

Moderators' Report/ Principal Moderator Feedback

Summer 2013

GCE Music 6MU04 Extended Performance



ALWAYS LEARNING

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2013 Publications Code US036484 All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2013

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwant to/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

The moderators wish to thank candidates and teachers for their efforts in preparing the performances, recordings and paperwork associated with this unit. The moderators also acknowledge the vital role played by instrumental and vocal teachers in preparing candidates for this examination. A significant number of outstanding performances were presented, showing an excellent technical command of the instrument/voice and a convincing sense of style. Only a small number of candidates achieved very low marks.

Marking

This paper is assessed by the centre and moderated by Edexcel.

An extremely wide range of musical instruments and styles/genres was offered for assessment. In addition to work played on traditional instruments, moderators reported a large number of performances submitted in rock and pop idioms. A relatively small number of ensemble performances were submitted - these were usually in a rock/jazz idiom.

In general teacher-examiners utilised the mark scheme successfully this year. However, centres continue to experience problems with the arithmetic required for this paper, with marks incorrectly added up or wrongly scaled. When mistakes were discovered in the moderation process, centres were informed and asked to alter their marks. Centres are urged to check their arithmetic and scaling thoroughly, to ensure that their candidates receive the marks they deserve.

From time to time substantial adjustments had to be made in the course of moderation. Whilst there were many truly outstanding and impressive performances which fully justified the high marks awarded by centres, a certain number of centres awarded unjustifiably high marks to candidates whose work did not merit them.

Moderators reported that marks awarded by teacher-examiners for Criterion 6 tended to be slightly lower than merited, based on the evidence of the recording/programme submitted.

Only a few candidates submitted work at 'standard' (S) level. The majority of candidates submitted work at the 'more difficult' (MD) or 'higher' (H) level. Pieces of Grade 7 standard qualified for the MD scaling, and pieces of Grade 8 standard qualified for the H scaling. The work of the few candidates who offered pieces at Grade 5 was assessed according to the mark scheme, but the top band of marks was not available. Some candidates overstretched themselves by playing pieces that were too demanding technically or musically, and this resulted in lower marks than might otherwise have been the case.

Some candidates chose to perform several movements from a single sonata. These were variously listed as either one piece e.g. Mozart Sonata No. 42, Movements 1, 2 and 4 with an average Difficulty level applied to all, or listed as separate pieces with separate Difficulty levels e.g. Movement 1 (MD), Movement 2 (H). Centres are reminded that each movement should be listed as a separate piece. Each movement should be awarded a Difficulty level that accurately reflects the technical and musical demands of the individual movement presented. It should be noted that the difficulty level awarded to individual movements of sonatas will not necessarily match the difficulty levels ascribed to combinations of movements performed according to the requirements of other examination boards such as the ABRSM.

Candidates must perform for 12-15 minutes (NB this is playing time, not running time). Two marks were deducted for each full half minute that a candidate fell short of the minimum playing time requirement. Pauses between pieces, announcements, Rock School count-ins and tuning were not included in the playing time. Where candidates offered two or more related movements from a larger work, moderators were instructed to allow the pauses between these movements.

A significant number of centres had not calculated the playing time correctly. Centres should time each individual piece in order to calculate the overall playing time, entering the timing of each individual piece in the boxes provided on the MA4, and the overall playing time (the sum of these individual timings) on the front of the MA4. If two or more related movements are submitted from a larger work, teacher-examiners are advised to include the pauses between these movements e.g. the performance should be timed from the start of the first related movement to the end of the last related movement, so pauses between movements are included in the overall timing provided.

A significant number of performances were compromised by poor intonation or muddy pedalling and this adversely affected the mark awarded for Criterion 4: Tone and technique. Centres are reminded that pieces designed to be accompanied must be accompanied in the recording, otherwise the quality of outcome (Criterion 1) will be significantly compromised.

A score was required for all performances. Usually this was in full notation, but lead sheets, chord charts and tab were accepted provided they gave enough details of pitch, rhythm and expression for a proper assessment to be made. A notated stimulus was also required for improvised performances. Deviations from the score in jazz/rock and musical theatre numbers were generally accepted where deemed to be stylistically convincing. Some scores were annotated with helpful information regarding divergences between the printed music and the candidate's performance. However, some unacceptable scores were submitted - these were often handwritten or incomplete. In such cases moderators asked for replacement scores and most centres were able to provide these.

Centres are reminded that sequenced performances cannot be assessed unless the final track is 'performed live, at the correct speed and without further editing' (GCE Music Specification, p. 21). For GCE Music the sequencing software is used merely as a recording device.

Recordings

The recording quality of most submissions was good or excellent. Most centres provided recordings in CD format. Sometimes it was difficult to locate work as it had been recorded in a different order from that listed on the MA4, or track marks or announcements were missing. However, many centres made the moderator's task easy by labelling work clearly and announcing centre, candidate and paper names and numbers for each submission, as well as sometimes providing a detailed track list.

The specification requires that candidates' performances are recorded on one occasion without gaps. This single occasion can occur several times during the course, if required, but only one recording of the whole programme is submitted.





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government



Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE