GCSE 2004 June Series



Mark Scheme

Applied Information and Communication Technology (Double Award) Unit 3 (3850/3)

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from:
Publications Department, Aldon House, 39, Heald Grove, Rusholme, Manchester, M14 4NA Tel: 0161 953 1170
or
download from the AQA website: www.aqa.org.uk
Copyright © 2004 AQA and its licensors
COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales 3644723 and a registered

Dr Michael Cresswell Director General

within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

charity number 1073334. Registered address AQA, Devas Street, Manchester. M15 6EX.

Task One: Report (30 Marks)

Candidate produces a basic description of three technologies available to access and exchange information and carry out transactions

Identify 3 technologies 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

Basic description of 3 technologies 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

More details on 1 or more of the technologies 1 mark for each, up to 2 marks

8 marks

More detailed description, including main purposes of technologies available, giving advantages and disadvantages, using ICT to search, select and organise information

Purposes of 2 technologies 1 mark for each, up to 2 marks

Advantages of 2 technologies 1 mark for each, up to 2 marks

Disadvantages of 2 technologies 1 mark for each, up to 2 marks

Use of ICT to search or select information 1 mark
Use of ICT to organise information 1 mark

8 marks

Candidate identifies trends over time based on detailed descriptions of purposes, advantages and disadvantages

Identify 3 trends 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

More detail of trends 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

6 marks

Candidate produces well-structured description, including List of Sources of information, with each source evaluated and validated

Well-structured report up to 3 marks

List of range of sources up to 2 marks

Sources specifically related to content of report 1 mark

Validation of at least one source 1 mark

Evaluation of at least one source 1 mark

Task Two: Presentation (52 Marks)

Candidate describes groups and/or individuals affected by ICT systems

Identify 3 groups 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

Description of 3 groups 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

Relate groups to ICT use 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

9 marks

Candidate considers consequences of lack of access to ICT and benefits available through use of ICT

Consequences of lack of access to ICT 1 mark for each, up to 5 marks

Benefits of use of ICT 1 mark for each, up to 5 marks

10 marks

Candidate's work is effective and appropriate to needs of audience, and is produced using fully the software features available

Identify audience 1 mark

Format appropriate for audience 1 mark

Use of software features 1 mark for each, up to 4 marks

6 marks

Candidate work includes evidence of use of ICT to search for and organise information

Use of ICT to search for information up to 3 marks

Use of ICT to organise information up to 3 marks

6 marks

Candidate demonstrates depth of knowledge of effects of ICT developments on the chosen groups and/or individuals

Depth of knowledge up to 5 marks

Candidate makes informed suggestions how ICT developments will affect chosen groups and/or individuals, based on knowledge of effects of ICT developments

Basic details of future effects on each of the 3 groups 1 mark per group, up to 3 marks

Detailed/contextualised/justified details of future effects up to 4 marks

7 marks

Candidate evaluates own work and details validated sources of information. Candidate recognises and explores ethical and moral implications of access to ICT

Evaluation of work3 marksSources of information2 marksAt least one source validated1 markEthical and moral implications3 marks

Task Three: Newsletter or Brochure (18 Marks)

Candidate produces a simple description of the main purposes of legislation covering working with, and using, ICT

Simple descriptions of main purposes 1 mark for each, up to 5 marks

5 marks

Candidate produces a more detailed description, which includes an explanation of implications for users of implementing the legislation

More detailed descriptions of 3 pieces of legislation 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

Implications for users explained 1 mark for each, up to 3 marks

6 marks

Candidate produces a detailed and well-structured description which includes details of the sources of information, and validates those as part of a detailed evaluation of the work

Well-structured description up to 2 marks

Details of sources 1 mark

At least one source validated 1 mark

Detailed evaluation of work 3 marks