

Mark Scheme (Results)

January 2012

Principal Learning Public Services (PS303)

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our qualifications website at www.edexcel.com. For information about our BTEC qualifications, please call 0844 576 0026, or visit our website at www.btec.co.uk.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2012
Publications Code DP030827
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2012

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment.
 Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
1	This question is based on LO1.2 One mark for each correct answer up to a maximum of three.	
	 Reasons might include: Public Private Partnerships are less bureaucratic than public bodies (1) projects have fixed costs (1) personnel costs are reduced (1) Government can attract funding without raising taxes (1) public sector facilities maintenance costs are met by the contractors (1) 	
	Accept any other relevant answer.	(3)

Question	Answer	Mark
Number 2	This question is based on LO1.1 One mark for identification of source of funding One mark for limited description of how the funding is raised Two marks for detailed explanation Maximum of 3 marks Answers might refer to: Central Taxation Income Tax Corporation Tax VAT Local Taxation	IVIGIR
	 Council Tax Business Rates Income and rents charged by public service organisations Interest on investments received by public service organisations Funding cycle for revenue 	
	Possible answer Central taxation (1) funds public service organisations. This is raised on earnings (1) and there is a threshold (1) below which people do not pay tax (1). The system is sometimes referred to as PAYE (pay as you earn) (1).	
	Any other relevant description and/or example will be rewarded.	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3 (a)	This question is based on LO3.3 One mark for identification of advantage One mark for limited explanation of the impact of the advantage Two marks for detailed explanation	
	Answers might refer to:	
	Any other relevant explanation and/or example will be rewarded.	(3)

Question	Answer	Mark	
Number			
3 (b)	This question is based on LO3.3		
	One mark for identification of disadvantage		
	One mark for limited explanation of the impact of the disadvantage		
	Two marks for detailed explanation		
	Answers might refer to: • Lack of overall control (1) • Hidden additional costs(1) • Failure of contract(1) • Loss of customer contact(1) • Ongoing on-costs(1) • Monitoring of standards and practices is lacking(1)		
	Any other relevant explanation and/or example will be rewarded.	(3)	

Question Number	Answer	Mark
4(a)	This question is based on LO3.2	
	One mark for basic definition or two marks for a comprehensive definition. One mark for each correct answer up to a maximum of four.	
	Full marks can be obtained if the definition is not included.	
	Answers might refer to:	
	 Definition: A method used by public sector organisations, charities and private companies for gauging their performance by comparing it to the performance of other organisations, typically of a similar size. 	
	Benchmarking measures and improves efficiency by:	
	 properly highlighted measuring current performance against a recognised achievement level providing the basis for clear performance indicators 	
	 providing the basis for clear performance indicators improving performance within the organisation 	
	Any other relevant explanation and/or example will be rewarded.	(4)

Question		Indicative Content
Number		
4(b)		This question is based on LO3.2 and LO4.1
		The answer might include some or all of the following:
		A cost centre is a division that adds to the cost of an organisation but only indirectly adds to its profit, for instance: • marketing
		research and developmentreduce overall costs
		reduce overall costsplan costs for future expansions
		 view the charges incurred on individual cost centres
		 calculate the average costs incurred by each employee for each cost centre
		 reviewing changes in efficiency in individual cost centres allocate budgets effectively to areas within large organisations
		where there is a heavy financial demand
		Possible answer:
		Cost centres are used by public service organisations. These are used to allocate budgets in large organisation (1) and they are sometimes used to review efficiency changes (1) and although cost centres do not directly add to an organisations profits (1) they do indirectly add to an organisations profits via such activities as marketing (1) and research and development (1). Cost centres can plan costs for future expansion (1), calculate average costs per employee (1) and viewing the charges incurred on individual cost centres (1).
Level M	1ark	Any other relevant explanation and/or example will be rewarded. Descriptor
0 0		No acceptable response
	- 3	Limited understanding of the use of cost centres.
' '	- 5	Likely to be little or no evidence of evaluation.
		If no analysis is present, award two marks maximum.
2 4	- 6	Clear understanding of the use of cost centres
-	-	Some evaluation of trends will be evident.
3 7	- 8	Comprehensive understanding of the use of cost centres
		Evaluation should be embedded throughout the answer.

This question relates to LO2.1
This question relates to Eo2. I
 Answers might refer to: the correlation between UK and EU statistics annual statistics show satisfaction below 50% from UK public 2009 survey indicated that there was decrease in satisfaction from EU and UK statistics which indicates a specific trend The 5 year plan shows a consistently lower average towards all aspects of EU membership Results over the 5 years had reached a general high in the 2006/2007 period Indicators show a real low for both 'Trust in the national UK parliament' and 'Trust in the national UK Government' in the 2009 survey. Generally there was a view that in 2009 less than 30% of people in the UK thought EU membership was a good thing
Possible answer: The statistics provided to Government over a five year period show an overall dissatisfaction with the UK membership of the EU (1). The data also show a greater satisfaction from across Europe (1). The number of dissatisfied males is greater than females (1). The methodology supplied distinguished that the sample was relevant and unbiased (1). The sample material has indicated an annual fluctuating statistical change that is greater than statistical anomalies (1). At this point there is a weight of evidence that would suggest a referendum should be called regarding renegotiation of membership for the UK (1). There is a correlation between the lack of trust in the EU and a similar lack of trust in the national parliament (1) and the Government (1). These reasons might include austerity measures (1), approaches to public services (1) and involvement in foreign affairs (1).
Any other relevant explanation and/or example will be rewarded.
Descriptor No acceptable recognition
No acceptable response
Limited understanding of the opinions towards UK membership of the EU. Likely to be little or no evidence of analysis. If no analysis is present, award two marks maximum.
Clear understanding of the opinions towards UK membership of the EU Some analysis of trends will be evident.
Comprehensive understanding of the opinions towards UK membership of the EU. Analysis should be embedded throughout the answer.

Question	1	Indicative Content
Number		
6 This question is based on LO1.1 and LO3.3.		This question is based on LO1.1 and LO3.3.
		Answers might refer to:
 Do nothing Do minimum Refurbishment Replacement facilities were looked at using a qualitative appraisal which was agreed with the projects executive board utilising a weighted stable scores in the summary table show significant cost benefit ratios ranging from 50.64% to a massive 456.82% giving an average cost benefit of 94.99% benefits to local communities would include accessibility of community facilities improve risk response times 		 Do nothing Do minimum Refurbishment Replacement facilities were looked at using a qualitative appraisal which was agreed with the projects executive board utilising a weighted score table scores in the summary table show significant cost benefit ratios ranging from 50.64% to a massive 456.82% giving an average cost benefit of 94.99% benefits to local communities would include accessibility of community facilities
		 the upgrade of these facilities would not take place without private intervention the overall Facilities Management of the project also covers: maintenance of the building fabric security in line with operational needs management and maintenance of external areas management of energy consumption (this would be purchased through authorities bulk purchase arrangement) provision of help desk services building insurance maintenance of fixtures and fittings cleaning caretaking services
		Any other relevant justification and/or example will be rewarded.
Level	Mark	Descriptor
0	0	No acceptable response
1	1 – 3	Limited understanding of the links between partnerships and the benefits of development of the area.
2	4 – 6	Clear understanding of the partnerships and the developmental aspects of the North West Fire & Rescue Services Private Financial Initiative project.
3	7 - 8	Comprehensive understanding and justification of the partnerships and the developmental aspects of the North West Fire & Rescue Services Private Financial Initiative project.

Question	า	Indicative content
Number		
7		This question is based on LO3.2 and LO5.1
		The answer might refer to:
		Value for money indicators measure efficiency:
		by being open to public scrutiny
		where communities have been consulted throughout
		where they have been analysed and published for the project where the cuttline business case has been rebust and carefully.
		where the outline business case has been robust and carefully carried out
		where the OBC shows cost benefit ratios
		where thorough financial analysis gives an overview of
		collaborative benefit
		where there has been an element of 'Optimism Adjusted Cost
		Benefit' within the appraisal to take in the possibility of a 25 year
		operating period and looking at reconstruction on completion
		where the project financial appraisal gives clear total costs for
		refurbishment and replacement
		where scores allocated link to cost per benefit point and this is
		 open to challenge where the procurement appraisal was conducted in accordance
		with HM Treasury 'Value for Money Assessment Guidance' which
		requires two approaches to Value for Money:
		a. quantitative evaluation
		b. qualitative assessment
		Evaluations might refer to:
		When preparing the PFI project case the three authorities took into
		account the cost benefit ratios of the proposal and that they had been
		both open and transparent about how they have done this. They have ensured that they used all of the government value for money
		assessment guidance. By doing these things it was clear to all of the
		people involved, local and central government, the public services and the
		community that this would be the best option to meet the needs of the
		services. The use of value for money indicators allows efficiency to be
		measured effectively as there are clear targets to be met and that the
		public can see that all money being invested in the project, be it public or
		private, is being spent wisely.
Lavial	N 4 =1 -	Any other relevant evaluation and/or example will be rewarded.
Level	Mark	Descriptor No accentable recognition
0	0 1 – 3	No acceptable response
1	1 - 3	Limited understanding of the use of value for money indicators in the measurement of efficiency.
		Where no evaluation, do not award more than 3 marks.
2	4 – 7	Clear understanding of the use of value for money indicators in the
_	¬ - /	measurement of efficiency.
		Lower end: limited evaluation present
		Higher end: more detailed evaluation present.
3	8 – 10	Comprehensive understanding of the use of value for money
		indicators in the measurement of efficiency.
		Evaluation should be embedded throughout.
	1	

Question		Indicative content
Number 8		This question is based on LO4.1
0		This question is based on LOT. I
		Answers might refer to:
		 All public service organisations demonstrate their accountability: by assessing council performance against European Excellence Standards by assessing the efficiency of Private Financial Initiatives and Private Public Partnerships in the long term by looking at cost reduction across the board through openness and transparency in Government through financial accountability through accountability within all government departments by promoting more effective management and decision taking in public services by publishing reports for inspection
		Assessments might refer to: All public sector organisations are required to demonstrate accountability and there are many means to undertake this. Some public sector organisation use Public Private Projects and Private Finance Initiatives and within the terms of these partnerships financial accountability is made clear within the specifications and all projects are aired via public forums, website and consultations. Inspection reports are published and public sector organisations are assessed against things such as the European Excellence Standards. Both local and national Government demonstrate accountability via transparency and responsibility within all Governmental agencies in all their undertakings and also promoting more effective management and decision taking in public services
		Any other relevant explanation and/or example will be rewarded.
Level	Mark	Descriptor
0	0	No acceptable response
1	1 – 3	Limited understanding of public sector accountability. Where no assessment, do not award more than 3 marks.
2	4 – 7	Clear understanding of public sector accountability. Lower end: limited assessment present Higher end: more detailed assessment present
3	8 – 10	Higher end: more detailed assessment present. Comprehensive understanding of public sector accountability. Assessment should be embedded throughout.

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u>
Order Code DP030827 January 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





