to such violence whether it is political, racial or personal. Most candidates demonstrated a grasp of the named poem. Across the mark ranges, most responses included at least some commentary on the aspects of language, the voice of the poem and the nature of the violence, although a number of responses lacked explicit focus on the 'violence' specified by the question. However, where the presentation of violence was implicit in discussions of 'racism' and 'discrimination', credit was given.

There were some nuanced and well-supported comparisons with poems such as *Belfast Confetti, Invasion, August 6, 1945, Hitcher* and *The Drum. Belfast Confetti, Invasion* and *August 6, 1945* were well used to explore the issue of violence, conflict and warfare and the effect these have on innocent civilians, linking this to the child in the named poem. Many candidates were able to make valid and relevant comments and comparisons about the historical context of the subject matter, linking it to the language of the poets and the ideas expressed in the poems.

Less successful choices for comparison were: *Catrin, Half-Caste* and *The Class Game* where it seemed as if the candidates had opted for a poem which **might** have something in common with the given poem, hence comparisons were limited in success.

This candidate chose *Invasion* for the companion poem. The full response is given here.

In our shorts till violace is presented by snowing that the characters are to scared to come out of this is showing that the door" this is showing that the girls grant nature is to sorect to come home out of her home decaye of the histories out is happening out side but also in invasion to poem indicated to stay indoors " reep your head down and stay in dors" this olso shows that resident in the poem stay in doors due to the on going voilence out side their names.

Both poems start with creating a sound

hear in invasion " first hewill hear the sound their books" and in our shows ville " when mines round rust in lories" both as theas are placed in the room to resemble a sound space creats terror and sear they both remind you as the sounds that could possible be linked with war.

In our swifsville the item Of voilence comes into place "Come inside they do wints to viette gires" this could be suggested that they fupe or bear little girs or its just the gires gran trying to save ber in winking to in a invostion there is a items. Death as well "boot will cover our roots" this shows that the solders ove rilling thople or leaving bodys on the routs.

also mour snotts ville there is a small religeouse aspect aspect show phy your school book" this shows that the uniter brings on revigouse aspect in the poen but in like in invation there is no religeouse itea Tust taining about tree will "their short vived treedom" this exstant

stee will trey no belong to the



The comparative element of the response is generally sound whilst the analysis of violence in both poems is tackled in a thoughtful manner. The comparative element is stronger.

The response was awarded: AO2 Level 4, 12 marks; AO3 Level 5, 13 marks.



Choose your poem carefully, as the candidate has done here. Make sure your choice has sufficient links and comparisons with the set poem and make sure you are focused on what the question asks of you. The candidate here has chosen *August 6, 1945* as the poem for comparison. The extract is from the middle of the response.

Avoyot 6, laws is chamer poem that presents violence. This poem is about the nucleur bomb attack in thirdhima. Violence is presented in a distrement way to our sharpeville. The natrator thinks an describes how the pibl seels and what he sees. In the Sinal stanza it says he will see 'lady birds'. The writer uses lady birds as the victims would be lest with no skin and sust black burn marks so when he's looking dawn from the rockpit the propre will look like lady birds.

The two poems are have similarities and dissormed.

One smilarities is that there isn't any organisation in both. This could be because when there is a rhyming scheme or organisation the form slows and would reference be harder to show violence.

One disserte is how the two thateters people who the prem is sproved on is dissertent. For example the narrator in our sharperille is a young girl this is shown by I was playing thopscoth hopscothen on the state. The pilot in August 6, 1945 is clearly a grown man as he is string a plane. Although the man should understand the consuguences of his actions he doesn't. We could surgice the girl is she didn't

understand what was happening but she is very smart about it. This is not what we would except. This is one big dissevence in the two poems. This also shows how stupid the first in the people who carried out the number attacks werent as mature as the young girl in our Sharpeville.



The chosen poem has proved to be quite successful. There are some generally sound comparisons made about both texts, but the overall response lacks sufficient depth to move it into the highest band.

The entire response was awarded: AO2 12 marks; AO3 12 marks.



Note how the candidate moves between the two poems including a range of links and then looks for similarities and differences rather than using a 'parallel' approach.

This candidate has chosen *Hitcher* for comparison. The extract is from the main body of the response starting just after the introductory paragraph and finishing before the conclusion.

Both OF these reems are decleving Our Sharpilles
18 because HS On about and oasts and all hese
Nice minds in the first help of the room but then
You realise all these thing are but and are
Notent acts. The Same applies to Ultoher its about
a gry tho seems fine evough but then he lastes
Out and Vills an innoent man-

Another Thing Play both relate to 18 greed brown in History the man whis driving kills the other mon because to jedens of the life has and how he gets to dead what he would. Our Sharpine also protrays this concept because the miners have to work all day in horsh environments. While others get to Stay above and wa normal lives, this tradrices the injects to do awful things.

Domp Poems are lawny advantage of the free and the People who do Flat end with doing bad things Such as Violence \$180 the Pacins are things that Lappen in Feel like So Its Mying to build whan mage in your head of held and these things are.

Diffe Offerhaling bother OF Hese Pooms have \$5 Stanzas. Bonds Helther OF Hem Chyme which the Our Sherr ville doesn't but thyme her server Witcher does Thyme bat only in a Select Few places and it doesn't really have a fattern to it. Not howney Thyme makes the Poem Sound more like an event that heis happened and for the Concept OF Vielence This is a good Set we.



The response is balanced and focused with some thoughtful comments on the different types of violence. There is a discussion on the importance of structure and language. However, a lack of direct quotation keeps the response in Level 4 rather than Level 5.

The response overall was awarded: AO2 Level 4, 12 marks; AO3 Level 4, 12 marks.



Always support your comments with carefully chosen and relevant quotations.

Question 4

A Major Road for Romney Marsh by U A Fanthorpe was the given poem for Collection C from the Anthology. This question was very much a minority choice for candidates.

Most candidates who chose to answer the question displayed a general sense of understanding of the poem and discussed the sense of love of the area together with the feeling of foreboding for the future of the countryside. Most candidates understood that there were two opposing 'voices' with those in brackets posing a threat. A few candidates found the aspect of the 'text-type' language rather troublesome, whereas others felt the need to 'translate' this feature and so lost focus on the task in hand. Comparisons on form, structure and tone were made, although there was not a great deal of language analysis itself.

The most popular and obvious companion was *In Romney Marsh* which also reflected upon the poet's love of the area. *Sea Timeless Song* and *Cape Town Morning* were also offered as comparisons, both with varying degrees of success.

The chosen poem for comparison here is *Romney Marsh*. The extract consists of the first half of the candidate's response.

major road for Ronney omneu major subuno, a coad Massive madom Drigina gates central amazing

out of this world, nowhere like it and that is why it like into 'A major road for Ronney Marsh as they are both Saying they are places out of this world. The poem 'A good to Ronney Mersh' unlike 'In Lamney Marsh' has a regative side and a positive side. You have someone that loves the over and someone who wants to develop the area and develop the roads. They are like conflicting thoughts, one will be saying low the area is amazing and another will be Saying how it can be developed and made into something else This is Shown when it Says "It is itself and different and then in brackets it says "CN+ fr Ing, N+ fr Ing)" this can be interpretated as saying "not for long, not for long" and the fact it has been put in a different way makes you feel like the person who likes lomney Morsh doesn't know they plan on developing it. In Romney Morsh it is different as they only have positives and nothing about the area being developed.



The chosen poem has worked well in this response. There is sound explanation and comparison between the two poems which are about the same place. Structure, language and content are linked and compared. Comments are supported by relevant quotations.

The response overall was awarded full marks: AO2 Level 5, 15 marks; AO3 Level 5, 15 marks.



Note how the very first sentence of the response firmly links the two poems. This avoids the impression that the question is being approached in a rather general way.

Question 5

A Consumer's Report by Peter Porter was the named poem from Collection D of the Anthology. This question also proved to be a minority choice for candidates. The focus of the poem allowed for several poems from this collection to be used as comparison pieces. The World is a Beautiful Place picked up on the differing tones and voices of both poems: Porter's wry and reflective thoughts on life and the dark humour of Ferlinghetti, although where the latter poem was concerned there was a tendency towards the narrative rather than the analytical. On the Life of Man proved to be a popular choice for comparison which was often used by the more confident candidates, allowing for some insightful points to be made about the use of metaphor to present views on life.

Most candidates did manage to demonstrate at least a general understanding of the given poem and the more successful responses were able to comment on the use of humour and irony in the poems. Apart from *The World is a Beautiful Place* and *On the Life of Man*; other poems chosen for comparison were *Zero Hour, Pessimism for Beginners* and *Living Space*, all with varying degrees of success.

This candidate chose *On the Life of Man* as the poem for comparison. The whole of their response is presented here.

The poem consumers poort presents veius about about like by
"the Product 1 tested is life" this shows that Peter Porter makes
Life Sean you can bos Just buy life and that you have more than
one use by "Product" when bounds a product you can beg more than
Just one but in reality you tont bug circo. This cinto co on the
Life of man poen, "What is our life?" The Shows This Shows that
In this poem Raleigh talks about what like is about also he
uses "our" to show he is & talking about every one in a growp
Or everyone in general. The Similarities is that the both poens contract
about like but the difference is that A consumous report Galles about
like as a product and on the like of man larks about like as
reality.
"I'd have likes to be more excited" In consones report this share
that & Like as a Product isn't Exciting and that it's a bit boring &
uncite table in on the like of man "a Play of Passion," this shows
Char cire is a Play in the Poon and or that life is for ad

Playfol, The file of the Poem "It soys "on the "Showing the life of man "It soys "on the "Showing the life of man "It soys "on the "Showing the life of man "It soys "on the "Showing the life of man "It soys "on the "Showing the life of man "It shows to how like is a "Pluy".

"The Shape is award" this gives a sence of these in the consenses report by the poem is faithing about life it shows that the life is awkerd awkners of where we are dressed for this short comedy."

This shows that in the Playof life they they are giving a sense that life is a coredy and alone but in consenses report

In consumers report "1's like to leve" this shows that the product life is about that the porter has to waith away from the product life Just like in On the like or man "Our grows as brown cortains, play is done." This shows that Somewhat in life you to by "Our grows " Shows you block out \$1 life and good in a isaates Place, "I have cortains "Shows you block out \$1 life and good in a isaates Place, "I have cortains "Shows your slowing beging as the curtains reposses you closing your entry cups and "I play is done" Shows that the and or your like has core.



There are some interesting comments made about both the Raleigh and the set poem, although these are not always developed. Comments and interpretations are supported by relevant comments.

The response was awarded: AO2 13 marks; AO3 13 marks.



The candidate does not labour a point but makes a comment, supports it with a quotation and moves on to the next. This creates a brisk and succinct approach to the task in hand.

Paper Summary

Overall, responses to the poems showed understanding and engagement with both sections of the paper. However, based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- include more detail in your answers
- make full use of examples, do not just list them or language features
- think carefully about how to make effective links and comparisons, addressing both poems in a balanced manner
- write your answers in the correct part of the answer booklet.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx





