Qualification Accredited



GCSE (9-1)

Examiners' report

CITIZENSHIP STUDIES

J270

For first teaching in 2016

J270/03 Summer 2022 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 3 series overview	4
Section A overview	5
Question 1 (a)	5
Question 1 (b)	6
Question 1 (c)	6
Question 1 (d)	7
Section B overview	8
Question 2 (a)	8
Question 2 (b)	9
Question 2 (c)	9
Question 2 (d)	10
Question 2 (e)*	11
Section C overview	14
Question 3 (a)	15
Question 3 (b)*	17
Section D overview	20
Question 4 (a)	21
Question 4 (h)*	22

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Advance Information for Summer 2022 assessments

To support student revision, advance information was published about the focus of exams for Summer 2022 assessments. Advance information was available for most GCSE, AS and A Level subjects, Core Maths, FSMQ, and Cambridge Nationals Information Technologies. You can find more information on our website.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as...** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 3 series overview

This paper constitutes the final component of the J270 GCSE in Citizenship Studies and comprises of a mixture of short and longer questions. As in previous series the majority of candidates attempted most or all of the questions on the paper. The pre-release information had clearly been used to a varying extent by centres. Where this guidance had been used effectively candidates were well-prepared and able to answer questions in detail.

The majority of candidates attempted the longer questions (Questions 2(e), 3(b) and 4(b)) recognising that these comprise over 50% of the total marks on the paper. These questions also allowed more successful candidates to demonstrate their advocacy and broader citizenship knowledge. These questions included extra guidance framed as 'You should consider' items. These are provided as bullet points under the question itself. Where candidates used these and the sources provided to inform their response, they typically performed better on the question.

Again, this year some parts of the specification for this paper were answered more successfully than others. The Commonwealth that formed the focus of Question 2 was not clearly understood by a significant number of candidates with some common misconceptions remaining. These will be explored further in the individual item comments, but it is worth noting that this remains an element of the specification that candidates rarely address well. Candidates were clearly interested in the topic of climate change that formed the basis of Question 4. This led to some very detailed responses where candidates wrote personal opinions that did not address the question. Personal thoughts and their own knowledge on climate action as a whole took precedence over addressing the key issue regarding the UN. As in previous years the recommendation to encourage all candidates to carefully read the questions twice before attempting them, remains good practice.

Candidates who did well on this paper Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following: generally did the following: read the questions carefully and used the did not use the extra guidance to plan their extra guidance to frame longer responses longer responses used the sources identified in the question to did not use the sources to answer the longer formulate their response and shorter questions even where they were paid attention to the need to argue against directed to do so gave responses that did not directly answer viewpoints given where directed to do so (Questions 2(e) and 3(b)) the question asked gave a range of examples to support their did not evaluate viewpoints critically opinions and arguments in their responses to gave repetitive or insufficiently accurate the longer questions. responses to shorter questions.

Section A overview

In this section candidates were expected to use the two sources to support their responses on four short questions exploring the development of the Rule of Law. A clear understanding of the development of the Rule of Law and the importance of this in modern society was required in order to be successful on this section. Centres had clearly used the pre-release information to make sure candidates were well prepared for the topics covered in this section.

Question 1 (a)

Section A - The development of the Rule of Law

1 Study Figs 1.1 and 1.2 and answer questions 1(a)-1(d).							
	Item removed due to third party copyright restrictions						

Item removed due to third party copyright restrictions

(a) State the name normally given to the document being signed in Fig. 1.1.

5

The majority of candidates correctly identified this as the Magna Carta.

Questio	n 1 /	h\	١
Questio	11 I (U	,

o)	Describe how far the document being signed in Fig. 1.1 is still very important today. Use evidence from Fig. 1.2 to support your description.
	[4]

Most candidates answered this question at least partially successfully. Those who used Fig. 1.2 to support their own knowledge tended to score more highly. Some more successful responses tried to evaluate 'how far' with a balance of evidence. Such responses were rare but often scored very highly.

Question 1 (c)

(c)	State one piece of evidence which shows that the lords were challenging the King's power.
	[1]

Responses to this question were mostly successful. Where this was not the case it was typical that candidates had not used a piece of evidence but rather their own knowledge.

Assessment for learning



Centres could remind candidates to use evidence from the source, rather than their own knowledge to answer questions.

Question 1 (d)

Study the viewpoint below and answer question 1(d).

'The rule of law is an old-fashioned idea with no relevance to modern society.'

[4]
4
3
2
1
viewpoint above.
State four different points that could be used as part of a reasoned case against the

The common mistake here was mistaking Rule of Law for the Magna Carta and either duplicating some of the points made in their responses to Question 1(b) or using Fig. 1.2 which is not pertinent to this question.

Section B overview

Questions in this section gave candidates the opportunity to demonstrate their understanding of the UK's role in international organisations and its relationship with other countries, particularly those formerly a part of the British Empire. The questions required careful reading of the map and written source and asked for a range of short and longer responses.

Question 2 (a)

Section B - The United Kingdom's role in the wider world

2 Read the introduction below.

Barbados removes Queen Elizabeth II as head of state

Barbados announced in 2020 that it planned to remove Queen Elizabeth II as its constitutional monarch and become a republic. This is the first time since 1992 that a commonwealth nation has done this. A government official pointed out that the 55th anniversary of independence would happen in 2021 and claimed that Barbados was the "best governed Black society in the world".

Study Fig. 2 and answer questions 2(a)-2(c).



(a)	Using Fig. 2 and your own knowledge, state one example of a Commonwealth state, other than Barbados, where the Queen is not head of state.
	[1]
	a range of incorrect responses here, some candidates incorrectly chose a country identified in cating that they had not read either the question or the detail regarding the source carefully.
Question	n 2 (b)
(b)	State one reason why the countries shown in Fig. 2 are members of the Commonwealth.
	[1]
countries v	ion was typically answered correctly although a common error was to suggest why these would join an international organisation in general, e.g., support in crisis, rather than exploring onship with the Commonwealth in particular.
Question	n 2 (c)
(c)	Using the introduction to Fig. 2 , state two reasons why Barbados would want to remove the Queen as head of state.
	1
	2
	[2]
The most o	common arrara hara como from condidatos not using Fig. 2.1 for their response and instead

The most common errors here came from candidates not using Fig. 2.1 for their response and instead relying on their own knowledge.

Question 2 (d)

Study the viewpoint below and answer question 2(d).

'The United Kingdom (UK) benefits from its membership of the Commonwealth.'

(d)	State four different points that could be used to support the viewpoint above.	
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
		 [4]

There was a mixture of errors at play here when candidates did not score the full marks available. Typical misconceptions or mistakes involved the Commonwealth being a 'free trade' organisation, or that members were obligated to come to the UK's aid if the country were attacked or went to war.

Question 2 (e)*

Study the viewpoint below and answer question 2(e).

'The Commonwealth is still one of the world's most important international economic and political organisations.'

(e)*	Write a detailed case opposing the viewpoint above.
	[8]

Candidates who achieved higher marks on this question carefully explained in detail reasons why the Commonwealth was no longer a politically or economically significant international organisation. Candidates at all levels tended to make comparisons with other international organisations such as the EU, UN, WTO, etc. It was interesting to note that at all levels candidates were more confident in the policies and purposes of other organisations compared to the Commonwealth.

Possibly due to the current situation in Ukraine, many candidates compared the Commonwealth with NATO, often with limited success due to a lack of clarity on the size, location and purpose of both organisations.

Exemplar 1

no commonwealth is not one to the world is
not important economic and political organisations
in today is society. The commonwealth is out dated
and does une provide a large anount of
money from bling a par of it one
of the world's most emportant unterchianal
organisations is love United Nations: This is
Isecourse this france poace and the likery
amongst nations, allows strong relationships
Jeenseen countries and provides and to Countrie
in need of it. (such as syria) The UN
is important politically because it allow
interational governments to make collective
decisions exect change the world. It als
prevents major amed conjuits between countries
and mediates were feare talks. The UN
strengthens countries unough wack deal,
union boost los economy worlder do. The
commonwealth nonsever, does not provide are
income or political support to any narions.
mary of the nation within the commonwealth
are actually less economically advarted, is
this grows its lack of rellvarie con today is
oconomy ord politics. [8]

The response in Exemplar 1 met the criteria for Level 3, 5 marks with three points clearly describing why the Commonwealth is no longer one of the world's most important international economic and political organisations. The viewpoint is clearly used to answer the question and there is one accurate example of an alternative international organisation (UN).

Section C overview

This section focuses on the knowledge and understanding of the issues related to asylum, extradition arrangements between countries and the right to a fair trial.

Question 3(b) was designed to assess the skills underpinning AO3. Effective written advocacy should include focusing on the argument, selecting strong supportive points and utilising convincing examples from the sources and information provided. Stimulus material in this section comprised of an introduction and two figures that provided information to be used by candidates in the answering of both questions in this section.

Question 3 (a)

Section C – Asylum and rights to a fair trial

3 Read the introduction below.

Asylum and extradition

Article 14 of the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that everyone has the right to political asylum. This means you can shelter in one country to escape persecution in your own country. Extradition is the right of one country to ask another country to hand over a suspected criminal for trial. The request will only succeed if there is a strong case that the suspect person has committed a serious crime. Asylum and extradition often come into conflict.

Study Fig. 3.1 and answer question 3(a).

Item removed due to third party copyright restrictions

(a)	State two examples of evidence in Fig. 3.1 that could be used to support the following
	viewpoint:

'The United States of America (USA) has good reason to demand that the UK should extradite Julian Assange to the USA.'

1.	 	
2.	 	
	 	 [2]

While many candidates performed well on this question, some struggled to draw out suitable information as to why the USA has good reason to demand Assange's extradition. Marks were not given for focusing on Assange's issues with the law in Fig. 3.1 that did not relate to the USA.

Question 3 (b)*

Study Fig. 3.2 and answer question 3(b).

Item removed due to third party copyright restrictions

(b)* Write a reasoned case against the following viewpoint:

'The UK should end its extradition treaty with the USA and stop sending anyone there against their will.'

In your answer you should consider:

- Figs 3.1 and 3.2 and refer to them in your answer
- at least three disadvantages to ending this treaty.

[8]

Some candidates did not read the question correctly which stated that they were to argue against ending the treaty rather than argue in support of ending it. Higher performing responses were able to create a sustained and supported argument for retaining the treaty and made critical use of the sources and the introduction to support their argument.

Candidates who were able to analyse the data in Fig. 3.2 accurately and relate this to the relative total population size of the UK and the US were able to frame a more sophisticated argument based on numerical data.

Exemplar 2

One disadrantage to ending the Extratition trady would be that people inspected as committing crime in the VSA, such as Tuban Assange, would be less libely to be brought to judice. Julian Assangt is alleged to have published 470,000 USA military downers online. Pro the USA is a very UK military ally, treeping the extradition treaty would allow those who breach USA national security to be brought to justice. As The treaty should also be nept as not everyone can be extradited Fig 3.2 states that only those with "probable cause" can be extradited. This nears that the orlypsple being extradited are those who are highly likely to have committed a crime. Therefore, sorring them to go against their own will can be seen to benefit public sacety and national security. Fig 3.7 also skates that "II people" have been extradited from the USA to the Ur. If the treaty was Grapped, then these 22 people would not have been able to be brought to justice. This nears that it is in the interest of the UK public that the treaty is kept as it helps to uphald the British value of "The Rule Of how" of all who can be brought to justice here are.

In my personal view, I believe that the treaty should be scrapped as it is currently doing little to help justice to be brought for people such as Harry Dunn's garrily as the USA are reguring to extraint Anne Sacroles. This shows that the runnant treaty is rendemndant and not benyminal to British justice.

It is seen in Fig 3.2

Exemplar 2 is a rare example of a full mark response for this question. Here the candidate has clearly used Figs. 3.1 and 3.2 to support the points made in their argument against the viewpoint. Three developed disadvantages are discussed supported by the sources and a personal viewpoint is given in conclusion.

Section D overview

This section focuses on Sustainability and the UN response to climate change globally. The figures provided outlined the UN sustainable Development Goals and adaptations of tweets from Donald Trump expressing doubts about the validity of global warming and man-made climate change.

Question 4(b) asks candidates to evaluate a viewpoint, rather than specifically advocate against a given viewpoint as in Questions 2(e) and 3(b).

Changes to marking guidance

The marking guidance for this question has changed and now the assessment criteria AO3a and AO3c are considered separately although recorded as a joint mark.

Centres may wish to pay particular attention to this change and consider how it may impact their support and preparation of candidates for this question in future series.

Question 4 (a)

Section D – The United Nations and sustainability

4 Read the introduction below.

The United Nations and sustainability

Members of the United Nations agree to support the four key aims in its Charter. The United Nations has set 17 goals to help achieve these aims. These are called Sustainable Development Goals. They are to make sure that the world can develop for everyone's benefit.

Study Figs 4.1 and 4.2 and answer questions 4(a)–(b).

Item removed due to third party copyright restrictions

Item removed due to third party copyright restrictions

(a)	State two of the aims of the United Nations.
	1
	2
	[2

The question was answered correctly by many candidates, some used examples from Fig. 4.1 while others used their own knowledge. Candidates need to be careful of repetition in such questions, where responses such as 'preventing war' and 'keeping peace between countries' were both given, they could only be credited once.

Question 4 (b)*

(b)* Using information from Figs 4.1 and 4.2 and evidence from your studies, evaluate the following viewpoint:

'The United Nations should forget other issues and allocate all their resources to stop climate change.'

You should consider:

- the merits of Trump's arguments about global warming
- the benefits of Sustainable Development Goals
- the ways in which the United Nations could help to stop climate change.

[12]

Some good responses were seen, and it was clear that candidates were typically well informed about the causes of climate change and actions that both individuals and national/international organisations can take to reduce this. Candidates also chose to discuss the other work of the UN with a good degree of accuracy, using this as a counter-argument to the viewpoint.

While the majority of candidates used either Figs 4.1 or 4.2, many did not use both sources to support their evaluation. Discussion of the 'merits' of Trump's arguments tended to be lacking, even where candidates included reference to these in their responses. The lack of critical evaluation of the sources is more apparent now the mark scheme explores this separately with relatively few candidates scoring above 2 marks at Level 2 on AO3a, even when their personal response as a whole was valid and coherent.

Most candidates made use of the prompts to structure and support their response.

Exemplar 3

Exemplai 5
Whilst climate change is a prominent elobal phenenenso
it can be aroued whether the united Naubos should
delegate at a les resources behards it.
It can be argued their be united nowbox should allocate
There resources tomores climate change this is supported
by the succes of the un's sobe as stable in
by the success of the un's soft as stabill in one of the companies of the un's succession of goals,
in particular, 12 (climate alwan) and 45 (asportable and clean
energy) are recognised atound the world when many countries
esnithing to more renewable energy esures like edul
and wind power. Businesses have also been making
changes to assist this movement with testa as a montains
promainy we customers who electric cors - in which
release no carboo emissions. Thoragore be this sobs have
helpeu to inspire a movement of sustainability among
countries and businesses alike.
It can also to be argued that the united nations should
allocate loss resoluces to climate change. This is especially
supported with my 6-2 outlining trump's 650 skeptitism
rogalaing estobal halming as a phenomenon. It is notable
that switching to sustainable energy is expensive and
may not be agrorauble by bex payers and businesses
active which could have destructive impaces on the
current ecoponic canalle which is currently to reclusion.

this problem is only exacerbated by issuls such as
•
he current new between extraine and Russia which have caused certain resources to expressed to price
Whe soil. Therexore, he lenited nations should delegate
LOSS rasourds bewards culmute Change and more bowards
te utravil-russion wer.
The parties house should allocate at of its resources to
climate change as als be most important current costs. They
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
be the flew switch to sustainable entay as his expensive.

The response in Exemplar 3 represents a coherent, relevant, logically structured and substantiated response to the viewpoint. It met the criteria for Level 4 for both AO3a and AO3c due to the inclusion of a thorough and accurate evaluation of a range of information. This evaluation included specific reference to source 4.1, source 4,2 and the candidate's own knowledge.

Sustainable Development Goals, the merits of Trump's arguments and the role the UN could play in defeating the climate change were all discussed and a substantiated although brief conclusion drawn, supported by a clear introduction and evaluation throughout. This response was given 11 marks out of 12.

Supporting you

Post-results services

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the OCR website.

Keep up-to-date

We send a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our <u>website</u> or visit <u>OCR professional development</u>.

Signed up for ExamBuilder?

ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. Find out more.

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an Interchange username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Active Results

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- · review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- · facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- · identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

Find out more.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on **support@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

- □ ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- **6** /ocrexams
- **y** /ocrexams
- // /company/ocr
- /ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

 $Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our \underline{\text{Expression of Interest form}}.$

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.