Version 1.0



**General Certificate of Secondary Education** June 2011

# Art and Design – Fine Art

42021

(Specification 4202)

Unit 1 : Portfolio of Work



Further copies of this Report on the Examination are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$  2011 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

#### Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX.

## GCSE Art and Design (4201-4207, 4211)

### General

This was the first year candidates could enter for both Unit 1 and Unit 2 as a full course award and claim certification for the new specification in all GCSE Art and Design endorsements.

Moderators reported that many centres had taken the opportunities presented by the specification to restructure courses and offer more flexible approaches to teaching and learning. Others had been more cautious, retaining the best practice from legacy course models, sometimes with the addition of workshops and one-off activities, to reflect the individual needs of their own candidates. Overall, whichever approach was taken, it would appear that the specification and its enhanced opportunities have been very well received by schools and colleges.

For Unit 1 there is no prescribed approach to development of work, but for the full course the submission must show the coverage of all four assessment objectives through "more than one extended collection of work, or project". For some centres the portfolio ethos was actively pursued with teachers encouraging candidates to take a lead role in the selection and organisation of work to be presented for examination. For others, candidates submitted two or three complete projects, as in previous years, with little selection.

Work for this unit may also be produced in the form of one sustained project supported by work generated by other experiences such as visits, workshops, experimental exercises in handling media and engagement with a wide variety of sources from which to develop individual responses. Alternatively, two or more projects of similar or different scope and complexity could fulfil the assessment criteria. Therefore, themes for projects, assignments and briefs were often wide ranging and varied and candidates were able to engage with a wealth of possibilities and developmental opportunities. In many centres, the use of starting points from the previous year's test paper was common practice.

For Unit 2, the extended preparatory time available for the externally set task, was well received. More time allowed centres to select a delivery approach to suit individual candidates' needs and working practices. Some centres chose to use the full lead-in time available from early January, allowing candidates the time to explore their ideas and intentions in greater depth. Other centres opted for a shorter preliminary time followed by a much longer period over which the 10-hour supervised sessions were spread to support candidates' 'momentum'. The flexibility afforded by the extended preparatory period was deemed to be a major factor in the success of this unit of work.

The externally set task papers for each endorsement were also well received, with many centres welcoming the familiar paper format, which allows candidates the choice between focused questions with suggested sources, or an open-ended starting point. Each paper is designed to ensure candidates have access to a range of different, equally valid, ways to achieve the assessment objectives. Please remember that candidates should be allowed to select their own question from the full range in the paper. Teachers should not pre-select questions on behalf of learners or offer them a narrower range to choose from. AQA regards this as a form of malpractice.

In both units, visually engaging assessment evidence in the form of sketchbooks, ideas books or journals, as well as mounted loose sheets were seen.

### **Teacher Standardisation Meetings**

The meetings not only illustrate standards, but give teachers the opportunity to view a variety of practices in all specification endorsements from both Unit 1 and Unit 2. The generosity of centres in loaning work for training purposes at teacher standardisation meetings is gratefully appreciated.

Attendance at teacher standardisation meetings was deemed essential this year for centres new to the specification. At moderation, those centres that did not send a representative do not appear always to have fully grasped how to evidence the new assessment objectives.

Delegate feedback indicated that fundamental issues such as the administration of paperwork, deadline dates and reminders of the support available were a vital part of the meetings, but that the emphasis on standards and training through the marking of 'live' work is why the AQA teacher standardisation sessions are so highly valued. Understandably the absence of grade boundaries in this first year of full course certification was an issue for many delegates. Presenters endeavoured to allay fears and confirmed that although the raw mark boundary may change, the overall standard will stay the same and be carried forward.

The provision of CDs, for delegates to take away from the meetings, that included a 'visual reminder' of the training and marking sets and associated attainment commentaries was welcomed. This compensated for delegates now being unable to photograph the exhibition for copy-right reasons. Please note, the reminder notes and all other Teacher Resource Bank information are also available through the AQA website.

#### Administration

Administration was generally good this year, although in a few instances moderators did receive paper work after the 31<sup>st</sup> May deadline. It is essential that centres send two copies of their Centre Mark Form (CMF) or EDI printout to the moderator. This ensures the moderation sample is selected in good time, and the centre's copy is returned in advance of the agreed moderation date.

Transferring marks on to forms still posed some problems, for example, confusing Unit 1 with Unit 2 when adding marks on the CMF or adding up the marks incorrectly on Candidate Record Forms (CRF). Generally, however, inaccuracies such as these were dealt with by moderators during centre visits.

Please remember, it is a mandatory requirement that CRFs are signed by both the candidate and the teacher responsible for delivering the course of study. This is to signify that the work submitted is solely that of the candidate and is an essential part of centre administration. Thankfully, there were very few instances this year of incomplete forms, although the supporting information boxes (to expand on information about candidates' individual circumstances or to explain the awarding of marks) were rarely used.

#### **Assessment and Moderation**

The change to the sample selection process caused some confusion in centres this year. A single sample of candidates' work is now chosen according to the range of marks submitted across Unit 1 and Unit 2 for each endorsement. For this reason, it is much less likely that both units of work of the same candidate will be seen. The selection is also proportionate to the number of units entered for each endorsement. So if a centre enters equal proportions of Unit 1 and Unit 2 for an endorsement, for example, 20 Unit 1 and 20 Unit 2, 15 units will be selected for moderation, 8 for Unit 1 and 7 for Unit 2 or vice versa.

In terms of the accuracy of centre marking, where centres had sent a representative to a teacher standardisation meeting, used the assessment criteria appropriately and with reference to AQA's standards, marking judgements were generally sound. Where this had not been done and where there appeared to be a lack of internal standardisation marking appeared to be erratic. In these cases, the use of marks that were too high or too low in the four-mark band was a common problem. Where teachers had marked to the requirements of the assessment objectives, using the key words of "Develop", "Refine", " Record" and "Present", and the distinguishing characteristics "Just", "Adequate", "Clear" and "Convincing" in the four-mark bands, they were better equipped to differentiate when proposing marks for their own candidates.

Moderation meetings and visits were generally very successful this year, with fewer instances of inadequate provision and/or presentation of samples. Whether submissions are presented in the form of an exhibition or carefully labelled folders, a quiet, undisturbed area is essential if the moderation process is to be effectively conducted.

#### Assessment Objectives

In both Unit 1 and Unit 2 candidates are required to evidence all four assessment objectives through appropriate means. The document "Interpreting the Assessment Objectives" offers valuable guidance for centres and is available through the AQA website.

#### **Assessment Objective 1**

The combination of instructions to "develop ideas" with the wording "informed by contextual and other sources" saw many candidates move beyond the frequently accessed artists typical to previous years' submissions. The breadth of possibilities under the heading of "sources" has been evidenced through what one senior moderator described as "an eclectic mix of increasingly contemporary and very stimulating practitioners" as well as song lyrics, dance displays, objects and artefacts, cultural gatherings, trips, visits, exhibitions, poems, posters and films. Where candidates had simply downloaded information from the Internet, little evidence of analytical or cultural understanding was seen, and their own work was rarely "informed" as a result.

#### **Assessment Objective 2**

In some cases, refinement was simply evidenced through the eventual selection of one version of the same image that had been replicated in a range of media with little consideration of alternative possibilities. In others, candidates had experimented extensively to evidence the creative selection and rejection of a wide range of media in a journey of exploration through a project or series of standalone experiences. Digital media was in evidence across all ability ranges, with candidates often using software packages such as 'Photoshop' to good effect in considering a range of possibilities. Screen shots were also used by some candidates to effectively demonstrate the manipulation and refinement of ideas.

#### **Assessment Objective 3**

Recording in a wide range of both two and three-dimensional media was seen this year, with digital recording used effectively for a variety of purposes, and a balance of primary and secondary sources was noted in the most successful portfolio and test submissions. These included documenting work in progress, design ideas and working drawings, as well as recording through drawing. One moderator reported that "drawing as a recording tool appears to be as strong as ever" whereas another reported that drawing continues to "wither on the vine". Candidates also evidenced their own insights and opinions through written annotations, with thoughts and reflections complementing associated visual materials. Once again however, the presentation of written information that had simply been downloaded from the internet or copied from books without subsequent development rarely formed evidence for the marking criteria.

### **Assessment Objective 4**

Personal responses were varied, with some showing ambition and creativity as a result of individual journeys of enquiry. Many candidates progressed their ideas through a wide range of projects or client-orientated briefs, often showing sound understanding of process and intention. Encouragingly, fewer examples of pastiche were reported this year. Please remember, an "informed and meaningful response demonstrating analytical and critical understanding" does not have to be seen as a separate outcome, and there was more evidence this year of candidates showing personal responses through collections of related work centred on a particular theme, sketchbook investigations and/or design sheets. Equally some excellent examples of fully resolved outcomes were submitted in a wide range of styles, media and sizes that evidenced the candidates' abilities to handle materials sensitively and with a clear understanding of their appropriateness, given intended purposes.

### Fine Art 4202

Teachers of the Fine Art endorsement continued to offer creative opportunities in a wide range of materials, skills, processes and approaches reflecting the needs of a broad spectrum of candidates' backgrounds and abilities. Some moderators reported that centres previously submitting work for the

legacy 'Unendorsed' course had transferred to the Fine Art course this year. Very little 3D work was seen, but where it was there were some exciting responses to the externally set tasks that incorporated the use of ceramics, natural forms and found objects.

### Unit 1: Portfolio of Work 42021

Compared to the legacy specification, there was little change to the number or nature of the projects submitted. The majority of candidates completed two or three projects this year, covering topics such as 'Natural Forms', 'Identity' and the 'Environment'. Whilst many centres presented the work well by only including carefully selected work, others do not appear to have offered guidance to candidates in their portfolio selection, and in some isolated examples, centres had over-selected leading to rather limited body of evidence.

Teachers welcomed the flexibility of the new portfolio where submissions were enhanced by evidence from workshops, access to artists-in-residence and gallery visits. Area moderation meetings and visits generated discussion about possible approaches to structuring courses in preparation for the portfolio. The most popular approach was a teacher-led first project exploring various ideas and ways of working to ensure all assessment objectives were covered, leading to a second "extended study" project.

At the higher end of the mark range, the fluency and sophistication of candidates' visual language was impressive. Here context was often the key to leading them into fruitful areas of study. Less able candidates, and many in centres that catered for those with special needs, had engaged practically with the creative process in an exciting and fulfilling manner.

Traditional approaches to painting, drawing and printmaking were in evidence at many centres. However, the use of ICT and other new technologies has continued to grow, especially the use of Photoshop in the manipulation of images. Digital photography was used extensively for collecting and developing images and ideas, with the internet employed as a prime source of ideas and information. It was also good to see that some centres had included 3D experiences in their Fine Art courses.

Sketchbooks, workbooks and personal journals featured significantly. Some candidates worked almost exclusively in these formats, but sometimes experienced difficulties when transferring small scale work from books into larger finished pieces. Other candidates used separate sheets, sometimes combining various aspects of the working process on the same sheet. Both methods are equally valid, having their particular advantages and disadvantages and moderators reported seeing excellent examples of both.

Centres had generally responded well to the new assessment objectives, with many finding the sequence more logical than before. In the work of higher attaining candidates it was clear to see how an idea had developed from initial investigation. Sometimes this included an interplay between observational study and analysis of artists' work, developed with a view to the improvement and refinement of their ideas through experimentation with a variety of media.

### Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Grade boundaries and cumulative percentage grades are available at <u>www.aqa.org.uk/over/stat.html</u> . The UMS conversion calculator can be found at <u>www.aqa.org.uk/umsconversion</u> .