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OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

Annotations used in the detailed Mark Scheme.

| Annotation | Meaning |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\checkmark$ | Correct |
| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | Incorrect |
| BOD | Benefit of doubt |
| FT | Follow through |
| ISW | Ignore subsequent working (after correct answer obtained), provided method has been completed |
| M0 | Method mark awarded 0 |
| M1 | Method mark awarded 1 |
| M2 | Method mark awarded 2 |
| A1 | Accuracy mark awarded 1 |
| B1 | Independent mark awarded 1 |
| B2 | Independent mark awarded 2 |
| MR | Misread |
| SC | Special case |
| $\wedge$ | Omission sign |

These should be used whenever appropriate during your marking.
The $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, etc annotations must be used on your standardisation scripts for responses that are not awarded either 0 or full marks.
It is vital that you annotate these scripts to show how the marks have been awarded.
It is not mandatory to use annotations for any other marking, though you may wish to use them in some circumstances.

## Subject-Specific Marking Instructions

M marks are for using a correct method and are not lost for purely numerical errors.
A marks are for an accurate answer and depend on preceding M (method) marks. Therefore M0 A1 cannot be awarded.
$B$ marks are independent of $\mathbf{M}$ (method) marks and are for a correct final answer, a partially correct answer, or a correct intermediate stage.
SC marks are for special cases that are worthy of some credit.

Unless the answer and marks columns of the mark scheme specify $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ marks etc, or the mark scheme is 'banded', then if the correct answer is clearly given and is not from wrong working full marks should be awarded.

Do not award the marks if the answer was obtained from an incorrect method, ie incorrect working is seen and the correct answer clearly follows from it.

Where follow through (FT) is indicated in the mark scheme, marks can be awarded where the candidate's work follows correctly from a previous answer whether or not it was correct.

Figures or expressions that are being followed through are sometimes encompassed by single quotation marks after the word their for clarity, eg FT $180 \times$ (their ' 37 ' +16 ), or FT $300-\sqrt{\left(\text { their ' } 5^{2}+7^{2 \prime}\right) \text {. Answers to part questions which are being followed through are indicated by eg FT } 3 \times \text { their }}$ (a).

For questions with FT available you must ensure that you refer back to the relevant previous answer. You may find it easier to mark these questions candidate by candidate rather than question by question.

Where dependent (dep) marks are indicated in the mark scheme, you must check that the candidate has met all the criteria specified for the mark to be awarded.

The following abbreviations are commonly found in GCSE Mathematics mark schemes.

- figs 237, for example, means any answer with only these digits. You should ignore leading or trailing zeros and any decimal point eg 237000, $2.37,2.370,0.00237$ would be acceptable but 23070 or 2374 would not.
- isw means ignore subsequent working (after correct answer obtained).
- nfww means not from wrong working.
- oe means or equivalent.
- rot means rounded or truncated.
- seen means that you should award the mark if that number/expression is seen anywhere in the answer space, including the answer line, even if it is not in the method leading to the final answer.
- soi means seen or implied.

Make no deductions for wrong work after an acceptable answer unless the mark scheme says otherwise, indicated for example by the instruction 'mark final answer'

As a general principle, if two or more methods are offered, mark only the method that leads to the answer on the answer line. If two (or more) answers are offered, mark the poorer (poorest).

When the data of a question is consistently misread in such a way as not to alter the nature or difficulty of the question, please follow the candidate's work and allow follow through for $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ marks. Deduct 1 mark from any $\mathbf{A}$ or $\mathbf{B}$ marks earned and record this by using the MR annotation. $\mathbf{M}$ marks are not deducted for misreads.

Unless the question asks for an answer to a specific degree of accuracy, always mark at the greatest number of significant figures even if this is rounded or truncated on the answer line. For example, an answer in the mark scheme is 15.75 , which is seen in the working. The candidate then rounds or truncates this to $15.8,15$ or 16 on the answer line. Allow full marks for the 15.75.

If the correct answer is seen in the body and the answer given in the answer space is a clear transcription error allow full marks unless the mark scheme says 'mark final answer' or 'cao'. Place the annotation $\checkmark$ next to the correct answer.

If the answer space is blank but the correct answer is seen in the body allow full marks. Place the annotation $\checkmark$ next to the correct answer.

Ranges of answers given in the mark scheme are always inclusive.
For methods not provided for in the mark scheme give as far as possible equivalent marks for equivalent work. If in doubt, consult your Team Leader.

Anything in the mark scheme which is in square brackets [...] is not required for the mark to be earned, but if present it must be correct.

| Question |  |  | Answer/Indicative Content | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Content | Levels of response |
| 1 | a | 1 | Statement indicating wrist measurement $=2 \times$ thumb measurement oe <br> Allow ratio eg thumb measurement : wrist measurement $=1: 2$ or $t: w=1: 2$ where $t \& w$ qualified as measurements | 1 |  | For measurement allow diameter, radius, circumference, size, thickness, girth etc See list in appendix Award 0 if different measures, if only one person implied, |
|  |  | ii | Sample size $\geq 30$ <br> Thumb (measurement) <br> Wrist (measurement) <br> Same units of length specified for each | $1$ $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ $1$ |  | Sample size with length unit award 0 <br> If thumb measure $\times 2$ award 0 If thumb [x2] \& wrist have different measures eg radius \& diameter or no measures- allow 1 mark from middle two marks Sensible units eg award 0 for km or feet etc; condone metres <br> Frequency table can score mark for sample size \& for units if given for both thumb \& wrist Ignore extra columns for age / gender / etc Ignore values for thumb \&/or wrist |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& iii \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment about measurement eg use [same] tape measure oe; one person measuring oe; repeat to check oe; always use right thumb oe \\
Comment about bias eg random selection both genders, mix of ages, adults as children still growing etc
\end{tabular} \& 1

1 \& \& Any sensible comments Award both marks if comment about measure \& bias eg 'use the same tape measure on a random group' Allow both marks for any two sensible comments about different considerations of the process Ignore extra comments unless contradictory Contradictory comments imply choice <br>
\hline b \& i \& All points correct $\pm 1 / 2$ small square \& 2 \& M1 for at least 2 points correct $\pm 1 / 2$ small square \& Ignore extra points <br>
\hline \& ii \& Reasonable straight ruled line by eye through the plotted points \& 1 \& \& At least two points either side of a line with positive gradient <br>
\hline \& iii \& Outside plotted points oe \& 1 \& \& See examples in appendix If more than one reason where at least one correct allow mark provided others not contradictory or incorrect <br>

\hline \& iv \& $\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{toe}$ \& 2 \& M1 for correct worded equation If M0 then SC1 for $t=4 n$ oe \& | Allow upper or lower case |
| :--- |
| Accept $\mathrm{n}=4 \times \mathrm{t}$ oe |
| Condone $x$ for $t \& y$ for $n$ eg $y=4 x$ | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

|  | c | i | $\div 25.4$ and then in either order <br> +0.5 round up to nearest $1 / 2$ oe <br> Or in this order $+12.7 \div 25.4$ round up to nearest $1 / 2$ oe | 3 | M2 for any two correct in correct position <br> or <br> M1 for any one correct in correct position or $[n] \div 25.4$ seen in any box | If 2 or 3 marks scored then max 1 penalty if: <br> ' n ' also included or implied in two or more "correct" boxes penalise 1 mark eg both ' $n$ ' $\div 25.4$ \& (' $n$ ' $\div 25.4$ ) +0.5 seen <br> or 'inch' \&/or 'mm' included in two or more "correct" boxes penalise 1 mark. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ii | $17^{1 / 2}$ or 17.5 | 3 | M2 for ( $4 \times 106$ ) $\div 25.4+1 / 2$ oe or answer 17 <br> or <br> M1 for $(4 \times 106) \div 25.4$ oe or answer 16.6 to 16.7 <br> If M0 then SC2 for answer 5 <br> Or SC1 for $106 \div 25.4+1 / 2$ <br> or $(106+12.7) \div 25.4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Condone } 35 / 2 \text { oe } \\ & ((4 \times 106)+12.7) \div 25.4) \\ & \\ & 424 \div 25.4 \\ & 4 \times 4.173 \ldots \\ & (4 \times 106)+12.7 \text { soi by } 436.7 \\ & 4.6 \text { to } 4.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | a |  | $1.4[4] \times 10^{17}$ | 3 | M2 for figs $457 \times 365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60$ or better <br> or <br> M1 for product of three or more terms from figs 457365246060 1000000000 <br> If M0 or M1 then also SC1 for final answer $1.32 \times 10^{17}$ to $1.33 \times 10^{17}$ | For 365 allow 365.25 or 366 or $52 \times 7$ or 364 <br> Award M2 for figs 143.. to $145 .$. seen <br> 3600 to imply two terms $60 \times 60$ Eg: look for $365[.25] \times 24 \times 60 \times 60$ M1 could be implied by 31536000 [31557600] (31449600 if $52 \times 7$ ) rot to 3 sig figs |



|  |  | ii | Strawberries | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | iii | 0.832 | 2 | M1 for figs16 x figs 52 or figs 832 |  |
|  | b | i | Any correct reason | 1 |  | Inconsistent scale on horizontal axis or no zero oe or bars should not be joined by line |
|  |  | ii | Decline (in numbers) oe | 1 |  | Ignore actual number of decrease if given |
|  | C |  | 2019 | 4 | B1 for 0.915 or 91.5 seen or implied <br> And <br> M1 for any evaluated trial rot to 2 sigs figs or better of $0.915^{n}$ integer $n \geq 2$ <br> And <br> M1 for 8 (years) seen or better correct trial of $0.915^{n}$ where $n=5-10$ | May be done in stages Award both M marks if $0.915^{8}=$ $0.491 \ldots \& 8$ years is only working $\begin{aligned} & 0.915^{2}=0.837 \ldots \\ & 0.915^{3}=0.766 \ldots \\ & 0.915^{4}=0.7009 \ldots \\ & 0.915^{5}=0.641 \ldots \\ & 0.915^{6}=0.5868 \ldots \\ & 0.915^{7}=0.5369 \ldots \\ & 0.915^{8}=0.491 \ldots \\ & 0.915^{9}=0.449 \ldots \\ & 0.915^{10}=0.411 \ldots \end{aligned}$ <br> Values may be given as \%, with or without \% sign <br> Award $B$ and $M$ marks if successive subtraction of $8.5 \%$ with early rounding at each stage providing working clear |
| 5 | a | i | 8.2 | 2 | M1 for $34 \div 4$ or 8.5 seen |  |
|  |  | ii | 14.3 | 1 |  |  |


|  | iii | $207-210$ <br> Accept 205 if 82 [inches] seen | 2 | M1 for readings from graph that add up to 20.2 <br> Or readings used to find $\mathrm{cm} /$ hand <br> Or $((20 \times 4)+2)[x 2.54 \ldots]$ or 82 <br> If M0 then SC1 for readings where 'hand' incorrectly interpreted eg 10.1 hands read at between 10 \& 10.1 then x 2 <br> Or full cm / hand calculations where hand not given as decimal | eg reading at 10.1 hands $\times 2$ or reading at 10 hands \& 10.2 hands $\begin{aligned} & \text { eg } 150 /(14.75 \text { or } 14.3) \times(20.2 \text { or } \\ & 20.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | i | $9 \times 0.8(=1 / 2 \times 2 \times \pi \times r)$ $(9 \times 0.8) \div \pi \text { or } 7.2 \div \pi \text { or } 2 \times r=4.58$ 2.29(...) seen (answer 2.3 given) | M1 <br> M1 <br> A1 |  | Look for alternatives eg $0.8 \times 18$ or $15 \times 0.8-6 \times 0.8$ <br> Allow working in cm then convert back <br> If working with $2 \pi r=0.8 \times 9$, then $2 x r=4.58$, condone 4.58 rounded to 4.6 \& then 2.3 for all marks |
|  | ii | $6.63-6.65$ nfww | 5 | M4 for $2 \times 3 \times 0.8 \times 0.6+1 / 2 \times \pi \times$ their $2.3^{2}-1 / 2 \times \pi \times(\text { their } 2.3-0.6)^{2}$ or <br> M1 for ( 2 x ) $3 \times 0.8 \times 0.6$ <br> M1 for $1 / 2 \mathrm{x} \pi \mathrm{x}$ their $2.3^{2}$ <br> M1 for $1 / 2 \mathrm{x} \pi \mathrm{x}(\text { their } 2.3-0.6)^{2}$ <br> M1 for their attempt at area of annulus + area two rectangles <br> If $2^{\text {nd }} \& 3^{\text {rd }}$ marks M0 M0 then SC1 for 16.6 to 16.62 \& 9 to 9.1 | their 2.3 may be their 2.29... from (i) $n b: 1 / 2 \pi\left(2.3^{2}-1.7^{2}\right)=1 / 2 \pi \times 2.4$ <br> 3.7 to 3.8 <br> 2.88 or 1.44 <br> 8.23 to 8.31 <br> 4.48 to 4.54 <br> Their attempt at annulus must be calculation involving $\pi$ <br> ie areas full circles found |


| 6 | a |  | Two correct circles radii $3 \mathrm{~cm} \& 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ centred on T | 2 | M1 for one correct or two concentric circles centred on T with incorrect radii | Allow all measures $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ Allow 1 mark for freehand circles where intention is clear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b | i | Any pair of points on the circles 'such that angle TJR is right angle' note triangle does not need to be drawn | 1 |  | Look for 'tangent by eye' at J May see $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{R}$ from part (b) (ii) Condone J \& R reversed or omitted |
|  |  | *ii | Fully correct geometrical explanation with reference to one of Pythagoras or TJR right-angle or rightangled triangle or radius / tangent is 90 oe <br> and <br> tangent [at J] meets $R$ circle at [only] two possible positions [for R] <br> Reference to Pythagoras or TJR rightangle or they make a right-angled triangle or [JR] tangent to J[osh] circle; other position may or may not be marked, points may or may not be joined | 3 $2-1$ | For lower mark other possible, unique, position for R marked; points may or may not be joined Or existing points joined to form approximate right angle triangle with attempt at description Or existing points joined with rightangle shown at correct place | Condone R \& J reversed if consistent with their labelling <br> Reference to Pythagoras includes quoting formula or $6^{2}+8^{2}=10^{2}$ Reference to right angle includes radius / tangent <br> Accept for 2 marks Pythagoras implied by $6^{2}+8^{2}=10^{2}$ or $3^{2}+4^{2}=5^{2}$ |


| 7 | *a |  | Standard [widescreen cinema] (SWC) \& 2.4 or $0.416 \& 2.57(\ldots)$ or 0.388 seen or SWC \& [12:5 =] $9: 3.75$ or $8.4: 3.5$ seen <br> or SWC \& [9:3.5 =] 12:4.66(...) or 12.857(...): 5 seen <br> Standard [widescreen cinema] \& some mathematical discussion showing comparison of 12:5 \& 9:3.5 <br> Or SWC \& either 2.4 or 2.57 (.) seen oe Or 2.4 \& $2.57(\ldots)$ seen oe with no/incorrect choice of screen Or [12:5 =] 9:3.75 \& [9:3.5 =] 12:4.66(...) with no/incorrect choice of screen | 3 $2-1$ | For lower mark at least two aspect ratios given as unitary ratios Or 2.57(...) or 0.388 and one screen aspect ratio given as unitary ratio Or conversion at least one aspect ratio to 9:n or n:3.5 | FT for all marks their ratio's compared to Ken if non-unitary comparison ie all 9: n or all eg $18: \mathrm{n}$ incl: Ken <br> Condone 12:5 for SWC provided supported by working Allow rounding or truncating of final ratio \& ratio's 1:n or n:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b | i | 29.3[57...] to 29.4 | 3 | M2 for $\tan ^{-1}(9 / 16)$ <br> or <br> M1 for $\tan \mathrm{a}=9 / 16$ <br> If M0 then $\mathbf{S C 1}$ for $\tan ^{-1} \mathrm{x}$ where $\mathrm{x}=$ $5 / 12$ or $3 / 5$ or $3 / 4$ or $16 / 9$ or final answer $0.512 \ldots$ or $32.6 \ldots$ or 60.6... | Accept 29 provided some method shown <br> FT alternative trig methods; award M2 for full equivalent |


|  | ii | $27.89-27.9$ <br> awrt 28 provided full correct method seen | 3 | M2 for $16 \times\left(32 \div \sqrt{16}{ }^{2}+9^{2}\right)$ <br> M1 for $32 \div \sqrt{ } 16^{2}+9^{2}$ <br> Alt: <br> M2 for $32 \times$ cos their 29.4 oe or <br> M1 for cos their $29.4=$ w/32 <br> If M0 then SC2 for 28 with no working <br> Or SC1 for $32 \times$ sin their 29.4 | $32 \div 18.357 \ldots$ or $32 \div \sqrt{ } 337$ or 1.743.. <br> NB using $29^{\circ}$ gives answer 27.9878... <br> For FT, must see a method to check If their angle 45 max possible SC1 $32 \times 15.7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | i | 61.25 <br> Accept 61 or 61.3 provided method shown | 4 | B1 for all midpoints seen or implied or at least one correct product midpoint $\times F$ <br> And M1 for 5 or 6 products their midpoints $\times$ F; for their midpoints accept value within class, incl both class bounds do not need to be consistent <br> And M1 for $\sum$ (their midpoints $\times \mathrm{F}$ ) $\div$ $(6+15+31+40+22+6)$ | 210 675 1705 26001650510 |
|  | ii | (6) 215292114 (120) | 1 |  |  |


|  |  | iii | 4,5 or 6 plots at ucb $\pm 1 / 2$ small square <br> 4,5 or 6 plots at correct height within correct class (FT their values providing all increasing \& non-linear) <br> Increasing graph through ' 7 ' plotted points provided increasing \& non linear | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 |  | Bar chart with no curve can get $2^{\text {nd }}$ mark <br> Condone $(30,0)$ missing / incorrect Allow points joined by curve or straight lines If both bar chart with CF award marks for CF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | iv | 62 or strict FT their increasing nonlinear graph | 1 FT |  | Strict FT $\pm 1 / 2$ small square their increasing non linear graph |
|  |  | v | 55 nfww <br> 54.6 <br> Allow 54 or 55 from correct method seen | $3 \text { FT }$ <br> Alt: $3$ | M1 for at least one correct reading at 54 or 69 <br> And M1 for their 88 - their 33 <br> Alt: <br> M2 for $0.6 \times 31$ [+] $0.9 \times 40$ oe or <br> M1 for $0.6 \times 31$ oe or $0.9 \times 40$ oe | Strict FT $\pm 1 / 2$ small square their increasing non-linear graph <br> Alt: not FT <br> 18.636 |
| 8 | a |  | $\frac{106.9-105.6}{105.6} \times 100=1.23(\ldots)$ or $\left(\frac{106.9}{105.6} \times 100\right)-100=1.23(\ldots)$ or $\left(\frac{106.9}{105.6}-1\right) \times 100=1.23(\ldots)$ or | 2 | M1 for $\frac{106.9-105.6}{105.6}$ oe or better $\frac{106.9}{105.6}$ oe or better | $\text { Eg } \frac{1.3}{105.6} \text { scores M1 }$ |


|  | $\mathbf{b}$ | 1996 <br> amount $>100$ is same as \% change or <br> $101.8 \div 1.018=100$ oe seen | 1 <br> 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | c | $116.1(\ldots)$ 3 M2 for $113.5 \times 1.023$ <br> or   <br> M1 for $113.5 \times 0.023$   | May be done in stages |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{d}$ | [Greater] increase [from 2005] | 1 |  | Any mention of decrease scores 0 <br> marks |


| Question 1 ai | Decision | justification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The circumference of the wrist is double the circumference of your thumb | 1 |  |
| $2 t=w$ where $t=$ thumb circumference \& $w=$ wrist circumference | BOD |  |
| The thumb is enlarged by scale factor 2 to equal the size of the wrist | BOD |  |
| We predict that measuring twice round the thumb is equal to measuring once round $a$ <br> persons wrist | BOD |  |
| We predict that the measurement of the thumb $\times 2$ is equal to the measurement of $a$ <br> persons wrist | BOD | Wrist measurement implied |
| The circumference of the thumb is half the wrist | BOD | BOD for 'always' |
| The measurement of the wrist is always double the measurement of the thumb | BOD |  |
| $2 t=w t=$ thumb measurement $w=$ wrist measurement | 0 | Not for specified person |
| Gullivers wrist circumference is twice his thumb circumference | 0 | Different measures |
| The circumference of the thumb is half the wrist radius | 0 |  |
| The greater the size of your wrist the more measurements around your thumb | 0 | No measures |
| $2 t=w t=$ thumb $w=$ wrist |  |  |


| The measurement of his wrist is twice the measurement of his thumb | 0 | not for his implies only a person |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The measurement of a wrist is twice the size of a thumb | 0 | Different measures |


| Question 1biii | Decision | justification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| There were no measurements of 105 or more | 1 |  |
| There are no measurements taken up to 105 and the line does not reach 105 | 1 | given for the first part of the statement, not the <br> second \& this is not contradictory |
| Not similar to other data | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| Because no thumbs are close to 105 | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| Because there are no results that reach 105mm | $\mathbf{1}$ | BOD |
| As it will be too far off the other measurements | taken together sufficient for BOD, but each <br> statement on its own would be awarded 0 |  |
| Because there is no data and line of best fit doesn't cover 105mm | BOD |  |
| No-one with a thumb measurement of 105 has been found | BOD |  |
| Because nobody had 105mm | 0 | 0 |
| Because 105 would be an outlier | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| The thumb measurement for 105 is not on the graph | $\mathbf{0}$ | does not imply extrapolation |
| Anything past 100 would be off my chart | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because it is not on the graph | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because the neck size in proportion is not on the graph |  |  |
| Because you cannot use your line of best fit |  |  |
| Because no thumbs are that big |  |  |
| Because it would not fit the line of best fit. It would be anomalous |  |  |


| Because it is not on the graph therefore we cannot see what it is | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Because 105 times $4=420$ which would not fit on the graph | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because it does not fit the data pattern therefore it would be anomalous | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because the neck size ges off the graph past 400 mm | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because the neck measurement will be higher than 400 | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because 105 is too far away from the line of best fit | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because it does not go past it | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because the measurement would be off the graph | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Because the neck would get too big | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |


| Question 2b | Decision | justification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| It is constantly increasing so no accurate age can be given | 1 |  |
| Because the age continually changes therefore the value is outdated instantly | 1 |  |
| Changes every second so will never be completely accurate | 1 |  |
| No one knows exactly when sun was created | 0 |  |
| The number would be too big | BOD |  |
| Because you cannot be exactly sure which second it began to exist so it's not an <br> accurate time | BOD |  |
| Time is always moving on | 0 |  |
| Too many decimal points | BOD |  |
| Once you work it out its inaccurate |  |  |
| Age of the sun is inaccurate |  |  |


| Number too long for a calculation | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| It would be hard to put into an equation | 0 |  |
| Too many numbers to write down | 0 |  |
| Could not get a precise number | 0 | too vague |
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