# 2001 HSC Notes from the Examination Centre Classical Greek

© 2002 Copyright Board of Studies NSW for and on behalf of the Crown in right of the State of New South Wales.

This document contains Material prepared by the Board of Studies NSW for and on behalf of the State of New South Wales. The Material is protected by Crown copyright.

All rights reserved. No part of the Material may be reproduced in Australia or in any other country by any process, electronic or otherwise, in any material form or transmitted to any other person or stored electronically in any form without the prior written permission of the Board of Studies NSW, except as permitted by the *Copyright Act 1968*. School students in NSW and teachers in schools in NSW may copy reasonable portions of the Material for the purposes of bona fide research or study.

When you access the Material you agree:

- to use the Material for information purposes only
- to reproduce a single copy for personal bona fide study use only and not to reproduce any major extract or the entire Material without the prior permission of the Board of Studies NSW
- to acknowledge that the Material is provided by the Board of Studies NSW
- not to make any charge for providing the Material or any part of the Material to another person or in any
  way make commercial use of the Material without the prior written consent of the Board of Studies NSW
  and payment of the appropriate copyright fee
- · to include this copyright notice in any copy made
- not to modify the Material or any part of the Material without the express prior written permission of the Board of Studies NSW.

The Material may contain third party copyright materials such as photos, diagrams, quotations, cartoons and artworks. These materials are protected by Australian and international copyright laws and may not be reproduced or transmitted in any format without the copyright owner's specific permission. Unauthorised reproduction, transmission or commercial use of such copyright materials may result in prosecution.

The Board of Studies has made all reasonable attempts to locate owners of third party copyright material and invites anyone from whom permission has not been sought to contact the Copyright Officer, ph (02) 9367 8289, fax (02) 9279 1482.

Published by Board of Studies NSW GPO Box 5300 Sydney 2001 Australia

Tel: (02) 9367 8111

Fax: (02) 9367 8484

Internet: http://www.boardofstudies.nsw.edu.au

ISBN 1740990900

2002108

# **Contents**

Continuers	5
Section I – Prescribed Text – Plato, Republic Book I	5
Section II – Prescribed Text, Euripides, <i>Medea</i>	
Section III – Unseen Texts	
Extension	.7
Section I – Prescribed Text – Homer, Odyssey VI and VII	
Section II – Non-prescribed Text.	

# 2001 NOTES FROM THE EXAMINATION CENTRE CLASSICAL GREEK

#### Introduction

This document has been produced for the teachers and candidates of the Stage 6 courses in Classical Greek. It provides comments with regard to responses to the 2001 Higher School Certificate Examination, indicating the quality of candidate responses, and highlighting the relative strengths and weaknesses of the candidature in each section and each question.

It is essential for this document to be read in conjunction with the relevant syllabus, the 2001 Higher School Certificate Examination and other support documents, which have been developed by the Board of Studies to assist in the teaching and learning of Classical Greek.

The marking guidelines, developed by the Examination Committee at the time of setting the Higher School Certificate Examination and used at the marking centre, are available on the Board of Studies website.

#### **Continuers**

Section I – Prescribed Text – Plato, Republic Book I

#### **Specific Comments**

#### **Question 1**

- (a) Candidates generally translated the passage well.
- (b) Candidates generally translated the passage well.

#### **Ouestion 2**

- (a) All candidates answered the question correctly.
- (b) Some candidates did not bring out the idea of a counter argument to Socrates.
- (c) Most candidates analysed the argument, giving perceptive implications.
- (d) All candidates made links to the rest of *Republic Book I*'s discussion on justice. Some candidates conveyed outstanding knowledge of the discussion of justice.

Some candidates provided disproportionately long answers for 3 and 4 mark questions.

#### **Question 3**

- (a) Most candidates attempted this question. Most candidates discussed the mode of argument well, but a number resorted to narrative elements of the text without linking their information clearly to the question and especially the idea of 'easier'. A few candidates discussed Socratic irony and the sophistic perspective.
- (b) Candidates generally found 'change in direction of the argument' hard to explain. A number of candidates used narrative elements of the text without relating their information clearly to the question. Most candidates expanded very well on the idea contained in the quotation relating to 'harm'.

#### Section II - Prescribed Text, Euripides, Medea

#### **Question 4**

- (a) Candidates generally translated the passage well.
- (b) This passage proved more challenging for translation than Question 4(a).

#### **Question 5**

- (a) Candidates gave a variety of answers, all of which were correct.
- (b) Candidates described Medea's jealousy well and in a variety of ways eg. desire for revenge, being a woman scorned.
- (c) Most candidates answered this part well. Some candidates did not analyse the simile/metaphor in context, sometimes just translating a phrase.
- (d) Candidates provided a variety of competent approaches. A few mentioned Europides' graphic depiction. Most candidates focused on the family relationship and its implications.

Some candidates provided disproportionately long answers for the 3 and 4 mark questions.

#### **Question 6**

- (a) Most candidates attempted this question. Better responses considered both sides of the question. Most candidates formed perceptive conclusions as to which characteristics in Medea were the more pronounced. A few candidates did not consider that Medea could be viewed as sympathetic in any way.
- (b) Candidates considered each opposition, 'man/woman', 'Greek/barbarian' separately in the discussion. Candidates found the concept of 'opposition' difficult to define and analyse.

#### Section III - Unseen Texts

#### **Ouestion 7**

- (a) Most candidates identified and rendered the content and style well, and all produced a clear English translation.
- (b) All candidates identified the basic context well.

#### Extension

#### Section I - Prescribed Text - Homer, Odyssey VI and VII

#### **Question 1**

- (a) (i) Most candidates translated the passage very well.
  - (ii) Candidates generally answered the questions comprehensively. Some candidates did not put the 'mist' into context.
  - (iii) Candidates' analyses were generally clear and thorough. A few candidates mentioned Odysseus' prayer.
- (b) (i) Most candidates translated the passage very well.
  - (ii) Candidates gave the context clearly.
  - (iii) Most candidates evaluated the simile perceptively. A few candidates did not relate the implications back to Odysseus clearly.

#### Question 2

- (a) Serious and light-hearted elements were treated independently, rather than as an interplay. Candidates generally did not bring out the contrast of Nausicaa's girlishness with Odysseus' serious behaviour.
- (b) Most candidates attempted this part and generally addressed the question well. 'Stages of Odysseus' return' were clearly described. Few candidates considered the balance of *Odyssey VII* (the portion of the set text not translated) thoroughly.

#### **Section II – Non-prescribed Text**

#### **Question 3**

The passage was translated very well and the context was identified clearly.

#### **Question 4**

Generally candidates translated the prose thoroughly. Some candidates did not use the correct vocabulary/constructions in a few instances. Challenging elements included: 'such as Cephalus', 'mind was at peace with itself', 'youth'.

# **Classical Greek Continuers**

# 2001 HSC Examination Mapping Grid

Question	Marks	Content (Theme /Topic - Text Type)	Syllabus outcomes
1 (a)	8	Plato	H1.1, H1.3
1 (b)	12	Plato	H1.1, H1.3
2 (a)	1	Plato	H1.1, H2.3
2 (b)	2	Plato	H1.1, H2.3
2 (c)	3	Plato	H1.1, H2.3, H3.1, H3.2
2 (d)	4	Plato	H1.1, H2.3, H3.1, H3.2
3 (a)	10	Plato	H2.3, H3.1, H3.2
3 (b)	10	Plato	H2.3, H3.1, H3.2
4 (a)	8	Euripides	H1.1, H1.3
4 (b)	12	Euripides	H1.1, H1.3
5 (a)	1	Euripides	H1.1, H2.3
5 (b)	2	Euripides	H1.1, H2.3
5 (c)	3	Euripides	H1.1, H2.2, H2.3, H3.1, H3.2
5 (d)	4	Euripides	H1.1, H2.2, H2.3, H3.1, H3.2
6 (a)	10	Euripides	H2.3, H3.1, H3.2
6 (b)	10	Euripides	H2.3, H3.1, H3.2
7 (a)	9	Euripides	H1.1, H1.2, H1.3
7 (b)	11	Plato	H1.1, H1.2, H1.3



# **2001 HSC Classical Greek Continuers Marking Guidelines**

#### Section I — Prescribed Text - Plato, Republic Book I

Question 1 (a) (8 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H1.3

	Criteria	Marks
•	Translates the extract into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate English	7–8
•	Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the extract	
•	Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the author	
•	Translates some of the extract into idiomatic and accurate English	4–6
•	Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the extract	
•	Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the author	
•	Translates some structures into accurate English	1–3
•	Demonstrates a limited understanding of the intent of the author	



# Question 1 (b) (12 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H1.3

#### MARKING GUIDELINES

	Criteria	Marks
•	Translates the extract into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate English	9–12
•	Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the extract	
•	Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the author	
•	Translates some of the extract into idiomatic and accurate English	5-8
•	Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the extract	
•	Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the author	
•	Translates some structures into accurate English	1-4
•	Demonstrates a limited understanding of the intent of the author	

# Question 2 (a) (1 mark)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H2.3

#### **MARKING GUIDELINES**

Criteria	Marks
Gives the name of the speaker	1

# Question 2 (b) (2 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H2.3

Criteria	Marks
Provides two reasons for bringing Thrasymachus into the dialogue	2
Provides one reason for bringing Thrasymachus into the dialogue	1



# Question 2 (c) (3 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H2.3, H3.1, H3.2

#### MARKING GUIDELINES

Criteria	Marks
Provides an analysis of the substance of the argument and its implications	3
• Includes reference to the herdsman and his animals, the ruler and his subjects	
• Provides an analysis of the substance of the argument, with some detail relating to the herdsman and ruler	2
Demonstrates basic understanding of the argument	1
OR	
Provides some information relating to herdsman or ruler	

# Question 2 (d) (4 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H2.3, H3.1, H3.2

Criteria	Marks
• Provides a synthesis of the overall discussion on the nature of justice in <i>Republic</i> Book I	4
• Links the argument of the ruler/herdsman, who is only concerned with gain/profit, with the overall discussion of justice in <i>Republic</i> Book I	
• Provides a synthesis of the overall discussion on the nature of justice in <i>Republic</i> Book I	3
• Refers to the argument of the ruler's herdsmen's desire for gain/profit, without linking the argument to the general discussion of justice in <i>Republic</i> Book I	
• Provides some summarising of the overall discussion on the nature of justice in <i>Republic</i> Book I	2
• Provides any information relating to the overall discussion on the nature of justice in <i>Republic</i> Book I	1



# Question 3 (a) (10 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H2.3, H3.1, H3.2

	Criteria	Marks
	Demonstrates breadth and depth in the assessment of Socrates' mode of rgument in <i>Republic</i> Book I	9–10
	argues convincingly and substantiates points of view with specific eference to <i>Republic</i> Book I	
• C	Composes a well-organised and coherent response	
	Demonstrates breadth and some depth in the assessment of Socrates' node of argument in <i>Republic</i> Book I	7–8
1	argues effectively and substantiates a point of view with appropriate eference to <i>Republic</i> Book I	
• C	Composes a structured response	
	Makes some relevant observations in the assessment of Socrates' mode f argument in <i>Republic</i> Book I	5–6
• In	ncludes narrative rather than analytical elements	
• S	hows some ability to structure ideas and information with clarity	
m	Cites some relevant examples from <i>Republic</i> Book I relating to Socrates' node of argument, without necessarily making the link explicit between ne question asked and the text	3–4
• In	ncludes some general statements relating to the question	
	Demonstrates some ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	
	dentifies some isolated relevant information relating to Socrates' mode f argument in <i>Republic</i> Book I	1–2
	Demonstrates a limited ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	



# **Question 3 (b)** (10 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H2.3, H3.1, H3.2

	Criteria	Marks
•	Demonstrates breadth and depth in assessing the change of direction in the discussion of the argument on justice between Socrates and Polemarchus in <i>Republic</i> Book I	9–10
•	Argues convincingly and substantiates points of view with specific reference to appropriate sections of <i>Republic</i> Book I	
•	Composes a well-organised and coherent response	
•	Demonstrates breadth and some depth in assessing the change of direction in the argument on justice between Socrates and Polemarchus in <i>Republic</i> Book I	7–8
•	Argues effectively and substantiates a point of view with appropriate reference to the change in direction of the argument in <i>Republic</i> Book I	
•	Composes a structured response	
•	Makes some relevant observations in the discussion of the argument on justice between Socrates and Polemarchus in <i>Republic</i> Book I	5–6
•	Includes narrative rather than analytical elements	
•	Shows some ability to structure ideas and information with clarity	
•	Cites some relevant examples of the argument on justice between Socrates and Polemarchus in <i>Republic</i> Book I without necessarily making the link explicit between the question asked and the text	3–4
•	Includes some general statements relating to the question	
•	Demonstrates some ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	
•	Identifies some isolated relevant information relating to the argument on justice between Socrates and Polemarchus in <i>Republic</i> Book I	1–2
•	Demonstrates a limited ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	

#### 2001 HSC Classical Greek Continuers

# Section II — Prescribed Text – Euripides, Medea

# Question 4 (a) (8 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H1.3

#### **MARKING GUIDELINES**

Criteria	Marks
Translates the extract into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate English	7–8
Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the extract	
Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the author	
Translates some of the extract into idiomatic and accurate English	4–6
• Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the extract	
Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the author	
Translates some structures into accurate English	1–3
Demonstrates a limited understanding of the intent of the author	

# Question 4 (b) (12 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H1.3

Criteria	Marks
Translates the extract into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate English	9–12
Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the extract	
Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the author	
Translates some of the extract into idiomatic and accurate English	5–8
Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the extract	
Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the author	
Translates some structures into accurate English	1–4
Demonstrates a limited understanding of the intent of the author	



# Question 5 (a) (1 mark)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H2.3

#### MARKING GUIDELINES

Criteria	Marks
Names the person	1

# Question 5 (b) (2 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H2.3

#### **MARKING GUIDELINES**

Criteria	Marks
Medea's jealousy of or desire for revenge on Glauce because she is becoming Jasnon's new wife	2
Medea is jealousy or revenge	1

# Question 5 (c) (3 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H2.2, H2.3, H3.1, H3.2

	Criteria	Marks
•	Provides examples of metaphor and simile in the passage	3
•	Analyses the use of metaphor and simile in the passage	
•	Identifies and analyses at least two examples of metaphor/simile in the passage	2
•	Identifies one simile or metaphor in the passage	1



# Question 5 (d) (4 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H2.2, H2.3, H3.1, H3.2

Criteria	Marks
Identifies ways in which pathos is exemplified	4
Discusses effect of pathos perceptively	
Identifies ways in which pathos is exemplified	2–3
Provides some discussion of the effect of pathos in the speech	
Makes some reference to ways in which pathos is evoked OR some effect of pathos in the speech	1



# Question 6 (a) (10 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H2.3, H3.1, H3.2

	Criteria	Marks
•	Demonstrates breadth and depth in the discussion of Medea's character Argues convincingly and substantiates points of view with specific reference to Medea's dealings with others which evoke pity or which evoke horror  Composes a well-organised and coherent response	9–10
•	Demonstrates breadth and some depth in the analysis of Medea's character  Argues effectively and substantiates a point of view with appropriate reference to Medea's dealings with others which evoke pity or which evoke horror	7–8
•	Composes a structured response	
•	Makes some relevant observations in the analysis of Medea's character Includes narrative rather than analytical elements in relation to Medea as a sympathetic or a sinister character  Shows some ability to structure ideas and information with clarity	5–6
•	Cites some relevant examples which refer to Medea's character without necessarily making the link explicit between the question asked and the text	3–4
•	Includes some general statements relating to the question  Demonstrates some ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	
•	Identifies some isolated relevant information relating to Medea's character  Demonstrates a limited ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	1–2



# **Question 6 (b)** (10 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H2.3, H3.1, H3.2

	Criteria	Marks
•	Demonstrates breadth and depth in the analysis of oppositions and their method of presentation in Medea	9–10
•	Argues convincingly and substantiates points of view with specific reference to these oppositions	
•	Composes a well-organised and coherent response	
•	Demonstrates breadth and some depth in the analysis of oppositions and their method of presentation in Medea	7–8
•	Argues convincingly and substantiates points of view with specific reference to these oppositions	
•	Composes a well-organised and coherent response	
•	Makes some relevant observations in the analysis of oppositions in Medea	5–6
•	Includes narrative rather than analytical elements in relation to these oppositions	
•	Shows some ability to structure ideas and information with clarity	
•	Cites some relevant examples which refer to oppositions in Medea, without neccessarily analysing their method of presentation	3–4
•	Includes some general statements relating to the question	
•	Demonstrates some ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	
•	Identifies some relevant information relating to the question asked	1–2
•	Demonstrates a limited ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	

#### 2001 HSC Classical Greek Continuers

#### Section III — Unseen Texts

# Question 7 (a) (9 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H1.2, H1.3

#### **MARKING GUIDELINES**

Criteria	Marks
Translates the extract into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate English	7–9
Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the extract	
Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the author	
Translates some of the extract into idiomatic and accurate English	4–6
Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the extract	
Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the author	
Translates some structures into accurate English	1–3
Demonstrates a limited understanding of the intent of the author	

# **Question 7 (b)** (11 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H1.2, H1.3

Criteria	Marks
Translates the extract into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate English	9–11
Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the extract	
Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the author	
Translates some of the extract into idiomatic and accurate English	5–8
Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the extract	
Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the author	
Translates some structures into accurate English	1–4
Demonstrates a limited understanding of the intent of the author	

# **Classical Greek Extension**

# 2001 HSC Examination Mapping Grid

Question	Marks	Content (Theme /Topic - Text Type)	Syllabus outcomes
1 (a) (i)	6	Homer	H1.2, H1.3
1 (a) (ii)	2	Homer	H1.2
1 (a) (iii)	3	Homer	H1.2, H2.2
1 (b) (i)	9	Homer	H1.2, H1.3
1 (b) (ii)	2	Homer	H1.2
1 (b) (iii)	3	Homer	H2.3
2 (a)	10	Homer	H2.1, H2.2, H2.3
2 (b)	10	Homer	H2.1, H2.2, H2.4
3	15	Homer	H1.1, H1.2, H1.3, H3.1
4	15	Prose Composition (Plato)	H1.3, H3.1



# **2001 HSC Classical Greek Extension Marking Guidelines — Written Examination**

Section I — Prescribed Text – Homer, Odyssey VI and VII

Question 1 (a) (i) (6 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.2, H1.3

	MINIMAN (O GUIDEEN LES	
	Criteria	Marks
•	Translates the extract into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate English	5–6
•	Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the extract	
•	Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the author	
•	Translates some of the extract into idiomatic and accurate English	3–4
•	Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the extract	
•	Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the author	
•	Translates some structures into accurate English	1–2
•	Demonstrates a limited understanding of the intent of the author	

# Question 1 (a) (ii) (2 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.2

#### MARKING GUIDELINES

	Criteria	Marks
•	Identifies the place and the participants referred to in the passage	2
•	Relates the participants to the events leading up to and referred to in the passage	
•	Describes events and participants in the passage with little or no reference to what has already occurred	1

# Question 1 (a) (iii) (3 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.2, H2.2

Criteria	Marks
• Determines and explains some aspects of Athena's role at this point of the narrative	3
Analyses implications of these aspects	
• Determines and explains some aspects of Athena's role at this point of the narrative	2
• Determines and explains an aspect of Athena's role at this point of the narrative	1

# Question 1 (b) (i) (9 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.2, H1.3

#### MARKING GUIDELINES

	Criteria	Marks
•	Translates the extract into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate English	7–9
•	Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the extract	
•	Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the author	
•	Translates some of the extract into idiomatic and accurate English	4–6
•	Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the extract	
•	Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the author	
•	Translates some structures into accurate English	1–3
•	Demonstrates a limited understanding of the intent of the author	

# Question 1 (b) (ii) (2 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.2

Criteria	Marks
Identifies the place and the participants referred to in the passage	2
• Relates the participants to the events leading up to and referred to in the passage	
Describes events and participants in the passage with little or no reference to what has already occurred	1





# Question 1 (b) (iii) (3 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H2.3

Criteria	Marks
• Demonstrates a perceptive understanding of the appropriateness of simile in the passage	the 3
• Demonstrates an understanding of the appropriateness of the simile the passage	in 2
Relates the simile to Odysseus in some way	1



# Question 2 (a) (10 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H2.1, H2.2, H2.3

	Criteria	Marks
•	Demonstrates breadth and depth in the discussion of the effect of the interplay of serious and light-hearted elements in <i>The Odyssey</i> Books VI and VII	9–10
•	Argues convincingly and substantiates points of view with specific reference to the interplay of serious and light-hearted elements in <i>The Odyssey</i> Books VI and VII	
•	Composes a well-organised and coherent response	
•	Demonstrates breadth and some depth in the discussion of the effect of the interplay of serious and light-hearted elements in <i>The Odyssey</i> Books VI and VII	7–8
•	Argues effectively and substantiates a point of view with appropriate reference to the interplay of serious and light-hearted elements in <i>The Odyssey</i> Books VI and VII	
•	Composes a structured response	
•	Makes some relevant observations in the discussion of the interplay of serious and light-hearted elements in <i>The Odyssey</i> Books VI and VII	5–6
•	Includes narrative rather than discussion	
•	Shows some ability to structure ideas and information with clarity	
•	Cites some relevant examples without necessarily making the link explicit between the question asked and the text	3–4
•	Includes some general statements relating to the question	
•	Demonstrates some ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	
•	Identifies some isolated relevant information relating to the question asked	1–2
•	Demonstrates a limited ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	

# 2001 HSC Classical Greek Extension Marking Guidelines — Written Examination

# Question 2 (b) (10 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H2.1, H2.2, H2.4

Criteria	Marks
Demonstrates breadth and depth in the discussion of events in <i>The Odyssey</i> Books VI and VII which show the stages of Odysseus' return to his proper place in heroic society	9–10
• Argues convincingly and substantiates points of view with specific reference to the stages of Odysseus' return to his proper place in here society	oic
Composes a well-organised and coherent response	
• Demonstrates breadth and some depth in the discussion of events in <i>Odyssey</i> Books VI and VII which show the stages of Odysseus' return to his proper place in heroic society	
Argues effectively and substantiates a point of view with appropriate reference to Odysseus' return to his proper place in heroic society	e
Composes a structured response	
Makes some relevant observations in the discussion of Odysseus' reto his proper place in heroic society	turn 5–6
Includes narrative rather than discussion	
Shows some ability to structure ideas and information with clarity	
• Cites some relevant examples relating to Odysseus' return to his proplace in heroic society, without necessarily making the link explicit between the question asked and the text	per 3–4
Includes some general statements relating to the question	
Demonstrates some ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	
• Identifies some isolated relevant information relating to Odysseus' re to his proper place in heroic society	eturn 1–2
Demonstrates a limited ability to structure and sequence ideas and information	

# 2001 HSC Classical Greek Extension

#### Section II - Non-Prescribed Text

# Question 3 (15 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.1, H1.2, H1.3, H3.1

Criteria	Marks
Translates the passage into fluent, idiomatic English, selecting vocabulary most appropriate to the passage	13–15
Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures	
• Demonstrates a sensitivity to the intention, tone and style of the author	
Translates most of the passage into fluent, idiomatic English	10–12
Accurately interprets the relationship between most words and structures	
• Demonstrates an awareness of the intention, tone and style of the author	
Translates some structures into fluent, idiomatic English	7–9
Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between some words and structures	
Demonstrates a general grasp of the content and style of the author	
Translates parts of the passage into acceptable English	4–6
Demonstrates a limited understanding of the relationship between words and structures	
Translates some phrases and individual words into English	1–3



# Question 4 (15 marks)

Outcomes assessed: H1.3, H3.1

	Criteria	Marks
•	Translates the passage into fluent, coherent, idiomatic and accurate Classical Greek	13–15
•	Demonstrates a consistent and perceptive understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of the text	
•	Demonstrates a sensitivity to the tone and style of the text	
•	Translates most of the passage into idiomatic and accurate Classical Greek	10–12
•	Accurately interprets the relationship between most words and structures of the text	
•	Demonstrates an awareness of the tone and style of the text	
•	Translates some of the passage into idiomatic and accurate Classical Greek	7–9
•	Demonstrates an understanding of the relationship between the words and structures of most of the passage	
•	Demonstrates a general grasp of the content and style of the text	
•	Translates some structures into accurate Classical Greek	4–6
•	Demonstrates a general grasp of the content of the text	
•	Translates some phrases and individual words into Classical Greek	1–3