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| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 a}$ | 71.5 | B 1 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1b | Graph 1: EU immigration in the UK <br> Identify 'm' as millions or state what ' $m$ ' means <br> Reposition 'm' <br> Use grid/graph paper to enable more accurate readings <br> Extend the all curves to 2045/ same point <br> Add a broken axis <br> Add a line for high net migration <br> The starting point for each line should be the same <br> Graph 2: Brexit's impact on the pound <br> Use a key <br> Indicate what 'NIESR' or 'OECD' stands for <br> Use lines/points rather than bars <br> Switch or remove the higher and lower labels <br> Add more organisations <br> Add space between each column <br> Add (horizontal) grid lines <br> Make it clear which currency they are comparing with | E4 | E1 for each valid improvement with a maximum of E2 for each graph <br> Ignore any additional but incorrect suggestions <br> Not label the axes <br> Not make lines distinct from each other <br> Not define 'high' or 'low' <br> Not make a bar chart <br> SC1 (two errors identified but no suggestions for improvement) <br> SC2 (three errors identified but no suggestions for improvement) <br> eg. Don't know what ' $m$ ' stands for, line not extended to 2045 etc |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | Alternative method 1 |  |  |
|  | $14600000000 \div 52$ <br> or $1.46 \times 10^{10} \div 52$ <br> or $14.6 \div 52$ <br> or $\text { [280 } 000 \text { 000, } 281000 \text { 000] }$ | M1 | oe |
|  | [280 000 000, 281000 000] and No | A1 | oe SC1 $14600000000 \div 48=304$ million and No |
|  | Alternative method 2 |  |  |
|  | $350000000 \times 52$ <br> or $3.5 \times 10^{8} \times 52$ <br> or $\text { [18 } 000000 \text { 000, } 18300000 \text { 000] }$ | M1 | oe |
|  | [18 000000 000, 18300000 000] and No | A1 | oe SC1 $350000000 \times 48=16.8$ billion and No |
|  | Alternative method 3 |  |  |
|  | 14.6billion $\div 350$ million | M1 |  |
|  | 41.7 weeks and No or 41.7 and 52 and No | A1 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | For use of $[48,52)$ use SC1 rule |  |  |
|  | Use of $365 \div 7$ or $365.25 \div 7$ in place of 52 is correct |  |  |
|  | Allow use of words such as million/billion or standard form rather than full ordinary figures |  |  |
|  | 'Exaggeration' implies No |  |  |
|  | For final answer, allow self-correction |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1d | Tim Alternative method 1 |  |  |
|  | $(46500001-33577 \text { 342) } \div 46500001$ or $12922659 \div 46500001$ or 0.278 or $33577342 \div 46500001$ or 0.72 | M1 | ```oe Condone interchange of 33 577 342 with 33551983 accept [0.26, 0.285] or [27, 28]% accept [0.715, 0.74] or [71.5, 74]%``` |
|  | 0.278 or 27.8(\%) and No or 72 and 80 and No | A1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { accept }[0.27,0.28] \text { or }[27,28] \% \\ & \text { accept }[71.5,74] \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Tim <br> Alternative method 2 |  |  |
|  | ```0.2\times46500 001 or 9 300 000 and 46500 001-33577 342``` | M1 | accept [9 200 000, 9400 000] <br> accept [46 000 000, 13000 000] <br> Condone interchange of 33577342 with 33551983 |
|  | 9300000 and 12922659 and No | A1 |  |
|  | Kelly <br> Alternative method 1 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 16141241 \div 12 \text { or } 1345103 \\ & \text { and } \\ & 17410742 \div 1345103 \text { or } 12.9(\ldots) \end{aligned}$ | M1 | allow reverse order |
|  | 12.9(...) and Yes or 12.0(...) and Yes | A1 |  |
|  | Kelly Alternative method 2 |  |  |
|  | ```16141241\div17410742 or [0.925,0.928 ] or 12\div13 or 0.923``` | M1 | allow reverse order |
|  | [0.925,0.928] and 0.923 and Yes | A1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Kelly <br> Alternative method 3

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|c|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}33551983 \div 25 \times 12 \text { or } 16104951 .(84) \\
\text { or } \\
33551983 \div 25 \times 13 \text { or } 17447031 .(16)\end{array}
$$ \& M1 \& Condone interchange of 33577342 with <br>

33551983\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{l}
<br>
\hline 16104951 and 17447031 and Yes <br>

\hline | Kelly |
| :--- |
| Alternative method 4 | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| $12 \div 25$ or 0.48 <br> or <br> $13 \div 25$ or 0.52 | M1 | oe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.48 and 0.52 and Yes | A1 | oe |

## Kelly

Alternative method 5

| $16141241 \div 12$ or 1345103 and $17410742 \div 13$ or 1339288 |  | M1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1345103 and 1339288 and Yes |  | A1 |  |
| Larissa |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2000000+16141241 \text { or } 18141241 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 2000000+33577342 \text { or } 35577342 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 2000000+33551983 \text { or } 35551983 \end{aligned}$ |  | M1 | Condone interchange of 33577342 with 33551983 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18141241 \div \\ & 35577342(\times 100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18141241 \div \\ & 35551983(\times 100) \end{aligned}$ | M1 | oe Condone interchange of 33577342 with 33551983 |
| 0.509(...) or 0.51 and No (from using 35577 342) | 0.5102(...) or 0.5103 and Yes (from using 35551 983) | A1 | oe <br> A1 for the correct answer and statement SC1 for 54.(...)\% |

## Additional Guidance

Be careful not all possible alternatives are shown for this question.
Any fully correct method gains full marks.
Condone interchange of 33577342 with 33551983

| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Alternative method 1 - Euros |  |  |
|  | $1.08 \div 0.9$ or 1.2 | M1 |  |
|  | $17000 \times$ their 1.2 or 20400 | M1 | Allow 1.08 or 1.188 or 1.19 in place of 1.2 to obtain 18360 or 20196 or 20230 |
|  | $253000 \times 1.125$ or 284625 | M1 | oe |
|  | their $284625 \times 1.08$ or 307395 | M1 | oe |
|  | their $20400+307395$ or 20400 + their 307395 or 327795 | M1 |  |
|  | 327795 and Yes | A1 | SC4 for 325755 or 327 591or 327625 |
|  | Alternative method 2- Pounds |  |  |
|  | $1.08 \div 0.9$ or 1.2 | M1 |  |
|  | $17000 \times$ their 1.2 or 20400 | M1 | Allow 1.08 or 1.188 or 1.19 in place of 1.2 to obtain 18360 or 20196 or 20230 |
|  | $253000 \times 1.125$ or 284625 | M1 | oe |
|  | their $20400 \div 1.08$ or 18888 .(89) or <br> $327500 \div 1.08$ or 303240. (74) | M1 | oe |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { their } 18888 .(89)+284625 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 18888 .(89)+\text { their } 284625 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 303513 .(89) \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  |
|  | ```303 513.(89) and 303 240.(74) and Yes``` | A1 | SC4 for 301625 or 303325 or 303 356.(4815) |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | Alternative 2: Method of $17000 \div 0.9(=18888.89)$ scores the $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{M} 1,2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{M} 1$ and $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{M} 1$ |  |  |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 ( a )}$ | 91 or 179 seen | M1 | oe |
|  | $91 / 179$ | A1 | or 0.51 or 0.508 |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 ( b )}$ | Office B | B1 |  |
|  | A higher proportion of people walk or <br> a lower proportion of people go by <br> car <br> or <br> More people walk and fewer go by <br> car | E1 | Or other sensible reason <br> "More people walk and cycle" is not <br> sufficient. |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 ( a )}$ | $\mathrm{P}(D \mid S)=P(D) \quad\left(=\frac{3}{4}\right)$ |  |  |
| or | E1 |  |  |
| The probabilities on the second |  |  |  |
| branches are the same: having a |  |  |  |
| smart TV has not changed the |  |  |  |
| probability of having a dishwasher |  |  |  |
| or |  |  |  |
| $P(D \cap S)=\frac{3}{10}$ and $P(D) \times P(S)=\frac{3}{10}$ |  |  |  |$\quad$|  |
| :--- |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 4(b)(i) | $\frac{3}{5} \times \frac{1}{4} \times 1220$ | M1 | oe |
|  | 183 | A1 | Accept 180 with working |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(b)(ii) | Assumption that the students in <br> Hugo's survey are representative of <br> the students in the school as a whole | E1 | Or other reasonable answer |



| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(a)(ii) | ADFH | B1 |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 5(a)(iii) |  |  | Auto-marked |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 5(a)(iv) | $2+7+8+3+3+2$ <br> or 1 extra day | M1 |  |
|  | 25 (days) | A1 |  |



| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(b)(ii) | 13 days | B1ft | Follow through a non-zero float from (b)(i) |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 ( a )}$ | $0.68 \times 0.80$ or 0.544 | M1 |  |
|  | $0.32 \times 0.49$ or 0.1568 | M1 |  |
|  | 0.7008 or 0.701 | A1 | Accept $0.7(0)$ with working |
| Guidance |  |  |  |
| Candidates may use a tree diagram |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 ( b )}$ | 3500 or 3504 or 3505 | B1ft | Ft their answer to 6(a) |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 ( c )}$ | $0.66 \times 0.75$ or 0.495 or $(1-0.66) x$ | M1 |  |
|  | $(1-0.66) x+0.495(=0.69)$ | M1 |  |
|  | their $0.34 x=0.195$ <br> or $x=0.5735 \ldots$ or $x=0.574$ | M1 |  |
|  | $(x=) 0.57$ | A1 | Must be to 2 sig. fig. |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 (a) | $2 \times 3000 \text { or } 6000$ <br> or $12 \times 3000 \text { or } 36000$ | B1 | For working out cost of option C, either for 12 weeks or final two weeks |
|  | $0.4 \times 0.9$ or 0.36 | B1 | For working out the probability of a one week delay |
|  | $0.4 \times 0.1$ or (0.4-0.36) or 0.04 | B1 | For working out the probability of a two week delay |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \times 9000 \text { or } 0.04 \times 18000 \text { or } 0.40 \times \\ & 9000 \\ & \text { or } 0.36 \times 39000 \text { or } 0.04 \times 48000 \text { or } \\ & 0.04 \times 42000 \\ & \text { or } 0.4 \times 39000 \text { or } 0.04 \times 9000 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | For working out the (extra) cost of 11 weeks or 12 weeks |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \times 9000+0.04 \times 18000 \\ & \text { or } 0.4 \times 9000+0.04 \times 9000 \\ & \text { or } 3960 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 10 \times 3000+0.36 \times 9000+ \\ & 0.04 \times 18000 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 10 \times 3000+0.4 \times 9000+0.04 \times 9000 \\ & \text { or } 0.6 \times 30000+0.36 \times 39000 \\ & +0.04 \times 48000 \\ & \text { or } 0.6 \times 30000+0.4 \times 39000 \\ & +0.04 \times 9000 \\ & \text { or } 33960 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | For valid method to work out the expected cost of option A, either for 12 weeks or final two weeks |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3000+0.04 \times 9000 \text { or } 3360 \\ & \text { or } 33000+0.04 \times 9000 \\ & \text { or } 0.6 \times 33000+0.36 \times 33000+0.04 \times \\ & 42000 \\ & \text { or } 33360 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | For valid method to work out the expected cost of option B, either for 12 weeks or final two weeks |


|  | (Option A) $£ 33960$ or $£ 3960$ <br> and <br> (Option B) $£ 33360$ or $£ 3360$ <br> and <br> (Option C) $£ 36000$ or $£ 6000$ | A1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Recommends Option B after using <br> probabilities to find expected values | E1 | Follow through Option A or Option C if <br> consistent with their expected values |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7}$ (b) | $(£ 640 \times 5=) £ 3200$ | B1 | Cost of additional worker for five weeks |
|  | Comparison of $£ 3200$ with their $£ 3360$ <br> or <br> $£ 33200$ with their $£ 33360$ <br> and <br> Yes, they should employ the extra <br> worker. | E1 |  |

