GCSE
ENGLISH LITERATURE
8702/1P

Paper 1P Poetry anthology

Mark scheme

Sample set (2021 exams only)

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

Statement of importance

GCSE English Literature is the study of how writers communicate their ideas about the world, and how readers might respond to these ideas. It aims to develop a critical understanding of the ways in which literary texts are a reflection of, and exploration of, the human condition, the study of which develops empathic understanding of human nature. High-quality English literature is writing which displays recognisable literary qualities and, although shaped by particular contexts, transcends them and speaks about the universality of the human condition. GCSE English Literature aims to enable students to appreciate these qualities, developing and presenting informed, critical responses to the ideas in literary texts and the ways writers present these ideas. It aims to enable students to make links between a variety of written texts and between the text and the context within which it was shaped.

Principles of mark scheme construction

Each mark scheme is driven by the task and by the statement of importance about GCSE English Literature. It aims to do two things:

- to describe typical features of response in order to decide on a mark
- to identify typical features of proficiency in order to aid discrimination between levels of performance.

Each long form answer mark scheme is constructed using six levels. This is to reflect the ability level of the whole cohort. There are four or five marks within each level to allow for levels of proficiency and to allow for discrimination between levels of cognitive ability across the whole cohort.

Each mark scheme places assessment objectives AO1 and AO2 as the key skills. This is driven by the statement of importance of the subject, in that the study of great literary texts is the study of the interrelationship between the reader and the writer of the text and that the communication and reception of these ideas is an inherent feature of English literature. It is also driven by the acknowledgement that GCSE English Literature assesses cognitive levels of ability; the level of response to ideas will have parity with the level of response to the methods of communicating those ideas.

How to apply the mark scheme

The mark scheme is constructed using six levels of attainment that span the whole range of ability at GCSE. The descriptors of attainment reference the assessment objectives for that particular question. Examiners are required to use the mark scheme to consider the whole response and decide upon the most appropriate level. The mark scheme provides two descriptors: a description of typical features of a response in each level, and a description of the kinds of skills candidates in that level will be proficient in. This is in order to support examiners in making their judgement of the extent to which the qualities and skills being demonstrated merit a particular level of attainment. As each response being marked is a response to a particular task, examiners are assessing the extent to which the candidate has responded to the task, and also the level of skill that the candidate has demonstrated.

Each level has four or five marks available and four or five skills descriptors. Fair application of the mark scheme to all candidates is driven by the descriptors in the mark scheme, and therefore examiners are required to make a judgement about the extent to which a candidate achieves every descriptor in that particular level in order to warrant a mark at the top of that level. If a candidate achieves everything in a level, they should be awarded the mark at the top of that level.

Since answers will rarely match a descriptor in all respects, examiners must allow good performance in some aspects to compensate for shortcomings in other respects. Consequently, the level is determined by the 'best fit' rather than requiring every element of the descriptor to be matched. Examiners should aim to use the full range of levels and marks, taking into account the standard that can reasonably be expected of candidates after one or two years of study on the GCSE course and in the time available in the examination.

If a candidate does not address a particular defining feature of a task, examiners are required to make a judgement about the extent to which other skills can place the response in a particular level, and where the response should be placed.

Step 1 Determine a level

Start at the lowest level of the mark scheme and use it as a ladder to see whether the answer meets the descriptor for that level. The descriptor for the level indicates the different qualities that might be seen in the student's answer for that level. If it meets the lowest level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptor and the answer. With practice and familiarity you will find that for better answers you will be able to quickly skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme.

When assigning a level you should look at the overall quality of the answer and not look to pick holes in small and specific parts of the answer where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest. If the answer covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme you should use a best fit approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the response to help decide the mark within the level; ie if the response is predominantly level 3 with a small amount of level 4 material it would be placed in level 3 but be awarded a mark near the top of the level because of the level 4 content.

Step 2 Determine a mark

Once you have assigned a level you need to decide on the mark. The descriptors on how to allocate marks can help with this. The exemplar materials used during standardisation will also help. There will be an answer in the standardising materials which will correspond with each level of the mark scheme. This answer will have been awarded a mark by the Lead Examiner. You can compare the student's answer with the example to determine if it is of the same standard, better or worse than the example.

You can then use this to allocate a mark for the answer based on the Lead Examiner's mark on the example.

You may well need to read back through the answer as you apply the mark scheme to clarify points and assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

Indicative content in the mark scheme is provided as a guide for examiners. It is not intended to be exhaustive and you must credit other valid points. Students do not have to cover all of the points mentioned in the indicative content to reach the highest level of the mark scheme.

An answer which contains nothing of relevance to the question must be awarded no marks.

Rubric infringements

GCSE English Literature is a skills-based subject and, as such, best-fit is the most appropriate means by which the candidature can be fairly and equitably rewarded for their achievements at the end of their course of study. However, a mark scheme also has the function of assessing the extent to which each response meets the requirements of the relevant elements of the particular specification.

Therefore, where a response is judged not to have addressed a defining feature of a particular task, such as:
Paper 1 Poetry anthology - response to named poem and one other from the named cluster

then this would be classed as a rubric infringement and could, as referenced in the mark scheme, be 'capped' at the top of level 2. Cases of rubric infringement will always be referred to a senior associate who will review the response in order to make a judgement about the totality of the response and therefore the validity of cap application.

Supporting documentation

Standardising scripts would provide exemplification of attainment in order to guide examiners towards the process of discerning between levels of attainment and to aid judgement about the positioning of each response in terms of a final mark.

Exemplification documents, including indicative content, definitions of key descriptors in the mark scheme and exemplification of these descriptors, provide more detailed guidance to examiners on how to judge the relative qualities and skills being demonstrated by each candidate.

Defining context (AO3)

AO3 is the understanding of the relationship between the ideas in the text and the contexts of the text. The range of contexts and relationships that is most relevant as part of AO3 will depend on the text, the author and the task. In teaching and assessing AO3, teachers and students can consider context in a flexible way, depending on the text itself and whichever contexts are the most relevant for that particular text. These contexts may relate to the relationship between the text and the context in which it was written. However, the contexts may also relate to the context within which the text is set: location, social structures and features, cultural contexts, and periods in time. Context, where relevant, may also apply to literary contexts such as genres, and also the contexts in which texts are engaged with by different audiences, taking the reader outside the text in order to inform understanding of the meanings being conveyed. Acknowledgement of the universality of a literary text is an integral part of relating to it contextually.

Context is assessed throughout the paper. The strand in the mark scheme related to AO3 references 'ideas/perspectives/contextual factors'. However, if a question requires a student to think about the text in its context, this is also reflected inherently through the response to task.

Assessment objectives (AOs)

AO1	Read, understand and respond to texts.
	Students should be able to:
	• maintain a critical style and develop an informed personal response
	• use textual references, including quotations, to support and illustrate interpretations.
AO2	Analyse the language, form and structure used by a writer to create meanings and effects, using relevant subject terminology where appropriate.
AO3	Show understanding of the relationships between texts and the contexts in which they were written.

Questions 01–02 (30 marks – AO1=12, AO2=12, AO3=6)

Mark	AO	Typical features of response	How to arrive at a mark
Level 6 *Convincing, critical analysis and exploration* **26–30 marks**	AO1	• Critical, exploratory comparison. • Judicious use of precise references to support interpretation(s).	**At the top of the level**, a candidate's response is likely to be a critical, exploratory, well-structured comparison. It takes a conceptualised approach to the full task supported by a range of judicious references. There will be a fine-grained and insightful analysis of methods supported by judicious use of subject terminology. Convincing exploration of one or more ideas/perspectives/contextual factors/interpretations.
	AO2	• Analysis of writer's methods with subject terminology used judiciously. • Exploration of effects of writer's methods to create meanings.	
	AO3	• Exploration of ideas/perspectives/contextual factors shown by specific, detailed links between context/text/task.	**At the bottom of the level**, a candidate will have Level 5 and be starting to demonstrate elements of exploratory comparison and/or analysis of writer's methods and/or contexts.
Level 5 *Thoughtful, developed consideration* **21–25 marks**	AO1	• Thoughtful, developed comparison. • Apt references integrated into interpretation(s).	**At the top of the level**, a candidate's response is likely to be thoughtful, detailed and developed. It takes a considered approach to the comparison with references integrated into interpretation; there will be a detailed examination of the effects of methods supported by apt use of subject terminology. Examination of ideas/perspectives/contextual factors, possibly including alternative interpretations/deeper meanings.
	AO2	• Examination of writer's methods with subject terminology used effectively to support consideration of methods. • Examination of effects of writer's methods to create meanings.	
	AO3	• Thoughtful consideration of ideas/perspectives/contextual factors shown by examination of detailed links between context/text/task.	**At the bottom of the level**, a candidate will have Level 4 and be starting to demonstrate elements of thoughtful comparison and/or examination of writer's methods and/or thoughtful consideration of contexts.

Level 4	AO1	• Clear comparison. • Effective use of references to support explanation.	**At the top of the level**, a candidate's response is likely to be clear, sustained and consistent. It is a focused comparison which demonstrates clear understanding. It uses a range of references effectively to illustrate and justify explanation; there will be clear explanation of the effects of a range of writer's methods supported by appropriate use of subject terminology. Clear understanding of ideas/perspectives/contextual factors.
Clear understanding **16–20 marks**	AO2	• Clear explanation of writer's methods with appropriate use of relevant subject terminology. • Understanding of effects of writer's methods to create meanings.	
	AO3	• Clear understanding of ideas/perspectives/ contextual factors shown by specific links between context/text/task.	**At the bottom of the level**, a candidate will Level 3 and be starting to demonstrate elements of clear comparison and/or clear explanation of writer's methods and/or clear understanding of contexts.
Level 3	AO1	• Some explained comparison. • References used to support a range of relevant comments.	**At the top of the level**, a candidate's response is likely to be explanatory in parts. It includes a structured focus on comparison with a range of points exemplified by relevant references from the text; there will be identification of effects of a range of writer's methods supported by some relevant terminology. Explanation of some relevant contextual factors.
Explained, structured comments **11–15 marks**	AO2	• Explained/relevant comments on writer's methods with some relevant use of subject terminology. • Identification of effects of writer's methods to create meanings.	
	AO3	• Some understanding of implicit ideas/ perspectives/contextual factors shown by links between context/text/task.	**At the bottom of the level**, a candidate will have Level 2 and be starting to make some structured comparison and/or make relevant comments on writer's methods and/or contexts.

Level 2 *Supported, relevant comments* **6–10 marks**	AO1	• Supported comparison. • Comments on references.	**At the top of the level**, a candidate's response is likely to be relevant and supported by some explanation. It will include some focus on comparison with relevant comments and some supporting references from the text. There will be identification of deliberate choices made by writer with some reference to subject terminology. Awareness of some contextual factors.
	AO2	• Identification of writer's methods. • Some reference to subject terminology.	
	AO3	• Some awareness of implicit ideas/contextual factors.	**At the bottom of the level**, a candidate's response will have Level 1 and be starting to focus on comparison and/or starting to show awareness of the writer making deliberate choices and/or awareness of contexts.
Level 1 *Simple, explicit comments* **1–5 marks**	AO1	• Simple comments relevant to comparison. • Reference to relevant detail(s).	**At the top of the level**, a candidate's response is likely to be narrative and/or descriptive in approach. It may include awareness of similarity or difference and provide appropriate reference to text; there will be simple identification of method with possible reference to subject terminology. Simple comments/responses to context, usually explicit.
	AO2	• Awareness of writer making choices. • Possible reference to subject terminology.	
	AO3	• Simple comment on explicit ideas/contextual factors.	**At the bottom of the level**, a candidate's response will show some familiarity with the text.
0 marks	Nothing worthy of credit/nothing written.		

Poetry

Love and relationships

Question 01

Compare how poets present romantic love in 'Singh Song!' and in **one** other poem from 'Love and relationships'.

[30 marks]

Indicative content

Examiners are encouraged to reward any valid interpretations. Answers might, however, include some of the following:

AO1

- any valid treatment of/comparison of romantic love in terms of happiness, hope, innocence, such as compared with 'When We Two Parted', or 'Neutral Tones'
- possible treatment of the happiness in this poem compared with the more cynical presentation of love in 'Porphyria's Lover' or 'The Farmer's Bride'
- contrast between happiness and sadness, possibly in 'Winter Swans'
- ideas about the beginnings of a relationship, possibly compared with the ending of a relationship in 'Neutral Tones'

AO2
- use of imagery of nature to present happiness and positivity
- comparison of places, such as in 'Winter Swans'
- use and effect of perspective, such as use of first person
- use and effects of titles, such as use of 'song' and the exclamation mark, to suggest a positive, happy view of love

AO3
- ideas about innocence and hope for the future, compared with 'Sonnet 29'
- ideas about difference between people in a relationship and how this is presented positively in 'Singh Song!' in contrast to 'The Farmer's Bride'
- any valid treatment of ideas about individuality and acceptance, possibly compared with 'The Farmer's Bride'
- ideas about the beginnings of relationships compared with more established relationships, such as in 'Winter Swans'

Power and conflict

Question 02

Compare how poets present ideas about power in 'Ozymandias' and in **one** other poem from 'Power and conflict'.

[30 marks]

Indicative content

Examiners are encouraged to reward any valid interpretations. Answers might, however, include some of the following:

AO1

* any valid comparison of negative ideas about power such as power used to impose will in 'My Last Duchess' or 'London'
* any valid comparative treatment of the effects of power, such as arrogance and pride
* any treatment of those in power contrasted with those without, possibly compared with 'London' or 'The Charge of the Light Brigade' or 'Storm on the Island' or 'The Émigrée'
* any treatment of power in a more universal sense, such as the power of the natural world in 'Storm on the Island' or 'extract from The Prelude'

AO2
* ideas about the use of sand as metaphor for the passing of time and impermanence of human constructions
* use of images to present ideas about the corruption of power, such as in 'London' or 'My Last Duchess'
* poets presenting critical treatments of those in power, perhaps compared with 'My Last Duchess' or 'London'
* use of person perspective to create irony, possibly compared with 'My Last Duchess' or contrasted with 'Storm on the Island'

AO3
* any valid treatment of the use of the particular to present universal ideas, such as the focus on the statue in 'Ozymandias' and how this is used lyrically to explore ideas about the fragility of power
* effects of power on the individual, such as 'My Last Duchess' or 'extract from The Prelude'
* any valid points about the responsibility of those in power, possibly compared with 'London'
* possibly treatment of power of nature / time from a Romantic perspective, again using 'extract from The Prelude', or 'Storm on the Island'