

General Certificate of Education

Spanish 5696 Specification

SPO2 Aspects of Society

Mark Scheme

2008 examination - January series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2008 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

Unit 2

		% of AS	Marks
AO2	Response to written language	10	18
AO3	Knowledge of grammar	5	9
AO4	Knowledge of society	15	27
	TOTAL	30	54

Annotation of Scripts

The following conventions will be used by examiners marking scripts:

	AO2 (Reaction and Response)		AO4 (Content)		
®	written in the margin to indicate reaction/response relevant to AO2 where this includes reason/justification of opinion.	©	written in the margin to indicate information relevant to AO4, derived from a source other than the current Preliminary Material and relevant to the question set.		
R	written in the margin to indicate reaction/response relevant to AO2 when no justification is given.	С	written in the margin to indicate information relevant to AO4, derived from the Preliminary Material and relevant to the question set.		
		Т	written in the margin to indicate information generally relevant to the topic.		
Rep	Rep written in the margin to indicate repetition of information relevant to AO4 or AO2.				
Irr	Irr vertical line in the margin = irrelevant/inappropriate material.				

The mark for AO4, AO2 and AO3 respectively written at the foot of an answer accompanied, where the examiner deems it necessary, by a word or phrase quoted from the criteria for assessment as published in the specification.

Question 1(a)

'Los españoles son adictos a los medios de comunicación .' ¿Estás de acuerdo? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos.

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response (These marks are awarded based on the extent to which the candidate answers the question set)	Amplification
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question and displays a logical and coherent personal reaction to the question which is well justified by clearly stated opinions.	Clear, consistent focus on whether Spaniards addicted to media or not. (Almost) all opinions are clearly illustrated to show why agree/disagree that Spaniards addicted to media.
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to the question, but this is not consistently maintained. There is some justification, but the consistency of the opinions is variable.	Generally focused on whether Spaniards addicted to media or not (Almost) all opinions are clearly illustrated though not all comments relate clearly to question
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not clearly organised. Some personal reaction to the question is evident, but justification is weak.	Not clearly focused on whether Spaniards addicted to media or not. Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification of why /whether this the case
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the question, but no justification for points made.	Poor focus on whether Spaniards addicted to media or not. 1 or 2 opinions but probably not focused on Spaniards addicted to media or not.
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the question, but there is a little reaction to the topic.	Little/No focus on Q. 1 or 2 random points – probably little/ no attempt to comment on Spaniards and their attitude to media.
0	There is no evidence of any personal reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.

101	0 / //// 1 1 / 0 / /	Possible Content Points
AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society (These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate uses to support the argument in AO2)	NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher marks. In general, apart from top band, quality & quantity of evidence more important than source.
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with descriptions which are logically and coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary material. Relevant points are clearly stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of topic-specific vocabulary Quality of the evidence very good Understanding of the topic very good.	Addicted: C TV: 99.2% homes have TV Watch most in Europe. Only 0.8%,68,000 of 38 million no TV. ©: facts previous PM- No. of hours watched, No. of sets per household etc Mobiles: previous PM. Effect on education etc Internet: previous PM. On line romances Not addicted: Press: C Only 105 per 1000 over 14s read papers Govt feels need for Plan de Fomento Arguing either way. ©Radio/Mobiles/Internet relevant up to date Sp statistics on use, number per household etc.
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues. It shows some independence from, or clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific vocabulary.	23-27 Band Will use many C points very well and/or equally relevant © in same way. Examples will cover several media. Every example Spanish & relates very clearly to situation in Spain
	Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.	17-22 Band Almost as many C points (or equally relevant ©) used well- still mentioning several media. Not as many points and/or not related quite as clearly to the question
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this material. Some topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence sufficient understanding of the topic sufficient.	11-16 Band Several points (C and/or ©) but probably covering no more than 2 media and/or not always used illustrate importance or not of media in Spain
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited Understanding of the topic limited.	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about media in Spain. Random facts –not used to prove addiction or not. And/or generalisations with little Spanish evidence.
1-4	The answer includes very little factual evidence. Quality of the evidence poor. Understanding of the topic poor.	1-4 Band Generalisations about media. Little/no reference to Spain or influence of media there.
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result in zero for the question as a whole.	

Question 1(b)

La prensa ¿es más popular que otros medios de comunicación en España ? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos.

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response (These marks are awarded based on the extent to which the candidate answers the question set)	Amplification
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question and displays a logical and coherent personal reaction to the question which is well justified by clearly stated opinions.	Clear, consistent focus on popularity or not of press in Spain. (Almost) all points clearly justified as to why believe press popular or not. Best will have clear relevant comparison with other media but press will remain main focus.
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to the question, but this is not consistently maintained. There is some justification, but the consistency of the opinions is variable.	Generally focused on popularity or not of press in Spain Not all comments relate clearly to why consider popular or not. Not consistent comparison with other media
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not clearly organised. Some personal reaction to the question is evident, but justification is weak.	Not all appropriately justified/illustrated Not clearly focused on popularity or not of press in Spain. Probably as much or more on other media Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification of why believe popular.
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the question, but no justification for points made.	Poor focus on popularity or not of press in Spain. 1 or 2 opinions but probably not focused on popularity of press and/or lack of comparison
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the question, but there is a little reaction to the topic.	Little/No focus on Q. 1 or 2 random points – probably no attempt to comment on popularity or otherwise of press in Spain.
0	There is no evidence of any personal reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.

AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society	Possible Content Points
	(These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate uses to support the argument in AO2)	NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher marks. In general, apart from top band, quality & quantity of evidence more important than source. C Press –not popular
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with descriptions which are logically and coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary material. Relevant points are clearly stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of topic-specific vocabulary Quality of the evidence very good Understanding of the topic very good.	Only 105 per 1000 over 14s read papers. Average age of readers increasing readership less than half that of European average. Plan de Fomento to encourage interest in reading © Reading not v. popular with young (4.27% read in free time) Little less popular than surfing net (4.78%) & TV (5.34%) Little tradition of adult reading in Spain (specific egs. of fotonovelas, print runs etc)
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues. It shows some independence from, or clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.	Becoming more popular Rise of free press (specific Sp egs.) Young Sps.read more than adults. Only 25.83% never read a book. (45% of adults) Other media more popular: C – TV facts from PM © Any relevants facts re use of mobiles (previous PM), internet, radio. 23-27 Band Will use (almost) all C points
	Onderstanding of the topic good.	very well and/or equally relevant © in same way. Every example is Spanish and relates very clearly to press and whether more popular than other media.
		17-22 Band Almost all C points (or equally relevant ©) used well relating not quite as clearly to press and whether more popular than other media. Perhaps starting to give too much importance to other media.
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this material. Some topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence sufficient Understanding of the topic sufficient.	11-16 Band Several points (C and/or ©) but probably unclear whether press more popular than other media or more a discussion of other media.
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited. Understanding of the topic limited.	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about press in Spain. Or random facts rather than relating to popularity of press And/or generalisations with little Spanish evidence.
1-4	The answer includes very little factual evidence. Quality of the evidence poor. Understanding of the topic poor.	1-4 Band Generalisations about media. Little/no reference to press & its popularity compared with other media. And/or little/no reference to Spain.
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result in zero for the question as a whole.	

Question 2(a)

¿Crees que los españoles intentan mejorar el medio ambiente en su país? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos.

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response (These marks are awarded based on the extent to which the candidate answers the question set)	Amplification
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question and displays a logical and coherent personal reaction to the question which is well justified by clearly stated opinions.	Clear, consistent focus on whether Spaniards trying to improve environment. If choose to disagree must show clearly why not Clearly stated opinions as to why think Spaniards trying to improve environment or not (Almost) all points clearly justified with examples that show how Spaniards trying to improve environment or not
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to the question, but this is not consistently maintained. There is some justification, but the consistency of the opinions is variable.	Generally focused on whether Spaniards trying to improve environment Not all comments relate clearly to why think Spaniards trying to improve environment or not Not all appropriately justified/illustrated with examples that show how Spaniards concerned (or not) about environment
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not clearly organised. Some personal reaction to the question is evident, but justification is weak.	Not clearly focused on whether Spaniards trying to improve environment. Unclear opinions on whether think Spaniards trying to improve environment or not Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification of why/how Spaniards concerned or not.
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the question, but no justification for points made.	Poor focus on whether trying to improve environment. 1 or 2 opinions but probably not focused on whether Spaniards trying to improve environment.
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the question, but there is a little reaction to the topic.	Little/No focus on Q. 1 or 2 random points – probably no attempt to comment on Spain and/or attempts to improve environment
0	There is no evidence of any personal reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.

AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society (These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate uses to support the argument in AO2)
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with descriptions which are logically and coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary material. Relevant points are clearly stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of topic-specific vocabulary Quality of the evidence very good Understanding of the topic very good.
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues. It shows some independence from, or clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this material. Some topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence sufficient Understanding of the topic sufficient.
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited. Understanding of the topic limited.
1-4	The answer includes very little factual evidence. Quality of the evidence poor. Understanding of the topic poor.
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result in zero for the question as a whole.

Possible Content Points

NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher marks. In general, apart from top band, quality & quantity of evidence more important than source.

C Yes, trying to improve

Día sin coches/reducing car use

Free buses-Málaga & Alcantarilla Málaga- use of bikes or walked Alcantarilla- free parking if use bus 5,00 leaflets to raise awareness

Police checks to advise if vehicle noisy etc

Use of Renewable Energy

Madrid- comunidad & IDAE give grants for solar panels

New houses: solar energy for 60/75% of hot water.

Wind power- 34% increase in 2001 (saves 6 mill tons CO2, produces electricity 1.7million families

Pabellón educating on renewable energy, 'cultivos sin suelo' etc.

© Yes, trying to improve etc: other Sp. facts on reducing use of cars or renewable energy. Also:3 erres ,leyes del guante verde, Calviá, ecotasa. etc

No, not improving: deliberate forest fires, overuse of water, noise & other pollution **NB.** Focus on environment. Refs to lynx,oso

pardo etc may not be relevant

23-27 Band Will use (almost) all C points very well **and**/or equally relevant © in same way. Best candidates will probably argue for and against.

Good examples of attempts to improve. Every example is Spanish and relates very clearly to improvement (or lack of) for environment

17-22 Band Almost all C points (or equally relevant ©) used well.- relating not quite as clearly to improving environment

11-16 Band Several points (C and/or ©) but not always showing clear link to improving (or not) environment. Possibly unfocused refs to oso pardo, lynx etc

5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about environment in Spain.

Random facts rather than showing how attempt to improve environment.

And/or generalisations with little Spanish evidence.

1-4 Band Generalisations about environment. Little/no reference to attempts to improve. Little/no reference to Spain.

Question 2(b)

"Las energías renovables pueden resolver los problemas del medio ambiente en España." ¿Estás de acuerdo?. Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos.

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response (These marks are awarded based on the extent to which the candidate answers the question set)	Amplification
15-18 The answer is clearly focused on the question and displays a logical and		Clear, consistent focus on whether agree renewable energy can solve Spain's environmental problems. All egs comments& egs. to reinforce this point
	question which is well justified by clearly stated opinions.	All comments relate to whether renewable energy can solve Spain's environmental problems
		(Almost) all points clearly justified to show use/role of renewable energy
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to the question, but this is not consistently maintained. There is some justification, but the consistency of the opinions is variable.	Generally focused on whether agree renewable energy can solve Spain's environmental problems Not all comments relate clearly to focus of question Not all appropriately justified/illustrated
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not clearly organised. Some personal reaction to the question is evident, but justification is weak.	Not clearly focused on whether agree renewable energy can solve Spain's environmental problems. Probably facts/comments more about Spanish environment generally Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification.
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the question, but no justification for points made.	Poor focus on Q set. Random facts about environmental issues in Spain
	points made.	1 or 2 opinions, probably about environment generally rather than renewable energy
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the question, but there is a little reaction to the topic.	Little/No focus on Q. 1 or 2 random points, probably with little/no Spanish focus
0	There is no evidence of any personal reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.

AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society	Possible Content Points
,	(These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate uses to support the argument in AO2)	NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher marks. In general, apart from top band, quality & quantity of evidence more important than source
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with descriptions which are logically and coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary material. Relevant points are clearly stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of topic-specific vocabulary Quality of the evidence very good Understanding of the topic very good.	Agree C Solar power – in new buildings in Madrid to Provide 60-75% hot water Grants for older buildings to install Wind power-34% increase in I year Energy for 1.4 mill families Saves 6 mill tons CO2 Keen to spread message with Pabellon. © More Sp facts re. Wind, solar, hydroelectric power, biodiesel fuels etc Disagree © Other environmental problems
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues. It shows some independence from, or clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.	e.g Forest fires, spillages (Prestige, Aznacollar),loss habitat (lince, oso pardo) Tourism development on coasts etc cannot be solved by use of renewable energy. 23-27 Band Will use (almost) all C points very well and/or equally relevant © in same way. Every example is Spanish and relates very clearly to use/importance of renewable energy sources.
		17-22 Band Almost all C points (or equally relevant ©) used well to show use/importance (or not) of renewable energy to Spain.
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this material. Some topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence sufficient. Understanding of the topic sufficient.	11-16 Band Several C (and/or ©) points but not always used appropriately to answer question set. Probably not always well used to show use/importance of renewable energy in solving Sp environmental problems
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited. Understanding of the topic limited.	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about Spanish environmental issues A few random facts; little attempt to relate to importance of use of renewable energy in Spain. Generalisations with only a little Spanish evidence
1-4	The answer includes very little factual evidence. Quality of the evidence poor. Understanding of the topic poor.	1-4 Band Generalisations about environmental issues/resources. Little/no reference to Spain.
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result in zero for the question as a whole.	

Question 3(a)

'Los españoles aprecian a la gente de otros países y culturas' ¿Estás de acuerdo? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response (These marks are awarded based on the extent to which the candidate answers the question set)	Amplification
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question and displays a logical and coherent personal reaction to the question which is well justified by clearly stated opinions.	Clear, consistent focus on whether Spaniards appreciate people of different cultures/countries or not (Best answers will include probably include gypsies but not essential). NB. Must be appreciation of what can offer Spain (cultural variety etc) not just whether Spain needs immigrants. Clearly stated opinions as to whether appreciated or not (Almost) all points clearly justified as to why feel are/are not appreciated
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to the question, but this is not consistently maintained. There is some justification, but the consistency of the opinions is variable.	Generally focused on whether Spaniards appreciate people of different cultures/countries. Not all comments relate clearly to whether feel appreciated or not. Not all appropriately justified/illustrated
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not clearly organised. Some personal reaction to the question is evident, but justification is weak.	Not clearly focused on whether Spaniards appreciate people of different cultures/countries or not. Possibly unclear definition of appreciation and/or generalisations about immigrants. Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification of why think appreciated or not
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the question, but no justification for points made.	Poor focus on appreciation and/or on Spain. 1 or 2 opinions but probably not focused on whether appreciated
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the question, but there is a little reaction to the topic.	Little/No focus on Q. 1 or 2 random points about immigration— probably no attempt to consider whether Spaniards appreciate immigrants etc
0	There is no evidence of any personal reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.

AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society	Possible Content Points
A04	(These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate uses to support the argument in AO2)	NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher marks. In general, apart from top band, quality & quantity of evidence more important than source. C: Appreciate
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with descriptions which are logically and coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary material. Relevant points are clearly stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence very good. Understanding of the topic very good.	C.P. San Francisco tries to get Spanish to learn about immigrant cultures Egs.from text 6 to show what immigrants can bring to & teach Spaniards Don't appreciate wealth of culture etc of immigrants eg Text 6 – don't know religion of Rumanians or where Ecuador is Can't pronounce djembe © Appreciate money etc of Br & others who
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues. It shows some independence from, or clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific	retire there Don't appreciate situation etc of immigrants Ejido & other racist incidents Hard facts about exploitation of immigrants, prejudice against gypsies etc 23-27 Band Will use (almost) all C points very
	vocabulary. Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.	well and /or equally relevant © in same way. Good examples all of which relate very clearly to whether other peoples/cultures appreciated or not in Spain
		17-22 Band Almost all C points (or equally relevant ©) used well relating not quite as clearly to appreciation or not of value, contribution of other peoples/cultures
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this material. Some topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence sufficient Understanding of the topic sufficient.	11-16 Band Several points (C and/or ©) but link to appreciation or not probably unclear
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited. Understanding of the topic limited.	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about immigration/racism in Spain. Random facts rather than showing whether appreciate immigrants & culture or not. And/or Generalisations with little Spanish evidence.
1-4	The answer includes very little factual evidence. Quality of the evidence poor. Understanding of the topic poor.	1-4 Band Generalisations about immigrants/racism. Little/no reference to appreciation or not. Little/no reference to Spain.
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result in zero for the question as a whole.	

Question 3(b)

'Aquí cabemos todos.' ¿ Crees que los españoles están de acuerdo? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos.

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response	Amplification
AUZ	(These marks are awarded based on the	7 p
	extent to which the candidate answers the	
	question set)	
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question	Clear, consistent focus on whether Spaniards
13-10	and displays a logical and coherent personal	prepared to accept/welcome other
	reaction to the question which is well justified	nationalities/cultures in Spain. (Best answers
	by clearly stated opinions.	will probably include include gypsies but not
	by clearly stated opinions.	essential).
		NB. Must be accepting/welcoming not just
		whether Spain needs immigrants.
		Clearly stated opinions as to whether
		accept/welcome or not
		(Almost) all points clearly justified as to why
		felt do/don't accept/welcome
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to	Generally focused on whether Spaniards
	the question, but this is not consistently	prepared to accept/welcome other
	maintained. There is some justification, but	nationalities/cultures in Spain. Not all
	the consistency of the opinions is variable.	comments relate clearly to whether consider
		accept/welcome or not.
		Not all appropriately justified/illustrated
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not	Not clearly focused on whether Spaniards
	clearly organised. Some personal reaction to	prepared to accept/welcome other
	the question is evident, but justification is	nationalities/cultures in Spain. Probably
	weak.	unclear opinions on whether accept/welcome
		and /or generalisations about immigrants.
		Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification
2.6	This is a limited payable propriet to the	of why think accepted/welcome or not
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the	Poor focus on acceptance or on Spain.
	question, but no justification for points made.	1 or 2 opinions but probably not focused on whether accepted/welcomed
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the	Little/No focus on Q.
1-2	question, but there is a little reaction to the	1 or 2 random points – probably no attempt to
	topic.	comment on accepting/welcoming.
0	There is no evidence of any personal	comment on accepting/weicoming.
	reaction/response.	
	Todottorii Tooporioo.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.

	Content/Knowledge of Society	Possible Content Points
AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society (These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate uses to support the argument in AO2)	Possible Content Points NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher marks. In general, apart from top band, quality & quantity of evidence more important than source. C: Try to make room/welcome for all
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with descriptions which are logically and coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary material. Relevant points are clearly stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of topic-specific vocabulary Quality of the evidence very good Understanding of the topic very good.	C.P. San Francisco open 8am-1.30pm showers, food as well as lessons children & adult –gypsies, tinkers & immigrants. C.Garcia Morente: Engánchate al tren' –so gypsies will attend school Articles like text 6 try to teach Spaniards Not bothering to accept/welcome Text 6 – don't know religion of Rumanians or wher Ecuador is Can't pronounce djembe © accept/welcome money of Br & others who
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues. It shows some independence from, or clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.	retire there Don't accepted/welcome: Ejido & other racist incidents Hard facts about exploitation of immigrants, prejudice against gypsies etc 23-27 Band Will use (almost) all C points very well and/or equally relevant © in same way. Good examples with all relating very clearly
		to whether other peoples/cultures accepted/welcome or not in Spain 17-22 Band Almost all C points (or equally relevant ©) used well - relating not quite as clearly to acceptance/welcome or not of other peoples/cultures
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this material. Some topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence sufficient Understanding of the topic sufficient.	11-16 Band Several points (C and/or ©) but do not always show clear link to acceptance/welcome or not of other people and cultures.
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited. Understanding of the topic limited.	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about immigration/racism in Spain. Random facts rather than showing acceptance whether welcome or not And/or Generalisations with little Spanish evidence.
1-4	The answer includes very little factual evidence. Quality of the evidence poor. Understanding of the topic poor.	1-4 Band Generalisations about immigrants/racism. Little/no reference to acceptance/welcome. And/or little/no reference to Spain.
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result in zero for the question as a whole.	

Question 4(a)

'Los españoles han ganado con la integración en Europa.' ¿Estás de acuerdo? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos.

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response	Amplification
	(These marks are awarded based on the extent to which the candidate answers the question set)	•
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question and displays a logical and coherent personal reaction to the question which is well justified by clearly stated opinions.	Clear, consistent focus on what/whether Spain gained from joining EU. (If choose to disagree must show clearly why disagree). Clearly stated opinions as to whether/what gained or not (Almost) all points clearly justified with examples that prove the gains (or not) from EU
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to the question, but this is not consistently maintained. There is some justification, but the consistency of the opinions is variable.	Generally focused on what/whether Spain gained from joining EU. Not all comments relate clearly to gains or not from EU Not all appropriately justified/illustrated with examples to show gains (or not) from EU
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not clearly organised. Some personal reaction to the question is evident, but justification is weak.	Not clearly focused on what/whether Spain gained from joining EU. Unclear opinions on what gains or not from EU Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification of what gained.
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the question, but no justification for points made.	Poor focus on what/whether Spain gained from EU membership Generalisations about EU 1 or 2 opinions but probably about EU.
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the question, but there is a little reaction to the topic.	Little/No focus on Q. 1 or 2 random points – probably no attempt to comment on question set.
0	There is no evidence of any personal reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar
	(These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend
	to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is
	seriously impaired.

AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society	Possible Content Points
	(These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate uses to support the argument in AO2)	NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher marks. In general, apart from top band, quality & quantity of evidence more important than source.
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with descriptions which are logically and coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary material. Relevant points are clearly stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of topic-specific vocabulary Quality of the evidence very good Understanding of the topic very good.	C Gains Text 7:Can share in projects & ideas (Alejandra) Beneficial – less isolated. Lets participate in global economy (Ma José) Lack of frontiers- more opportunities (Vicente) More powerful in Europe (Roberto et al) Text 8: Socrates, Leonardo da Vinci EU organisations give protection to consumers, workers etc (need specific
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues. It shows some independence from, or clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.	organisations) C Losses: Canaries no longer free port Loss of culture with globalisation (Elena Text.7) G Gains Funds for roads, railways agriculture etc Larger markets More Sp.egs. of free movement of students, workers & goods. Losses: (need specific Sp. egs) Price rises with Euro Quotas bad for fishing industry Immigrants use Spain as means of entry to EU etc 23-27 Band Will use many C points very well and/or equally relevant © in same way. Every example is Spanish and relates very clearly to gains or not from EU membership
		equally relevant ©) used well relating not quite as clearly to gains or not from EU membership
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this material. Some topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence sufficient Understanding of the topic sufficient.	11-16 Band Several points (C and/or ©) but not always showing clear link to gains or not from EU membership. Probably just 'all I know about EU and Spain. Maybe too much about general info about effect of Euro
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited. Understanding of the topic limited.	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about EU. Random facts rather than showing any clear gains or losses And/or generalisations with little Spanish evidence.
1-4	The answer includes very little factual evidence. Quality of the evidence poor. Understanding of the topic poor.	1-4 Band Generalisations about EU. Little/no reference to gains/losses for Spain. Little/no reference to Spain.
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result in zero for the question as a whole.	

Question 4(b)

¿Crees que los españoles saben cómo les afecta la Unión Europea? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos.

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response	Amplification
AUZ	(These marks are awarded based on the	"
	extent to which the candidate answers the	
	question set)	
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question	Clear, consistent focus on how/whether
	and displays a logical and coherent personal	Spaniards know how EU affects them.
	reaction to the question which is well justified	NB.best answers will focus on rights,
	by clearly stated opinions.	opportunities rather than on new roads etc.
		Clearly stated opinions as to why think
		Spaniards are well informed about how EU
		affects them
		(Almost) all points clearly justified as to why
		believe Spaniards know about how EU affects
		them.
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to	Generally focused on how/whether Spaniards
	the question, but this is not consistently	know how EU affects them. Focus not as
	maintained. There is some justification, but	clear as for 15-18 band. Not all comments relate
	the consistency of the opinions is variable.	clearly to whether Spaniards know how EU
		affects them.
- 10		Not all appropriately justified/illustrated
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not	Not clearly focused on how/whether
	clearly organised. Some personal reaction to	Spaniards know how EU affects them.
	the question is evident, but justification is	Opinion not clearly focused on to whether
	weak.	Spaniards know how EU affects them
		Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification
		of why Spaniards know how EU affects them
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the	Poor focus on knowing about EU or on Spain.
	question, but no justification for points made.	1 or 2 opinions but probably general comments
	queen, carne jacuneanem les pentie made.	about EU
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the	Little/No focus on Q.
	question, but there is a little reaction to the	1 or 2 random points – probably no attempt to
	topic.	comment on Q set.
0	There is no evidence of any personal	
	reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.

AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society	Possible Content Points			
	(These marks are awarded based on the	NB Not essential to use PM but other			
	quality of the evidence that the candidate	sources do not necessarily score higher			
	uses to support the argument in AO2)	marks.			
		In general, apart from top band, quality &			
		quantity of evidence more important than			
	The answer is well illustrated with	source. C Better study, work opportunities etc. (see			
23-27	descriptions which are logically and	text 7)Specific egs needed)			
	coherently developed and do not	Almost all info from Text 8 egs.			
	depend exclusively on the preliminary	Should know what EU offers through EU			
	material. Relevant points are clearly	offices in Madrid & Barcelona			
	stated and there is definite evidence of	Better Health & Safety at work HQs of EU			
	reading around the topic.	dept in Bilbao,			
	Good use of topic-specific vocabulary	16 Carrefours for advantages for rural &			
	Quality of the evidence very good	agricultural affairs			
	Understanding of the topic very good.	Euro Info Centres-help for small businesses			
		Consumer protection (offices Barcelona &			
17-22	The answer makes a number of points	Vitoria)			
	relevant to specific issues.	© .Instituto de la Juventud – becas etc			
	It shows some independence from, or	Subsidies for agriculture, infrastructure etc			
	clear manipulation of, the preliminary	(specific egs needed) 23-27 Band Will use many C points very well			
	material. Makes use of topic-specific	and/or equally relevant © in same way.			
	vocabulary. Quality of the evidence good	Good examples relating very clearly to			
	Understanding of the topic good.	effects of EU and how know about them			
	Onderstanding of the topic good.	onesic of Lo and now when about them			
		17-22 Band Almost as many C points (or			
		equally relevant ©) used well - not relating			
		quite as clearly to knowing how affected.			
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the	11-16 Band Several points (C and/or ©) but			
	preliminary material, but there is an	probably more general info about EU			
	attempt made to manipulate this				
	material. Some topic-specific vocabulary.				
	Quality of the evidence sufficient				
	Understanding of the topic sufficient.				
	The anguar roller almost custosins in a	E 40 Dand 1 on 0 nainte about Ell and One in			
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about EU and Spain Random facts rather than showing whether			
	the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited	know about how affected by EU and/or			
	Understanding of the topic limited.	generalisations with little Spanish evidence.			
	onderstanding of the topic limited.	generalisations with little opanish evidence.			
1-4	The answer includes very little factual	1-4 Band Generalisations about EU. Little/no			
1-7	evidence.	reference to what Spaniards know about EU.			
	Quality of the evidence poor	Little/no reference to Spain.			
	Understanding of the topic poor.	·			
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance				
	either to the topic area or to the question				
	set. A zero score will automatically result				
	in zero for the question as a whole.				

Question 5(a)

¿Son los jóvenes españoles muy distintos a los jóvenes hispanoamericanos? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos de España y de Hispanoamérica.

C =	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==	irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response	Amplification
7.02	(These marks are awarded based on the	
	extent to which the candidate answers the	
	question set)	
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question	Clear, consistent focus on comparison of life
	and displays a logical and coherent personal	of young Spaniards & young Sp Americans
	reaction to the question which is well justified	to decide whether very different.
	by clearly stated opinions.	All comments relate to whether life very different
		for young Spaniards or not
44.44	There is also a vidence of a constant as ation to	(Almost) all points clearly justified
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to	Generally focused on comparison of life of
	the question, but this is not consistently maintained. There is some justification, but	young Spaniards & young Sp Americans to decide whether very different.
	the consistency of the opinions is variable.	Not all comments/opinions relate clearly to
	the consistency of the opinions is variable.	whether life very different for 2 groups.
		Not all appropriately justified/illustrated
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not	Not clearly focused on comparison of life of
	clearly organised. Some personal reaction to	young Spaniards & young Sp Americans to
	the question is evident, but justification is	decide whether very different or perhaps not
	weak.	clear enough focus on young people. Probably
		facts/comments more general with little clear
		attempt at comparison or not concentrating on
		young
		Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification.
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the	Poor focus on comparison of life of young
	question, but no justification for points made.	Spaniards & young South Americans
		Random facts about life in Spain and/or SA
		1 or 2 opinions but not related to comparing
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the	lives of young Little/No focus on Q.
1-2	question, but there is a little reaction to the	1 or 2 random points probably with little/no
	topic.	Spanish or SA focus
0	There is no evidence of any personal	
	reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)	
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.	
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.	
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.	
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.	
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.	

AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society	Possible Content Points
704	(These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate	NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher
	uses to support the argument in AO2)	marks.
		In general, apart from top band, quality &
		quantity of evidence more important than source.
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with	C Different: Family
	descriptions which are logically and	Sp: 83% happy with life: 97% happy with family, 95% happy with friends.
	coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary	SA family life struggle- mothers work long
	material. Relevant points are clearly	hours
	stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of	Education : Sp 55% happy with studies. SA many find difficult to get education
	topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the	(Paula/Yolanda struggle to give children an
	evidence very good Understanding of the topic very good.	education) © Either different or not
	Onderstanding of the topic very good.	Any other facts/figures from Spain or SA to
	The anguar makes a number of points	show comparison/similarities with life of young
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues.	Eg Facts on Young Sps with money for
	It shows some independence from, or	mobiles/botellón etc. v. Street children in
	clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific	Latin America. Info on health/ prospects etc
	vocabulary.	23-27 Band Will use (almost) all C points
	Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.	very well and /or equally relevant © in same way.
	Orderstanding of the topic good.	Every example is Spanish or SA and
		contributes very clearly showing whether life is different for young
		17-22 Band Almost all C points (or equally
		relevant ©) used well to show whether life
		different for young in Sp & SA.
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the	11-16 Band Several C (and/or ©) points but
	preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this	not always used appropriately to show whether life different for young.
	material. Some topic-specific vocabulary.	Probably more a list of aspects of life in
	Quality of the evidence sufficient Understanding of the topic sufficient.	Spain or SA with lack of focus on whether/how different and/or on young
		whethermow different analor on young
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points on life in Spain
	the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited.	and/or SA A few random facts; little attempt to relate to
	Understanding of the topic limited.	young or to comparing lives.
		OR Generalisations with only a little Spanish/SA evidence
1-4	The answer includes very little factual	1-4 Band Generalisations about young etc
	evidence. Quality of the evidence poor.	Little/no reference to Spain and/or SA
	Understanding of the topic poor.	
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance	
	either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result	
	in zero for the question as a whole.	

Question 5(b)

'El paro es el mayor problema de España e Hispanoamérica.' ¿Estás de acuerdo? Explica tu opinión y da ejemplos de España y de Hispanoamérica.

C =	=	AO4 content from PM	T =	generally relevant to topic area	R =	good AO2 point, no justification
© =	•	AO4 content not from PM	Rep =	repeated point (AO4/AO2)	® =	justified AO2 point
==		irrelevant/inappropria te material				

AO2	Reaction/response	Amplification
	(These marks are awarded based on the extent to which the candidate answers the question set)	
15-18	The answer is clearly focused on the question and displays a logical and coherent personal reaction to the question which is well justified by clearly stated opinions.	Clear, consistent focus on problem (or not) of unemployment in Spain and Sp.A. NB Best answers must have clear comparison & not just list of what happens in Spain then list for SA. Clearly stated explanations as to why feel unemployment greatest problem (or not) in Spain & Sp.A (Almost) all points clearly justified with reasons why agree unemployment greatest problem (or not)
11-14	There is clear evidence of personal reaction to the question, but this is not consistently maintained. There is some justification, but the consistency of the opinions is variable.	Generally focused on problem (or not) of unemployment in Spain and Sp.A Not all comments relate clearly as to why feel unemployment greatest problem (or not) in Spain & Sp.A Not all appropriately justified/illustrated
7-10	The answer lacks focus and ideas are not clearly organised. Some personal reaction to the question is evident, but justification is weak.	Not clearly focused on problem (or not) of unemployment in Spain and Sp. A. More likely to be 'all I know' about work/unemployment in Spain & SA. Unclear whether opinions relate to why feel unemployment greatest problem (or not) in Spain & Sp.A. Little (or inappropriate) explanation/justification of why decided that unemployment greatest problem (or not)
3-6	This is a limited personal reaction to the question, but no justification for points made.	Poor focus on any idea of unemployment in Spain & Sp.A 1 or 2 opinions but probably general comments about Spain and/or SA
1-2	There is virtually no personal reaction to the question, but there is a little reaction to the topic.	Little/No focus on Q. 1 or 2 random points – probably no attempt to comment on Q set.
0	There is no evidence of any personal reaction/response.	

AO3	Knowledge of Grammar (These marks are awarded based on the degree to which the candidate uses structures and grammar as outlined in the specification)
8-9	The manipulation of most structures is good. There are still some inaccuracies, but these tend to occur in attempts at more complex structures.
6-7	The manipulation of basic structures is generally sound. There are attempts to use more complex structures, often successfully.
4-5	There is some awareness of structure but basic errors are still frequent. Communication is generally maintained.
2-3	The level of manipulation of structures and the number of errors make comprehension difficult.
0-1	Shows little or no grasp of grammatical structure. Errors are such that communication is seriously impaired.

		Descible Content Deinte
AO4	Content/Knowledge of Society (These marks are awarded based on the quality of the evidence that the candidate uses to support the argument in AO2)	Possible Content Points NB Not essential to use PM but other sources do not necessarily score higher marks. In general, apart from top band, quality & quantity of evidence more important than source.
23-27	The answer is well illustrated with descriptions which are logically and coherently developed and do not depend exclusively on the preliminary material. Relevant points are clearly stated and there is definite evidence of reading around the topic. Good use of topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence very good. Understanding of the topic very good.	Agree C SA: Widespread poverty: 43,4% poor,18,8% (95 mill) destitute. Work difficult to find 25% Mexicans in 'informal' sector 40% in Peru, 65 B.A 'subocupados' Street vendors because otherwise no work: 3 case histories (text 9) –details needed Spain: Situation better but unemployment main cause of worry (40%) but 40% satisfied with work Disagree: C Spain: Only 27% worried by
17-22	The answer makes a number of points relevant to specific issues. It shows some independence from, or clear manipulation of, the preliminary material. Makes use of topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence good Understanding of the topic good.	economic situation Money only 5 th in list of what most important Agree © SA egs 'niños de la calle' from previous PM. Any other specific facts re what have to do because of unemployment at home eg. Wetbacks to US. Ecautorianos to Spain Sp: Unemployment figures; youth unemployment etc
		23-27 Band Will use (almost) all C points very well and/or equally relevant © in same way. Good balance of egs from both Spain & SA Every example relates very clearly to problem of unemployment in both societies 17-22 Band Almost all C points (or equally relevant ©) used well not relating quite as clearly to situation in both Spain and Sp.A
11-16	The answer relies heavily on the preliminary material, but there is an attempt made to manipulate this material. Some topic-specific vocabulary. Quality of the evidence sufficient Understanding of the topic sufficient.	11-16 Band Several points (C and/or ©) but probably not compare/contrast unemployment situation in Spain & Sp.A. Maybe lack of balance (more on Spain than SA or vice versa)
5-10	The answer relies almost exclusively on the preliminary material. Quality of evidence limited. Understanding of the topic limited.	5-10 Band 1 or 2 points about Spain and/or SA Random facts rather than showing problem of unemployment. And/or Generalisations with little Spanish/SA evidence.
1-4	The answer includes very little factual evidence. Quality of the evidence poor. Understanding of the topic poor.	1-4 Band Generalisations about Spain and/or SA. Little/no reference to unemployment in these countries. Little/no reference to Spain/SA.
0	There is absolutely nothing of relevance either to the topic area or to the question set. A zero score will automatically result in zero for the question as a whole.	