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## Guidance for Marking Functional Mathematics Onscreen

## General

1 All candidates must receive the same treatment. You must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as you mark the last.
$\mathbf{2}$ Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
3 All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. You should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. You should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.

## Applying the Mark Scheme

The mark scheme has a column for Process and a column for Evidence. In most questions the majority of marks are awarded for the process the candidate uses to reach an answer. The evidence column shows the most likely examples you will see: if the candidate gives different evidence for the process, you should award the mark(s).
Finding 'the answer': in onscreen tests, many questions have a mechanism for the candidate to give their decision or answer, as well as the working box. In most cases the marks are awarded for the process which leads to the answer. Full marks cannot be gained from simply clicking the correct answer. You must read what is in the working box. You may need to award marks for an answer which is only stated in the working box.
If there is a choice of methods shown, then marks should be awarded for the 'best' answer.
A suspected misread may still gain process marks.
It may be appropriate to ignore subsequent work (isw) when the candidate's additional work does not change the meaning of their answer. You are less likely to see instances of this in functional mathematics.
You will often see correct working followed by an incorrect decision, showing that the candidate can calculate but does not understand the demand of the functional question. The mark scheme will make clear how to mark these questions.
Transcription errors occur when the candidate presents a correct answer in working, and writes it incorrectly on the answer line; mark the better answer.
Follow through marks must only be awarded when explicitly allowed in the mark scheme. Where the process uses the candidate's answer from a previous step, this is clearly shown. Speech marks are used to show that previously incorrect numerical work is being followed through, for example ' $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ ' means their 240.
Marks can usually be awarded where units are not shown. Where units, including money, are required this will be stated explicitly. For example, $5(\mathrm{~m})$ or $(£) 256.4$ indicate that the units do not have to be stated for the mark to be awarded.
Correct money notation indicates that the answer, in money, must have correct notation to gain the mark. This means that money should be shown as $£$ or $p$, with the decimal point correct and 2 decimal places if appropriate.
e.g. if the question working led to $£ 12 \div 5$,

Mark as correct: $£ 2.40$ 240p $£ 2.40$ p
Mark as incorrect: $£ 2.42 .40$ p $£ 240 \mathrm{p} 2.42 .40240$

Candidates may present their answers or working in many equivalent ways. This is denoted o.e. in the mark scheme. Repeated addition for multiplication and repeated subtraction for division are common alternative approaches. The mark scheme will specify the minimum required to award these marks.
A range of answers is often allowed:
[ $12.5,105$ ] is the inclusive closed interval
$(12.5,105)$ is the exclusive open interval
Parts of questions: because most FS questions are unstructured and open, you should be prepared to award marks for answers seen in later parts of a question, even if not explicit in the expected part.

Discuss any queries with your Marker Leader / Assistant Marker Leader.

## Graphs

The mark schemes for most graph questions have this structure:

| Process | 1 or Evidence <br> 1 of <br> linear scale(s), labels, plotting <br> 2 or <br> 2 of <br> linear scale(s), labels, plotting <br> all of <br> linear scale(s), labels, plotting$\|$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note that the mechanism usually restricts the candidate's choice of graph.
A linear scale must be linear in the range where data is plotted, whether or not it is broken, whether or not 0 is shown, whether or not the scale is shown as broken. Thus a graph that is 'fit for purpose' in that the data is displayed clearly and values can be read, will gain credit.
The minimum requirements for labels will be given, but you should give credit if a title is given which makes the label obvious.
Plotting must be correct for the candidate's scale. Award the mark for plotting if you can read the values clearly, even if the scale itself is not linear

The mark schemes for Data Collection Sheets refer to input opportunities and to efficient input opportunities. When a candidate gives an input opportunity, it is likely to be an empty cell in a table, it may be an instruction to 'circle your choice', or it may require writing in the data in words. These become efficient, for example, if there is a well-structured 2-way table, or the input is a tick or a tally rather than a written list.

| Question | Process | Mark | Evidence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q1 | Writes statements to compare graphs | 1 or | 1 of: <br> e.g. <br> uses more gas in winter months than in summer months <br> uses more gas in winter than electricity <br> gas varies more than the electricity between summer and winter <br> uses more electricity this year than last year <br> used more gas last year than this year <br> uses (far) more gas than electricity <br> peak use in January and/or February for gas <br> peak use in January and/or February for electricity <br> any other relevant statement <br> OR <br> 1 or 2 simple statements which only compare data points |


| Question | Process | Mark | Evidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q3 | Uses formula by substitution or reverse check | 1 or | (6-1) $\times 25(=125)$ OR |
|  |  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 400-275(=125) \\ & ' 125 '+275(=400) \text { OR } 400=25(6-1)+275 \\ & ' 125 ' \div 25(=5) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Decision made, must have achieved first process mark. and have calculated a value to use for comparison | 1 | e.g. yes, 6 gives 400 F OR yes, $5+1=6$ |
|  | Total marks for question | 3 |  |


| Question | Process | Mark | Evidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q5 | Works with area | 1 | $7.5 \times 5.5$ (= 41.25) |
|  | Converts weight to be same units | 1 | $1.5 \mathrm{~kg}=1500 \mathrm{~g}$ OR $35 \mathrm{~g}=0.035 \mathrm{~kg}$ may be seen in a calculation |
|  | Process to calculate sand required or box coverage | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { '41.25' x } 0.035 '(=1.44375 \text { or } 1443.75) \text { OR } \\ & ' 1500 ' \div 35(=42.857 . .) \text { or } 1.5 \div{ }^{\prime} 0.035 '(=42.857 . .) \end{aligned}$ <br> digits 35 or digits 15 must be present in the calculation |
|  | Correct decision | 1 | clicks yes and correct evidence in working box. <br> Yes with [42.8, 42.9] $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ and $41.25\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ <br> Yes with [1.43, 1.45](kg) and $1.5(\mathrm{~kg})$ OR [1443, 1445] (g) and 1500(g) |
|  | Total marks for question | 4 |  |


| Question | Process | Mark | Evidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q6 | Works with percentage | 1 or | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.2 \times 139.99[=27.99,28] \text { o.e. OR } \\ & 0.8 \times 139.99[=111.99,112.01] \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Finds price of mobile | 1 or | $64.99 \div 3[=21.44,21.67]$ OR |
|  | Finds price of printer | 2 | $64.99 \div 3 \times 2$ [ $=42.88,43.54]$ condone use of 0.3 for $1 / 3$ provided 45.5 or 45.49 seen $[=42.88,43.54]$ |
|  | Chooses correct combination based on at least one percentage mark(Q6a) and at least one fraction mark(Q6c) awarded | 1 | e.g radio and printer |
|  | Total marks for question | 5 |  |


| Question | Process | Mark | Evidence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q8 | Uses conversion factor | 1 or | $59 \times 0.6256(=36.91 \ldots)$ <br> OR 40 $\div 0.6256(=63.938 \ldots)$ |
|  | Gives converted amount | 2 | $[36.90,37]$ OR [63.90, 64] |
| Selection based on first mark for conversion <br> factor awarded | 1 | $(\$) 59$ |  |
| Total marks for question |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |


| Question | Process | Mark | Evidence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q9 | Works with total points scored in games so <br> far OR process to find mean difference | 1 | $20 \times 42.7(=854)$ OR 43.5-42.7(=0.8) |
|  | Works with total points required <br> OR Finds number of extra points needed per <br> game <br> Finds total points needed | 1 | $22 \times 43.5(=957)$ OR 43.5x 20(=870)OR '0.8'x20(=16) |


| Question | Process | Mark | Evidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q10 | Converts quantities to same units | 1 | Change 1.5 litre to 1500 ml or 250 ml to 0.25 litre or 250 ml to $1 / 4$ litre could be seen within a calculation |
|  | Finds scale factor | 1 or | $1.5 \div{ }^{\prime} 0.25^{\prime}(=6)$ or ' 1500 ' $\div 250(=6)$ or $6 \times 1 / 4$ in $1 \frac{1}{2}$ or 1.5 <br> OR $250 \div 2=125 \mathrm{ml}$ |
|  | Finds number of teaspoons |  | 12 teaspoons cao |
| Total marks for question |  | 3 |  |


| Question | Process | Mark | Evidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q12 | Calculate amount to spend on balloons OR amount left to spend | 1 | 46x5(=230) oe. OR $260-208$ (=52) |
|  | Calculates no. of cupcakes | 1 or | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \div 6(=4.33 . .) \text { or } \\ & 52 \div 6(=8.66 . .) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Chooses number of packs to buy | 2 | 5 to 9 whole packs with some justification |
|  | Calculates cost of party bags or | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 26 \times 1.60(=41.60) \text { OR } \\ \text { '38.90' } \div 1.6(=24.31) \end{array}$ |
|  | Finds how many bags Pat can afford <br> Ft decision based on at least $\mathbf{3}$ previous marks scored working <br> Must see valid statement or total spent or total left for this mark | 1 | e.g <br> yes, if she buys one cupcake per child (5 packs) OR ' 49.90 ' seen <br> OR '2.10' left over <br> yes, if she buys 6 packs of cupcakes OR '51.10' seen no, if she buys more than 6 packs of cupcakes no, for 7 or 8 packs cupcakes she can only afford 25 party bags no, for 9 packs cupcakes she can only afford 24 party bags |
|  | Total marks for question | 5 |  |


| Maximum Mark | 40 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pass Mark | 23 |

