

## **Free-Standing Mathematics Qualification**

# Handling and Interpreting Data 6986/2

**Intermediate Level** 

# **Mark Scheme**

2008 examination – June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2008 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

#### COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

They to mark benefite and about rations about in marking
--

М	mark is for method			
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method			
А	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for	or accuracy		
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is	for method and	accuracy	
Е	mark is for explanation			
$\sqrt{or}$ ft or F	follow through from previous			
	incorrect result	MC	mis-copy	
CAO	correct answer only	MR	mis-read	
CSO	correct solution only	RA	required accuracy	
AWFW	anything which falls within	FW	further work	
AWRT	anything which rounds to	ISW	ignore subsequent work	
ACF	any correct form	FIW	from incorrect work	
AG	answer given	BOD	given benefit of doubt	
SC	special case	WR	work replaced by candidate	
OE	or equivalent	FB	formulae book	
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks	NOS	not on scheme	
–x EE	deduct x marks for each error	G	graph	
NMS	no method shown	c	candidate	
PI	possibly implied	sf	significant figure(s)	
SCA	substantially correct approach	dp	decimal place(s)	

#### No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

## Free-Standing Mathematics Qualification Intermediate Level – Handling and Interpreting Data (6986/2) Answers and Marking Scheme June 2008

	moment	10	for M1
(d)	5500		needs method shown
		M1A1	Allow 6500 to 4500
	Suitable line	<b>B</b> 1	
(c)	Plot mean point	<b>B</b> 1	needs mean in (a)
	Plotting points	B2	B1 for 3 correct
(b)	Suitable scaling	B1	
	= 28 272		Condone 28271.75
(ii)	Mean in 2005 is $\frac{113087}{4}$	A1	Accept 28 300 and 28271
(a)(i)	= 56 381	M1A1	Accept 56 400 Condone 56 381.25
	Mean in 1996 is $\frac{225525}{4}$		Either

	Α	В	С	D	E
1	Staff	1997	2005	Increase from 1997 to 2005	Percentage increase from 1997 to 2005
2	Consultants	21 474	31 993	10 519	49
3	GPs	29 389	35 302	5 913	20
4	Managers	22 173	39 391	17 218	78
5	Nurses	318 856	404 161	85 305	27

(a)	Column D	<b>B</b> 1	Condone 1 error
	Any in column E	M1A1	
			as % of 2005;
	All in column E	A1	33, 17, 44, 21 SC2
			(if not to integer SC1)
	To nearest integer	A1	Dep on M1
(b)	C4 – B4	B1	
(c)	Largest percentage increase is in managers	B1	Accept GPs had smallest % increase OR increase in number of staff
	TOTAL	7	

Number of passengers	Frequency	Mid-interval	fx
0 - 40	0	20.0	0
41 - 60	4	50.5	202
61-80	9	70.5	634.5
81 - 100	21	90.5	1900.5
101 – 120	48	110.5	5304
121 - 140	24	130.5	3132
141 - 200	4	170.5	682
Total	110		11855

(a)	101 – 120	B1	
(b)	Use of mid intervals	M1	Condone no use of '.5'.
	Values of <i>fx</i>	A1	Condone one error
	Total is 11 855	A1	
	Mean = $\frac{11855}{110}$	M1	
	= 107.77 or 108	A1	(if no '.5', 107.27 or 107 or 107.3 )
	TOTAL	6	

(a)	Cumulative frequencies 298, 571, 999, 1543, 2006, 2352, 2717, 2865, 2978, 3000	B1	Allow 1 minor error
	Plot at upper values	B1	
	Plot points accurately	B1	
	Draw curve	B1	if not linear scale, B1 (cf), B1 (plotted etc)
(b)(i)	30	M1A1	
(b)(ii)	22	B1	
(b)(iii)	39	B1	Accept 38 - 40
(b)(iv)	39 - 22 = 17	B1ft	
(c)	Median	B1	
	Quartiles	B1	
	Whiskers	B1	
(d)	Median for Solihull is smaller	B1	
	LQ smaller for Solihull	B1	oe Max B2
	UQ same	B1	
	Whisker ends at 90 for Solihull not 120	B1	
	TOTAL	14	

(a)	Angle is 196°	B1	Allow 194° – 198°
	$\frac{196}{360} \times 551$	M1	
	300	A1	Truncation; $\frac{551}{360} = 1.5 \Rightarrow 196 \times 1.5$ etc
			B1, M1 only
(b)	Radii are 2 cm and 4 cm	B1	
	∴ Areas are 1:4	M1	
	$\therefore \text{ Total number of aircraft in 2005} = 4 \times 551$	M1	
	= 2204	A1	
(c)	$\frac{187}{360} \times 2204$	M1	
	= 1145	A1	Accept 1140 and 1144
	TOTAL	9	

(a)	For Greece and Belgium the total is not the addition of the two numbers given (needs country)	B1	Accept: Not exact as all figures given to 1 dp
(b)	Cost is $\frac{11.8}{100} \times \text{\pounds88}\ 000$	M1	MR mortgage only, £2464 SC1
	= £10 384	A1	98384 SC1
	TOTAL	3	

Scale from 0 to 900 is uneven	<b>B</b> 1	
2002: 1099 is above 1100 on scale	<b>B</b> 1	Maximum B1
2005: 2301 is above 2500 on scale	<b>B</b> 1	Do <u>not</u> accept 'no label
Scale goes in odd hundreds	<b>B</b> 1	on <i>x</i> axis'
Markings for 1100 etc not clear	<b>B</b> 1	
TOTAL	1	
TOTAL MARK FOR PAPER	50	