Answers

ACCA Certified Accounting Technician Examination – Paper T6(INT) Drafting Financial Statements (International Stream)

						Marks	Workings
1	(a)	Incor	Wisaror me Statement and Ap				
			October 2005		0.2		
			,	\$	\$		
		Sales revenue	rela		302,200	0·5 0·5	
		Less Returns inwa	iras		(3,600)	0.2	
		On onling inventory			298,600	0 5	
		Opening inventory Add Purchases		23,500 214,400		0·5 1·0	(\$215,300 – \$900)
		Carriage inwards		1,150		0.5	(\$215,500 - \$900)
		ournage initial de		239,050		00	
		Less closing inven	torv	19,000		0.2	
		_	-			0.5	
		Cost of goods sold			(220,050)		
		Gross Profit			78,550	0.2	
		Expenses Selling expenses		17,500		0.2	
		Rent		12,000		1.0	(\$13,000 - \$1,000)
		General expenses		1,900		0.5	(\$10,000 \$1,000)
		Insurance		800		0.2	
		Motor vehicle expe	enses	6,000		0.5	
		Discounts allowed		1,340		0.2	
		Wages		9,490		1.0	(\$9,090 + \$400)
		Depreciation					
		– Motor ve		2,500		1.5	((\$16,000 – \$6,000) x 25%)
		 Fixtures 	and fittings	800		1.0	(\$8,000 x 10%)
		Loan interest		200		1.0	((\$5,000 x 8%) x 0·5)
		Bank charges		75		0.2	
		Irrecoverable debts	-	400		0.2	
		Increase in allowa	nce for receivables	565	(53,570)	1.5	((\$25,700 – \$400) x 5%) – \$700
		Net profit			24,980	0.2	
		Interest on drawin	-	270		0.2	
			Aaron	210	480	0.2	
					25,460		
		Salary: Aaron			(8,500)	1.0	
					16,960		
		Share of profit:	Lewis 3/5	10,176		0.2	
		endre er prend	Aaron 2/5	6,784		0.2	
					16,960		
						10.0	
						19.0	

(b)		Marks	Workings				
	SDrawings6,500Goods900Interest on drawings270Balance c/f5,26612,936		Eewis Balance b/f Loan interest Share of profit		\$ 2,560 200 10,176 12,936	0.5 + 0.5 1 + 1 0.5 + 0.5	
		Aaro	n				
	Drawings 5,600 Interest on drawings 210 Balance c/f 10,844 16,654		6,600 Balance 210 Salary 0,844 Share of		\$ 1,370 8,500 6,784 16,654	0.5 + 0.5 $0.5 + 1$ $0 + 0.5$ $$ 7	
(c)	c) Wisaron Balance sheet as at 32			31 October 2005 Accumulated Net		0.2	
		Cost		Depreciation	Book Value		
	Assets Non-current assets	\$		\$	\$		
	Motor vehicles Fixtures and fittings		00	8,500 3,800	7,500 4,200	1.0 1.0	
			00	12,300	11,700	1.0	
	<i>Current assets</i> Inventory Trade receivables Allowance for receivables	25,30		19,000 24,035		0·5 1·0 1·0	(\$25,700 – \$400) (\$25,300 x 5%)
	Prepayment (rent)			1,000	45 410	1.0	
	Bank Total assets			1,375	45,410 57,110	1·0 0·5	(\$1,450 – \$75)
	Partners' capital accounts					0.2	
	Lewis Aaron			7,000 6,000	13,000	1.0 0.5	(\$12,000 - \$5,000)
	<i>Partners' current accounts</i> Lewis Aaron			5,266 10,844	16,110	0·5 0·5	
	<i>Non-current liabilities</i> Loan from Lewis				5,000	1.0	
	<i>Current Liabilities</i> Payables Accruals (wages)			22,600 400	23,000	0·5 1·0	
	Total capital and liabilities				57,110	$\frac{0.5}{14}$	

2	(a)	Coodwill on acquisition	\$000	\$000	Workings \$m	Marks
2	(a)	Goodwill on acquisition Cost of investment Share Capital Reserves Revaluation of land	480,000 76,000 56,000	660,000	(80% x 600) (80% x 95) (80% x 70)	1 1 1 1
		Goodwill		(612,000) 48,000		4
	(b)	Spyder Consolidated Balance Sheet as at 31 Assets	. October 2005 \$000	\$000		
		Non-current assets Land and buildings Plant		663,000 505,000	(W1) (285 + 220)	2 0·5
		<i>Current assets</i> Inventory Trade receivables Bank	597,000 626,000 188,000	1,168,000	(357 + 252 - 12) (525 + 126 - 25) (158 + 30)	
		Total assets Equity and liabilities		2,579,000		
		Capital and reserves \$1 Ordinary shares Reserves Minority Interest		1,500,000 613,600 176,400 2,290,000	(W2) (W3)	1 3·5 3
		Current liabilities Payables		289,000	(220 + 94 - 25)	1.5
		Total equity and liabilities		2,579,000		15
		Workings W1 Land and Buildings Spyder Phly: Book value : Revaluation of land on acquisition	\$000 278,000 70,000	\$000 315,000	Analysis of mark 0·5 0·5 1	S
				348,000 663,000	2	
		W2 Reserves Spyder balance Reserves of Phly (80% x \$212 million) Pre acquisition reserves (80% x \$95 million) <i>Less</i> Goodwill Profit on purchases from Spyder	(76,000) (48,000) (12,000)	580,000 169,600	0.5 1 1 0.5 0.5	
		Reserves		(136,000) 613,600	3.5	
		W3 Minority Interest Share Capital (20% x \$600 million) Revaluation (20% x \$70 million) Reserves (20% x \$212 million)		120,000 14,000 42,400	1 1 1	
		Minority Interest		176,400	3	

(c) Inter-company trading and consolidation

The companies within a group are separate legal entities and therefore may treat other companies within the group the same as any other customers. For example, in this question, Phly has purchased goods from Spyder.

The accounts of Spyder will show a profit earned on sales to Phly and similarly Phly's balance sheet will include inventory at the cost purchased from Spyder. There are two accounting issues that need to be addressed when preparing the group accounts:

- (i) Although Spyder has made a profit on the goods it has sold to Phly, the group has not made a sale, or any profit, until an outside customer buys the goods from Phly.
- (ii) Any purchases that remain unsold by Phly at the end of the year will be included in Phly's inventory. Their balance sheet value will be their cost to Phly, which is not the same as to the group.

The only profits to be recognised should be those made by the group in providing goods to third parties. Inventory in the consolidated balance sheet should also be valued at the cost to the group. Thus, the \$12 million of Spyder's profit in Phly's closing inventory is unrealised from the group's perspective and is eliminated in full upon consolidation.

There may also be receivables and payables within a group. In these circumstances these internal balances are cancelled. For example in this question Phly is indebted to Spyder for \$25 million. Therefore Phly has a payable on its balance sheet of \$25 million and Spyder has a receivable of \$25 million on its balance sheet. When the accounts are consolidated the two balances are cancelled.

Marking scheme

3

Up to 3 marks for identifying the issue of unrealised profit on inventory, explaining how they are treated on consolidation and using an example from the question.

Up to 3 marks for identifying the issue of internal receivables and payables, explaining how they are treated on consolidation and using an example from the question.

3	(a)	Ratio	Formulae	Aber		Cromby			
		Gross profit percentage	Gross Profit Sales	x 100	1,100 x 100	= 20.0%	2,160 7,200 x 100 =	= 30.0%	
					- /		. ,		
		Return on capital employed*	Profit before int. & tax	x 100	490 x 100	= 11.8%	475 	$\frac{5}{} \times 100 = 6.3\%$	
		notari on capital omployed	Capital Employed	X 100	4,155	110/0	7,520	x 100 0 0 0 0	
		Earnings per share	Net Profit after tax		275	= 9.2 cents	280	= 4.0 cents	
			No. of ordinary shares		3,000	5 2 00113	7,000		

Marking scheme

1 mark for each ratio (6 marks)

* Alternative ratio definitions and calculations may be acceptable.

(b)	Ratio Gross profit percentage	Aber 20%	Cromby 30%	Comment Cromby has been able to achieve a significantly higher gross profit percentage than Aber. This may be due to a number of factors; for example, Cromby may be operating at the luxury (branded) end of the leisurewear market, consequently it may be able to charge its customers a premium price for its goods. Cromby may also be able to obtain good discounts from its suppliers for bulk purchases. Alternatively, Aber may have expensive suppliers, with high costs associated with carriage inwards.
	Return on capital employed	11.8%	6.3%	Aber's return on capital employed is nearly double that of Cromby. This might suggest that Aber is managed more efficiently than Cromby. Certainly Aber's return represents a reasonable return when compared to current market borrowing rates. However, more information is needed; for example are the property assets of both businesses correctly valued?
	Earnings per share	9·2c	4·Oc	Aber has a higher EPS than Cromby and from a shareholder's perspective, Aber would be considered a better investment. It would be useful to have the previous year's EPS figures so that any trends could be identified.

There should be some evidence of trying to interpret the ratios, while acknowledging the limitations of the information available. Other comments, if appropriate, will also be given credit.

1 mark for each relevant comment up to 9 marks.

(c) Limitations of ratio analysis:

- 1 The accounting information used to prepare the ratios may be out of date.
- Usually the information presented in the published accounts is summarised, making a detailed analysis impossible. 2
- 3 Price changes over time make year on year comparisons difficult.
- 4 Changes in accounting policies from year to year may produce misleading ratios.
- 5 Different businesses use different accounting policies. This may make direct comparisons difficult.

Marking scheme

1 mark for each limitation that is explained up to 5 marks (other examples may be given).

assumed and dealt with in the income statement of the period to which they relate.

(a) (i) Going Concern Concept

(ii) Accruals Concept

The going concern concept implies that the business will continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future, and that there is no intention to put the company into liquidation or make drastic cutbacks to the scale of operation. This concept has a major influence on the assumptions made when evaluating particular items in the balance sheet. For example assets are not normally shown at net realisable value because they are expected to be kept in the business for future use.

The accruals concept requires that revenue and costs are recognised as they are earned or incurred, not when the money

Accounting information must be reliable if it is to be useful. In accounting terms this means the information should be

free from material error and bias. The user must be able to depend on it being a faithful representation.

2 marks

is received or paid. They must be matched with one another so far as their relationship can be established or justifiably

2 marks

2 marks

(iv) Understandability

(iii) Reliablity

Users of financial statements must be able to understand them. However, it is assumed they have some business, economic and accounting knowledge and they are able to apply themselves to study the information provided properly. The complex matters of financial statements should not be left out simply because of their difficulty, if it is relevant information.

(b) The arguments for having accounting standards

- Accounting standards restrict the number of choices in the methods used to prepare financial statements and therefore reduce the risk of creative accounting. This should help the users of accounts to compare the financial performance of different organisations.
- Companies are obliged to disclose the accounting policies they have used in the preparation of accounts. This should help the users of accounts better understand the information presented.
- Accounting standards should increase the credibility of accounts by increasing uniformity of accounting treatment between companies.
- Accounting standards require companies to disclose information which they might not want to disclose if the standards did not exist.
- Accounting standards provide a focal point for discussion about accounting practice.

The arguments against having accounting standards

- Sometimes the accounting method advocated may not be appropriate in some particular circumstances or for certain types of organisation.
- Accounting standards may be overly prescriptive, reducing flexibility and the opportunity for accountants to use their professional judgement.
- Standards may be too general, resulting in a lack of clear guidance in some situations.
- If standards contain too many detailed rules, there is a danger that preparers will develop creative accounting techniques that technically adhere to the rules but conflict with the overall aims and principles behind financial statements.
- Accounting standards may have been drafted as a consequence of a particular pressure group.
- Some accounting standards can be expensive to comply with.

Marking scheme: 1 mark for each relevant point up to 7 marks.

2 marks