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## General Comments

The Investigations Paper was sat for the first time in June 2009. There was a remarkable level of scholarship evident in papers across all units and some answers clearly conveyed the engagement that candidates had with their area of investigation. It was encouraging to note that this paper had attracted the same level of enthusiasm and achievement that had been enjoyed previously by the coursework unit. Existing good practices of centres was developed for this new type of exam and the majority of centres had clearly adapted and prepared their students very well. Some Centres chose to focus on the same or similar topics for all their candidates, whereas other Centres permitted considerable choice for individual candidates. Centres used their specialist resources and interests to encourage candidates to research in depth a particular area of study. It is important to note that the overall title of this unit 'Investigations' has a definite academic purpose. The aim is to involve students as active participants pursuing open-ended enquiries with an emphasis on independent learning. Questions were designed to be inclusive of all possible approaches to various topics and all valid answers were considered.

Most centres had entered their candidates for the correct option. It is important to ensure candidates know which area of their investigation is the best fit for the question they answer on the paper. Candidates were not penalised if correct entries were not made or a cross was put in a box that did not match the answer. Examiners were encouraged to mark positively. Centres should ensure that candidates are entered for the option that matches their area of study.

Variation in achievement was related to the two assessment objectives. These objectives should receive prominent attention in the process of the investigation. Importantly, in the exam itself there must be explicit attention to these objectives in the examination answer. Each question consistently referred to the assessment objectives with the trigger word 'Examine' for AO1 and 'Comment on' for AO2. These dictated the structure of the question and helped candidates to plan their answers. It would be advisable for candidates to pay regular attention to the level descriptors for these assessment objectives as a way of monitoring their development and progress during their investigations. The phrase 'with reference to the topic you have investigated' will always appear in the question to ensure that the generic question can be answered with material from any appropriate investigation.

In preparation for this examination candidates may find it useful to write up their investigation under examtimed conditions to a variety of different possible questions. They might build up a number of different essay plans to different possible questions. The important point in these activities is to enable candidates to develop their management of material such as how to best structure their content to answer the specific question. However, success can be undermined by writing up a rote-learnt answer which was not adapted to the question set.

This new style report features work produced by the candidates in the actual examination. The mark scheme itself is generic to all questions. It was not possible to include exemplars for every question.

## Area 1A:The Study of Religion

## Question 1 - Religion and Science

Candidates had sound knowledge of different models for establishing the relationship between religion and science. Some responses were up to date in scholarship and went beyond discussing only the conflict model. One candidate noted that 'the question of science and religion co-existing is wildly unjustified' and went on to argue that 'mutual agnosticism is the only way to pursue either within a logical capacity'. There was some evidence in the whole essay of independent thought that emerged from studying the topic and if the candidate's argument is supported by a thorough knowledge of relevant scholarship then the conclusion becomes more convincing.

Answers to the evolution and creation debate could have used the material more effectively to analyse the religious significance of this debate. There was some very interesting work on the religious and scientific issues that surround a range of creation myths or theories. Discussion was organised around the compatibility or incompatibility of these myths/theories with religion and science and there was evidence of original thinking in the answers which discussed creation myths or theories.

Some candidates analysed the Design Argument or the Cosmological Argument for the existence of God with no obvious link to the question or the topic they had investigated. The demands of the Investigations paper are different to the Foundations paper and this Area of Study is not exclusively about the existence of God. However, there were some examples of how this material was placed contextually within the religion and science debate.

## Question 2 - Anthropology of Religion; Psychology of Religion; Sociology of Religion

The most popular disciplines investigated were the psychology of religion and the sociology of religion with some candidates focussing on an in-depth study of an important scholar related to these areas such as Marx, Freud and Jung. There were also a number of studies on new religious movements and cults but the majority of these were descriptive accounts and lacked an analytic element. One example showed that the candidate had a clear view of how a sociologists view on religion can be contrasted against another view.

## Question 3 -A Study of Creative Expressions In Religious Life

Topics investigated ranged from film, art, architecture to music and poetry. Some candidates addressed the question through studies of film which were clearly thought out; however, some candidates could improve their answers by addressing in more detail the religious theme(s) that underpins the films or directors they investigated.

Some good examples of poets or poetry were seen. One candidate studied Gerard Manley Hopkins, a Jesuit Poet, and had a thorough grasp of his poetry. There was a very clear understanding of his works in the essay and the candidate was able to analyse with great insight and clarity the creative contribution and expression Hopkins has.

## Area 1B: The Study of Philosophy of Religion

## Question 1 - Religious Experience; Meditation

Many candidates gave a good outline of the argument for the existence of God based on religious experience and considered its strengths and weaknesses. Some candidates also considered the epistemological impact of religious experience in terms of understanding ourselves and/or God.

Most candidates addressed the 'understanding of ourselves' aspect very well and wrote interestingly of psychological prompts for interpreting experiences as divine or physiological explanations. Scholarship was well used in these answers, with contemporary scientific experiments referred to such as Persinger's neurotheological research and data collected from the God Experiment or the Alister Hardy Religious Experience Research Centre. The best candidates were able to include a good, balanced conclusion and AO2 comment throughout and some candidates included less common material e.g. religious experience in nonChristian.

## Question 2 - Contrasting standpoints on the relationship between mind and body

The most popular approach for this question was a to focus on Life after Death as a way of analysing the difficulties or implications of the mind/body problem in terms of identifying a self and continuity. The best answers systematically examined forms of monism and dualism and tackled issues of interaction, some then with Life after Death as more of a case study as to how these theories might then play out. Scholarship was largely very good in this question with reference to Descartes and other scholars within the field. There was much evidence of competent philosophical analysis of a range of viewpoints both ancient and modern.

Some candidate responses included learned material which did not answer the question as set. The following extract is from an answer that exemplifies this difficulty.


Redigest have wale sass that the hes to be a do decare the hos forte retribisfiden for gar actions

This can be contrasted with the extract below which follows a coherent discussion of the options whilst bearing in mind the demands of the question.


## Question 3-A study of one or more philosophers of religion

Good quality answers focussed on a interesting range of philosophers with many candidates choosing to compare and contrast two different philosophers, thus allowing for easier AO2 comment on their impact. Some candidates gave a good analysis of the impact of the philosophers) investigated. Some candidates found it hard to discuss the impact of their philosopher even though they had already covered this in AO1. Philosophers ranged from C.S. Lewis to Plato, Nietzsche, Descartes (very popular), Sartre and Kierkegaard (these two were extremely popular especially in comparison to each other) and several focused on Aquinas although largely through the 5 Ways only. Answers on Aquinas would have benefited from offering a wider overview of his works as Aquinas did not limit himself to just the 5 ways.

The best answers referred to a range of ideas or works by the chosen philosopher and put them in context of their time or the impact on subsequent thought which made for interesting analysis of their ideas. Not many answers included much by way of comment from scholars on the views of the philosophers, and although this was not a requirement it did enhance the answers that were able to do it. Some answers chose one idea or argument from their chosen philosopher and wrote about strengths or weaknesses of that view. Whilst this was not necessarily a bad approach it was often not fully focused on the question

## Area 1C:The Study of Ethics

## Question 1 - Medical Ethics

This was by far the most popular question, with the majority of candidates choosing the topic of abortion or euthanasia. Some candidates had a very wide ranging understanding of ethical theory whilst discussing the issues with reference to well-deployed scholarship and modern day examples. Some candidates also applied Aristotle's virtue ethics and Aquinas' natural law convincingly. Other candidates identified important religious principles in the material they had investigated and discussed thoroughly the implications of these religious principles to developments in medical ethics. Some candidates did not address this question directly but instead wrote about the topic that they had investigated without relating it to the focus of the question.

Most candidates wrote about one topic but some who focused on principles and issues drew on evidence from a variety of topic areas. A lot of candidates relied on taught material from unit one (Situation Ethics, Utilitarianism) rather than showing evidence of independent research, although most were able to apply the material to the chosen topic. Those who had undertaken a more independent approach had accessed some interesting material from Warnock, Singer and current debates in the UK Parliament particularly about assisted death. Many candidates focused on sanctity of life versus quality of life debates including discussions of personhood. Many candidates made reference to issues of women's rights using Thompson and Warren. Some candidates were over-reliant on case studies from current affairs and did not analyse the issues raised.

## Question 2 - The natural world

In most cases candidates had a focused understanding of the various views of stewardship and linking this to modern issues in relation to the environment. Clear use of scholarship and examples was evident. Candidates expressed viewpoints clearly and with a consistent approach. Some candidates were able to refer to deep and shallow ecology, the Gaia hypothesis with reference to James Lovelock, Peter Singer and discussed the possibility of anthropocentric versus biocentric models. Candidates had clearly researched the topic of the environment in depth and often in a very specific area and incorporated it with a very good understanding of environmental ethics.

Some candidates were able to apply situation ethics, Kantian ethics and Utilitarianism to the issue, although some did present alternative arguments relying on capitalism versus stewardship. However, other candidates referred too much to the content of the environmental issue rather than applying and analysing ethical theories. Less able candidates tended to write a lot about current trends in environmental issues with often very little ethical or religious content at all.

The essay below shows how carefully the candidate used their material to answer the question. The introduction sets out how the candidate has made a relationship between the topic and the set question.

Recent scientific developments have shown that the Forth is being imetriexdbly offered by human activity, much of has being due to for glodidel economy (atthruyh there has been a reent decline) and compulsive consumption. Human trouts such as greed. Chat is arfuably freeing the feefle of God's Faith) and seff-cenhednosis seem to be toking over He as we know it. The foundation \& Chnistick ethos is lowe of toe v (the including a love and respect for his creation) and low of one's. neighbour. But is questionable whether this is bend caned out, or it 'Our interaction with the rural ervisoument is govemed none by greed then stewardship.

The ideas of dominion of the Forth and Le as we enow it. The foundation \& Christides this bs lowe of Woe (ties including a love and respect for his creation) and lowe of one's. neighbour. fut is questionable whether this is bend canned out, or it our interaction with the rural environment ;s premed mare by greed then steworabhip.

The ideas of dominion of the Faith and stewrerdshif can be seen to be very different. In lanesismel man is queen dominion of the forth; of the fish of the seas and the birds of The dir.. The dee of dominion often comes from Judea- Christian beliefs, fill humans have a special place and responsibility of the Ware.

In Apposition, the ides of steluardehip ian be seen as our duty to con for the Wold we are God's caretakus in bepulenonomup it says that boo's people must lithe their land so that the Lond (your God) may bless you (them) in all' they do, This means not to be greedy, but to respect and ware for Me Earth

On worn Areadthingearth net, between $5: 03$ and
 of carton emissions were given out by the Earth. This is luge surly sha reflects the globed economy and consumption we seem (as a people) so bossed with. It is colused by such thin o as Transport bransport of goods (and cheap cirthes, which only discourages recycling) and most imprantli by the bruldering of cites. In Genesis 1 , mai is turin domino on, fee in omens, it saps that death had been exercised in dominion from Aden to Moses. This wo be Therpocted as human bounds being goody, and ignoring the inept of stewardship. God gave us life set it seems we are colusing only death and destruction woken the anthoprentra How that piss hainan interests above that of dry other species or eisustems. The church of

England creteral synod, 1992 stated that oranion quen is that of stewands whe will have to render an account fo God' for the woy they have. rreated the Farth. if we are to Selieve thls, the entire porulation ioril nat be in a desirable pesition when we face Gred. There was recently on issue concerming the buildung of the thurd rumay at Heathrow airport. Mdny iods and envionmentalists complenied chout another remay being built, because at the cortion emais'ions commanly dssocidied with arcaiti. Perhas they sold only prod at the expense of the odrubd environment Twenty-eighiomPs rebelled obout the iswerbegase of this. Sout Francis \& Assisi believed Gund communi uates to us throuth the nalrunad Wond aso ase need to rale core $*$ it. Pertaps he would have ifreed with the rebelling Mips that the thut ruluay should nat be built. In the other hand, the Us romt-uond fundamentilat group of Chriptions who betius in the rappicel Jefes comuin bouk of the end of finu to rake us bo Aepwen) probubly wowlednt be warried obout the curbon erwnissions of the auporx derelopment They olyht say shat lood will
sour us alyflopy, and the Goth's desmution is port of God's plansit then is no need to worry. Arguably. they lease a very unethical views of shallow ciology, They seem to believe that the Each is lett if mole conditions for humour. and the Forth itself woes not led foxing ware $\alpha$ Perhaps is is wong fo believe that it down'? Matter how the Goth is fredred and That God Doen't mind. Font said that we hour a duty o other rational beings (we should respect and care to them). (i) Jut this pollution is not an act of wiversal benersline comonditinai love for all, It shows our pred, and our lack of concermis for generations to come, who will hove is line with the unsequences of wo wanting mare aiperst rulleaus, se be can go an mare plows, hurting the natwal environment. The idea of our irderation wist the chirompunt beets covered one by greed than by stewordetip'can be seen throwigh'the soke of meat demand-an important port if the cobol economy and our consumption "needs.". It seems to be that the richer we become, the mare meat we want to hove and the po be we become Singer argued then to treat
ther sfecies different due to an coscumed distination betureen us and them is 'speciesist' and wring fogesor Tim lond of the susbundle Bevelopment Comnision 2008 explowid the consequenes of this "speciesis" " op oacen He shid that the hidg denden of Nedt ( to Satisty conomic needs and consunption wayto strien, land, inotues enploitation of the sea, ond has a carbon impactinger argued that maly prople take the whthopocentre biew of human interests and owr greed - beins pore important than other speeies' interes's, befieving tout 'rood deean't care' how we beat the Eenth: aslong as we are satistied.

Gre⿻ Daver is Ethis Trough Didgrams suid Hat Arssote's vieu $A$ a hieraichy of living. thulis in thenced the riews if Suit Thomas Apuinos who believed that 'dll animals are natuadly sublet to man; So fristrite and Aquines may not dwe seen a morbem in the nidh weet demonds we lave Key pritully did not take the opprowh of deep eeroly Ame vassits formes: suid that ull animds have intrinsic value colle in thenseluses rotier than edrinsic alue (wadue only as for is they relde to wher speciess. Somedoy whing be deep eudogy
approach would sh Prat animals ate valuable in their. qua right - we musn't exploit them for our speed. We shad reflect the variety of lining thirst on the Ear (biodiversity).

The amount of shopping bays human bevin we retest how through the demands of economic lite and consuming constantly, or interaction with the natural ewirmment is poe med more by oped than stewoudship Thre-hurdred-and eighty billion plastic conies bays ate wed per years milia beans used every minute. Whatsmoe, each bay will to fo fine hundred years of dewy. This is an extremely long thoult or fine. This sst do - representation is an out of stewardship, of humans being cdetalkes if The Words Firstly the group of Us ript-aring fundamentalist would say frat this is not an issue - God will save usiso plastic bass affecting the owirownent is nat a problem at ole. Mohur then tutting in stewardshipither my chose to rect deep eeslogy $1 H$ idea of quality of life of the environment and all sears having intrinsic value in the selves): even it animals or suoygterns ane effected, ir dos not matter However Pore J HM Paul II said that p
is wrong to want fo have than to wort to be cine be a petter person) By chopping obsessincly and using up the valuable resources to moke chapping lao, we are not foin what Pope Io tn Paul It suggested we should be dorp (wrenting os be petter os people). Pendops he might how believed in ecordism, the ilea that. we should howe a conan for all species and ecosystems. We should respect the resources we wei and have coven for what or who we might be affecting due to our desmetion \% to nathan evirmment, for the sake of greed. We should show a respect for stewarsmix. as sated in Dewterorony, leaving shopping bags to decay fo so lond - at such a vel amount - is not an auk a' creaking Cod's Earth.

Different religime hold different ideas oud have different wolves, yet most sem to show a orem and respect for the owionmentplot religions. do not apse with reed. Hindus believe that then should protein rowe thrush heir faith, not exploit it A very different approach but still with respect fo the Earth is that it James Lovelock. He came up with the 'Craig hypothesis! (Gaia' being the gre goodies of the Faith) He said that life on tot arualle server bo stabilise the
conditions of the Fartin, We are there to sobbilise is' reeds. not the ther wan round, he aoved. The teleotogical uchument looks at Cood's eristence with uoo inductive ideds, it shows that it is Thely the Geath may have been created with purpose.

Mayfe whether a persm is Christion, Seek, Buidist-or any ther religia-they can say that bod (whichever orod if is) mide the Eauth with a purperse; it is not to be destrosed by o lask of shewadstip and care, and primority by greed. G perhaps it doem't buke a Chrish da or an onimpeadeist to see that the natuel enviroment is being affect by furou ausinity Momy ideas houe bean broudht doout to chorfe the Pousact Hyat ow preed is hubing the zocth for example, Mderigoter Vaiversity and Tesco have joined agpether In \& $\$ 25$ milion imestment to ficle dimpte chorge, Thes is called the $S C l$ and can be found at musiomehoster acilk. Bu resteetions. The Earth and paltuy if fist, the SCl are respecing biodiversity and deef ecalogy. Anowar idea is Enuma Bara's idea of "Of-settur". This is the ides that if one was bo for exomple houel by aiplare, they could contritute to a sopounction reducing diride cherige to put

四 『『
something bock into the eartoment This can be found at what bigqreenjemish org． In Genesis in saps loo sous the it che
Far（ th）was god But further on，in 1 sain h $/ 45$ ，ir states：The Gui lies polluted wider is inhabitans：（o）

In account of His，petals we should do Whet one Tow Pawl If said lo want to ie rather Than of aust ho havel because it is very clearform such examples as those aloe，that our iteration． with the nolo elwionnent is powered by the of pressed then stewardship？

## Question 3-Equality in the modern world

As with question 1, the best answers tended to be more aware of the contemporary religious, ethical, and political controversy. For instance, better answers on homosexuality seemed to have current knowledge of the Anglican debate over Gene Robinson and the threat of splits in the Anglican Communion. Some were well aware of the rival media commentary given by various bishops and theologians, and this was impressive when set against a backdrop of scriptural and philosophical information. A few candidates who utilised Martin Luther King's life as an example and explored the issues in the modern world did a very good job and managed to focus on the question at hand.

It is important that candidates are concerned by gender, race, and sexuality, but the passion and interest needs to be tied to genuine knowledge content. Some candidates explored the work of Martin Luther King but this was at times to the detriment of the question as it became an exploration of his life and work rather than an exploration of a question on the principle of equality. Some candidates did not refer to ethical theories at all and gave a history of Martin Luther King or women's rights without answering the question. Other candidates described social phenomena e.g. the changing role of women in society without entering into any analysis of the issues described.

The extract below is an example of a good introduction to question 3. The material in the first two paragraphs already promises a very interesting investigation.






 in the usd, the kitere of white perye are sir times.
 inplickion that undibe a whitc pesen is rakul h bality an blute pusen is ast, chedenging the stan of equitits in ch amben werd. Equally , most people behins that Shane woo ebocitw. in 1807 but lespitu thes. the ese mart then … 27 arition people in shexery at this moment, which is anore then any then in risteg The plilasophec Ac.
 Awot suilt on the burest of oberes. " houls it be saidt chet --
 and ow will to ahmance erar sotity is Hospeng at


Reading on in the same essay another interesting paragraph (below) flowed on from a discussion that skilfully covered a range of issues that were fully supported by reading that showed some independent investigation.

One gramps that will be sun to put approve of reparations an the Sastiguri, whose ter point cote says "Vo nut supt eisk, tithe or passusions that the whit man might import on_cyroce", sine repuctions are a from of ind, it is likely that they are not approved of by the Rastajui. Howsere, this facts hardly. promotes the principe of equality since it portions that "The white is ingurion to the beck person."
dilly to curse fruition better roles. The Rastajar religion was derelaged in rape's Sannein, whit was a time of groat poreraty. Since this state was created by the sher trade and coloridiom, could it be son that we are to Blame for creating a religion that promotes inequality.

The essay concludes with a reference to Utilitarianism and scapegoat issues that shows how the candidate was still mindful of the question and the final sentence leaves us convinced that there are indeed issues that challenge the principle of equality as the question invited candidates to consider.

Seapegonting lam ba dengenous ahen anisal with utilitarienism. This is the idea that an action should promot the gratest hoppiness for the groentest number, which sounds ok in therry but can he derastating in ratily. Acts like the Helowust, which wors the miginity deciding to destry the aminnety, con be completey fostified by retiltiren ethis. Althounh .. the theiry of itilitaranism wer developel in the 19ch centory, it is stid inflematial to the day: meny prople riumthe iden of "British fobs for British walks," qe be ok beraun it is for "The greater gool." Sine we hane het this theory persist to modinn times, it could be said that we hare ellowed, the equality bo in our suriety lo be challengel.

Oreill, there are many isocus thet chatimng the primiphe of equelity and it is impartant that sue continially question the ethics ans chers sumownding otese issuss. W/e hare ume a hary evay from the riense of chates Kingshy but owr equalleng still has a long way to wane.

## Area 1D:The Study of World Religions

## Question 1 - A study of one or more religions concerning ethical precepts and applied ethics

Some candidates included excellent information on greater and lesser Jihad with reference to scholars and religious texts whilst less able candidates simply wrote all they knew about Jihad. Candidates can improve their answers by demonstrating a much more detailed approach to studying any particular world religion. Islam has a strong tradition of hadith and candidates made little or no reference to these teachings. Since Islamic legal scholars are utilizing hadith as an adjunct to the Qur'an in their development of the Islamic legal system this would be an area for investigation that would have great relevance in an answer to this question because of its emphasis on ethical precepts and applied ethics.

The extract below from an introduction to a short essay refers to Qur'anic teachings but might have developed further if other sources were evident.


Some candidates focussing on Buddhism wrote about the history of Buddhism instead of about key ethical teachings/one or more moral problems. Some candidates did not address the question and simply listed stories associated with the Buddha. Candidates can improve their answers here if they show evidence of scholarship in their answer.

## Question 2-Religious pluralism; Inter-faith dialogue; Religious practice in a multicultural society, including the UK

There was a range of topics but some candidates who wrote about women in Islam offered a one sided debate and failed to offer alternative opinions. Some candidates wrote all they knew on the five pillars of Islam without applying this knowledge to the question. Other candidates who included a range of sources in their essays.

There were some very good answers on the Interfaith Dialogue and some candidates showed the intricacies of this dialogue and how, in one candidate's own words, 'difference does not diminish, it enlarges the sphere of human possibilities'.

The essay below shows an example of a scholarly account of the Interfaith Dialogue.
The uk is hewownd for its multi-faith comationg. - with $30 \%$ of ald prople clasiaing lo be a neviber
 - As a vesut , the comurusicatria tartwreu the me migicer 1) esseutrat and as Or Hans hung noles, ranomern 'Heni will be ve peace anowag Netigion whtheut dialague aunoug the ke bigica Thus unterfoith dicalogue the convounicaha suad urtesachic behaver pecpie of durferect pelugica) at the la wolviduad local xud profesucrical leveis.

 houre anseí as oueh.
later faith dialogue is an unpercont peatwe of dup wuhti-faith docietry. It las anieu as
 wutes mavag cutitane and pelagico in one
 ado urieured the unperfance as dialogue Tuse Histaks $\qquad$ $C M 8$ a $a, \quad a b \lambda$ $\qquad$ Levelo pacma
the local complict wi East Oxpord regarding. a avslive coul to preyper prom the local niosque, or or an siternahonal scale, zucha as awhi-Sevine attacks or telconsie. Curneut afferis, such as Presclat Oboma's recest address to the Muskiu combuality, has pushed the concept of wiclusionine b) the forefrost of modern socieing.

As a woulf, mishatives have been talues bothe prome a theological and begol perspectrie to addness the sitwathon As eorly us the surenteenth cuntry. Johur Loche conmented an roleranct.... a) 'the duif chacterishe of the nue chuuch'. .... bocal Eccumenial Projects lave bese establúlued between Methodist and Anglecais awuchers, in varuy meiehes, whilst on an anteriational scale the Anchraic-Ronaad Cathalie In kuacitical Comuissisi (ARCIC) ues sought to bodqe tue divide behweer the denourvatias. Sinsilsily, the Lombeth econpereuse of 1998 dirisised and concluched that ohsurds buildigis sould be pred per wultimpitu ure a Degathy, the inchisuist struce how swown through. Antre erghirere of the Decloratien of thuren Rughbs' states that 'eneryane has the ..nght to perdar of chaice cassornce
and veligicn', and the 'nglat ha manyest bis rengion in wosluip, prouse er practice

These very public vew pansb zet out the UK's glueri unclusinst stance with ugords to veligion and the witegraticn of relgion with zuch public ob accurences as the catrowersy Doner a teacker weaning the Hijab bo xhool in 2008 we the comment reade by Dr Rowen Willscivas Archabiohof of Conierbury, about the plowsabiliby of suanah Legiolahis for unsbius, inkerfaith dratogne aud the promevience of mutti-faith communites is cosdout.

Consequeutly, wshtohoos haue saught to publacally declave their uidusivisus. The Roypel An Eerce is designid to protect the vatron as is whole... and ane thes undsennumbire in thei adunizicas poling provide chupleuins fer the nix veofre wald fenths and allaninger Qutani neligious dre)s (such ovithe wecinug of hurbaus pro ioules) provideng apehy is not yeapordised. wike farth dealogus
solving the problewt that arie is a mulhi-fouth poneng quadre as the uk, then the concept has wel with vuste ouccess. Howenes, there has been much theologicas Hesisieace bo the comenuricatica betweer nelugicn aud even denomuminins. Whilst Protestants meyy struggle to necoguse Papul pnneacy, Cablokes masy not ochnculadge non-Cathoni orders aud pacranents. Another problem Hat has anseis is the concent of compehing muth clavin, which are the core as religion A is not possible to uggest draivishuy of truth savis such or the Chishin Tnining, or the blamic oneves of Athals, whilst causing $b$ be totall y aicusive And Huns the fuedanuntats of mbifen at a chellengisy prospect to total inclisuisue. Solvation for chrishens, Musumis and Siths, is fand in the very different wafs, throngin Jesus, the pisie putces of lalan, and 'hame Survain', respectuby sirulaly, old Testament gynastrim such as the Golder calt whist clavirrey aldegrence to Vohweh, has alwewfs been met wits negative concequence usa fracit judgemex Whilst we can bearn fram anoties's
magicn = Thomas Menter adopted Buddhist Methods of mevitahén in oreder bo purtuer kie ount (hishen foilns-wny ade wary abowe trotal welomiy of religion

To this eno, it would thempere be mypocinicad to maggest or clowie trotad waldsivaly whilst manistnamy disbinct bulies. Whils whewh dekgions are buckitve with negerds to wiro esu beheve such as - John 3.16 whoner belsecies... ball hace eternal Life, and aalatins $3: 28$ (u, Jexu) them is weither Jew vis areen, shense us fees'? thy wre exclusuie is other wafy, such as Saluathin. Chiahons ate tavght that 'Satvahen is fowd is ncouse else' (Acto $6: 12$ ), -whist nusturs must excosciby state the Shahada ln there wo religions, the cascept of belie anct canvericin io eves poomunciat as the wata soculy is uarewingly hiving rode my ride and yet the cacerpe of unchsivisus Jemas to conflict His fundamenteal elewent.

Ghould this 'problews of dishncho ane conversion be overcove by social unciusios.
agaement mort anssly be the only extent. Religins can unite in their rimilsitis, wech as the promotion of prace, diginty and mepect un warzowes aba for the envirancen. The Assisi decicraha of 2002 sought to wuite world aud faith Lencless da Ins proper shewerdship and Hia prowed surcespel - an envianneutal aud theological veves. Sinidaly, the Cornguela fans dation in Uorthon Cuelad unikd Cathokis aud Protestents in the canfict to begis a bealcing procss: And flusy it reewo witerpaita chalogue cuad wduniwius can solue tue issue thut wulh-fuith gocihes cus bring. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Howeves, this is not tave in vancy cages, and only ever to our exput. There i) a great and inmment danger of acceping a plesalishe appraach he fouth, is John Hich does, which -an situn chivinish the dishnchvess and exclisvity that is the esrence of seligion furabsis, when taken is is philosopliacal fosm, repes to a theory or syskem that recagnose move theu one whreake goa. Howeres.

Huey Juch un approads cau ouly Lead bo Hu
 it Hais buppeus to a wancient exlent. there will be we 'math-pails comunumber, andonky 'raith' as a whate. $\qquad$

In Fucthernowe in is aw effar io becowe diplouahi and polihiably cosrect relugisa i) bricy sudebued tu 2008 Huse Perrie war sached as a sexif of ofleniny bo proug fre us elderly pabext on the gromds Huat it intestersed wuth equad nghb on the Mahencal tealth oruce Ou asiviler occusicay
 unpuse Lexue when she heured fo renoue ke cross verhbor: This-was zen-by mauy as wataix, pelnwlsy becases tue Hijels anch Wara bangles ceuld be wo w fer muskube sual Hundus, uspechiuley Chasherity Jas been sadelued is au atheupt ho be iuchaiue towsdy obkar whificns. Ao stanley Havedwas. wines in The Theologisad thes of stanaley Haueswas', 'the uxargiucabishen of conshaity is ospectical ur the racirtaneace of dewacrahic socucit order.

This statement seens to epitonuie commar social thinkingtand yet it is seftcorbadictary. Las ou effert to acheen 'democratic social order', He Clasiscianty is buing dininizhed, which is Qraiks ndt 'demecratie' is tive such as this, the natural instrinct is to defend seligen to a mere exhewrear exkent, which could cead bo vodence. One only has b foale bo the vacieare in Mushire woven arearing the Aiquab, a thingy A uncleryromal cherches in Canumuist Russiath to see this

And 20 it seems that the best appraach to the problems Heat anje in a multi- taith sonily, is bo be anclusiue $m$ an exteut, but not bo a deyne that baris paradamental beleis of aneligica are usderwised Chinshavily is wholly ischosue in who can be a curithen, aud yet the it nevuris exclusive in [t's finad juefgement. Jolur 14.6 sates that nocus can go to the father ercept twrengh [Jemar]', which rejeds Pluraksine. However, it also says in John 14.2 that 'In my Father's hovis thene ate many roons'; inslang
 als owe Hu world. If the concept that ad biluef is relatrie or that the best ielogien is to peate the Hohy uave withe low and bo cho gooe deeds (Gurse Gisuth Jabib.) then surely there will be wo 'teligion' as we lavaw it pe bever with be 20 blurved aud sunthestsed thect paith does uot usather, quad 坆ut geabutica sau be acturued through pesscad goinor acturiement. As Dr Hans Wung achucuwhedged, weierienila dualogue is an poleubial aspret ot ou-peoceprap
 uncusinisu Movid ond To go aug qurther woold diünish wligica aud violen way ensue,
 notes in wis book 'Duishy of Dufperesce', Opteience does uos diuunish it euleres Hhe shere os humas possibilities' wherfaith dialogue aud pahai ablusivisu is a viable ues a wapiriat appet bo solving He pooblews that wnse pau wath parth Joubhes bout it is only a meckanusu for ..... undersicucheag and frus odininct bebip mart tewnis throngh tue wacistain onse of fundameutad core math cluwis.

## Question 3 - Contrasting Standpoints on beliefs about God and/or existence

Some candidates responded to this question using Hindu and Buddhist traditions and knew the Hindu scriptures well and were able to offer an interesting analysis of atman using the set text. These answers were able to compare and evaluate teachings on life after death from both religions and were able to draw sophisticated conclusions using sound religious terminology. Some other candidates offered an excellent account of the teachings about life after death in Islam but did not always understand the Christian teachings on life after death and sometimes mixed up Christian denominations.

This question demanded knowledge of contrasting views and there can be a possibility of answers becoming one-sided if candidates are not confident about a tradition other than their own. However, a majority of candidates appreciated the differences in belief and their research conveyed the desire to understand in greater depth other views.

## Area 1E: The Study of The Old Testament/Jewish Bible

## Question 1 - Religion and Science

A number of candidates concentrated either on archaeological-type responses e.g. Noah's Ark or the walls of Jericho and highlighted, though useful debate, the contrasting views of scientists and theologians. Most candidates looked at Darwin and were clearly well-read, particularly using him in tandem with Richard Dawkins. Many candidates were rather one-sided in their approach to the religion and science debate and opportunities to refer to the Old Testament narratives were generally missed. Scholarship in the Old Testament is extensive and is best deployed with the relevant textual extract from which the theological issues emerge.

## Question 2-The nature of God

Some candidates answered this question with an examination of the notion of God as personal and backed up their views with a wide range of very useful and contrasting biblical quotations, both from the Law and the Prophets. Some also used prophecies that linked the personal nature of God to the coming of the Messiah.

The notion of holy was well-tackled through the use of scholarly opinion backed up by the Prophets and the Psalms. Evaluation was interesting and varied in approach, from the evangelistic notions of God's embracing agape love, through pre-destination, heaven and hell to philosophical notions of free will and epistemic distance.

## Question 3-Job and the problem of evil and suffering

Some candidates were able to examine the problem of suffering in Job and compare it with textual narratives elsewhere, most notably the Genesis myths. Many then went on to look at philosophical notions, particularly the Augustinian Theodicy and natural and moral evil. Scholarship was clearly evident and references were made to the redeeming and atoning love of Christ. However, other candidates just re-told the Job narratives then wrote about philosophical notions, but were unable to relate the two in a very meaningful way.

The essay below shows how the candidate had implicit knowledge of the Book of Job and steered the reader through a range of ideas that had clearly been investigated. The style of writing shows that the candidate was comfortable with the topic and could convey a clear discussion which fulfilled the demands of the question.

The Book of Fra dracisses the prodder of evil and suffering wii an
 get sooth and papering He then suffers greatly lycians Satan shan Gad to test how shrug Jobs lowe for God i. Satan sumpecta lint Job is only faith to God bacoure of the venurnch is bangs, the predicts their if Tob is rebectos ts great sinferinghe mill lease wis fanti and reject Golf The Bock of twa is descinome as a unepre phulosopluist debate that attenuate to axsumery or attest offer wisight ink the problem of sufferwig. The Book ashe a specific question -" leven do good or righted people suffer $?^{\prime \prime}$ as well as booing units the Jemsin dogmon hel gould peale will provide and the cord mill suffer. The rest of The ode Testament in frith it is set is ...erg distant and moths features the history of the Jews and the lams bird dew n


The Rack of Job is interpebed by some peqpe to after pure specific andes: to the problem of suffering, these inchoate, $G o d$ beng unit, Suffering being sod to tess, wear and have fool' chickeren panicking mivedness (neeoginisit or unecognieio), to unprae firth and cherater and specifiable, whthough it is quatroned, that singing creates suffering. This view is held bs mans but it can still be debated that The ed n of Tob does $x$ ot presser nevin any assure to the
problem of zul and rutferng.

Many people hold he view thet the Bodn of Jols offers no condaine canoser to the probleme of siterning. If Con be orgenect that becanse 500 is viewied as a (neorla), wholly nightres. .... man his unftrang is ingsatified and sa no lemons cans he learned fom hise example Howerer many beline thet Job wer gilly. of one in - diaintoreshedpiety. It is staked thet Jo in a wex goos mm , who God fecls mill survier the test, but iA is dro enident that Jot doer not help he poory which in dizasiced in alve mayoity of The oll Testament. Therefore, it is enconagged thet we do accept The poobe of Ido to lee a tegithate exaunte of the poblem of sufferiny, kecarsx pore is ansunce sin.

Honever
Fovevere, wany feet that The Bosher of Sols dees tweh is that were be weseare for roffecing, or the is not ore thel we have a right to mow and understand In Godi ansuer to Id it is Showr that Godi ominacence arel ommipterkee spe not to be quethione dor modertrod. Therreforec. it is suggested that the reason for styting is entry whom to God and shall wot be avaide for poan to hma

The Boolv of Job is mithin The old Teotarent, whin prenend a chear orgerent por the problem of afterigy Ween combriad with the Jemsha viess hat in Pradrved ni The ad Testarent, is is stated bet he problems
of suftering orise beconce of sin. The commating of sin is shomm to here a dore comection with stenting, and that not sinsing in

 of fatam ad Ene. It un at this point hat serfenag anderid
 Winch - Eraginal $\sin ^{k}$ Therefone un The Old Testarenter,
 to the problon of stferis.

There one tho philssoplancal aguvento which also dasciss the prolem of sufferig as an attermatine toi Jola, hamenertlere


The part isn Angratinime theadein whit draconses bon mond
 We whole of corection is good cond if featires a hienachuy of berizs wad all hove a golt ni Anels divise scheme (a poterior)-
 wovel have has to Greate if sure the creoted ex wilils (6ut of adheg) Therefacecri) in ar privanai of gact Au-glohe

 Hat moed evil cones from ow intreited fewendary ta sin, Te bodit
 Ix dicans ve doben of fres inf an sin and sffering bocing
conrected Hosuever Angwaines' Heoding in soul-deciding ane The Bodu of Sts in agralaly pave forl making. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
The second phiosophicial angrent for offerny coves inc the formen of the Ineaneav keodicin. The prense of Nris sol-raling angureat is thet hnowas bengs heve nor heen
 tromale ik (A postwior). Angeshine ditingusties betwer ke inage of Gocl wuic wan has ont n likeness of Goed hosk men veede to gain He angues hak thei proces wil renut is an chernel life was here is suptius thich srogues for thexe ane tho plenen of fost the rocem, these are - Goctecrerting is in his imape whils is a contometrin of tra eqution pracers ab exgasing a
 in ${ }^{4}$ concurcte atistons". Ineareams' ansener to *se
 rand inat we we lested in aneler fo remer pepechiss the oglesthe we shou this ape improvencit bi ho who - "Scand aveler gobeds" and "Maral goal" "Secons ouder gooda" are mose not an the nesmlt \& cill, such an carparmion,
 tin prestaing ed thateh intellignt cloices. The Ireaveamer
 discrues be clanc of siffery benig uned as a tex
[was to impore firt, orwenater and brig in to "erefection"

In condusios it appears evident that The Boh of Jow does offr a anouse for the problem of rfferingend, Herefore, does inck simply teask wh thene is vo esplanction.
The Bock of Sbe in exchrian, postalates that the veasow for sufferrigig may be sin, but is " rof for is to underthansl
Goder papase and be \& sittering shode wat be quemined by wi Howerer it cun be arguce hat ruffering does improve frith and boid chacheber.

In exchrion the Sook of Job cam be said to ind per aflly conctrive agyment to ex the poidens fosifteng. Thextore the ade tentarmont ace drideropheis wlens thould whe be saed to bay ad decifiec a posable unsuren tr the podeneq sittering. If all sottes we cseed ni orcle- to adtenct and conchrive Agument to ex 'in podens of siffening. Therifore The
 aod decifiec a pater pasable conswer th the polden of siffering. If all sotres we asech ni orde- to atbenet ant find a expansto for the pedolen forfforag it may bec agred se have a larger varge f explencticono, unch mas hring is deaer to

 parpose for wing suffing. Whal we do learn is that God is a jist God sho attingte to sive is prough Jerwor Jear!, aphin
 stald hel ine poor wa hare sinmed and not involve oursden in Ner punshuate as Sook, whe co grits, with be for wis thi hatonear of Herra.

In all sarkes bat zarcularty Tols we are faces will a
 is suftring and deache Gool mat hoe a reamom fore $H$, whist also choosing to tryy ale help thase mo sunferg or bebeve nat the effering eneof wesken in the modch is tow great for the exndbente of a onmiplent onnselint, beravatent God, Ghis view is thatrea by mang who eather lecceve athensto or petike nat the deprition ane diranetem-tues of bal most be
 Job many mill choose frith ower vensom, and aceedr sffering expists for our benefit, derpite theer the berefits und aluyg being erident. The Booln of Jole aloes offer Qubueve for the prodelem of sutfring a-d exstevice of aist in He notel, bil woo drallengea troustioned bediefs. Ir woo, Connincingy, hemids is of or igraranee adeal Gode' pupase, santar encouruging Hale Goo is yas ans nat finth wile of han, (nearly admeng) bee n setter cheice in hubying sufferizs thane demging the existence of Cock and a prose fore sotering.

## Area 1F: The study of The New Testament

## Question 1 - Religion and Science

Nearly all candidates approached this question by looking either at the miracles of Jesus or the resurrection, and contrasting them with philosophical ideas. Some candidates were able to offer good, scholarly argument both in support of miracles/resurrection and scientific arguments against. However, a large number of candidates tended to write two essays and link them together. The first, an account of Jesus' miracles and the second an account of the arguments against miracles. This was not really what the question was asking.

## Question 2-New Testament ethics and morality

Most candidates concentrated on either Jesus' teachings at the Sermon on the Mount, or the parables and applied them to the moral issues chosen. There were references to Old Testament Law, particularly the more gruesome aspects of Deuteronomy, contrasted with the agape love of Christ. Some candidates highlighted the discrepancies in Christ's teaching, for instance, on divorce. Many candidates included ethical theories, most often situation ethics and utilitarianism and then evaluated them against the scriptures.

## Question 3-Life after death

Some responses contrasted the resurrection narratives and Paul's teachings from 1 Corinthians 15 with philosophical arguments surrounding immortality of the soul, reincarnation and bodily resurrection. However, as with question 1, some candidates wrote two mini-essays and stuck them together, one on the resurrection and the other of philosophical notions. The results were often a little lop-sided and lacked depth of argument.

The essay below is a good example of a solid top level answer for this topic.
St. Dant mites that nething, mot nugli, nor demans, not even death can prevent mmmind reviring loós lore. st. Paul Say> this in relatum to the both of semsi resincection He stas Hin Roman o: If jesus did nor raice from the dead wor of all peaple ar to be pitied. He buys trix a>. by jollaring the belif. D Jesm resincectan we have gaved ehernal ife. Mowluer, if Jesus hand not roxe from the olend then wre have grien ap ow only hope of Jaluation by rejeching the Judawim lans aumd Jolloming the Torats But this is nat ser says Panl becoause Jesms did ris from the Lead Surgam Neltmana Smig" "ohrinmint Shands.... Oc Jalt on the remity of Sesul oning from the clend" This is rey sumitac peint to Pauls. Whem astere Paul is ashed. "with what boods do ane come?" Pand rebinhes then.... culling... them doalse the ..... This concepr if Soum mapecishable raisid imperisinable follus the painciph I Dinalisin. The itea of Dralism is the
 dis, bor the soni sontimias on bo hemen where is is cmmortal and ehernul.

Tove wright + howerer questroms the He quistions ALe mecining of the temo "resurnectudin" He belieis it an
 not see loy many othes


pesercectuen apperunus - onty in Macks longer ending St. Paul


 or Flef, then the bivelue, then he mow then 500 ." Panl Melents the phase "atcoatimue hith the $2 c r i$ ptrines" as a ereded Statimmor .... it mes forcheld it waite hapoen ght the people did at beline.

H6 were the resimetron is qusteaned anomy seholara some

 Sohn $r$ we an told of the piecing of tresmi sob with a sperur. This fores he whe deglinatily deate. Offers quationed

 uneal the tronb $n$, they, has wher in is The gave lethes stll remein but the bod doe not. The shom it shas not a gave robbery.

Monover within a commentiny by Habbemmas s. the puetion of the disiples behaior is descibed. The disiples presonidomere. converts, scared to sprach melif and hiding in lochedraoms.


 that, but the fist plues the drimples operen the word y


 Jerss rope frem the deus conld canie this kind d) Jaith

 Bnt another end fo an fuifel Mewiüh." This shoun the achometgement of a change. This rlafes to Habbecots. in that enly that risumetion - this thost chamge could onke Jeams ang thing more theom on Joild Masinh.
 He Repluin theag. thic dasribes it as ahat if at the
 place ara plenet. A Jpintinal plane.... He Jtater thet this theore is powible becambl use is all - pawerfants this theory is somentar


 so dow the - other....... Inix is Kurfous comenting that in elation b the gapethm... Seima is pasin mith a bachyt the sare bady in satu we see danbling Thomm ashing is see the halde in in bis
 "Iomble, see, put one hands in Ay somands no spint has glesh... on his bowes a thave... This show the senglamoution of
then being a bodys ib




Sponteul tressease by thupe ith disipke hide is a cocked room and Jevi appero 10 Hem and bestow the foly Jönt upan tem.

 Home qustions our need jor an abler life at at Ne
 houe an continmen exsence, mant is his weime be belidice then waul not be. A Suholur agms with this saysing that aur hise may oot be cempleted or that we mo lase hod and be laved in retwen indog in our shas iffopang there, therefore must he life aftr decth as shy monil coal albom no t. die so swa in our derdoptanele. Thin mand itrivy of proat is herived from the pospllapand the brosere seomat
$\qquad$
 the refen "memoxtem partmastem axishence" by Inying it nis combehiths. the gevis the exumple of a plame woms - the rither surves of gen Aie There is sa thial eptrein. To surwis chenth is thathe Lounberatukemear. A sudeler uplies thet to connuar death is the finis aim.

Tom corsigh commento by the idne of a nem inenesis. In that this songmenisy g death .as mancle real. buchat Lad boum

 $\qquad$ Yovever when iM thio time came ? Thex a no knns it
untainty hovining tha this eneat mill happer. John Hut nes - his dem f Exhamlogital enfucitrei. He imxisions 2 Trudlles mulking bam. roud tak one beluex it temelw to the velestail its. He olther belieries it mill lend be nothins. bareves....neither id hurm if the other is nghr watei thes Mush ns cad of the punth. The meame that bose shing rexh the end have no ke wey of comiter bach fromedecth to kell as whe as living is such a place exiaked. For this nason, a scholar comment on this "when of rismaction and the ider. of pores. He skas that masem duy prople areeper the domertain and sass an the Messinh ber why?

 Aren the tholno shom sevetting did buppen an that Firiv Eushr Siring, Jamething ren Jpecini indeud.

Area 1G: The Study of Christianity and the Christian Church
Question 1 - The development of the Church up to and including the reformation
The majority of candidates chose to write about Calvin and Luther when discussing how Developments of the Church were marked by crises and clashes of personalities. Most candidates came to the conclusion that the Reformation gave rise to Biblical scholarship and theology. The ambiguities in Church doctrine helped to form a compromise between Protestantism and Catholicism which in turn had repercussions for the spread of Christianity. As regards clashes in personalities candidates asserted that Calvin drew a lot of his theology from Luther and as a result was not as individual yet his contribution was great in terms of the influence of his theology on Church organisation, the Doctrine of Predestination and the need to gain clarity from the Bible. Other candidates did choose different time periods for this question, some chose to write about Cyprian of Carthage.

The essay below shows the work of a candidate who had very secure knowledge of Church History and who could adapt this very well to answer the question. The essay was full of well deployed knowledge and critical analysis.

The $11^{\text {th }}$ Centre reform movements were one of the most crucial ..... moments. for the development of the Chunctn, wevelypng chnstenction ... rom the backward compel skate it was in the chub ages asci reeking to morally reform its people into the more moclerm cimbization the at we cen still recognise fueler

 reform over the coming centres and ss believed by D. Amman to be the case o the tension between the church and state whish seatinued ep to the prosentoby. Lapuch also notes that its mometant to recognise that 'the papery wow put into the hand of the ryeyomess by the very people th was ter to destroys:

Clabber o personalities ore or save muvitable when ryomenng anything, and the $/ 1^{t}$ Century redon nomenob were no deferent. The est ace that the Revormus,
sought $k$ del with was the idea of lay. mussthore for centuries the church ai had slowely become pnuahsed when local rollers who build chuckles and monastwes on their land subsiguently fault they had the right to appoint the next pres of r abbot. So when Leo ix and Gregory VII saught to abolish the this idea it is easy to see how a celarhof. personalizes could fate place: This was particularly obvious with He the Moly Roman Firperor who had for the ungerity of the $1^{\text {th }}$ Century been the appositer of tho tope; and so in 1059 when Nicolas II isuved a announcement sung Hat the next Pope was be elected through en college of cardinals as was saidin the Canons (leaders of the church should be elected from within the dergy. \& it s easy to see how the dash of personalities gave rise to the investiture controverg Henry III (Holy Roman Emperor) even went so jar as to call Gregory III an overmighty monte. A Although this clash ep perromalites was an issue for the Church, and some may argue thant the mat crusade wens trenched ar a show \% power between Urban II and the Holy Roman Emperor. Ied not beteve that there was ever a moment in this reforms when there wei cast, there may h eve. been chaos; when Gregory III excommunicated then ing III byt the power was always with the church because of the pear it caused the ordinary People of Chostendiom
over its ability to make you enter heaven; and a good example of the power of the church was shown - He way Hey dealt with Simony. Simony, the buying or selling of Seed things was a chpticult thing to stop. propmarlly because neither priest nor layman really wanted it to stop. The church therese. suoght -m: the convention be the gunansper this reporm to kike place. They asked that snore attend the never of those thew h have committed many They hell several reforming compels which asked Bishops or Abbots to swear that they had not used simony. to obtain their position. D. Arocilen again believes this to be the origins 9 the oath against concussing which is still swore byor the election of any bishop. $~+~ A b b o t$ or Pope now. The mend power of the Church to influence the congregation was one of thar most purged weapons as it specyticolly meant that crisis and a clash \% perromalites could be avoided.

The Celibacy of the clergy was the final area that the reformers sought to challenge. This us again a difficult area to challenge as Lynch says thant its important to ofestinguish between a priest who visits prostitutes andine who is married. This was a delicate area for the papacy as it was likely to lead backlash op personalities within the church as many priests would not want to leave their wives, and could potentially cause a rytin
the church as large as the East west Schuss which could be regarded as a crisis. lacked the popularity of making the Gungy celibate was - shown when the Archbishop of Rem es was stand for trying to make hispmests celibate again the church had to work more slowly and subtally. I 1109 it was made mandatory for priests to be made celibate in the Roman kike. Then in the Seond Lateran Counsel 1139 a canon was made which save that noose was to attend the masses of those known to have wived the result was that by 1200 there wore very jew marnecdpnests a fact which was later confirmed ad the courclag trent and one which is still with is belay.

The Dutatus Plague were the a source of the greer conflict or controvery at this hame, they outlined the popes personal jealings about his position and showed that outlined the way in which the church was to develop over the following centuries and the power the pope was to have "That of the pope alone all princes shall kiss Luspert.. That the pope alone has the power to depose or remstate bishops "This wasoy grecit controversy and san \& be seen as the
cave for all later conflict between the state and the church over the following centuries, parkularly between the eastern churchiond the Holy Piman Impure who did not believe the pope $A$ be so stacewequl.

The success st thus pernod a the churches History an only be highlighted by the pact that there were very pow clashes of persacility and no marked cusses s crisis. Whilst dasher of personality was mevitable at a higher tavel during a reform it seems that spurred by thevangelical revival an the early the century many of the people of christecion openly wekomed the reform. At this time emore manasterges were set up than at any other. and unlike other reforms there was apphyscal threat to the church. 隹 The we of tact by be pacy enabled many eyorms to be porter through slowly but with sigunate success. The mother of powerful establishments such as Cluny seenes)'to have motivated the people to lake of the reform, a point which is later coniine. by the Luge numbers who set out to goo on the first crusade.

The only major crisis which may or may not be included as part of the reform is
that of the east-wed schismin? which mary be the result e of a reform however it seems it was really at clash of seas that lect to this Excommunication. If could however be argued that the regor movements were an attempt to reestablish communications with the east which develop out of shock from this crises.

In Conclusion I do not believe the $1^{\text {th }}$ Century reform movements to have been marked with censes. Whilst it is mevitable that a clash of personalities will occur dung a reform, what is remarkable about this one is the power which the papacy seems to have held over the ordinary people of Christendom so that very Jew of these clashes actually resulted in anything, / a point which was proven when Henry III was excommunicated and so lost control of his country. Therefore using its mew found prestrege, tact, and the ability to strike fear into its people the - church was able to hold one obits most. necerany and crucial repormatroms in its histarg without any senovs political or phyricate threads or crises.

## Question 2 - The Modern Period

The majority of candidates identified Luther as a key figure to investigate and were generally able to demonstrate a thorough understanding of his theology and the impact this had on the development of the Christian Church. Candidates were able to explain and analyse Luther's teaching on Sola Fide in detail and could highlight the impact this would have on the Christian Church. Others chose to look at liberation theology, referring to the work of James Cone, arguing that black theology was the counterpart to black power. The significance of oppression or racism and the need for spiritual liberation was analysed with candidates concluding that Jesus is the liberator of the black people within the context of white oppression. Some candidates opted to discuss Liberation Theology as a movement referring to individuals such as Oscar Romero or Gutierrez.

## Question 3 CHRISTIAN BELIEF AND PRACTICE

Most responses discussed homosexuality and sexual ethics. Responses included a detailed description of biblical references relating to homosexuality e.g., Sodom and Gomorrah references in the Book of Leviticus and in I Corinthians. Church teaching was discussed, particularly that of the Roman Catholic church, developing the premise that homosexuality violates Natural Law and as such cannot fit in with Christian beliefs. Some candidates were able to cite key events and developments towards the Church moving away from an absolutist interpretation of teachings showing that the Bible teaching has not changed but the people that follow the teachings have changed. References to Gene Robinson were discussed in the light of this.

## Grade Boundaries

6RS02: Unit 2 - Investigations

## 1A - The Study of Religion

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | D | E | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 50 | 40 | 34 | 28 | 23 | 18 | 13 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 |

1 B - The Study of Philosophy of Religion

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | D | E | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 50 | 42 | 37 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 20 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 |

1C - The Study of Ethics

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | D | E | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 50 | 40 | 36 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 20 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 |

1D - The Study of World Religions

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | $D$ | $E$ | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 50 | 40 | 34 | 28 | 23 | 18 | 13 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 |

1 - The Study of the Old Testament/Jewish Bible

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | D | E | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 50 | 40 | 34 | 28 | 23 | 18 | 13 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 |

## 1F - The Study of the New Testament

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | D | E | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 50 | 40 | 34 | 28 | 23 | 18 | 13 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 |

## 1G - The Study of Christianity and the Christian Church

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | D | E | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 50 | 40 | 34 | 28 | 23 | 18 | 13 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 |
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