

**CAMBRIDGE TECHNICALS LEVEL 3 (2016)**

**Examiners' report**

# **DIGITAL MEDIA**

---

**05843–05846, 05875**

**Unit 2 Summer 2022 series**

# Contents

Introduction .....	3
Unit 2 series overview .....	4
Section A overview .....	5
Question 1 (a) .....	5
Question 1 (b) .....	5
Question 2 .....	6
Question 3 .....	7
Question 4 (a) .....	8
Question 4 (b) .....	9
Question 5 (a) .....	9
Question 5 (b) .....	10
Question 6 .....	11
Question 7 .....	12
Section B overview .....	13
Question 8 .....	13
Question 9 .....	14
Question 10* .....	15

## Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

### Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Export to** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional, there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

## Unit 2 series overview

Candidates were in the main, clear about the requirements of the unit, understanding the concept of working to a brief and the vocational nature of the qualification. However, responses provided tended to lack the use of specific terminology required at Level 3. This indicated that while candidates in general had a knowledge of the main aspects of the unit, their understanding of the processes behind the use of these aspects, for example why a specific pre-production document would be selected, was lacking. This indicated possible gaps in specification knowledge. This could have been due to the disruption that candidates may have experienced this year.

One issue that was seen this session was that candidates were not addressing the question or focusing on just part of the question and so lost marks for taking a route in the response that was incorrect. There were also a number of responses where candidates spent the first part of their response repeating/rewording the question rather than answering it.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• linked responses to the brief</li> <li>• were specific in their responses</li> <li>• read specific requirements of the question</li> <li>• produced the correct pre-production documents in Section B</li> <li>• used the annotations to justify ideas on the pre-production documents created in Section B</li> <li>• considered how the whole pre-production process works and how documents work alongside each other.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• used generalisations in their responses and no specifics linked to the brief</li> <li>• did not fully explain responses or used brief sentences</li> <li>• did not produce correct pre-production documents in Section B</li> <li>• did not justify ideas through annotations for the Section B questions</li> <li>• took an either/or approach to documents used in the pre-production process showing a lack of understanding of the use of different documents.</li> </ul>

## Section A overview

Many candidates had the knowledge about the different products, resources and documents that are contained within the specification. However, in some cases the understanding of how and why these products, resources and documents are used was lacking. While most candidates in the main had prepared successfully to work to a set brief, some were unable to specifically link responses to the context for the higher marks.

### Question 1 (a)

1 (a) Identify **three** types of media products that MPP Publishing will produce for the magazine or website.

- 1 .....
- 2 .....
- 3 .....

[3]

Most candidates gained at least 2 marks for this question. However, candidates who did not gain full marks did so in the main for not identifying products linked to the context.

### Question 1 (b)

(b) Explain **one** way that **one** of the types of media identified in 1(a) will affect the planning process.

- .....
- .....
- .....
- .....
- .....
- .....
- .....

[3]

Most candidates who were given marks for this question gained 2 marks. The third mark was missed by many candidates was due to a lack of linking directly to how planning would be affected in the context.



### Question 3

3 Identify **four** regulatory bodies that the team will need to consider when planning the content.

1 .....

2 .....

3 .....

4 .....

[4]

Where candidates identified the phrase 'regulatory bodies' they generally were able to identify at least two regulatory bodies. Marks were dropped in the main for including bodies not linked to the context and the products that were included.

#### Misconception



Regulatory bodies selected need to fit the context of the question paper scenario. In this case BBFC and PEGI did not fit the scenario.





### Question 4 (b)

(b) Explain **one** potential consequence if MPP Publishing do **not** consider all the resources that they need to complete the project.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

..... [3]

Many candidates lost marks for lack of detail in their response. Candidates in the main gained 2 marks where they did provide more detailed responses, identifying a consequence and then the initial effect. However, the final mark was omitted by many candidates where they did not develop the response fully.

### Question 5 (a)

5 (a) Identify **two** audience requirements that the team need to consider.

1 .....

2 .....

[2]

Candidates overall gained both marks on this question demonstrating a sound ability of how to ascertain the audience requirements from a brief.





### Question 7

7 Explain **two** reasons why Kareem will need to use a consent form to fulfil his job role.

1 .....

.....

.....

.....

.....

2 .....

.....

.....

.....

**[4]**

This question was generally well answered with candidates gaining at least 2 marks. Where candidates dropped marks was for lack of detail in the response and lack of understanding regarding when consent is required by a stylist.

## Section B overview

Candidates in this series were not clear about some of the documents required in this section. Some candidates in this series were not always clear that they are required to show their understanding of the content, format, and layout of pre-production documentation in relation to the brief in order to reach the top marks in the questions that test their understanding of uses of planning documentation (Q8, Q9)

### Question 8

8 You are planning the front cover of the magazine.

In the space below, draw a **flat-plan** of the front cover for the first edition of 'Car Treasures' magazine.

Marks will be awarded for:

- content
- fitness for purpose
- justifications of decisions.

[8]

The question required candidates to produce a flat plan for the cover of a magazine, in this case the first edition. A significant percentage of the responses saw the candidates producing a visualisation diagram style document rather than the flat plan.

Where candidates produced the correct documents the planning of a magazine cover was generally good. The plans were in line with the conventions of a magazine front cover both regarding content and layout. However, the level of annotations at times let candidates down producing descriptive wording or repeated phrases from the brief, rather than justifications for decisions made.

#### Misconception



A flat plan is 2D document showing where articles, adverts and content are laid out on a page. These indicate what content is included through the use of boxes and crosses but do not include actual content.

A visualisation diagram is a detailed planning document clearly showing what content will be included in the final product layout.

## Question 9

- 9 You are creating a risk assessment document to help Kareem follow all health and safety procedures when filming interviews for the website.

In the space below, create a **risk assessment** that outlines the areas that Kareem must consider before filming.

Marks will be awarded for:

- content
- layout
- fitness for purpose.

[10]

Candidates often created call sheets rather than risk assessments resulting in poor layout and content. However, candidates did identify risks relevant to the question, albeit in a generic sense.

Candidates were able to identify risks relevant to the context of filming an interview and also some of the steps that could be taken to mitigate them. However, the level of detail and other information that is required in a risk assessment was missing in general.

## Question 10\*

**10\*** Kareem is planning the layout of the 'Car Treasures' website. He is creating a wireframe document to plan the website.

Evaluate the effectiveness of creating a **wireframe** as part of the pre-production and planning process for the website.

Use the content from the set brief to support your answer.

[20]

In general responses showed a good understanding of what a wireframe document is and its components. Some confusion regarding an animation wireframe was seen. Candidates provided sound descriptions of the use of a wireframe comparing its strengths and weaknesses compared to other documents, before suggesting the use of these other documents rather than a wireframe.

Where candidates scored well, they demonstrated a good level of understanding of the use of a wireframe alongside other pre-production documents. Candidates would explain clearly how using a wireframe early in the pre-production pipeline aided making decisions regarding layout and content. This would then aid pre-production with the production of more detailed planning such as visualisations and mock ups. High scoring candidates would also explain how other documents such as site maps would work alongside the wireframe to demonstrate the full content of the website.

### Misconception



A wireframe using in pre-production documents is a 2D document using 'empty' shapes indicating the position of elements on a page/screen.

An animation wireframe is a 3D scaffold/ model of physical object.

---

# Supporting you

---

## Post-results services

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#).

## Keep up-to-date

We send a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, [sign up here](#).

## OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our [website](#) or visit [OCR professional development](#).

## Signed up for ExamBuilder?

**ExamBuilder** is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. [Find out more](#).

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an [Interchange](#) username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.



## Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on  
**01223 553998**

Alternatively, you can email us on  
**support@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

 **ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder**

 **ocr.org.uk**

 **/ocrexams**

 **/ocrexams**

 **/company/ocr**

 **/ocrexams**

## We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.



**I like this**



**I dislike this**

Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.