## Answers

This solution is intended to assist candidates by providing an indication of the possible content of an answer. In using the solution for study purposes candidates should note that other relevant material would also be awarded marks.

1 (a) (i) Financing requirements for Centaur Magazines Ltd
Year ended 31 January

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|  | £000 | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 | £000 |
| Sales | 180,000 | 206,000 | 252,000 | 312,000 | 356,000 | 382,000 |
| NPAT (15\%) | 27,000 | 30,900 | 37,800 | 46,800 | 53,400 | 57,300 |
| Dividends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (60\% NPAT) | 16,200 | 18,540 | 22,680 | 28,080 | 32,040 | 34,380 |
| (a) Cap. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| employed (80\% sales) | 144,000 | 164,800 | 201,600 | 249,600 | 284,800 | 305,600 |
| Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Increased by |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| retained profit) | 90,000 | 102,360 | 117,480 | 136,200 | 157,560 | 180,480 |
| Max. Ioans | 54,000 | 61,416 | 70,488 | 81,720 | 94,536 | 108,288 |
| (60\% equity) | 54,000 | 61,416 | 70,488 | 81,720 | 94,536 | 108,288 |
| (b) Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| available | 144,000 | 163,776 | 187,968 | 217,920 | 252,096 | 288,768 |
| Shortfall (b-a) | - | $(1,024)$ | $(13,632)$ | $(31,680)$ | $(32,704)$ | (16,832) |

(ii) Financing requirements for Centaur Radio Ltd

|  | Year ended 31 January |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |
| Sales | 80,000 | 87,000 | 98,000 | 107,000 | 116,000 |
| Operating profit (10\%) | 8,000 | 8,700 | 9,800 | 10,700 | 11,600 |
| Add Depreciation* | 2,100 | 3,380 | 4,750 | 5,270 | 5,520 |
|  | 10,100 | 12,080 | 14,550 | 15,970 | 17,120 |
| Working capital | $(5,300)$ | $(5,500)$ | $(5,200)$ | $(5,100)$ | $(4,420)$ |
| Operating cash flows | 4,800 | 6,580 | 9,350 | 10,870 | 12,700 |
| Investment outlay | $(12,700)$ | $(12,800)$ | $(13,700)$ | $(5,200)$ | $(2,500)$ |
| Cash surplus/(deficit) | $(7,900)$ | $(6,220)$ | $(4,350)$ | 5,670 | 10,200 |

Note that the dividend requirements of the parent company are not included in the cash surplus/(deficit) figures shown above. Their inclusion would show a much bleaker picture.

* Depreciation calculation

|  | Year ended 31 January |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |
| Cumulative investment | 12,700 | 25,500 | 39,200 | 44,400 | 46,900 |
| New depreciation (10\%) | 1,270 | 2,550 | 3,920 | 4,440 | 4,690 |
| Existing depreciation | 830 | 830 | 830 | 830 | 830 |
|  | 2,100 | 3,380 | 4,750 | 5,270 | 5,520 |

(b) Comments on Centaur Magazines Ltd financing plans may include:

- Sales, profits and net assets are expected to more than double over the next five years;
- The total dividends paid to the parent company over the period more than cover the financing needs of the company. Foregoing dividends during the period may, therefore, be an alternative to raising new finance;
Comments on the Centaur Radio Ltd financing plans may include:
- Sales are expected to rise by $55 \%$ over the next five years. This may not be sufficient to meet the expectations of the directors of Centaur Communications plc.
- A large increase in the asset base is required (gross investment $£ 73.4$ million) to achieve the increase in sales of $£ 41$ million by the end of the period;
- The assumption that the additional depreciation charges will have no effect on the net profit margin is surprising given the size of these charges, particularly in the later years. More information on the cost savings is, therefore, required.
- There would be insufficient cash to finance the annual dividend needs of the parent company.

The approach to establishing financing needs adopted in (a)(i) above provides more approximate figures than those provided (a)(ii). The former approach relies heavily on assumptions that existing relationships (e.g. sales to capital employed) will continue in the future. Such assumptions may not be very reliable, particularly where new strategies are being adopted or where investment is being made in new technologies. The approach used in (a)(ii), however, is less dependent on the continuation of existing relationships (for example, specific forecasts are provided for investment outlays). The approach used in (a)(i) also fails to account precisely for depreciation, which is a non-cash item that should be added back to profit in arriving at operating cash flows. However, the approach used in (a)(ii) calculates the depreciation charges for each year during the forecast period.

It is, therefore, the approach used in (a)(ii) that is likely to provide more reliable information.
(c) Before making a final decision, the board of directors of Centaur Communications plc may consider the following issues:

- A substantial disposal requires shareholder approval. It may, therefore, be useful to check that shareholders agree with the proposal before a final decision is made;
- The effect of the disposal will be to reduce the size of Centaur Communications plc by a significant amount. This may lead to a loss of benefits, such as benefits of scale, and may increase vulnerability to takeover;
- The effect of the disposal will also be a less well diversified business, which may increase the level of risk;
- Other options to that of divestment, such as replacing the existing management team, may be investigated;
- Other forms of divestment, such as a demerger, may also be investigated;
- Selling off Centaur Radio Ltd through competitive bidding may maximise sales proceeds.
(d) (i) Internal rate of return

The IRR calculations shown below are based on the key assumptions discussed in the case study. As the cash generated will be used to repay the loan, a calculation of the cash available each year to repay the loan is required.

## Cash available to repay Ioan

|  | Year to 31 January |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | $£ 000$ |
| Sales | 78,000 | 82,000 | 88,000 | 95,000 | 104,000 |
| Operating profit (10\%) | 7,800 | 8,200 | 8,800 | 9,500 | 10,400 |
| Add Depreciation* | 1,210 | 1,640 | 2,200 | 2,540 | 2,880 |
| Working capital | $\begin{gathered} 9,010 \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,840 \\ (800) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,000 \\ (200) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,040 \\ (400) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,280 \\ (100) \end{array}$ |
| Operating cash flows | 8,010 | 9,040 | 10,800 | 11,640 | 13,180 |
| Less Interest on loan** | $(2,160)$ | $(2,037)$ | $(1,875)$ | $(1,675)$ | $(1,281)$ |
| Investment outlay | $(3,800)$ | $(4,300)$ | $(5,600)$ | $(3,400)$ | $(3,400)$ |
| Cash to repay Ioan | 2,050 | 2,703 | 3,325 | 6,565 | 8,499 |

* Depreciation calculation

|  | Year to 31 January |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |
| Cumulative investment | 3,800 | 8,100 | 13,700 | 17,100 | 20,500 |
| New depreciation (10\%) | 380 | 810 | 1,370 | 1,710 | 2,050 |
| Existing depreciation | 830 | 830 | 830 | 830 | 830 |
|  | 1,210 | 1,640 | 2,200 | 2,540 | 2,880 |

** Interest and loan calculation
Initial loan
The amount of loan finance required can be calculated as follows:
$£ 000$
Purchase price (12 x £7,500) 90,000
Less Contribution from shareholders
(£48,600 $+£ 5,400$ )
54,000
Loan finance required 36,000

Loan interest charges and capital repayments

|  | Year to 31 January |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|  | £000 | £000 | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 |
| Loan at start of year | 36,000 | 33,950 | 31,247 | 27,922 | 21,357 |
| Cash to repay loan | $(2,050)$ | $(2,703)$ | $(3,325)$ | $(6,565)$ | $(8,499)$ |
| Loan at end of year | 33,950 | 31,247 | 27,922 | 21,357 | 12,858 |
| Interest (6\%) | $(2,160)$ | $(2,037)$ | $(1,875)$ | $(1,675)$ | $(1,281)$ |

Proceeds from sale of investment

| Proceeds from sale of company $(14 \times £ 10,400)$ | 145,600 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Less Loan to be repaid | 12,858 |
|  | 132,742 |
| Proceeds available to ordinary shareholders | 13,274 |
| Less Amount due to management team $(10 \%)$ | 119,468 |

The IRR can now be calculated
Trial 1 using a discount rate of 20\% (i.e. the cost of capital)
£000
$£ 119,468 \times 0.402 \quad 48,026$

Less Initial Investment 48,600
Net present value (NPV)
(574)

The IRR is slightly below this figure as the net present value (NPV) is negative.
Trial 2 using a discount rate of $19 \%$

| $£ 119,468 \times 0.419$ | 50,057 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Less Initial Investment | 48,600 |
| Net present value (NPV) | $\underline{1,457}$ |

Thus the IRR is $=19 \%+1 \%[1,457 /(1,457+574)]=19 \cdot 7 \%$ which is just below the cost of capital. Thus, accepting the investment proposal would reduce shareholder wealth.
Note: As the cash flows are a simple outflow (of $£ 48,600$ ) followed, five years later by an inflow (of $£ 119,468$ ), the IRR can also be determined, simply and accurately from the solution to the equation:
$48,600=119,468 /(1+\text { IRR })^{\wedge} 5$
Thus:
$(1+\mathrm{IRR})^{\wedge} 5=119,468 / 48,600$
$(1+\text { IRR })^{\wedge} 5=2.458183$
$(1+$ IRR $) \quad=2 \cdot 458183 \wedge 0.2$
$(1+$ IRR $)=1 \cdot 19708$
$\operatorname{IRR}=\underline{19 \cdot 708} \%$
(ii) Improving the IRR

This may be done by increasing the level of gearing adopted by the company. By increasing the proportion of borrowings, the equity shareholders will benefit from a gearing effect. Let us assume that 50 per cent of the purchase price was borrowed.

Initial loan
The amount of loan finance required can be calculated as follows:

| Purchase price $(12 \times £ 7,500)$ | $£ 000$ <br> Less Contribution from shareholders <br> $(£ 40,500+£ 4,500)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Loan finance required | $\boxed{45,000}$ |
| 45,000 |  |

## Cash available to repay loan

|  | Year to 31 January |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |
| Sales | 78,000 | 82,000 | 88,000 | 95,000 | 104,000 |
| Operating profit (10\%) | 7,800 | 8,200 | 8,800 | 9,500 | 10,400 |
| Add Depreciation | 1,210 | 1,640 | 2,200 | 2,540 | 2,880 |
| Working capital | $\begin{gathered} 9,010 \\ (1,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,840 \\ (800) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,000 \\ (200) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,040 \\ (400) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,280 \\ (100) \end{array}$ |
| Operating cash flows | 8,010 | 9,040 | 10,800 | 11,640 | 13,180 |
| Less Interest on loan* | $(2,700)$ | $(2,609)$ | $(2,482)$ | $(2,318)$ | $(1,963)$ |
| Investment outlay | $(3,800)$ | $(4,300)$ | $(5,600)$ | $(3,400)$ | $(3,400)$ |
| Cash to repay loan | 1,510 | 2,131 | 2,718 | 5,922 | 7,817 |

* Loan interest charges and capital repayments

|  | Year to 31 January |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |
| Loan at start of year | 45,000 | 43,490 | 41,359 | 38,641 | 32,719 |
| Cash to repay loan | $(1,510)$ | $(2,131)$ | $(2,718)$ | $(5,922)$ | $(7,817)$ |
| Loan at end of year | 43,490 | 41,359 | 38,641 | 32,719 | 24,902 |
| Interest (6\%) | $(2,700)$ | $(2,609)$ | $(2,482)$ | $(2,318)$ | $(1,963)$ |

Proceeds from sale of investment

| Proceeds from sale of company ( $14 \times £ 10,400$ ) | 145,600 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Less Loan to be repaid | 24,902 |
| Proceeds available to ordinary shareholders | 120,698 |
| Less Amount due to management team (10\%) | 12,070 |
| Proceeds available to Astrid Ltd | 108,628 |

The IRR can now be calculated
Trial 1 using a discount rate of $20 \%$ (i.e. the cost of capital)
£000
$£ 108,628 \times 0.402 \quad 43,669$
Less Initial Investment
40,500
Net present value (NPV)
3,169

The above trial indicates that the IRR is higher than the cost of capital as the NPV is positive. A further trial, using a higher discount rate is required.

Trial 2 using a discount rate of $22 \%$

```
£108,628 > 0.370 40,192
```

Less Initial Investment 40,500

Net present value

Thus the IRR is a little below $22 \%$. This is above the cost of capital of Astrid Ltd and means that the investment proposal is now expected to increase shareholder wealth. However, the additional borrowing makes the investment more risky and so an increase in the required rate of return may be needed to compensate for the additional financial risk.

Note: Once again, the cash flows are a simple outflow (of $£ 40,500$ ) followed, 5 years later by an inflow (of $£ 108,628$ ) and so the IRR can also be determined from the equation:
$40,500=108,628 /(1+\text { IRR })^{\wedge} 5$
Thus:
$\left(1+(\mathrm{RR})^{\wedge} 5=108,628 / 40,500\right.$
$(1+\text { IRR })^{\wedge} 5=2 \cdot 682173$
$(1+$ IRR $) \quad=2 \cdot 682173^{\wedge} 0.2$
$(1+$ IRR $)=1.21814$
$\operatorname{IRR}=21 \cdot 814 \%$
(e) (i) Due diligence investigations can cover a variety of areas and may involve gathering information relating to:

- Financial performance and position including audited financial statements, budgets, details of major expenses, contingent liabilities, and accounting policies;
- Material contracts and agreements, including credit agreements, lease agreements and financial relationships with banks and other financial institutions;
- Litigation and disputes with suppliers, customers and government agencies, such as the Inland Revenue, Customs and Excise and Ofcom;
- Employees and directors including employment agreements, compensation for loss of office, incentive schemes, and details of any disputes;
- The quality of assets held including details of ownership rights, current market values and current condition;
- Technology including fitness for purpose, usability and maintainability.
- Competitive environment including details of main competitors, reputation of the company within the market and main market drivers;
- Regulatory environment including relevant regulations governing the industry and their implications for the company.
(ii) Various factors should be considered when deciding between AIM and the main market. The main advantages of the main market are that:
- The company will have a higher profile, which may be helpful in its dealings with suppliers, customers and lenders;
- Financing for future growth can be easily raised by well-regarded companies as large institutional investors dominate the market;
The main advantages of AIM are that:
- It specialises in smaller companies and attracts investors that are seeking to invest in smaller companies. (It is often claimed that smaller companies are overlooked on the main market.);
- Fewer conditions are imposed on companies seeking to join the market. (For example, there is no minimum requirement for a trading record whereas for the main market, it is three years.);
- The costs of flotation are less than on the main market. (Flotation fees on the main market can consume up to $10 \%$ of the total amount raised in an initial public offering.);
- There is no minimum requirement for the proportion of shares to be held by the public. (For the main market, it is $25 \%$ );
- There is no requirement to seek prior approval from shareholders for substantial acquisitions and disposals. (For the main market, prior approval must be sought.);
- Smaller companies can switch to the main market when it has outgrown AIM.


## Marks

1 (a) (i) 2 marks for each correct line of calculations 14
(ii) 2 marks for correct operating profit line, 4 marks for correct
depreciation line, 1 mark each additional correct line (max. 4 marks)
(b) 7 marks for comments relating to companies,
3 marks for comparison of approaches
(c) 2 marks per point (max. 10 marks)
(d) (i) 3 marks for correct depreciation calculation, 6 marks for
correct calculation to repay loan, 3 marks for correct interest charges
and capital repayments, 2 marks for correct calculation of
loan requirement, 2 marks for correct calculation of proceeds
available to Astrid Ltd, 4 marks for IRR calculations
(ii) 2 marks for suggesting additional gearing, 6 marks for
repeating methodology as in (i) above, (inc. 2 marks for IRR)
(e) (i) 2 marks per point (max. 14 marks) 14
(ii) 2 marks per point (max. 14 marks) 14

