



Examiners' Report June 2010

GCE Religious Studies 6RS04 1B



Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London WC1V 7BH A PEARSON COMPANY

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus.

To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2010

Publications Code UA024654

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2010

Introduction

This was the first year of this examination 6RS04 1B. The Legacy Spec paper 6584 1B had the same content as 6RS04 1B but this new exam has a different mark allocation between the two assessment objectives. Legacy had 25/15 whereas 6RS04 has 30/20 for AO1 and AO2 respectively. There are differences in the level descriptors, for example AO1 having 5 levels in this new paper compared to 4 in the Legacy Spec.

It is of paramount importance for candidates to examine the passage on the exam paper. Candidates must relate what they have learned and apply it to the extract and not treat it in a cursory fashion nor ignore the selected text. Examiners are fully aware that there are very many ways of examining a passage and there is no preferred method. What is essential is an explicit focus on the text, whatever method is used to examine it. There was evidence of some candidates inserting several paragraphs of material that were not linked to the question. This is not a recommended strategy.

Assessment Objectives: the examination is divided into two parts. AO1 is part (a) worth 30 marks and AO2 in part (b) is worth 20 marks. This division is on the paper to assist candidates to structure their answers and to enable them to make sensible use of their time in the allocation of material. In most cases candidates had the required examination technique to make effective use of their material. A few however, spent a disproportionate amount of time on AO1 at the expense of AO2 and vice versa. There is no necessary requirement to follow the structured division as seen in the question. However, for candidates who create a holistic answer there is a tendency to pay inadequate attention to AO2 especially in relation to the implications aspect of part (b). Candidates who adopt this holistic technique take a risk. In no way whatsoever do examiners penalise candidates who run together AO1 and AO2 though candidates may penalise themselves with partial answers.

AO1:

There was evidence of sound subject knowledge with a thorough exposition of the passage. A minority illustrated key ideas by the way they navigated their way through the whole passage of the anthology. This enabled candidates to incorporate various ideas in the whole article as a means of a more detailed analysis of the passage itself and to show an understanding of the context of the passage in relation to Lafollette's leading themes. Provided it was kept under control, more candidates could have made selective use of the Lafollette anthology as a whole. Some candidates had a reasonable knowledge of the other two anthologies and were able to link these into their answers especially Jamieson.

At the lower levels candidates presented a more descriptive and basic account of the passage akin to a simple comprehension test. These answers contained little analysis of key terms such as 'moral knowledge', 'empathy' and 'impartial morality'.

AO2:

The better quality answers were those that expressed view points with confidence and authority supported by reason and evidence. Some candidates outlined their own views and were able to ally or contrast them with various theories and scholars they had examined in their other units. Good quality work in the implications in part (b) consisted of academically rigorous approaches with pertinent examples. In particular, candidates made effective use of their other units in the implications sections. A range of ethical theories were used by candidates including Utilitarianism, Situation Ethics from 6RS01. Many made effective use of theories in

6RS03 such as Deontology, Natural Moral Law, Virtue Ethics and Emotivism. Links were made with a commendable range of scholars such as Aquinas, Aristotle, Kant, MacIntyre and Ross. This was effective in the sense that candidates based their material on the Lafollette passage and illustrated this by reference to these linked topics. In addition, some candidates placed this passage in a broader perspective so as to debate implications from history, politics, contemporary issues and pertinent issues.

At the lower levels for AO2:

Some candidates presented partial answers in relation to AO2. For example they expressed opinions giving their views on the extract but did not write about possible implications. Work at the lower levels tended to present basic accounts of Utilitarianism and Situation Ethics without clear links with the passage on the paper.

Question 1

This begins with the second paragraph of the candidate's answer to part (a).

Results Plus Examiner Comments

The candidate selects a key theme in Lafollette' first paragraph which is the significance of a child's early years in the development of empathy. The reference to Freud is pertinent and used in an effective manner. Similarly the material on Aristotle and Virtue Ethics helps to analyse this passage with regard to empathy.

that La Lol 40 pringero presents 101 pathy ju as Term on shoop intr'y experienced relationships and laving and caling Dare being argued that personal ones 25 over détermined bu uenced and experiences elationship between parent and alollette presending is in ad psychdroni to maintanding and approcen explaining hu schabicur. mar Luential psychologists, Sigmund and Jung, would both opped kan Frend idea the relationship the and childhood child experiences and crucial in determining a persons are ROISMONIA behaviour adult life. and un Xina ESSOUS ON Three the theory o in Sexus Interpretation of Dreams 1905 and spresses importance 0 child hord -moriences 5 development Jung, Sonal had are argued Si an induidual you parents italy to be crime dysfunctional adult. Therefore, it can be

seen that Lafollette's idea on the development empathy and morality, echoes the trac 0 treditional psychological orgunest of both Freud and Jung, dea Land within Second Key ILe a fallette's argument is the concept that an indulidual must learn to moial it is stated that no how one knows have empathetic or consider the interests otters intess they have been on 0 on intinate relationship Since, La Collette appears being caving and empattedic norally, it can be seen that ha is conveying He idea That morality is a learn't behavioul. idea echoes the beliefs of Aristotle, who writing in his back Nichmacheon Ethics 350 BC developed is theory of virtue Ettics Virtue Alice That one shald to achieve the or virtual argument, which lafellatte would argue is a choired empathy. through being coving and possessing Fristatle similarly to Cafallette orgued that learnt behaviour, which moiality 13 through practice and habit. achieved This view is conveyed through Aristete's statement, we are used we repeatedly do. **Results^Plus** Examiner Tip The candidate shows that one can relate this type of material to scholars and theories and maintain a clear focus on the passage iself.

1

This is the candidate's conclusion to part (a).

ResultsPlus **Examiner Comments** This represents a concise conclusion that relates the selected passaget to some of the key terms and ideas in the whole Lafollette source. In Conclusion, the argument given by Lafollette in the passage succeeds in differentiating personal relationships from morality whilst mantaining they are "mutually exclusive". He appeals to dominant views that in order for us to engage with strangers we must have acquaintence with the discipline' and simultaneously argues a certain level of "natural good" in numaridy. Overall, Lapollette manages to not any modernise Aristotelian beliefs on the derivation of morality but to combine it with inpacts and the society today. 15



This type of conclusion helps to give shape to a candidate's work. It shows that it is well-managed and coherent.

This is the beginning of the candidate's answer to part (b).

Results Plus Examiner Comments

The candidate states that they are going to debate the strengths and weaknesses of Lafollete's claims. The candidate considers Freud's ideas and compares these to Popper.

precent on 6) Ln justite moler to School of opu must ortic Per elationship Ő of My the perenan tust orsess and wo orguenat 5 about con on scortens Min He norolly e. 4 result ten parente ÿ from our Frend the one WO trend Coro 6 but

lipely that who Moral have them one Porents dio 4 Ni studie 0 COLO 4 ODUNOI hove 5 been abi found her vill However dear on temploy C suggests ropper who 9 bi studies are nothi but 0 therefore dream citase UR. luon der teing 150 doter Lew 9000 porent norolity WHON leaving morality b Ц that tto 6 devolued, hungh experience,

ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

One effective way of starting part (b) is to debate the strengths and weaknesses as this may help to answer the question of whether or not one agrees with the views in the passage.

This is the candidate's conclusion to part(b).

Results Plus Examiner Comments

The candidate repeats some of Lafollete's language but at a basic level and in a generalised form.

most De 5 its tal



In this type of academic work it may not help the candidate to write, 'I believe that most people have such strong feelings..' Candidate's views should be supported by evidence and reason with reference to scholarship. These important characteristics are missing from this conclusion.

Congratulations should be given to a significant number of candidates who presented good quality work. They displayed the results of thorough study coupled with efficient examination techniques. They presented a scholarly understanding of the passage and related themes and managed the material in order to answer the whole question in a well-structured manner. Some candidates could improve their standards by providing an explicit examination of the passage in part (a) and present evaluative material that addresses the full demands in part (b).

Grade boundaries

Grade	Max. Mark	A*	А	В	С	D	E	N	U
Raw boundary mark	50	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	0
Uniform mark scale boundary	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	0

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code UA024654 June 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

