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| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a)(i) | $\mathrm{P}(<4)=\mathrm{P}(\leq 3)=0.558(4)$ | B1 |  | AWFW 0.558 to 0.559 |
| (ii) | Using Po(13) | B1 | 1 | Stated or use of any of 0.1658 , |
|  | Use of $\mathrm{P}(\leq 19)=0.9573$ for top value subtract $\mathrm{P}(\leq 10)=0.2517$ for bottom value | M1 |  | 0.2517,0.9573, 0.9750 |
|  | subtract $\mathrm{P}(\leq 10)=0.2517$ for bottom value | M1 |  | Indep of previous M1 |
|  | giving 0.7056 | A1 |  | AWFW 0.705 to 0.706 |
|  | SC Stating that $\mathrm{P}(\leq 19)-\mathrm{P}(\leq 10)$ is required but using wrong value of $\lambda$ earns a single M1 |  |  |  |
| (b)(i) |  |  | 4 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}($ at least 1$)=1-\mathrm{P}(0)$ | M1 |  | Attempt to apply in this case |
|  | $=1-0.0183=0.9817$ (or 0.982) | A1 |  | CAO |
| (ii) | $0.9817^{2} \times 0.0183$ | M1 | 2 | Use of their (b)(i) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \times 3 \\ & =0.0529 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { m1 } \\ \text { A1 } \end{gathered}$ |  | AWFW0.052 to 0.053 |
| (c) |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | Mean $=100$ | B1 |  | CAO |
|  | Standard deviation $=\sqrt{ } 100=10$ | B1 |  | CAO |
| (d) | Because we can no longer assume independence. | E1 |  | OE |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |


| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(a) | Division by 5 <br> Addition of correct 5 values $(4.6+4.1+6.5+10.4+10.1) \div 5=7.14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | CAO |
| (b)(i) | Correct plot <br> Reasonable trend line | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 |  |
| (ii) | Seasonal variation <br> about an upward linear trend | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  |
| (c) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Friday effect }=[(10.4-7.9)+(13.8-11.5)] \div 2 \\ & =2.4 \\ & \text { Trend line predicts } 15.0 \\ & \text { Friday effect }+ \text { trend line prediction } \\ & =17.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | M1 <br> A1 <br> B1 <br> M1 <br> A1 | 2 | Complete method $2.3 \text { to } 2.7$ $\pm 0.5$ <br> Dep on M1 <br> AWFW 17 to 18 Dep on all previous marks having been gained |
| (d) | Less than forecast so some success. Still more than week 4 so limited success. Any changes may have had nothing to do with incentives (trend must change some time) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} 1 \\ & \mathrm{E} 1 \\ & \mathrm{E} 1 \end{aligned}$ | 5 2 | OE <br> Max of 2 marks. |

Figure 1


| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(a) | The sample must be a random sample. | E1 | 1 |  |
| (b) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=9.0$ | B1 |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu \neq 9.0$ | B1 |  |  |
|  | $z_{\text {crit }}= \pm 1.9600$ | B1 |  |  |
|  | $z_{\text {test }}=(9.2-9.0) \div(1.3 \div \sqrt{ } 120)$ | M1,m1 |  | M1 for $\div \sqrt{ } 120, \mathrm{~m} 1$ for rest |
|  | $=1.6853$ | A1 |  | AWFW +1.68 to +1.69 |
|  | $z_{\text {test }}<z_{\text {crit }}$ so accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | A1 |  |  |
|  | Insufficient evidence that the mean power of the batch is different from 9.0 watts. | E1 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 |  |
| (c)(i) | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ becomes $\mu>9.0$ | B1 |  |  |
| (ii) | $z_{\text {crit }}$ becomes 1.6449 | B1 |  | AWFW 1.64 to 1.65 |
| (iii) | Now we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and say that there is sufficient evidence that the mean | B1 |  | PI by context statement. |
|  | power of the batch is more than 9.0 watts. | E1 | 4 | Dep on both B1s in (i) and (ii) |


| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(a) | Total for school $=750$ | B1 | 4 |  |
|  | Bronwyn needs ${ }^{50} / 750=1 / 15$ of population | M1 |  | Possibly implied |
|  | Attempt to divide each cell by 15 Integer answers | m1 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Boys } & 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | A1 |  | At least two $\neq 5$ seen |
|  | Girls 405 |  |  |  |
| (b)(i) | All the boys followed by all the gis | B1 |  | Or vice versa |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| (ii) | Choose a number between 1 and 15 at random | B1 |  | Any valid method suggested, dep on previous B1 |
|  | using random numbers, calculator, etc | B1 |  |  |
|  | Select every fifteenth pupil after that | B1 |  |  |
| (c) | Advantage - does not need to find particular |  | 4 |  |
|  | Advantage - does not need to find particular pupils, quicker or easier. | E1 |  | Or similar, must be in context |
|  | Disadvantage - groups arriving together are likely to have travelled together. | E1 |  | Or similar, must be in context |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |


| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a) | 1964 | B1 | 1 |  |
| (b) | The trend is downwards (decreasing or negative) from 1961 to 1977 and then upwards (increasing or positive) to 2010. | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \end{gathered}$ |  | For the downwards then upwards For details of the years. |
| (c) | $\begin{aligned} & (86746-40591)=46155 \\ & \div 86746 \times 100 \quad \text { (completion of method) } \\ & =53.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { m1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | For both correct and subtraction Or 100 - ( $40591 \times 100 / 86746$ ) Accept 53\% from correct working. |
| (d)(i) | Evidence of 40,591, 177,903, 377,136, 579,593, 695,434 and 723,165 being used. Accurately plotted | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \\ \text { A1 } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | At least 4 accurate (2 s.f.) Completely correct |
| (ii) | Attempt to read at $\sim 360,000$ <br> 25.5 to 26, 29.5 to 30 <br> Median age in 2010 is 4 years higher. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | AWRT 4. Not simply "higher" |
| (e) | Omitting 88, 86, 95 <br> Omitting second 81 or 00 <br> Completely correct list <br> 20, 80, (0)9, 13, 28, 49, 74, 81, (0)3 | M1 <br> M1 <br> A1 | 3 3 | Either of these. Indep of previous M1 SC If neither of M1 marks are earned but 2-digit numbers from the correct column are given then award B1 |

Figue 2


