General Comments

The overall average mark was below the level achieved in the previous diet but it was encouraging to note that a significant number of candidates attained high individual marks.

The paper featured questions that covered a large proportion of the syllabus and included familiar topics that should have been no surprise to candidates, especially those candidates who took the time to familiarise themselves with previous papers. Topics such as linear programming, the learning curve and investment appraisal were again examined. Unfortunately the answers put forward by many candidates demonstrated that the subject being tested was understood only at a peripheral level.

It was also evident that while most candidates feel relatively comfortable when tackling numerical questions they are less able to answer discursive questions. Many candidates cannot explain, or describe, a technique they have been called upon to use, and many do not appear to appreciate what was actually required. The problem seems to be a complete lack of understanding of how to interpret a question i.e. having no understanding of the verb being used. When preparing for the examinations candidates need to know what is meant by words such as "explain", "discuss" and "identify".

As in previous diets it was disappointing to note that questions that tested fundamental aspects of management accounting were poorly answered. This emphasises again the point that candidates who have been awarded exemptions from paper C1 and in some cases P1, need to ensure that they have a good understanding of the complete syllabi of both papers before attempting the P2 paper.

In most cases the quality of writing was good but aspects of grammar need attention. In the majority of cases there was no clear evidence of an answer plan and many candidates presented answers that were difficult to follow. On these occasions candidates are putting themselves at a disadvantage as it is difficult to award the marks that are on offer.

The marking team also experienced difficulties when marking answers to numerical questions because many candidates gave no directions to workings. On other occasions the workings could not be deciphered and sometimes the workings were simply not provided. Under these circumstances it is difficult for markers to use the 'own figure' rule to award marks.

It was pleasing to note that very few candidates experienced time constraints, this being demonstrated by virtually every candidate attempting the required number of questions. This situation could also confirm that candidates are making full use of the 20 minutes allowed for planning.

Section A – 20 marks

Que	stion 1	1.1			
	(i)	Penetration pricit cycle.	ng is a strategy that is often usec	l in the decline phas	e of a product's life
	(ii)	In the context of	quality costs, Conformance Cost	s are always equal t	o Internal Failure Costs.
	Whic	h of the above sta	tements are correct?		
Α	(i) or	nly			
В	(ii) oi	nly			
С	Both				
D	Neith	ner			
					(2 marks)
					The answer is D
Que	stion 1	1.2			
The	followi	ng details relate to	Product Z:		
		0		\$/unit	
			Selling price Purchased components Labour Variable overhead	45·00 14·00 10·00 8·50	
			Fixed overhead	4·50	
			Time on bottleneck resource	10 minutes	
	Prod	uct return per minu	ute is		
Α	\$0·	80			
В	\$1·	25			
С	\$2·	10			
D	\$3·	10			
					(2 marks)
					The answer is D
Wor	kings				
		ce – Material Cost) / Time on bottleneck resource =	: (\$45·00 - \$14·00) /	10 minutes = \$3·10
(5		,	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Question	1.3	
In the cor classified		on costs and test equipment running costs would be
	Customer compensation costs	Test equipment running costs
Α	Internal Failure Costs	Prevention Costs
в	Internal Failure Costs	Appraisal Costs
С	External Failure Costs	Appraisal Costs
D	External Failure Costs	Prevention Costs
		(2 marks)
		The answer is C
Company Workings (1+m) / (1	s annual real percentage cost of capital to s + i) = (1 + r) ·08 = 1·1111	20% and inflation is 8% per annum. Calculate the 2 decimal places. (2 marks)
Question	1.5	
		present value of the direct material cost is \$825,000. the direct material cost to 2 decimal places. (2 marks)
Working	5	
\$683,000 = 82·79%	/ \$825,000	

Question 1.6

RDE plc uses an activity based costing system to attribute overhead costs to its three products. The following budgeted data relates to the year to 31 December 2008:

Product	X	Y	Ζ
Production units (000)	15	25	20
Batch size (000 units)	2.5	5	4

Machine set up costs are caused by the number of batches of each product and have been estimated to be £600,000 for the year.

Calculate the machine set up costs that would be attributed to each unit of product Y.

(3 marks)

Workings

The total number of batches = $(15/2 \cdot 5) + (25/5) + (20/4) = 16$ Cost driver rate = £600,000 / 16 = £37,500 Cost per unit = £37,500 / 5,000 = £7.50

Question 1.7

A company is considering an investment of \$400,000 in new machinery. The machinery is expected to yield incremental profits over the next five years as follows:

Year	Profit (\$)
1	175,000
2	225,000
3	340,000
4	165,000
5	125,000

Thereafter, no incremental profits are expected and the machinery will be sold. It is company policy to depreciate machinery on a straight line basis over the life of the asset. The machinery is expected to have a value of \$50,000 at the end of year 5.

Calculate the payback period of the investment in this machinery to the nearest 0.1 years.

(3 marks)

Workings

Annual depreciation = (\$400,000 - \$50,000) / 5 years = \$70,000

Year	Profit (\$)	Depreciation (\$)	Cash flow (\$)	Cash flow to date (\$)
1	175,000	70,000	245,000	245,000
2	225,000	70,000	295,000	540,000

Payback occurs during year 2 Payback period = [1 + (155,000/295,000)] years = 1.5 years

Question 1.8

A company has determined its activity level and is now predicting its costs for the quarter ended 31 March 2008. It has made the following predictions:

Variable costs	Probability	Fixed costs	Probability
\$560,000	0.3	\$440,000	0.15
\$780,000	0.2	\$640,000	0.55
\$950,000	0.5	\$760,000	0.30

Calculate the expected value of total cost and its standard deviation.

(4 marks)

Workings

Variable costs \$000	Fixed costs \$000	Total costs x \$000	Probability p	рх	p(x-x) ²	
560	440	1,000	·045	45	6,986	
560	640	1,200	·165	198	6,210	
560	760	1,320	·090	118·8	493	
780	440	1,220	·075	91·5	2,271	
780	640	1,420	·275	390.5	186	
780	760	1,540	·150	231	3,197	
950	440	1,390	·030	41·7	0	
950	640	1,590	·110	174·9	4,226	
950	760	1,710	·060	102.6	5,991	
Totals			1.000	1,394	29,560	

Expected value \$1,394,000; Standard Deviation √29,560,000,000 = \$171, 930

Examiner's comments

The average mark for this question was lower than in previous diets. Many candidates failed to gain the marks available by simply not presenting the answer as directed in the question.

Questions 1.4 and 1.5 specifically asked for the answer to be shown "to 2 decimal places" but many candidates chose instead to present their answer in another format, for example a whole number, that gained no marks.

When answering question 1.7 many candidates incorrectly subtracted the annual depreciation figure from the profit, rather than adding it. It was disappointing to see such a fundamental error.

- 1. Failing to present an answer as directed in the question
- 2. Demonstrating an inability to convert an annual profit figure into a cash flow figure by adding back the correct depreciation figure.

Section B – 30 marks ANSWER ALL THREE QUESTIONS

Question 2

- (a) Explain the concept of the learning curve and why it may be relevant to the above company. (3 marks)
- (b) Calculate the expected time for the 6th unit of output.

(3 marks)

(C) Discuss the implications of the learning curve for a company adopting a penetration pricing policy.

(4 marks) (Total for Question Two = 10 marks)

Rationale

Question Two This question tests candidates' ability to demonstrate their understanding of the learning curve and why it may be relevant to the company in the scenario, together with its implications for a company adopting a penetration pricing policy. This question addresses the learning outcome: D(iv) *Explain and apply learning and experience curves to estimate time and cost for new products and services*.

Suggested Approach

- Read the scenario carefully and identify the key factors that are relevant to the operation of a learning curve
- Calculate the average time per unit for five units and six units
- Calculate the total times for five units and six units
- Calculate the time taken for the sixth unit
- Discuss the relationship between costs, prices and profits, and the implications of the learning curve for the adoption of a penetration pricing policy

Marking Guide	Marks
(a) Labour intensive process so repetition	1.5
Explanation of learning curve principle	1.5
(b) Calculate average times	1
Calculate total times	1
Time for sixth unit	1
(c) Pricing policy (e.g. using an average cost level)	1
Losses on early units	1
Profits on later units	1
Deterrent to competitors	1

Examiner's Comments

Although parts (a) and (b) were generally well answered, the answers to part (c) were generally poor. Part (c) asked candidates to 'discuss the implications of the learning curve for a company adopting a penetration pricing policy'. Unfortunately the majority of candidates simply wrote all they knew about penetration pricing and did not even consider the implications of the learning curve. Marks were not awarded for answers that did not consider the learning curve.

- 1. Calculating incorrect times for the fifth and sixth units.
- 2. Ignoring the implications of the learning curve when formulating an answer to part (c).

Question 3 (a) Calculate the optimum (profit maximising) selling price of the component for the period. *Note*: If Price = a - bq then Marginal Revenue = a - 2bq (6 marks) (b) Identify and explain two reasons why it may be inappropriate for HS to use this theoretical pricing model in practice. (4 marks) (Total for Question Three = 10 marks) Rationale Question Three This question tests candidates' knowledge of pricing. In part (a) candidates are required to prepare calculations to determine the profit maximising selling price. In part (b) candidates are required to identify and explain why the use of this theoretical model may be inappropriate in practice. This question addresses the learning outcome: A (iii) Apply an approach to pricing based on profit maximisation in imperfect markets and evaluate the financial consequences of alternative pricing strategies. Suggested Approach Read the scenario carefully to identify the key factors in determining the optimum price Analyse the costs provided using the high & low points method to separate them into their fixed and variable components · Determine the theoretical optimum price **Marking Guide** Marks (a) Calculate marginal cost 1 2 Calculate the price at zero demand Calculate q 2 Calculate the optimum price 1 (b) Each reason (2 X 2 marks) 4 **Examiner's Comments** Both parts of this question were generally well answered. However there were two common errors. Firstly the inability of candidates to use the high-low method to calculate a variable labour and conversion cost. This is a most basic management accounting technique that appears in several areas of the syllabus (for example breakeven analysis and flexible budgets). Secondly, the answers put forward by many candidates were not 'sensible' in the context of the question. Common Errors

- 1. Demonstrating an inability to apply the high-low technique.
- 2. Failing to ensure that answers were sensible i.e. putting forward answers that were completely out of context to the figures in the question.
- 3. Failing to distinguish between "cost" and "price"

Question 4	Letter and the second se			
(a)	Prepare a decision tree to illustrate the above problem and use this to recommend, reasons, the best course of action for the owner of the hotel.	with		
	(7	marks)		
(b)	Briefly discuss the limitations of using a decision tree to solve this problem.			
	(3	marks)		
	(Total for Question Four = 10	marks)		
Rationale				
decision tre decision tre	Question Four This question tests candidates' ability to interpret data and solve a problem using a decision tree approach. In part (b) candidates are then required to discuss the limitations of using a decision tree to solve such a problem. This question addresses the learning outcome: C(v) <i>Prepare and apply decision trees.</i>			
Suggested	I Approach			
PrepareEvaluate	the data provided to determine the possible outcomes a decision tree that summarises those outcomes e each branch of the decision tree to determine the optimal solution your recommendations and the limitations of using decision trees			
Marking G	uide	Marks		
Evaluat Recom (b) Negativ Uses ex Expecte Use ma	ranch (3 x 0.5 marks) te each branch (3 x 1 mark) mendation ve contributions from all options xpected values ed value is an average ay not be appropriate for this non-repeating decision to range of possible outcomes	1.5 3 1.5 1 1 1 1 1 Max 3		
Examiner's	s Comments			
The answers to both parts of this question were generally poor. The decision trees put forward demonstrated clearly that many candidates were either unfamiliar with the principles associated with a decision tree, or had not practised/revised this topic. In view of the poor quality of many of the decision trees, the marking task was very difficult. On many occasions markers were unable to award marks. In				

Common Errors

1. Demonstrating an inability to present clear, well tabulated, decision trees.

accounting tool, but simply discussed weather conditions and the hotel trade.

part (b) most candidates did not discuss the limitations of using a decision tree as a management

- 2. Not understanding multiple probabilities.
- 3. Failing to provide an answer to (part b).

Section C – 50 marks ANSWER *TWO* QUESTIONS OUT OF THREE

Question 5	i					
(a)						
(i)	Calculate the Net Present Value (NPV) of the	e investment in the new facility.				
(7)			(14 marks)			
(ii)	Explain two other factors that SQ should cor	usider before making its decisio				
(")			(4 marks)			
(1-)						
(b)	A company is thinking of investing in a new p	project. The details are as follow	VS:			
	Investment Time span	\$15,000 3 years				
	Annual cash inflows	\$30,000				
	Annual cash outflows Cost of capital	\$22,500 10%				
	NPV @ 10%	\$3,652·50				
	The project does not have a residual value. I	gnore taxation.				
(i)	Calculate the Internal Rate of Return (IRR) of	f the investment proposal.	(3 marks)			
(::)	Coloulate the constitutive of the investment to	changes in the appual cash inf				
(ii)	Calculate the sensitivity of the investment to	changes in the annual cash in				
			(4 marks)			
		(Total for Question Fi	ve = 25 marks)			
Rationale						
	Five This question tests candidates' ability in p proposal from the data provided and in part (b					
	of a project to a change in one of the input variation of the second sec					
	(iii) Calculate project cash flows, accounting for of project" value where appropriate.	or tax and inflation, and apply p	erpetuities to			
	, , , , , ,					
Suggested	Approach					
Read the scenario carefully to ascertain the incremental nature of the decision being made						
 Identify changes 	 Identify the cost and revenue changes that will occur as a result of the decision and the timing of those changes 					
Determ	 Determine the tax consequences of those incremental costs and revenues 					
	 Evaluate the proposal using net present value (NPV) Discuss the practical difficulties of using NPV to evaluate investment proposals 					
Calcula	 Calculate the Internal Rate of Return Analyse the sensitivity of the project outcome to changes in the forecast cash inflows 					
 Analyse 		yes in the lorecast cash innows	>			

Marking Guide	Marks
(a)(i) Calculate annual cost saving on existing production	3
Calculate additional units for each year:	
2008 – 2011	1
2012	1
Calculate annual contribution from additional units	3
Tax payable on pre tax profit	1
Tax saving on investment	3
Investment cash flows	1
Discounting of cash flows	1
(a)(ii) Each factor (2 x 2 marks)	4
(b)(i) Calculate NPV using a different discount factor	2
Calculate IRR	2
	Max 3
(b)(ii) Calculate present value of the forecast annual cash inflows	2
Sensitivity calculation	2

Examiner's Comments

Part (a)(i) was a familiar investment appraisal question, similar to questions that have appeared in previous papers. The most important aspect of this question was the need to adopt an incremental approach, i.e. the impact of investing in the new facility. Unfortunately only about ten per cent of answers attempted an incremental approach. A rather disappointing point to note is the high proportion of poorly presented answers. Many answers contained figures that were difficult to read, no details were included to explain the origin of the figures and workings were either non-existent or not referred to. Marking became quite a tortuous task and on occasions it was difficult to award marks. Parts a(ii), b(i) and b(ii) were generally well answered.

- 1. Not appreciating that an incremental approach was a vital aspect when answering a(i).
- 2. Providing poorly presented answers
- 3. Providing no reference to working/support details.

Questio	on 6	
(a)	Using graphical linear programming identify the weekly production schedule for products and G that maximises the profits of DFG during the next four weeks.	s D
	(15 n	narks)
(b)	The optimal solution to part (a) shows that the shadow prices of Skilled labour and Direc material A are as follows:	ct
	Skilled labour £Nil Direct material A £5·82	
	Explain the relevance of these values to the management of DFG. (6 n	narks)
(c)	Using the graph you have drawn in part <i>(a)</i> explain how you would calculate by how mu selling price of Product D could rise before the optimal solution would change.	ch the
	<i>lote</i> : Assume that demand is not affected by the selling price. You are not required to pe ny calculations.	rform
	•	narks)
	(Total for Question Six = 25 n	narks)
Rationa	ale	
program variable, making,	on Six This question tests candidates' ability to solve a scarce resource problem using line ming and to interpret the solution. This question addresses the learning outcome: A(vii) A fixed cost analysis in multiple product contexts to break-even analysis and product mix do including circumstances where there are multiple constraints and linear programming me ded to reach "optimal" solutions.	\pply ecision
Sugges	sted Approach	
 Dete Plot Dete Expl 	duce resource constraint equations from the data provided ermine the iso-contribution function the resource constraints on a graph and identify the feasible region ermine the optimal solution using the iso-contribution function lain the meaning of the shadow prices lain how a change in selling price would affect the optimal solution	
Marking		Marks
Íden	ablish and plot constraints htify iso-contribution line	12 2
(b) Gen	ntify optimal plan neral explanation of shadow price	1 1
Sha	dow price of skilled labour dow price of material A	2 3
Cha	inge in contribution inge in iso-contribution line inge in plan	1 1 2

Examiner's Comments

Overall this was a well answered question with most candidates achieving a good mark for parts (a) and (b). Many candidates chose not to use graph paper when presenting their answer to part (a). In most cases this led to inaccurate figures being put forward for the optimal solution and obviously lost marks. The answers to part (c) were generally poor. This called for an understanding of the type of graph requested, in particular, the ability to manipulate the iso-contribution line. Calculations were not required, but while figures could perhaps have supported a written answer, many students chose to put forward only numerical answers.

- 1. Not using the graph paper provided.
- 2. Not showing all details on the graph e.g. maximum demand.
- 3. In part (c), putting forward calculations as opposed to answering the question, i.e. "explain how you would calculate".

Question 7 (a) Advise the owner of the retail outlet which option to choose in order to minimise the losses that will occur as a result of the decision. All workings must be shown. (15 marks) (b) Explain how Activity Based Costing may be used in a retail environment to improve the decision making and profitability of the business. (10 marks) (Total for Question Seven = 25 marks) Rationale Question Seven This question tests candidates' ability to analyse the data provided to determine the optimal solution to a closure problem and then to explain how the use of activity based costing might improve the decision making and profitability of a retail business. This question addresses the learning outcomes: A(i) Discuss the principles of decision making including the identification of relevant cash flows and their use alongside non-quantifiable factors in making rounded judgements; and D(v) Apply the techniques of activity based management in identifying cost drivers/activities and explain how process reengineering can be used to eliminate non-value adding activities and reduce activity costs. Suggested Approach Read the scenario carefully and identify the impact on costs and revenues of each alternative option Determine the costs and revenues of each option and advise the owner Discuss the application of Activity Based Costing to the retail sector Discuss how ABC could improve decision making and profitability for this retailer **Marking Guide** Marks (a) Each relevant cost and revenue value (8 x 2 marks) 14 Max Advice 1 (b) Categories of cost with examples 3 ABC identifies causes of costs 1 Examples relevant to scenario (2 x 2 marks) 4 Improved decision making and profitability 2 **Examiner's Comments**

This was the least popular optional question and in the majority of cases the answers for both parts were poor. No specific management accounting technique was required for part (a). The question simply required a candidate to assimilate the data provided and quantify the impact of choosing option 1 or option 2. A variety of approaches were available to answer the question but the majority of answers were inaccurate and provided no supporting details or workings. When marking the answers to part (b) it was disappointing to note that many candidates have lost the ability to structure a written answer. In most cases there was very little evidence of any form of an answer plan and many answers were disjointed and not cohesive. Most candidates were able to put forward a brief explanation of ABC but very few were able to relate ABC to the retail environment.

Paper P2 - Management Accounting - Decision Management Post Exam Guide November 2007 Exam

- 1. In part (a), adopting a poor approach and using a poor layout for answers
- Providing no supporting details or reference to workings
 In part (b), not using an answer plan
- 4. Demonstrating a poor standard of handwriting.