



**ADVANCED SUBSIDIARY GCE
PHYSICS B (ADVANCING PHYSICS)**

G492

Unit G492: Understanding Processes/
Experimentation and Data Handling

INSERT

**Wednesday 9 June 2010
Morning**

Duration: 2 hours



INSTRUCTION TO EXAMS OFFICER/INVIGILATOR

- Do not send this Insert for marking; it should be retained in the centre or destroyed.

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- This insert contains the article required to answer the questions in Section C.

INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES

- This document consists of **8** pages. Any blank pages are indicated.

1. Uncertainty in a calculated result

When thinking about the uncertainty in an experiment, you need to consider the uncertainty in every measurement. It is important to identify the most uncertain measurement, because this is the measurement which will be most worthwhile improving. Also, this uncertainty is the one which contributes most to the uncertainty of the final result. Fig. 1 shows a chart of repeated measurements of the breaking force of samples of the same cotton thread.




Fig. 1

There are no outliers in this data, and you can easily find the mean of the 30 measurements, which is 12.0 N. The spread (half the range) is 1 N, so the value is (12 ± 1) N, giving a percentage uncertainty of 8%.

Measuring the diameter of the same cotton thread with a micrometer shows that the diameter measurement is less variable than that of the breaking force, so you can get a minimum estimate of the variation in breaking stress from the variation in breaking force.

In this case, the diameter of the thread is 0.24 mm (2.4×10^{-4} m), giving a cross-sectional area of 4.5×10^{-8} m², so the breaking stress can be easily calculated for the maximum and minimum values of breaking force. This allows calculation of the mean breaking stress and also an estimate of its uncertainty.

2. Water rockets

The use of water rockets is a popular way to study force and motion in A-Level physics. This article describes an experiment carried out by students with a particular water rocket on the school playing fields. A plastic drinks bottle is used with a toy rocket kit that involves filling the bottle one-third to a half full with water (Fig. 2). This is then attached to a foot pump via a valve and tube. As air is pumped into the bottle the internal pressure increases. When the pressure inside the bottle exceeds the maximum capacity of the valve, the valve and tube are forced free and the rocket takes off.




Fig. 2

Due to the internal pressure, the water is forced out in a downward direction. An equal and opposite force is exerted on the rocket which accelerates it upwards. The water is all released very quickly and the rocket continues upwards until it reaches its maximum height. From here it falls back to the ground.

The students found it very difficult to make measurements and eventually settled on using a digital video camera with a freeze-frame facility to measure the height of the rocket every 0.5 seconds.

The following table contains an average of several trials. In each case, the rocket was fired vertically into the air.

time /s	0.00	0.50	1.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	3.00	3.50	4.00
height /m	0.0	12.4	19.9	24.2	26.4	28.1	27.9	24.4	20.0

The students carried out a second set of experiments later the same day, using exactly the same experimental arrangements. This time, however, there was a horizontal wind which affected the overall path of the water rocket. The rocket now travelled a mean distance of 37 m away from the original launch position, although the maximum height and time of flight were similar to the earlier experiments.

3. Robert Millikan and the Planck constant

Albert Einstein, in one of his great 1905 papers, showed that the kinetic energy of electrons emitted by the photoelectric effect is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = hf - \phi$$

where the work function ϕ is the minimum energy needed to remove the electron from the surface of the metal. The American physicist Robert Millikan was not convinced by this theory, and devised an elegant experiment to test it.

A simplified diagram of the apparatus Millikan constructed is shown in Fig. 3.

Pieces of the alkali metals sodium, lithium and potassium were mounted in an evacuated glass envelope on a support which could be rotated to permit experiments on the three metals separately. Light was incident on the metal being tested, causing the metal to emit electrons which then struck an electrode, forming part of a circuit.




Fig. 3

The current in this circuit was measured as a varying voltage was applied to repel the electrons from the electrode. When the measured current was zero, the potential energy provided by the 'stopping p.d.' V was equal to the kinetic energy of the emerging photoelectrons, so that

$$eV = hf - \phi.$$

Millikan plotted working graphs for a number of different wavelengths of light. By extrapolating back to zero current, he found the stopping p.d. V in each case.

The graph of Fig. 4 shows his data for light of wavelength 546.1 nm, which he used to find the stopping p.d. of 0.55V.




Fig. 4

He was then able to plot graphs of the stopping p.d. against the frequency of the light to check Einstein's equation.

One difficulty that Millikan had to overcome was that the stopping voltage as measured was not equal to the photoelectric p.d. produced by the effect of light striking the alkali metal. There was an extra p.d. involved, which is the contact p.d. between the alkali metal used and the copper conductor to which it was attached. These contact p.d.s were initially found to vary, but by careful preparation of the surfaces of the alkali metals, and the use of a good vacuum, Millikan managed to obtain constant contact p.d.s throughout his experiments. He knew that a constant extra p.d. in his readings would not affect his test of the equation

$$eV = hf - \phi$$

nor the calculation of the Planck constant h from the gradient of a graph of V against f .

Millikan's results were conclusive. They confirmed the Einstein equation, and obtained the most accurate measurements at the time of the Planck constant: 6.57×10^{-34} Js, for which Millikan estimated the uncertainty as $\pm 0.5\%$. However, Millikan was still not convinced about Einstein's theory of light particles (photons).

END OF ARTICLE

**Copyright Information**

OCR is committed to seeking permission to reproduce all third-party content that it uses in its assessment materials. OCR has attempted to identify and contact all copyright holders whose work is used in this paper. To avoid the issue of disclosure of answer-related information to candidates, all copyright acknowledgements are reproduced in the OCR Copyright Acknowledgements Booklet. This is produced for each series of examinations, is given to all schools that receive assessment material and is freely available to download from our public website (www.ocr.org.uk) after the live examination series.

If OCR has unwittingly failed to correctly acknowledge or clear any third-party content in this assessment material, OCR will be happy to correct its mistake at the earliest possible opportunity.

For queries or further information please contact the Copyright Team, First Floor, 9 Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 1GE.

OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group; Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.