## Answers

1 (a) (i)
Sondaw
Income Statement for the year ended 31 May 2004

## Marks

|  |  | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sondaw <br> Income Statement for the year ended 31 May 2004 |  |  |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 5$ |
|  | \$000 |  |
| Revenue | 5,876 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Cost of sales (W1) | $(3,072)$ | $5 \cdot 0$ |
| Gross profit | 2,804 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Distribution costs (W1) | (492) | $3 \cdot 0$ |
| Administrative expenses (W1) | (763) | $6 \cdot 0$ |
| Profit from operations | 1,549 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Finance cost | (30) | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Profit before tax | 1,519 | 0.5 |
| Tax | (250) | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| Net profit for the period | 1,269 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
|  | Total | 18.0 |

(ii)

Sondaw

Balance sheet as at 31 May 2004
$0 \cdot 5$
Assets
Non-current assets
Property, plant and equipment (W2)

800
0.5

Current assets
Inventory
Trade and other receivables (\$438-\$38 - \$20 + \$6) 386
Cash 50
Total assets
Equity and liabilities
Capital and reserves
\$1 Ordinary shares
Accumulated profits $(\$ 280+\$ 1,269)$

Non-current liabilities
5\% loan notes
Current liabilities
Trade and other payables $(\$ 500+\$ 10+\$ 20) \quad 330 \quad 3.5$
Taxation 250
Total equity and liabilities

780
4,429

Total
$14 \cdot 0$

Note to marker: Although the $B / S$ layout above is that illustrated in FRS 1, it is not the only acceptable layout. Alternative layouts are common in Singapore too. As long as the candidate demonstrates ability in current vs non-current classifications, appropriate credit should be given.

(b) The purpose of depreciation is to spread the cost of an asset, less its residual value, over its productive (economic) life.

When deciding the method of calculating depreciation the following factors are relevant:
Pattern of usage - If the main value from the asset is obtained during its earliest years then it might be
appropriate to use reducing balance.
Life of the asset - The time period in which wear and tear, obsolescence or depletion takes place.

## Nobrie

Cash flows from operating activities
Net profit before tax
$41,738 \quad 0.5$
Adjustments for:
Depreciation
Investment income
5,862
Interest paid
Profit on equipment disposal
(146)

1,177
$(1,540) \quad 1.5$

Operating profit before working capital changes
47,091
Increase in inventory
Increase in trade receivables
(866)

Decrease in trade payables
$(5,684)$
1.5
$(3,625)$
Cash generated from operations
Interest received
36,916
Intest received
146
Interest paid
Tax paid
$(1,177)$
0.5
$(9,191)$
26,694
Cash flows from investing activities
Purchase of property, plant and equipment
Proceeds from sale of equipment
$(28,048)$

Net cash used in investing activities
3,053

## Cash flows from financing activities

| Proceeds from issue of share capital | 7,450 |  | 2.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Repayment of long term borrowing | $(6,244)$ |  | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| Net cash used in financing activities |  | 1,206 | 0.5 |
| increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | 2,905 | 1.0 |
| h and cash equivalents at the beginning of period |  | $(4,749)$ | 1.0 |
| $h$ and cash equivalents at end of period |  | $(1,844)$ | 1.0 |
|  |  | Total | $25 \cdot 0$ |

## Examiner's note

FRS 7 allows interest paid to be an operating cash flow or a financing cash flow. Interest received can be an operating cash flow or an investing cash flow. (Same rule applies for dividends received and paid.)
Workings
1

## Taxation

| Taxation |  |  | $\$ 000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paid (Balancing figure) | $\$ 000$ |  | 7,323 |
| Balance c/f | 9,191 | Balance b/f | Income statement |

3

| Non-current Asset NBV |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 000$ |  | $\$ 000$ |
| B/fwd | 88,466 | Depreciation | 5,862 |
| Revaluation | 8,272 | Disposal NBV (W2) | 1,513 |
| Additions (Balancing figure) | $\underline{28,048}$ | C/Fwd | $\underline{117,411}$ |
|  | $\underline{124,786}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{124,786}}$ |

Partnership profit statement for the year ended 31 May 2004

|  | pr | ement for | e year | S1 May |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 months to <br> 31 August 2003 |  | 9 months to <br> 31 May 2004 | Total |  |
|  |  | \$000 |  | \$000 | \$000 |  |
| Unadjusted profit |  | 200,000 |  | 600,000 | 800,000 | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| Bad debt written off |  | $(25,000)$ |  | - | $(25,000)$ | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| Loan interest (W1) |  | - |  | $(18,000)$ | $(18,000)$ | 1.0 |
|  |  | 175,000 |  | 582,000 | 757,000 |  |
| Division of Profit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angela | 4/7 | 100,000 | 4/10 | 232,800 | 332,800 | 1.0 |
| Brenda | 2/7 | 50,000 | 3/10 | 174,600 | 224,600 | 1.0 |
| Christine | 1/7 | 25,000 |  | - | 25,000 | 0.5 |
| Hannah |  | - | 3/10 | 174,600 | 174,600 | 0.5 |
|  |  | 175,000 |  | 582,000 | 757,000 | $7 \cdot 0$ |

Capital accounts

| Pre 31/8/03 | Angela \$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brenda } \\ \$ \end{gathered}$ | Christine Hannah |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Marks |  | Angela \$ | Brenda \$ | Christine$\$$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hannah } \\ \$ \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  | \$ | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G'dwill 2:1 | 466,667 | 233,333 | - | - | 1.0 | Bal b/f | 500,000 | 260,000 | 330,000 | - |  |
| Loan a/c | - | , | 480,000 | - | 0.5 | G'dwill 4:2:1 | 400,000 | 200,000 | 100,000 | - | 1.5 |
| Balance c/d | 633,333 | 326,667 | - | - |  | F Prop 4:2:1 | 200,000 | 100,000 | 50,000 | - | 1.5 |
|  | 1,100,000 | 560,000 | 480,000 | - |  |  | 1,100,000 | 560,000 | 480,000 | - |  |
| Post 31/8/03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G'dwill 4:3:3 | 280,000 | 210,000 | - | 210,000 | 1.5 | Balances b/d | 633,333 | 326,667 | - | - |  |
| Bal c/f | 820,000 | 350,000 | - | 250,000 |  | Cash - capital | - | - | - | 250,000 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cash - g'dwill | - | - | - | 210,000 | $0 \cdot 5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | G'dwill | 466,667 | 233,333 | - | - | 1.0 |
|  | 1,100,000 | 560,000 | - | 460,000 |  |  | 1,100,000 | 560,000 | - | 460,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $3 \cdot 0$ |  |  |  |  |  | $5 \cdot 0$ |

Current accounts


4 (a)

|  |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross profit percentage | ge Gross profit $\times 100$ | 4,600 $\times 100$ | $=$ | 23.00\% | 4,950 | $\times 100$ | $=$ | 19.04\% |
|  | Sales revenue | 20,000 |  |  | 26,000 |  |  |  |
| Net profit percentage | Net profit $\times 100$ | $2,140 \times 100$ | $=$ | 10•70\% | 2,180 | $\times 100$ | $=$ | 8.38\% |
|  | Sales revenue | 20,000 |  |  | 26,000 |  |  |  |
| Return on equity | Net Profit $\times 100$ | $\underline{2,140} \times 100$ | $=$ | 19.24\% | 2,180 | $\times 100$ | $=$ | 16.39\% |
|  | Equity | 11,120 |  |  | 13,300 |  |  |  |
| Inventory turnover | Cost of sales | 15,400 | = | 2.57 times | 21,050 |  | $=$ | $3 \cdot 14$ times |
|  | Inventory | 6,000 |  |  | 6,700 |  |  |  |
| Quick ratio Currer | Current assets - inventory | 4,520 | = | $1 \cdot 41: 1$ | 7,700 |  | $=$ | 1-83:1 |
|  | Current liabilities | 3,200 |  |  | 4,200 |  |  |  |
| Receivables collection period Receivables $\times 365$ |  | $4,400 \times 365$ | $=$ | $80 \cdot 30$ days | 6,740 | +365 | $=$ | 94.62 days |
|  | Sales revenue | 20,000 |  |  | 26,000 |  |  |  |

## Marking Scheme

$1 / 2$ mark for correctly stating the formula and $1 / 2$ mark for each correct ratio.
(b) Relevant comments could include:

- It is difficult to judge the success of the expansion over such a short period of time.
- The profitability ratios have deteriorated.
- The reduction in the gross profit percentage could be due to difficult trading conditions or that the selling prices have been lowered to generate sales.
- The deterioration in the net profit percentage is partly due to the reduced gross profit.
- The rate of inventory turnover has improved which might suggest that profitability in the future will improve.
- The quick ratio has improved, this is partly due to the increase in cash which may indicate that not all the cash raised from issuing the debentures has been invested.
- The receivables collection period has increased which may indicate poor credit control, or longer credit terms being offered to customers, or increased sales due to the success of the expansion.


## Marking scheme

1 mark for each relevant comment up to a maximum of 7 marks.
(c) Some of the factors Egriff should consider when deciding whether to raise finance by loan notes rather than issuing more shares:

1 Loan notes pay a fixed level of interest. Therefore, the company will find budgeting for the cash flows straight-forward.
2 Loan note holders are non-current creditors of the company and therefore do not control the company, unlike shareholders who own the company and will be able to vote on issues affecting the company.
3 If company profits fall then share dividends do not have to be paid. However, the interest on loan notes will still have to be paid regardless of the level of profit.

4 Shareholders will often expect dividend payments to grow over time, therefore increasing the costs to the company.
5 If the company was to be wound up then loan note holders would rank higher than ordinary shareholders.

## Marking scheme

1 mark for each relevant comment up to a maximum of 4 marks.
Total 20 marks

