## Answers

ACCA Certified Accounting Technician Examination - Paper T6(INT) Drafting Financial Statements (International Stream)

June 2005 Answers and Marking Scheme

Adnett
Income statement for the year ended 31 May 2005
Adnett
Income statement for the year ended 31 May 2005
(a) (i)

|  | Marks | Workings \$000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \cdot 0$ |  |
| \$000 |  |  |
| 3,485 | 1.0 | $(3,500-15)$ |
| $(2,715)$ | 5.0 |  |
| 770 |  |  |
| (153) | 1.5 |  |
| (331) | $4 \cdot 5$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 286 \\ (58) \end{gathered}$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | (580 x 10\%) |
| 228 |  |  |
| (70) | $0 \cdot 5$ |  |
| 158 | 1.0 |  |
|  | 15.0 |  |

1
$\$ 000$

| 1,773 | 4 |
| ---: | :---: |
| 68 <br> 1,841 | $1 \cdot 0$ |

Current assets
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Inventory } & 560 \\ \text { Trade receivables } & 660\end{array}$
Bank 147
Total assets

## Adnett

Balance sheet as at 31 May 2005
\$000
Assets
Non-current assets (W2)
Property, plant and equipment
Goodwill

|  | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| 1,367 |  |
| 3,208 |  |


| 1,080 | $1 \cdot 5$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | $1 \cdot 5$ |  |
| 70 | $1 \cdot 0$ | $(35+35)$ |
| 238 | $2 \cdot 0$ | $(115+158-35)$ |
| 1,428 |  |  |


| Non-current liabilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10\% Loan notes |  | 580 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |
| Trade payables | 1,030 |  | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Income tax | 70 |  | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Wages accrual | 42 |  | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| Loan notes interest | 58 | 1,200 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Total equity and liabilities |  | 3,208 |  |
|  |  |  | 17.0 |

## Workings

1

|  | Cost of <br> Sales <br> $\$ 000$ | Distribution <br> Cost | Administrative <br> Expenses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 000$ | $\$ 000$ |  |  |

2 Non-current assets

| Goodwill | Land | Buildings | Plant | \& Equipment |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 000$ | $\$ 000$ | $\$ 000$ | $\$ 000$ | $\$ 000$ |
| 85 | 345 | 1,040 | 1,200 | 2,585 |
| - | - | $(160)$ | $(400)$ | $(560)$ |
| $(17)$ |  |  |  | $(52)$ |
|  |  | $\underline{(200)}$ | $\underline{(200)}$ |  |
| $\overline{68}$ | $\overline{345}$ | $\underline{\underline{828}}$ | $\underline{\underline{600}}$ | $\underline{\underline{1,773}}$ |

3 Share Capital Reconciliation

|  | Share Capital | Share Premium |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 000$ | $\$ 000$ |
| Opening balance | 800 | 200 |
| Issued on purchase of business | $\underline{100}$ | $-\frac{20}{200}$ |
| Shares ranking for dividend | $\underline{180}$ | $\underline{(180)}$ |
| Bonus issue $900 \times 1 / 5$ | $\underline{1,080}$ | $\underline{\boxed{40}}$ |
| Closing balance |  |  |

(b) The accounting treatment for goodwill as required by IFRS 3

At the date of acquisition the acquirer recognises goodwill as an intangible asset. On an ongoing basis goodwill is measured at cost and is assessed for impairment in accordance with IAS 36 at least annually. When a recoverable amount write-down is required that write-down is taken through the income statement in the period in which it is identified.

2 (a) Prepared in accordance with IAS7

## Snowdrop

## Cash flow statement for the year ended 31 May 2005

\$'000s
1

|  | \$'000s | \$'000s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities |  |  |  |
| Net profit before tax | 1,032 |  | 1 |
| Adjustments for: |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 700 |  | 1 |
| Loss on sale of tangible non-current assets | 20 |  | 1 |
| Interest | 10 |  | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Operating profit before working capital changes | 1,762 |  |  |
| Increase in inventory | (80) |  | 1 |
| Increase in receivables | (130) |  | 1 |
| Increase in payables | 85 |  | 1 |
| Cash generated from operations | 1,637 |  |  |
| Interest paid | (10) |  | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Tax paid | (145) |  | 2 |
| Dividends paid | (270) |  | 1 |
| Net cash from operating activities |  | 1,212 |  |
| Cash flow from investing activities |  |  |  |
| Purchase of non-current assets |  | $(2,800)$ | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| Receipts from sales of tangible non-current assets |  | 180 | 1 |
| Cash flows from financing activities |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from issue of share capital | 1,280 |  | 1 |
| Repayment of long term borrowing | (100) |  | 1 |
|  |  | 1,180 | 1 |
| Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents |  | (228) | 1 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of period |  | 170 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period |  | (58) | 1 |

## Note

Dividends paid and interest paid may be shown in either operating activities or financing activities.
Workings

(b) Comment on the financial position of Snowdrop as shown by the cash flow statement

There has been a net outflow of cash $\$ 228,000$ which has left the company with an overdraft of $\$ 58,000$.
There was significant expenditure on non-current assets of $\$ 2,800,000$ during the year. This should help improve operational efficiency and future profitability.
Additional ordinary shares were issued which resulted in a cash inflow of $\$ 1,280,000$. This will result in future cash outflows in the form of dividends.
Long term loans of $\$ 100,000$ were repaid which will reduce interest payments in future.
There has been an increase in receivables of $\$ 130,000$ which may mean customers are taking longer to pay and consequently having an adverse impact on cash flows.
1.5 marks for each relevant comment which is adequately explained up to a maximum of 6 marks.
(c) Briefly state some of the ways in which a company could manipulate the year end cash position.
(i) Offering short term incentives to customers to increase sales.
(ii) Reducing the selling price to increase sales.
(iii) Cutting expenses.
(iv) Disposing of assets.
(v) Delaying payments to credit suppliers.
(vi) Encouraging customers to pay early by offering discounts.
(vii) Resourcing effective debt collection procedures.

1 mark for each relevant comment up to a maximum of 4 marks.

3 (a) (i) Capital accounts immediately before sole traders merge

|  | A. Little's Capital Account |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Balance c/f | $\$ 000$ | $\$ 000$ |  |
|  | 205 | Balance b/f | 160 |
|  |  | Revaluation | Goodwill |

(ii)

## B. Sutton's Capital Account

| $\$ 000$ | $\$ 000$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | Balance b/f | 79 |

Revaluation (70-55)
Balance c/f

Little Sutton's Capital Accounts

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A. Little } \\ \$ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. Sutton } \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A. Little } \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. Sutton } \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Goodwill w/off (2:1) | 40 | 20 | Transfer: Sole traders 205 | 89 |
| Balances c/f | 165 | 69 |  |  |
|  | 205 | 89 | 205 | 89 |

Capital and liabilities
Capital Accounts

| A. Little | 165 | 0.5 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| B. Sutton | 69 | 0.5 |

Current liabilities
Trade payables
Total capital and liabilities

Little Sutton
Balance sheet as at 1 June 2005

## Assets

Non-current assets
Freehold property
Plant and equipment
80
200
Current assets
Inventory 27
Bank and cash

[^0](b) Briefly state two advantages and two disadvantages of $A$ Little and $B$ Sutton becoming partners rather than continuing as sole traders.

## Advantages

- Risks are spread between the partners
- They may be able to specialise in a particular activity within the business.
- They may find it easier to raise finance for the business.
- They can pool their network of contacts

Disadvantages

- They may find working together a problem.
- Their individual freedom for decision making might be limited.
- They now have to share any profits.

1 mark for each advantage or disadvantage up to a maximum of 4 marks.

| 4 | (a) (i) | Return on capital employed* | * Net Profit before Interest \& tax | $\times 100$ | 25 | $\times 100$ | = $13.9 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Marks } \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Capital employed |  | 180 |  |  |  |
|  | (ii) | Gross profit percentage | Gross Profit | $\times 100$ | 60 | $\times 100$ | $=37 \cdot 5 \%$ | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Revenue |  | 160 |  |  |  |
|  | (iii) | Net profit percentage* | Net Profit before interest and tax | $\times 100$ | 25 | $\times 100$ | $=15 \cdot 6 \%$ | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Revenue |  | 160 |  |  |  |
|  | (iv) | Quick/Acid test ratio | Current Assets - Inventory | :1 | 75-45 |  | $=0 \cdot 67: 1$ | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Current liabilities |  | 45 |  |  |  |
|  | (v) | Receivables collection period | d Receivables | $\times 365$ | 25 | $\times 365$ | $=57$ days | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Revenue |  | 160 |  |  |  |
|  | (vi) | Earnings per share P | Profits on ordinary activities after tax |  | 10 |  | $=10$ cents | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | No. of ordinary shares in issue |  | 100 |  |  |  |
|  |  | * Alternative definitions are also acceptable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9 \cdot 0$ |

(b) Brief Report

To:
From: A CAT Student
Date June 2005

## Subject: Financial Appraisal of F. Raser Using Accounting Ratios

Introduction
The purpose of this report is to analyse the financial performance of F. Raser over the last three years using accounting ratios.
The report specifically comments on the following ratios:

- Return on capital employed;
- Gross profit percentage;
- Net profit percentage;
- Quick/acid test ratio;
- Receivables collection period; and
- Earnings per share

The report also highlights what other information would be useful to help interpret the ratios.

## Return on capital employed

The return on capital employed has declined over the last three years from $16.2 \%$ to $13.9 \%$ and is now well below the industry average ( $16 \cdot 2 \%$ ). This should be a cause for concern to the board of directors because if investors can obtain a higher return elsewhere then they may withdraw their investment. Alternatively they may seek to change the management board. It would be helpful to have more information on the market in which F. Raser operates e.g. is the market growing or declining, are there many buyers and sellers or just a few.

## Gross profit percentage

The gross profit percentage has risen over the period from $30 \cdot 4 \%$ to $37 \cdot 5 \%$. Clearly the company has either
(i) increased the selling price of its goods, e.g. perhaps it is able to sell at a premium because of perceptions regarding the quality of the goods sold.
(ii) reduced the cost of its supplies. Possibly changing suppliers or obtaining greater discounts as sales volume has increased.
It would be useful to know what the company is selling and the volume of sales analysed by product and year.

## Net profit percentage

The net profit percentage has declined over the period from $19 \cdot 3 \%$ to $15 \cdot 6 \%$ and is significantly below the industry average of $17 \cdot 3 \%$. This is worrying considering the increase in the gross profit percentage over the same period. The decline in the net profit percentage suggests that the costs may not be tightly controlled within the company. More detailed information on expenditure during the period would be helpful in identifying the reasons for the decline in profitability.

## Quick (or acid test) ratio

The quick ratio has also declined significantly during the period from 1.5 to 0.67 suggesting the company may be experiencing liquidity problems. This view is also supported when the ratio is compared to the industry average which is over double that of F. Raser. The level of inventory may be a concern as it is tying up cash. More information on the type of inventory and the level of inventory turnover would be useful.

## Receivables collection period

The time taken to collect debts has increased over the period from 32 days to 57 days. This seems very high when compared to the industry average debt collection period of just 35 days. The ratio suggests that there is little control over debt collection. In addition, the lengthening of the collection period means it is more likely that some debts will not be paid by customers. The poor control over debt collection will be a factor contributing to the adverse liquidity situation of the company.

## Earnings per share

The earnings per share deteriorated over the period from 18 c per share to 10 c per share. This level of EPS is also significantly below the industry average and it is likely to discourage potential investors from investing in the company and may not be sufficient to keep existing shareholders.

## Conclusion

Although the company has managed to increase its gross profit over the period, this has not resulted in a similar increase in net profit. In summary the ratios indicate poor internal control of costs and poor management of working capital. The return on capital employed and the EPS ratios are unlikely to be sufficiently attractive to potential investors or to existing shareholders.

## Marking scheme

1 mark for each relevant comment up to a maximum of 10 marks. 1 mark for report format.


[^0]: