



---

# FUNCTIONAL SKILLS CERTIFICATE

## Functional English

47202 WRITING LEVEL 1

MARK SCHEME

---

47202

November 2016

---

Version/Stage: 1.0 Final

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from [aqa.org.uk](http://aqa.org.uk)

## Level of response marking instructions

Level of response mark schemes are broken down into levels, each of which has a descriptor. The descriptor for the level shows the average performance for the level. There are marks in each level.

Before you apply the mark scheme to a student's answer read through the answer and annotate it (as instructed) to show the qualities that are being looked for. You can then apply the mark scheme.

### Step 1 Determine a level

Start at the lowest level of the mark scheme and use it as a ladder to see whether the answer meets the descriptor for that level. The descriptor for the level indicates the different qualities that might be seen in the student's answer for that level. If it meets the lowest level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptor and the answer. With practice and familiarity you will find that for better answers you will be able to quickly skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme.

When assigning a level you should look at the overall quality of the answer and not look to pick holes in small and specific parts of the answer where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest. If the answer covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme you should use a best fit approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the response to help decide the mark within the level, ie if the response is predominantly level 3 with a small amount of level 4 material it would be placed in level 3 but be awarded a mark near the top of the level because of the level 4 content.

### Step 2 Determine a mark

Once you have assigned a level you need to decide on the mark. The descriptors on how to allocate marks can help with this. The exemplar materials used during standardisation will help. There will be an answer in the standardising materials which will correspond with each level of the mark scheme. This answer will have been awarded a mark by the Lead Examiner. You can compare the student's answer with the example to determine if it is the same standard, better or worse than the example. You can then use this to allocate a mark for the answer based on the Lead Examiner's mark on the example.

You may well need to read back through the answer as you apply the mark scheme to clarify points and assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

Indicative content in the mark scheme is provided as a guide for examiners. It is not intended to be exhaustive and you must credit other valid points. Students do not have to cover all of the points mentioned in the Indicative content to reach the highest level of the mark scheme.

An answer which contains nothing of relevance to the question must be awarded no marks.

1 Write a letter of application to Andrea McPherson.

You should include:

- what you are interested in doing
- what skills and experience you have
- why you think you would be good at this job.

Remember to:

- plan your answer
- write accurately in sentences and paragraphs.

[10 marks]

**Content**

<b>Functional Skills bullets W1.1; W1.2; W1.3; W1.4 and W1.5 (Communication, Organisation, Sentence Structure, Punctuation and Spelling)</b>		
<b>Marks</b>	<b>Skills Descriptors</b>	<b>Content Descriptors</b>
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• writes nothing; fails to present any factual information; completely incoherent</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• no evidence of understanding of purpose or structure of letter</li> </ul>
Band 1 1 – 2 marks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• attempts to present at least one fact or item of information</li> <li>• information not sequenced</li> <li>• writing not suitable for purpose and audience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• topic of letter not evident</li> <li>• attempts to provide one or more facts/ideas about garden centre/self etc</li> <li>• structure of letter barely evident</li> <li>• attempts to present a case for employment</li> </ul>
Band 2 3 – 4 marks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• limited evidence of writing clearly and coherently with appropriate level of detail</li> <li>• presents limited information in a logical sequence</li> <li>• limited ability to use language, format and structure suitable for purpose and audience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• topic of garden centre/self etc is evident</li> <li>• information and details random or not obviously relevant</li> <li>• limited ability to present coherent structure/form to letter</li> <li>• limited evidence of purpose of letter to present a case for employment</li> </ul>
Band 3 5 – 6 marks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• writes clearly and coherently including an appropriate level of detail</li> <li>• presents information in a logical sequence</li> <li>• uses language, format and structure suitable for purpose and audience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• some relevant details/ideas about garden centre/self</li> <li>• information is selected for purpose and used effectively to address audience</li> <li>• some ability to present coherent structure/form to letter</li> <li>• some evidence of purpose of letter to present a case for employment</li> </ul>

### Accuracy

#### Mark 0

- no evidence of grammatical structure
- no subject/verb agreement
- use of tense completely inappropriate
- no punctuation or entirely inaccurate with extremely poor spelling
- meaning incomprehensible

#### Band 1            Marks 1-2

- inconsistent and inadequate grammar
- limited subject/verb agreement
- inadequate and inconsistent use of tense
- random use of punctuation and common spelling errors
- meaning not always clear

#### Band 2            Marks 3-4

- uses correct grammar
- subject/verb agreement
- correct and consistent use of tense
- accurate punctuation and spelling
- meaning is clear

- 2 You have used Stitchright1 but are not happy with your experience. Write an email to Jenny Chang informing her about why you are not happy with Stitchright1.

You should include:

- what Stitchright1 did
- why you are unhappy
- what you would like Stitchright1 to do next

Remember to:

- plan your answer
- write accurately in sentences and paragraphs

[10 marks]

**Content**

<b>Functional Skills bullets W1.1; W1.2; W1.3; W1.4 and W1.5 (Communication, Organisation, Sentence Structure, Punctuation and Spelling)</b>		
<b>Marks</b>	<b>Skills Descriptors</b>	<b>Content Descriptors</b>
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• writes nothing; fails to present any factual information; completely incoherent</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• no evidence of understanding of purpose or structure of email</li> </ul>
Band 1 1 – 2 marks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• attempts to present at least one fact or item of information</li> <li>• information not sequenced</li> <li>• writing not suitable for purpose and audience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• topic of email not evident</li> <li>• attempts to provide one or more facts/ideas about quality of service</li> <li>• structure of email barely evident</li> <li>• attempts to present grounds for complaint/feelings</li> </ul>
Band 2 3 – 4 marks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• limited evidence of writing clearly and coherently with appropriate level of detail</li> <li>• presents limited information in a logical sequence</li> <li>• limited ability to use language, format and structure suitable for purpose and audience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• topic of quality of Stitchright1 service evident</li> <li>• information and details random or not obviously relevant</li> <li>• limited ability to present coherent structure to email</li> <li>• limited evidence of purpose of email in presenting grounds for complaint or feelings about service</li> </ul>
Band 3 5 – 6 marks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• writes clearly and coherently including an appropriate level of detail</li> <li>• presents information in a logical sequence</li> <li>• uses language, format and structure suitable for purpose and audience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• some relevant details/ideas about quality of Stitchright1 service</li> <li>• information is selected for purpose and used effectively to address audience</li> <li>• some ability to present coherent structure to email</li> <li>• some evidence of purpose of email in presenting grounds for complaint or feelings about service</li> </ul>

Accuracy

Mark 0

- no evidence of grammatical structure
- no subject/verb agreement
- use of tense completely inappropriate
- no punctuation or entirely inaccurate with extremely poor spelling
- meaning incomprehensible

Band 1            Marks 1 – 2

- inconsistent and inadequate grammar
- limited subject/verb agreement
- inadequate and inconsistent use of tense
- random use of punctuation and common spelling errors
- meaning not always clear

Band 2            Marks 3 – 4

- uses correct grammar
- subject/verb agreement
- correct and consistent use of tense
- accurate punctuation and spelling
- meaning is clear