

Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2013

GCE Religious Studies (6RS04) Paper 1G Judaism



ALWAYS LEARNING

## **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u> for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson.

Their contact details can be found on this link: <u>www.edexcel.com/teachingservices</u>.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at <u>www.edexcel.com/ask</u>. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service.

## Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

Summer 2013

Publications Code UA036803

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2013

## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately. All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

(a) Examine the argument and/or interpretation in the passage. (30)

(b) Do you agree with the idea(s) expressed? Justify your point of view and discuss its implications for understanding religion and human experience. (20)

	Mark	A01
1	1-6	Levels Descriptor
		Candidates may provide a simple summary of the passage with limited attention to key ideas. Candidates may:
		<ul> <li>mention a few unstructured ideas such as one or two ethical aspects of the Ten Commandments.</li> </ul>
2	7-12	Levels Descriptor
		Candidates may provide a basic understanding of the passage with a limited ability to select key information. They may present:
		<ul> <li>a simple account of Jewish family values</li> <li>a few examples that illustrate these features of practical ethics.</li> </ul>
3	13-18	Levels Descriptor
		<ul> <li>Candidates may clarify the main line of interpretation. Candidates may examine:</li> <li>expressions such as 'image of God' and practical moral actions</li> <li>related elements in their course of studies, such as ethical codes associated with Orthodox Judaism</li> <li>examples of righteousness in the Torah.</li> </ul>
4	19-24	Levels Descriptor
5	25-30	<ul> <li>Candidates are likely to demonstrate a clear understanding of the key interpretations in this passage. Candidates may examine:</li> <li>key themes within the passage such as being created in the image of God coupled with acting in certain ways</li> <li>different elements in their studies, such as related anthologies in unit 4 including Smart's ethical dimension</li> <li>the links with ethical teaching in the Ethics of the Fathers</li> <li>a partial understanding of the cohesion between belief in God and moral codes.</li> </ul>

Candidates are likely to contextualise their answer by setting out the main background issues and highlighting the substantive ideas. Candidates may examine:
<ul> <li>the context of Halakhah for an understanding of Jewish ethics</li> <li>theological and historical evidence of the emphasis on the practical over the metaphysical</li> <li>the significance of the image of God as the basis for moral behaviour</li> <li>scholarly contributions to an understanding of this passage such as Dorff</li> <li>a critical insight into the distinctive aspects of this Jewish ethics.</li> </ul>

(b) Do you agree with the ideas expressed? Justify your point of view and discuss its implications for understanding religion and human experience. (20)

1       1-5       Levels Descriptor         Candidates may present a superficial account of a few views:       •         for one or two Jewish codes of behaviour without a focutive question.         2       6-10         Levels Descriptor         Candidates may present:         •       a basic viewpoint in support of the author's claim about divine basis of morality         •       the view that the diversity of Jewish traditions weakens notion of 'Jewish ethics'.         3       11-15         Levels Descriptor         Candidates may compare some views for and against the interpretation in the passage and come to their own line of thir Candidates may:         •       present evidence to support the ideal of an imitation of the supervisition of t	the
<ul> <li>for one or two Jewish codes of behaviour without a focutive question.</li> <li>6-10 Levels Descriptor         <ul> <li>Candidates may present:</li> <li>a basic viewpoint in support of the author's claim about divine basis of morality</li> <li>the view that the diversity of Jewish traditions weakens notion of 'Jewish ethics'.</li> </ul> </li> <li>3 11-15 Levels Descriptor         <ul> <li>Candidates may compare some views for and against the interpretation in the passage and come to their own line of thir Candidates may:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	the
2       6-10       Levels Descriptor         Candidates may present:       Candidates may present:         •       a basic viewpoint in support of the author's claim about divine basis of morality         •       the view that the diversity of Jewish traditions weakens notion of 'Jewish ethics'.         3       11-15       Levels Descriptor         Candidates may compare some views for and against the interpretation in the passage and come to their own line of thir Candidates may:	
Candidates may present:• a basic viewpoint in support of the author's claim about divine basis of morality • the view that the diversity of Jewish traditions weakens notion of 'Jewish ethics'.311-15Levels Descriptor Candidates may compare some views for and against the interpretation in the passage and come to their own line of thir Candidates may:	
Candidates may compare some views for and against the interpretation in the passage and come to their own line of thir Candidates may:	
interpretation in the passage and come to their own line of thir Candidates may:	
<ul> <li>in action         <ul> <li>put forward the view that such an aim can never be sati</li> <li>In terms of implications for religion candidates may argue that</li> <li>Jewish sources provide detailed guidance for practical morality.</li> <li>In terms of human experience candidates may argue that:</li> <li>the considerable differences of moral guidance across Jettraditions inhibit moral decision making.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	God

in in	andidates are likely to present a critical evaluation of the aterpretations in this passage, weighing up strengths and reaknesses of the views. Candidates may:
	<ul> <li>debate the opposing stances regarding the view that morality is rooted in divine law</li> <li>question the reduction of the imitation of God to practical matters rather than a mystical quest</li> <li>use exemplar material from relevant scholars such as de Lange in order to debate alternative stances. By means of these discussions candidates are likely to build up a coherent and justifiable argument.</li> </ul>
	andidates may evaluate the implications for religion in the sense nat:
	<ul> <li>Judaism offers a pluralistic model to tackle modern moral dilemmas.</li> </ul>
	rom the perspective of human experience candidates may debate ne view that:
	<ul> <li>the plethora of guidance is counter-productive to individual moral decision making.</li> </ul>

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u>

Order Code UA036803 Summer 2013

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit our website <u>www.edexcel.com</u>

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





