

# **GCSE**

## **Classical Greek**

General Certificate of Secondary Education **B403** 

Prose Literature

### Mark Scheme for June 2010

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of pupils of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by Examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the Report on the Examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2010

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications PO Box 5050 Annesley NOTTINGHAM NG15 0DL

Telephone: 0870 770 6622 Facsimile: 01223 552610

E-mail: publications@ocr.org.uk

#### Section A: Herodotus

#### Passage 1

	vvno is Astyages?	
	King of the Medes.	[1]

2 What is the warning Astyages has just been given by the interpreters of dreams?

That his grandson/his daughter's child (1) will be king instead of him (1). [2]

3 ταῦτα δὴ οὖν φυλαττόμενος ὁ 'Αστυάγης. What is Astyages' response to the warning?

D He tries to prevent it from coming true. [1]

4 καλέσας τὸν "Αρπαγον, ἄνδρα οἰκεῖον καὶ πιστότατον τῶν Μήδων καὶ πάντων τῶν ἑαυτου ἐπίτροπον. Give one reason why Astyages chooses Harpagos to help him.

He is a relative.

He is the most trustworthy/loyal of the Medes.

He is his personal steward.

Any one. [1]

- 5 " "Αρπαγε, πράγμα ὂ ἂν προσθῶ, μηδαμῶς παραχρήση. λάβε τὸν Μανδάνης παίδα, φέρων δὲ εἰς σεαυτοῦ ἀπόκτεινον· μετὰ δὲ θάψον ὧτινι ἂν τρόπω αὐτὸς βούλη." What instructions are given to Harpagos?
  - B Harpagos must do exactly as Astyages tells him.
  - D Harpagos must take Mandane's child and bring him to his own house to kill.
  - E Harpagos must bury him in whatever way he chooses. [3]

#### Passage 2

- **6** ἔπαιζε μετ ' ἄλλων ἡλίκων ἐν ὁδῷ.
  - (a) How old is Cyrus at this point in the story?

10 [1]

(b) Where are the children playing?

C In the road. [1]

7 καὶ οἱ παίδες παίζοντες εἴλοντο ἑαυτῶν βασιλέα εἶναι τοῦτον δὴ τὸν τοῦ βουκόλου ἐπίκλησιν παίδα. In what way is the attitude of the majority of the other children towards Cyrus surprising?

Although he is the herdsman's son [1], they choose him to be their king [1] Reward suitable linguistic points as indicated:

τοῦτον δη – this one indeed [1]

OR τὸν τοῦ βουκόλου ἐπίκλησιν παῖδα – so-called son of the herdsman [1]

OR contrast between βασιλέα and τοῦ βουκόλου...παῖδα [1]

[2]

8 Translate these lines from Passage 2:

ό δὲ τοὺς μὲν αὐτῶν διέταξεν οἰκίας οἰκοδομεῖν, τοὺς δὲ δορυφόρους εἶναι, τὸν δὲ πού τινα αὐτῶν "ὀφθαλμὸν βασιλέως" εἶναι, ὡς ἑκάστῳ ἔργον προστάττων.

And he appointed some of them to build houses, others to be bodyguards, and a certain one of them perhaps to be 'the king's eye', assigning a task to each separately.

[5]

Use the Marking grid at the end of the mark scheme.

#### Passage 3

- There are three correct statements to explain what is happening in Passage 3. Put a tick ( $\sqrt{}$ ) in each correct box.
  - A Artembares is a distinguished man among the Medes
  - C Artembares' son refuses to do Cyrus' bidding.
  - F When the boys obey him, Cyrus beats the boy with a whip.

[3]

#### Passage 4

10 How does Herodotus show Artembares' outrage in Passage 4? Support your answer with reference to the Greek text.

περιυβρίσμεθα – we have been insulted ὑπὸ τοῦ σοῦ δούλου, βουκόλου δὲ παιδός - by your slave, the son of a herdsman to boot emphasis on the boy's social status (δούλου / βουκόλου παιδός) idea of hybris – utter arrogance (περιυβρίσμεθα) Any two points.

[2]

#### Passage 5

11 In your own words, explain the main points of Cyrus' defence. Make four points.

Justice was behind my actions.

They chose me as their king, this boy included.

They thought me worthy of the position.

Then, while the others obeyed me, this boy defied me.

So I punished him, as befitted my position.

If I have done wrong, I am ready to take my punishment.

Any 4 of these or other suitable points.

[4]

What aspects of the speech do you think cause Astyages to suspect that this is his supposedly dead grandson? Make four points.

Cyrus is not cowed by the king's questions – he is respectful but defends himself.

Cyrus' calm assurance in accepting the boys' choice of himself as king.

His lack of surprise that they considered him ἐπιτηδειότατος.

His lack of discomfort in giving orders - οἱ μέν νυν ἄλλοι παίδες τὰ ἐπιταττόμενα ἐπετέλουν.

He does not seem to feel inferior to the other boys.

He refers to the son of Artembares coolly as  $0\dot{v} + 0c$  and  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ .

He takes his responsibilities very seriously, even though it is a game - οὖτος δὲ ἀνηκούστει τε καὶ λόγον εἶχεν οὐδένα

The punishment is no game -  $\epsilon$ ίς  $\ddot{\delta}$   $\ddot{\epsilon}$ λαβε τὴν δίκην.

Cyrus has no time for disobedience.

He acknowledges that if a wrong is committed, then punishment is due, and he is as ready to take that punishment himself as to mete it out to others -  $\epsilon \mathring{\iota}$  ο  $\mathring{\upsilon}\nu$  δ  $\mathring{\eta}$  το  $\mathring{\upsilon}\delta\epsilon$   $\mathring{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\kappa\alpha$   $\mathring{\alpha}\xi\iota\delta\varsigma$  τινος κακο $\mathring{\upsilon}$  ε $\mathring{\iota}\mu\mathring{\iota}$ ,  $\mathring{\omega}\delta\acute{\epsilon}$  τοι πάρει $\mathring{\mu}\iota$ .

He is quietly dignified, strong and unchildlike. He is also fiercely logical.

He is convinced he is right.

He believes he acts with justice on his side.

Any four of these or other suitable points.

[4]

#### Passage 6

Harpagos is in a difficult position. How effectively does Herodotus show him working through his problems to reach a solution?

In your answer you must refer to the Greek and discuss Herodotus' choice and position of words and any other stylistic features.

Your answer should cover the following points:

why Harpagos feels he both should and should not carry out Astyages' orders

He feels that he should carry out Astyages' orders because Astyages is the king: if he does not do as he is told, he will suffer for it - ἀλλὰ τῆς μὲν ἀσφαλείας ἕνεκα ἐμοὶ δεῖ τοῦτον τὸν παῖδα τελευτᾶν.

Early position of ἀλλὰ τῆς μὲν ἀσφαλείας ἕνεκα ἐμοι in the sentence, which follows hot on the heels of λείπεται τὸ ἐντεῦθεν ἐμοὶ κινδύνων ὁ μέγιστος: a chiastic order – 'if I am connected with his death, I'll be in the greatest danger; but I'll be safe if he dies'. This produces a neat contrast between his 'danger' and his 'safety' - κινδύνων ὁ μέγιστος and τῆς μὲν ἀσφαλείας ἕνεκα.

'<u>Οὐχ</u> ἡ ἐνετέλλετο 'Αστυάγης· <u>οὐδ'</u> εἰ παραφρονήσει τε καὶ μανεῖται κάκιον ἢ νῦν μαίνεται, <u>οὐκ</u> ἔγωγε προσθήσομαι τῆ γνώμη αὐτοῦ, <u>οὐδ'</u> εἰς φόνον τοιοῦτον ὑπηρετήσω. He is clearly repulsed by the idea of killing the child, hence the repeated negatives and the reference to 'such a murder' (φόνον τοιοῦτον).

He says he has many reasons not to murder the baby: he is his own relative  $(\sigma \upsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma)$  for a start. Also, because Astyages is an old man  $(\gamma \epsilon \rho \omega \nu)$  and has no male child  $(\tilde{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \varsigma)$   $\tilde{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \sigma \varsigma$   $\gamma \delta \nu \sigma \upsilon$ , Mandane is his heir, so if her son is killed she will punish Harpagos on her accession to the throne. The use of a list emphasises the number of reasons.

He is prepared to pass the responsibility on to Astyages' own servant, rather than bear rather more direct responsibility by having one of his own servants carry out the murder.

Harpagos' attitude to Astyages and the child

He has little respect for Astyages – he refers to him as mad and feels that Astyages' mind is warped –  $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\phi\rho\circ\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\mu\alpha\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\mu\alpha\dot{\iota}\nu\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$  all in close proximity for emphasis. Note two different words for madness (being mad and losing his wits completely) and the extravagant claim that, no matter how mad Astyages may become in the future, Harpagos will not obey him.

κάκιον ἢ νῦν: he has no illusions that Astyages will come to his senses, but is merely scornful of him and yet scared.

His attitude to the baby is questionable. He starts off well by saying πολλῶν δὲ ἕνεκα οὖ φονεύσω τὸ παιδίον (πολλῶν at the beginning of the sentence for emphasis), but we soon realise it is not for sentimental reasons that he is reluctant. He mentions briefly that the baby is a relative, but then goes on to reveal his self-interest. As Astyages is old and unlikely now to produce a male heir, this baby's death would be disastrous for Harpagos.

He has no feeling for Mandane at all: all his concern is for what will happen to him if she becomes queen.

 How much sympathy we can have for Harpagos and his solution to the problem

Harpagos has been placed in an impossible situation: if he kills the baby, he is guilty of murder and will suffer in the future; if he doesn't kill the baby, he will suffer right away! This is emphasised by the chiastic structure and contrast between safety and danger above.

The decision that the boy must die, but at someone else's hands, is probably the only logical one in his circumstances, but it is difficult for us to feel any sympathy for a man who chooses to kill a baby and who has no obvious concern for the feelings of the child's mother. Clearly, if one of his own servants kills the child, he feels that he is responsible, but if he passes that responsibility to one of Astyages' own servants he feels that he is innocent. It is not a solution, but a way of evading direct blame. Why not warn Mandane and enable her to escape with her child? For us, today, there would be other possibilities. [10]

The points given above are indicative and offer question specific guidance. Any other acceptable points must be rewarded. Answers must be marked using the level descriptors in the 10-mark marking grid at the end of the mark scheme, taking into account QWC when placing the answer within the band.

#### Passage 7

- 14 How does Herodotus make this passage vivid and dramatic?
  In your answer you must refer to the Greek and discuss Herodotus' choice and position of words and any other stylistic features.
  Your answer should cover the following points:
  - roun anower should cover the following points.
  - the use of direct speech to convey the herdsman's view of events;

The fact that the whole passage is in direct speech gives a vivid sense of the herdsman's point of view. We see his feelings and reactions – 'what I wish I hadn't seen' ( $\delta \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \delta \epsilon \lambda o \nu$ ) and 'I went inside, amazed' ( $\epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon \delta s$ ).

He sees the baby crying and kicking – the 'human' side of the story (ἀσπαῖρόν τ $\epsilon$  καὶ

κραυγανώμεν).

Herdsman enters a rich man's house and finds it full of grief (κλαυθμφ) – both seen and heard. The passage moves quickly, the herdsman's speech reflecting accurately the first sight of the baby lying there (προκείμενον), its legs kicking (ἀσπαῖρόν) over the rim of its crib and the sound of its wailing (κραυγανώμενον), then the sight of the clothing as he steps further into the room - κεκοσμημένον χρυσφ τε καὶ ἐσθῆτι ποικίλη.

• the likely effect on the poor herdsman of this visit to a rich man's house;

Short clauses as the herdsman makes this unaccustomed visit to the city ( $\epsilon l_S \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ). Herdsman enters a rich man's house and finds it full of grief ( $\kappa \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \mu \phi$ ) – he is amazed ( $\epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon l_S$ ).

**Baby adorned with gold and many-coloured clothing** (κεκοσμημένον χρυσῷ τε καὶ ἐσθῆτι ποικίλη) – not something we would hear from a high-ranking man, only from a peasant on whom it would make an impression.

'Harpagos ordered me... ("Αρπαγος δέ, ὡς εἶδέ με, ἐκέλευε)': he is only a servant, so must do as this powerful man says.

He tells his wife he was threatened, so we see him forced into obedience – πόλλ  $\mathring{a}πειλήσας$   $ε\mathring{i}$  μὴ ταῦτα ποι ήσαιμι

He is likely to be totally bewildered by the speed of the whole experience – the sights, sounds and inexplicable orders all crowding in on one another thick and fast.

As soon as he sees the herdsman ( $\dot{\omega}_S \in \dot{i} \delta \in \mu \in$ ), Harpagos orders him to take the baby as quickly as possible ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \tau \alpha \chi (\sigma \tau \eta \nu)$ ): there is no idle chatter or introduction – a man of the herdsman's lowly status deserves none.

The herdsman is forced into a situation where he has no choice but to do as he is told – he is almost totally passive (he is amazed, he sees and he hears and then finally picks up the baby and leaves) in this passage, while Harpagos orders and threatens ( $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\upsilon\hat{\epsilon}$  and  $\pi\delta\lambda\lambda$ '  $\hat{\alpha}\pi\hat{\epsilon}\iota\lambda\hat{\eta}\sigma\alpha\hat{s}$ ).

the timing of the baby's identity being revealed

When the herdsman goes in and sees the baby, richly dressed, and everyone wailing, he has no idea what is going on. When he is told to take it away and expose it, he doesn't know whose it is, but he does feel that he has no choice. Everything is done in such a hurry that he has time only to register the sights and sounds, not to ask questions – if he dared.

'I found out the whole story' – he obviously can ask only a servant to explain what is going on. Note the vivid, colloquial use of the present tense as he reports this to his wife –  $\pi \nu \nu \theta \acute{a} \nu o \mu \alpha \iota$ .

He finds out the truth  $\kappa\alpha\theta$  '  $\delta\delta\delta\nu$  from a servant, who was the one to hand over the child only once they were safely outside the city. The bombshell comes at the last moment – that the order is from the king to kill his own grandchild: the herdsman is trapped and there is no going back, no opportunity even for questions. [10]

The points given above are indicative and offer question specific guidance. Any other acceptable points must be rewarded. Answers must be marked using the level descriptors in the 10-mark marking grid at the end of the mark scheme, taking into account QWC when placing the answer within the band.

[Paper Total: 50]

#### Section B: Antiphon

#### Passage 1

**15** ἐπλέομεν δὲ εἰς τὴν Αἰνον. We are told that Euxitheus and Herodes were sailing to Aenus. From where had they set out?

Mytilene [1]

16 Why was Euxitheus, the speaker, making this journey?

A To visit his father [1]

17 Why was Herodes making the journey?

D To set free some slaves.

Accept B, C or D

18 (a) Identify one group of people on board the ship with Euxitheus and Herodes.

(The) slaves (due for release) OR (the)Thracians (who were due to receive them).[1]

(b) How is Euxitheus able to prove the things he has said in Passage 1?

He has witnesses. [1]

#### Passage 2

19 Three of the following statements about Passage 2 are correct.

Put a tick ( $\sqrt{}$ ) in each correct box.

- B There was a storm and those travelling had to break their voyage.
- D The ship lying at anchor in Methymna was the one on which the prosecution claim falsely that Herodes died.
- E Euxitheus asks the jury to consider whether all these things happened by chance or as a result of forward planning. [3]

#### Passage 3

**20** Τὰ μὲν γενόμενα ταῦτ' ἐστίν. 'These are the facts of the case,' says Euxitheus. Give two facts about the disappearance of Herodes which Euxitheus has just stated.

Herodes and Euxitheus spent the preceding evening drinking together.

Herodes got off the ship and did not come back on board.

Euxitheus did not get off the ship all that night.

Euxitheus participated in the search along with everyone else.

Euxitheus was responsible for sending a messenger to Mytilene.

Any two. [2]

21 ἐκ δὲ τούτων ἤδη σκοπεῖτε τὰ εἰκότα. Euxitheus goes on to explain his interpretation of events following the disappearance of Herodes.

Three of the following statements about Passage 3 are correct. Put a tick in each correct box.

- A No one accused Euxitheus immediately after Herodes disappeared.
- D The prosecutors waited until Euxitheus had gone off on his voyage before accusing him.
- F It was easier for the prosecutors to plot against Euxitheus in his absence. [3]

#### Passage 4

22 Translate these lines from Passage 4:

Έπειδη δὲ ἐγὰ μὲν φροῦδος ἡ πλέων εἰς την Αἶνον, τὸ δὲ πλοῖον ήκεν εἰς την Μυτιλήνην ἐν ὧ ἐγὰ καὶ ὁ Ἡρῷδης ἐπίνομεν, πρῶτον μὲν εἰσβάντες εἰς τὸ πλοῖον ἠρεύνων.

[5]

When I went off on my voyage to Aenus and the ship on which Herodes and I had been drinking had come into Mytilene, first of all the prosecution came on board the ship and began to make a search,

Use the Marking grid at the end of the mark scheme.

- 23 καὶ ἐπειδὴ τὸ αἰμα ηὖρον, ἐνταῦθα ἔφασαν τεθνάναι τὸν ἄνδρα· ἐπειδὴ δὲ αὐτοῖς τοῦτο οὐκ ἐνεχώρει, ἀλλ' ἐφαίνετο τῶν προβάτων ὂν αἶμα, ἀποτραπόμενοι τοῦτου τοῦ λόγου συλλαβόντες ἐβασάνιζον τοὺς ἀνθρώπους.
  - (a) What evidence do the prosecutors find and what assumption does this lead them to make in these lines?

A bloodstain (on the ship on which Herodes and Euxitheus had been drinking).

That the blood proves that Herodes died there.

[2]

(b) Do you think that the prosecutors are good detectives? Give a reason for your answer.

Their ability is poor. They jump to conclusions, then immediately jump the other way when any doubt is cast.

OR They assess the evidence – the bloodstain – and reach a sensible conclusion; then, when that is undermined, they realise that they have no real evidence and interrogate possible witnesses.

Either of these or any other sensible answer. [2]

#### Passage 5

- 24 How does Euxitheus undermine the prosecution's case in these lines? You should consider the following points:
  - the claims of the prosecution

The prosecution claim he was thrown into the sea (κατεποντώθη).

The prosecution claim they have found clues on the ship on which he was drinking  $(\vec{\epsilon}\nu \ \mu \hat{\epsilon}\nu \ \hat{\phi}$   $\vec{\epsilon}\pi\iota\nu\epsilon \ \pi\lambda o(\omega)$ .

The prosecution admit he was not killed on that ship ( $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\phi}$  αὐτοὶ μὴ ὁμολογοῦσιν ἀποθανεῖν τὸν ἄνδρα).

· Euxitheus' reaction to these claims

If he was thrown into the sea, they need to produce the boat from which it happened ( $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\acute{\iota}\nu\iota$   $\pi\lambda o\acute{\iota}\omega$ ;).

They have not been able to identify the boat  $(o\dot{v}\chi \eta\dot{v}\rho\rho\nu o\ddot{v}\tau' \alpha\dot{v}\tau\dot{o} \tau\dot{o} \pi\lambda\hat{o}\hat{o}\nu)$ .

Euxitheus agrees that it ought to be possible to find clues on the boat on which it happened (καὶ μὴν εἰκός γε ἦν καὶ σημεῖόν τι γενέσθαι ἐν τῷ πλοίῳ), but they have found their clues on the wrong boat (ἐν τούτῳ φασὶν εὑρεῖν σημεῖα)!

Herodes got off the boat alive ( $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$  o $\dot{b}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\iota\nu\epsilon\nu$ )!

They have no clue as to the whereabouts of the all-important ship.

• the tone and style of the writing

Short statement followed by question to undermine the claim - Αλλ' ώς κατεποντώθη λέγουσιν. ἐν τίνι πλοίω;

Two examples here of 'obvious/clear'  $(\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu)$  and 'likely'  $(\epsilon \hat{\iota} \kappa \acute{o}_S)$ : there is a great deal of supposition and speculation throughout the speech and very little evidence on either side.

Disbelief and irony (πῶς ἂν οὖν οὖκ ἐξηυρέθη;); see also the use of δῆλον γὰρ ὅτι and σημεῖόν τι... ἀνδρὸς τεθνεῶτος ἐντιθεμένου καὶ ἐκβαλλομένου νύκτωρ.

Rhetorical questions (ἐν τίνι πλοίω; πῶς ἂν οὖν οὖκ ἐξηυρέθη;).

Short sentence structure grabs listener's attention.

καὶ μὴν εἰκός γε ἦν: he appeals to logic.

ἀνδρὸς...νύκτωρ: longer, drawn-out sentence to illustrate the dragging on and off the boat of a dead man by night. νύκτωρ at end for emphasis – this would not have been an easy job!

 $\nu\hat{v}\nu$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$  – contrasting supposition with fact: prosecution's evidence does not add up.

ἐν μὲν ω...ἐν τούτῳ...ἐν ὧ: all emphasise that it's the *wrong boat* on which to find any supposed evidence.

 $a\vec{v} + o\hat{\iota}$ : they are not convinced of their claims.

οὐχ ηὖρον οὖτ'... οὖτε... οὖδέν: they cannot provide evidence at all. Repeated negatives for emphasis.

The points given above are indicative and offer question specific guidance. Any other acceptable points must be rewarded. Answers must be marked using the level descriptors in the 10-mark marking grid at the end of the mark scheme, taking into account QWC when placing the answer within the band.

#### Passage 6

25 In your own words, explain the tortured slave's situation. Make two detailed points.

All he wants is for the torture to stop [1], so he says what he thinks they want to hear [1].

He may possibly want to defend the accused (his master? We don't really know the slave's identity.), because he apparently does change his story [1], but largely self-interest naturally prevails [1].

If he thinks there is a chance he will be released [1], he will tell lies against Euxitheus [1].

Once he realises he is going to be killed anyway [1], he may as well tell the truth [1].

Any two clear points. [4]

By what means does Euxitheus suggest that evidence obtained against him by this torture is unreliable? Make two points supported by reference to the Greek in Passage 6.

He says that the slave told lies 'with good hope', suggesting that self-interest motivated him [1] –  $\mu$ ετὰ χρηστῆς τῆς ἐλπίδος [1].

He says that the slave changed his story as soon as he realised he would die [1]:  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ταῦθ' ἤδη – it was then at that time...[1].

Contrast between what he did while he still had hope and what he did when it was taken away [1]: " $E\omega_S \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ... \ \acute{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \ \delta \epsilon$  [1].

He says he was persuaded ( $\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon\iota\eta$ ) by them ( $b\pi\delta$  τούτων) [1] to tell lies (καταψεύδεσθαι) [1].

Euxitheus' language is constantly weighing up lies and truth [1] – καταψευσάμενος,  $\dot{\alpha}$ ληθεί $\dot{\alpha}$ , καταψεύδεσθαι, τὰ ψευδή, τάληθή [1].

Any two points. [4]

#### Passage 7

- To what extent are we encouraged to feel sympathy or pity for the tortured slave? You should consider the following points:
  - the attitude of the prosecution towards the slave

Ironic paradox that they 'reward' him with 'death': θάνατον...τὴν δωρεὰν ἀπέδοσαν.

They have no interest in his life, only in what they can make him say – οὐ τοῦ σώματος...τῶν λόγων.

σώματος...λόγων: de-personalised language – he is just body and words.

 $\chi \rho \in \alpha$  – need: he is a tool to be used, an instrument of their case.

Euxitheus' account of this uses condemnatory language, so this encourages us to feel for the slave.

· the attitude of Euxitheus and his friends towards the slave

The friends have no more thought for the slave's well-being than do the prosecution: they tell the prosecution not to kill the slave before/until Euxitheus returns  $-\pi\rho i\nu \ \c \ell \gamma \dot{\omega} \ \c \ell \lambda \theta \omega \mu i$ .

Euxitheus at first appears condemnatory of the prosecution's attitude towards the slave, but then we find his own attitude very little different.

ζῶν μὲν...διὰ τῆς αὐτῆς βασάνου ἰὼν ὑπ' ἐμοῦ: Euxitheus would have tortured him just as much to get him to refute the prosecution's story.

ζῶν μὲν...τεθνεὼς δὲ: contrast – alive/dead.

 $\mathring{a}πεστέρει$ : this is an active verb with the slave as the subject – ironic, in that he has no control over his action here – he is a victim.

τοῖς δὲ λόγοις τοῖς ἐψευσμένοις υπ' ἐκείνου ὡς ἀληθέσιν οὖσιν ἐγὼ ἀπόλλυμαι: Euxitheus claims to be 'ruined/destroyed' by the slave's lies.

In all, the apparent hypocrisy of Euxitheus (by modern standards) may well provoke deeper sympathy for the slave than he intends.

whether the passage makes us lose sympathy for Euxitheus

There is almost certainly a contrast between an ancient and a modern viewpoint here. As ancients believed that a slave's testimony was admissible only if it was obtained under torture, they would have taken a more phlegmatic view of the whole proceedings.

The language with which Euxitheus condemns the prosecution's attitude leads us to expect that his own view will be different – σώματος and λόγων suggest that the slave is easily dispensed with. It is with a chill, then, that we read πρὶν ἐγὼ ἔλθοιμι, ὑπ' ἐμοῦ, ἀπεστέρει, ἀπόλλυμαι.

δι' αὐτοῦ τοῦ σώματος ἀπολλυμένου...ἀπόλλυμαι: both Euxitheus and the slave are 'destroyed', 'ruined' or 'killed' by this: no one is the winner – both are losers. Irony of Euxitheus being 'destroyed' by the slave's destruction.

Euxitheus' attitude to us appears quite cynical, so we probably have more sympathy with the slave's predicament than either Euxitheus or Antiphon intends. To a society which does not believe in slavery at all, the idea of someone being tortured by both sides in competition is repellent. If we had sympathy for Euxitheus before, it probably wavers at this point.

Any suitable response well argued.

[10]

The points given above are indicative and offer question specific guidance. Any other acceptable points must be rewarded. Answers must be marked using the level descriptors in the 10-mark marking grid at the end of the mark scheme, taking into account QWC when placing the answer within the band.

[Paper Total: 50]

#### Marking grid for set text translation 5-mark questions

- [5] Perfectly accurate
- [4] Overall sense correct; up to 2 minor errors (eg tense, number) or a single major error or omission
- [3] Overall sense correct, with several serious errors or omissions
- [2] Parts correct; a few correct phrases but overall sense lacking or unclear
- [1] Isolated knowledge of vocabulary only
- [0] Totally incorrect or omitted
- N.B. Consequential errors should not be penalised.

Level	Mark	Characteristics of performance
	ranges	
		Coverage of the points in the indicative mark scheme;
		Choice and use of evidence;
		Understanding and appreciation of the set text;
		Accuracy of writing;
		Control of appropriate form and style;
		Organisation and use of technical vocabulary.
4	9 – 10	All three bullet points covered in detail;
		A good range of accurate Greek quotation with developed discussion of
		this;
		Detailed understanding and appreciation of the set text;
		Legible, fluent and technically very accurate writing;
		<ul> <li>Sustained control of appropriate form and register;</li> </ul>
		Very well structured and organised argument; technical terms accurately
	1000	and effectively used.
3	6-8	Two bullet points covered in detail;
		Some accurate Greek quotation with relevant discussion;
		A general understanding and appreciation of the set text;
		Legible and generally accurate writing, conveying meaning accurately;
		Limited control of appropriate form and register;
		Argument is organised, some technical terms accurately used.
2	3-5	One bullet point covered in detail, or two or three bullet points covered
		sketchily;
		Limited Greek quotation which might not be discussed in detail;
		A basic understanding and appreciation of the set text;
		<ul> <li>Legible and generally accurate writing, clarity not obscured;</li> </ul>
		Very limited control of form and register;
		<ul> <li>Argument coherent even if cumbersome or underdeveloped, simple technical terms used appropriately.</li> </ul>
1	0-2	One bullet point covered sketchily, or two or three bullet points hardly
	_	covered at all;
		Very little or no Greek quotation and/or no discussion of evidence;
		Very little understanding or appreciation of the set text;
		Writing may be illegible and/or contain many errors of spelling,
		punctuation and grammar;
		Little control of form or register;
		Argument difficult to discern, technical terms inaccurately used or
		omitted.

Quality of Written Communication (QWC): the QCA guidance stipulates that all three strands of QWC must be explicitly addressed – hence in the marking grid the presence of bullet points 4-6. In assigning a mark, examiners must focus on bullet points 1-3 to decide the appropriate Level using the Indicative mark scheme to form their judgment. They should then consider the evidence of QWC to help them decide where, within the Level, it is best to allocate the candidate's mark.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

#### **OCR Customer Contact Centre**

#### 14 - 19 Qualifications (General)

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

#### www.ocr.orq.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553

