

# Mark Scheme (Results) Summer 2010

GCE

## GCE Religious Studies 6RS04 Paper 1J

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at [www.edexcel.com](http://www.edexcel.com).

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Mark Scheme that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

Summer 2010

Publications Code UA024677

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2010

## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

## Unit 4: Implications

This generic mark scheme is to be used in conjunction with the question specific indicative mark schemes which follow. A response will be read to identify the band of the questions specific indicative mark scheme into which the response falls. The descriptors within the generic mark scheme will then be used to determine the precise mark for the response.

### Assessing Quality of Written Communication

QWC will have a bearing if the QWC is inconsistent with the communication element of the descriptor for the level in which the candidate's answer falls. If, for example, a candidate's Religious Studies response displays mid Level 3 criteria but fits the Level 2 QWC descriptors, it will require a move down within Level 3.

### Assessment Objective 1

Select and demonstrate clearly relevant knowledge and understanding through the use of evidence, examples, and correct language and terminology appropriate for the course of study. Candidates should also demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the connections between different elements of their course of study.

Level	Descriptor	Marks
1	<p>Partial attempt to offer a re-statement of some aspects of the passage, based on re-iteration and simple comprehension. Limited and unstructured knowledge of examples and/or evidence relevant to the meaning of the passage.</p> <p>The writing may have some coherence and it will be generally comprehensible, but passages will lack clarity and organisation. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</p> <p><b>Low Level 1: 1-2 marks</b> mostly an attempt to re-iterate or reword some of the contents of the passage, without further elaboration; expression lacks clarity; not entirely worthless</p> <p><b>Mid Level 1: 3-4 marks</b> simple restatement of some of the contents of the passage; random, fragmented, mainly unrelated information from beyond the passage used to support comprehension; expressed imprecisely</p> <p><b>High Level 1: 5-6 marks</b> basic restatement of the contents of the passage showing simple comprehension; mainly unstructured but relevant information from beyond the passage to support comprehension; expressed with limited clarity</p>	1-6
2	<p>Uncritical presentation of the argument/interpretation of the passage; limited ability to identify and select the most relevant/important information and, therefore, reflecting little understanding; over-reliance on repetition of the chosen passage.</p> <p>The writing will show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and/or proper organisation. The range of skills needed to produce a convincing essay is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</p>	7-12

	<p><b>Low Level 2: 7-8 marks</b> simple identification of the argument/interpretation in the passage; some links to limited but relevant evidence/examples from beyond the passage; over-emphasis on repetition/rephrasing of the text; some basic clarity of expression</p> <p><b>Mid Level 2: 9-10 marks</b> identification and re-statement of the argument/interpretation in the passage; organised to show some awareness of the contents of the passage; a selection of mainly relevant evidence/examples from other sources linked with the argument; expression lacks clarity but the overall meaning is accessible</p> <p><b>High Level 2: 11-12 marks</b> re-statement and elaboration of the argument/interpretation in the passage; linked with a limited selection of relevant evidence/examples from other sources; organised simply to show basic understanding of the contents of the passage; expressed with sufficient accuracy to make the meaning clear</p>	
3	<p><b>Presentation of a selection of relevant evidence and examples, drawing on different elements in their course of study, which reflect a basic understanding of the argument/interpretation of the passage; some use of specialised religious language in appropriate contexts.</b></p> <p>The answer will show some degree of direction and control but these attributes will not normally be sustained throughout the answer. The candidate will demonstrate some of the skills needed to produce a convincing essay, but there may be passages which show deficiencies in organisation. The answer is likely to include some syntactical and/or spelling errors.</p> <p><b>Low Level 3: 13-14 marks</b> a general but partial explanation of the argument/interpretation in the passage; supported by relevant evidence/examples from other areas of the course of study; organised and expressed with adequate clarity using a limited range of technical terms</p> <p><b>Mid Level 3: 15-16 marks</b> a clear and valid explanation of the argument/interpretation in the passage; with links to elements of other areas of study to provide elaboration; expressed clearly with some technical terms used appropriately</p> <p><b>High Level 3: 17-18 marks</b> a basic understanding of the argument/interpretation in the passage; explained by reference to links to other areas of study; expressed clearly using appropriate technical terms</p>	13-18
4	<p><b>Clear understanding of the main point(s) and key idea(s) of the argument/interpretation of the passage, deploying material from different elements of their course of study; set in an appropriate context, with some analysis of key concepts; using relevant religious terms.</b></p> <p>The exposition will be controlled and the deployment logical. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but the writing will be coherent overall. The skills required to produce a convincing and cogent essay will be mostly in place.</p>	19-24

	<p><b>Low Level 4: 19-20 marks</b>  basic but clear understanding of the main point(s) of the argument/interpretation in the passage; supported by and linked with material from different areas of study; deployment and minimal explanation of some key ideas and concepts relevant to the passage; expressed clearly using appropriate technical terms in context</p> <p><b>Mid Level 4: 21-22 marks</b>  clear understanding of the main point(s) of the argument/interpretation in the passage; elaborated by links with material from different areas of study; use and explanation of key ideas and concepts relevant to the passage; clearly and accurately expressed using technical terms</p> <p><b>High Level 4: 23-24 marks</b>  clear and focused understanding of the main point(s) of the argument/interpretation in the passage; explained by reference to ideas from different areas of study; some analysis of key ideas and concepts relevant to the passage; expressed accurately and clearly using technical language</p>	
5	<p><b>Comprehensive understanding of the argument/interpretation of the passage, demonstrated through clear and critical analysis; applying principles/ideas from different elements of their course of study; and proficient use of religious language, discussed within a wider context.</b></p> <p>The answer will be cogent and lucid in exposition. Occasional syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but they will not impede coherent deployment of the material and argument. Overall, the answer will show mastery of essay-writing skills.</p> <p><b>Low Level 5: 25-26 marks</b>  analysis of the key issues in the passage; supporting clear understanding of the argument/interpretation; explained by reference to ideas from other areas of the course of study; showing some breadth and/or depth of understanding; clear and concise, expressed using technical language widely</p> <p><b>Mid Level 5: 27-28 marks</b>  thorough analysis of the key issues in the passage; showing a clear understanding of the argument/interpretation; explained by comparison or contrast with ideas from other areas of the course of study; showing breadth and/or depth of understanding; clear and concise, expressed straightforwardly using technical language widely</p> <p><b>High Level 5: 29-30 marks</b>  critical analysis of the key issues in the passage; focused on a coherent discussion of the argument/interpretation; explained cogently by applying ideas from other areas of the course of study; showing considerable breadth and/or depth of understanding; a clear and comprehensive response to the task; expressed succinctly with skilful use of technical language</p>	25-30

## Assessment Objective 2

Critically evaluate and justify a point of view through the use of evidence and reasoned argument. Candidates should also relate elements of their course of study to their broader context and to aspects of human experience.

Level	Descriptor	Marks
1	<p>An attempt to offer a personal response to the topic or theme of the passage, but largely unsupported by evidence or argument; showing marginal awareness of the implications of the expressed viewpoint for its broader context and in relation to aspects of religion and human experience; imprecisely expressed.</p> <p>The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. High incidence of syntactical and/or spelling errors.</p> <p><b>Low Level 1: 1 mark</b> token awareness of the view(s) expressed in the passage; a personal response with little or no justification; marginal awareness of any possible link between the issue in the passage and a wider issue of religion and/or human experience; expressed without clarity or direction</p> <p><b>Mid Level 1: 2-3 marks</b> minimal awareness of the view(s) expressed in the passage; a response expressed as a personal point of view; with limited justification by reference to an argument; evidence of awareness of a possible link between the subject of the passage and a wider issue of religion and/or human experience; expressed imprecisely</p> <p><b>High Level 1: 4-5 marks</b> a personal opinion relevant to the view(s) expressed in the passage; partly justified by reference to a relevant argument or piece of evidence; marginal understanding of the possible impact of the opinion/subject of the passage on a wider issue of religion and/or human experience; expressed with limited clarity</p>	1-5
2	<p>A basic response to the view(s) expressed in the passage, based on limited evidence or argument; a point of view with a simple justification based on a limited range of evidence and/or reasons; an attempt to consider a possible implication of the expressed viewpoint for its broader context; or in relation to aspects of religion and/or human experience; communicated within a framework which makes the meaning sufficiently clear.</p> <p>Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. There are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</p> <p><b>Low Level 2: 6 marks</b> limited discussion of the view(s) expressed in the passage; a personal response supported by minimal related evidence or argument; an attempt to make a possible link between the expressed viewpoint and some aspect of a wider issue related to religion and/or human experience; expressed with adequate clarity</p>	6-10

	<p><b>Mid Level 2: 7-8 marks</b> a basic discussion of the view(s) expressed in the passage; a personal response supported by at least one relevant argument or piece of evidence; a relevant link made between the expressed viewpoint and a wider issue related to religion and/or human experience; expressed within a sufficiently clear framework</p> <p><b>High Level 2: 9-10 marks</b> a justified response to the view(s) expressed in the passage; supported by some relevant evidence, examples or reasons; an attempt to consider a possible implication of the expressed viewpoint for a wider issue related to religion and/or human experience; expressed clearly</p>	
3	<p><b>Justification of a point of view using evidence and relevant argument; based on an attempt to offer a simple critical assessment of the view(s) expressed in the passage; with some evidence of awareness of some of the possible implications of the expressed viewpoint for its wider context in relation to aspects of religion and/or human experience; expressed clearly and accurately, using some technical vocabulary.</b></p> <p>The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective extended writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.</p> <p><b>Low Level 3: 11 marks</b> a point of view supported by reference to evidence and argument; based on an attempt to make a simple but relevant assessment of the view(s) expressed in the passage; a basic awareness of a possible implication of the expressed viewpoint for an aspect of religion and/or human experience; expressed clearly and accurately with occasional use of technical terms</p> <p><b>Mid Level 3: 12-13 marks</b> a point of view justified by deploying appropriate evidence and reasons; based on an assessment, with reasons, of the view(s) expressed in the passage; a clear awareness of one or more implication(s) of the expressed viewpoint for aspects of religion and/or human experience; expressed clearly and accurately with some use of technical terms</p> <p><b>High Level 3: 14-15 marks</b> a point of view justified by cogent evidence and reasoning; based on an attempt to assess critically the view(s) expressed in the passage; showing a basic understanding of the implication(s) of the expressed viewpoint for aspects of religion and/or human experience; expressed clearly and accurately with good use of technical language</p>	11-15
4	<p><b>A critical evaluation of the point of view expressed in the passage, based on coherent discussion, by reference to alternative approaches to the theme/topic; a statement of the candidate's own stance, based on reasoning and supported by evidence and argument; discussion of possible implications of the expressed viewpoint in relationship to religion and human experience; expressed accurately and fluently, using a range of technical vocabulary.</b></p> <p>The skills needed to produce convincing extended writing in place. Good organisation and clarity. Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found. Excellent organisation and planning.</p>	16-20



	<p><b>Low Level 4: 16 marks</b>  a critical assessment of the viewpoint(s) expressed in the passage; supported by coherent discussion and typically based on an analysis of alternative approaches; leading to a clearly expressed point of view justified by reasoning and evidence; a consideration of some possible implications of the expressed viewpoint for religion and human experience; focused response to the task, expressed carefully with frequent use of technical language</p> <p><b>Mid Level 4: 17-18 marks</b>  a sound attempt at an evaluation of the viewpoint(s) expressed in the passage; supported by coherent and reasoned discussion; typically based on a critical analysis of alternative approaches; leading to a clearly expressed point of view justified by careful reasoning and evidence; discussion of potential consequences of the point of view for religion and human experience; extensive response to the task, expressed fluently with wide use of technical language</p> <p><b>High Level 4: 19-20 marks</b>  a comprehensive response to the task; a careful, critical evaluation of the viewpoint(s) expressed in the passage; based on a detailed analysis and reasoned discussion of alternative approaches; leading to a cogently justified point of view; an attempt to analyse potential consequences of the point of view in relation to religion and human experience; expressed clearly and concisely with skilful use of technical language</p>	
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Each level descriptor above concludes with a statement about written communication. These descriptors should be considered as indicative, rather than definitional, of a given level. Thus, most candidates whose religious understanding related to a given question suggests that they should sit in a particular level will express that understanding in ways which broadly conform to the communication descriptor appropriate to that level. However, there will be cases in which high-order thinking is expressed relatively poorly. It follows that the religious thinking should determine the level. Indicators of written communication are best considered normatively and may be used to help decide a specific mark to be awarded within a level. Quality of written communication which fails to conform to the descriptor for the level will depress the award of marks by a sub-band within the level. Similarly, though not commonly, generalised and unfocused answers may be expressed with cogency and even elegance. In that case, quality of written communication will raise the mark by a sub-band.

## New Testament

Examiners should be reminded that any legitimate approach to the examination and discussion of this passage must be rewarded, and that there is no need for candidates to cover every idea/scholar mentioned in the extract.

Question			
1	(a)	Examine the argument and/or interpretation in the passage.	(30)
Indicative Content			
Level	Mark	AO1	
1	1-6	Candidates are likely to re-tell the key ideas of the passage but without demonstrating understanding of the theological arguments within it. The response is likely to be unstructured and make reference to simple, and possibly inaccurate, New Testament material.	
2	7-12	Candidates are likely to have correctly identified one or two key ideas but will rely a good deal on repetition of the passage and on linking basic narrative detail from the New Testament by way of illustration. There is likely to be little use of scholarly opinion or of theological argument.	
3	13-18	Candidates are likely to have correctly identified a range of key ideas such as the relationship between Jewish law and the teaching and practice of the Christian Church, but with little detailed theological understanding. They may rely on some narrative detail from the New Testament, and although they may make a limited attempt to express key ideas, it is unlikely that they will be able to discuss them at depth.	
4	19-24	Candidates are likely to have focused on key points such as the transformation of Christian morality from its Jewish roots, and the ways in which the New Testament shows how that process unfolded. Candidates may use biblical references, doctrine and scholarly views and ideas, and will typically define technical terms and use them in the correct way.	
5	25-30	Candidates are likely to contextualise their answer by setting out the main background issues and highlighting the substantive ideas. This may include reference to key principles underlying arguments for the existence of God, such as use of the term <i>a posteriori</i> . Candidates may highlight distinctive features of the argument based on religious experience and its fundamental principles. Candidates may display a critical insight into the distinctive aspects of this passage which focuses on the sense of knowledge based from inner conviction and its risks. Candidates may analyse key concepts such as religious experience, knowledge and inner conviction. Candidates may draw on a range of scholarly contributions to an understanding of this passage including an elaboration of the risks in this argument. Candidates may draw on different elements in their studies, such as relevant material on proofs for the existence of God in units 1 and especially 3.	

Question			
1	(b)	Do you agree with the idea(s) expressed? Justify your point of view and discuss its implications for understanding religion and human experience.	(20)
Indicative Content			
Level	Mark	AO2	
1	1-5	Candidates are likely to have placed heavy reliance on the passage with little or no attempt to make a personal response or to highlight scholarly views.	
2	6-10	Candidates are likely to express a simple view regarding the key ideas of the passage, with some reference to scholarly contributions and offering a balanced conclusion.	
3	11-15	Candidates are likely to have offered more than one response supported by scholarly views and personal opinion regarding the theological argument of the passage.	
4	16-20	Candidates are likely to offer clear evaluative responses to the theological arguments in the passage, supported by use of scholarly contributions alongside informed and reasoned personal opinion, and arriving at a balanced conclusion.	

Further copies of this publication are available from  
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467  
Fax 01623 450481

Email [publications@linneydirect.com](mailto:publications@linneydirect.com)

Order Code UA024677 Summer 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit [www.edexcel.com/quals](http://www.edexcel.com/quals)

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750  
Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH