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## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.


## PART A: AURAL ANALYSIS

## COMPARISON

Excerpt A: Vaughan Williams, Symphony No 4: movement IV (0.00-1.13)
Excerpt B: Vaughan Williams, J ob: Galliard of the Sons of Morning (0.41-1.24)

| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 ( a )}$ | Name two percussion instruments heard in Excerpt A but not in <br> Excerpt B. | $\mathbf{2}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | cymbal (1) <br> side/ snare drum (1) |  |


| Question Number | Question | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(b) | Compare and contrast the textures of the excerpts. | 3 |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | Excerpt A |  |
|  | (Opens with) (tutti) chords/ homophony (1) |  |
|  | (Followed) by octaves (1) NOT unison |  |
|  | Low chords alternating with higher pitched chords [accept "oompah"] (1) <br> Imitation/ canon (1) |  |
|  | Melody in octaves with accompaniment (1) |  |
|  | Reject: counterpoint, polyphonic |  |
|  | [Max 2 for Excerpt A] |  |
|  | Excerpt B |  |
|  | (Mainly) melody-dominated homophony/ melody with chordal accompaniment (1) <br> (Latterly) there is an additional countermelody (1) |  |


| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 ( c )}$ | Give two ways in which the melodic writing at the start of Excerpt B <br> differs from the melodic writing at the start of Excerpt A. | $\mathbf{2}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers | Contrasts must be stated explicitly or implied |
|  | Excerpt B initially rises/ is arch-shaped whereas Excerpt A descends <br> overall (1) <br> Excerpt B contains more leaps/ thirds whereas Excerpt A is mainly <br> conjunct (1) <br> Excerpt B is diatonic (hexatonic) / Excerpt A is more chromatic (1) <br> Excerpt B is more lyrical, whereas Excerpt A is fragmentary (1) <br> [Max 2] |  |


| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 ( d )}$ | Put a cross in the box next to the dance style which forms the basis <br> for Excerpt B. | $\mathbf{1}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | A: galliard |  |


| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 ( e )}$ | Put a cross in the box next to the decade in which these excerpts <br> were first performed. | $\mathbf{1}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | D: 1930 s |  |


| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 ( f )}$ | Put a cross in the box next to the name of the composer of these <br> excerpts. | $\mathbf{1}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | D: Vaughan Williams |  |

(Total for Question 1 = 10 marks)

| Question | Question | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a) | Complete the melody line of bars 17 and 18. | 8 |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | There are 11 pitches and 11 note lengths to complete. In bar 18, treat the rests and following semiquaver as one note length. |  |


| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 ( b ) ( i ) ~}$ | Cadences and keys as indicated: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | Bars 15 to 16: | Key: relative minor/ F\# minor (1) <br> Cadence: Imperfect/Phrygian/ half-close (1) |
| Bar 20 <br> Key: subdominant/ D major (1) <br> Cadence: Perfect/full close (1) |  |  |


| Question Number | Question | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(b)(ii) | Identify the chords indicated in bars 23, 26 and 27. | 3 |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | Chord A: Diminished $7^{\text {th }}$ (1) |  |
|  | Chord B: Neapolitan sixth (1) |  |
|  | Chord C: Augmented/ German sixth (1) |  |


| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 ( c )}$ | Put a cross in the box next to the type of vocal music from which <br> this excerpt is taken. | $\mathbf{1}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | A: Aria |  |


| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 ( d )}$ | Put a cross in the box next to the name of the composer of this <br> music. | $\mathbf{1}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | A: Bach |  |


| Question <br> Number | Question | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 ( e )}$ | Put a cross in the box next to the date of composition of this music. | $\mathbf{1}$ |
|  | Acceptable Answers |  |
|  | C: 1724 |  |

PART B: MUSIC IN CONTEXT

| Question Number | Question | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(a) | How does Bach convey a sense of despair in movements I and II of Cantata No 48, 'Ich elender Mensch'? <br> Acceptable Answers <br> Indicative content <br> Where appropriate, points should be illustrated with examples from the music <br> Movement I <br> - Minor mode keys (throughout), (starting in G minor) <br> - Modulations to minor keys, eg C minor in b 6, D minor in b42, F minor in b95), B flat minor in b97) [max 1 example] <br> - Frequent appoggiaturas <br> - Various types of suspension <br> - Descending shapes in vocal lines <br> - Rising minor 6th in vocal parts <br> - Extended to 7th at b99 <br> - And 8ve at b114 <br> - Repetition of rhythmic pattern in ritornello <br> - Complex counterpoint/strict imitation/canon <br> - Use of diminished $7^{\text {th }}$ (max 1 for both movements) <br> - False relations (eg b 4-5) <br> Movement II <br> - Tonally ambiguous <br> - Rapid changes of key, eg immediate modulation from E flat to F minor <br> - Remote/ enharmonic modulation/ modulation to E at bars 1011 underlines 'poison' <br> - Chromatic harmonic progressions <br> - Fragmentary/ speech rhythm melodies (not just syllabic) <br> - Sense of text underlined by angular melodic intervals (max2): Schmerz (Pain): descending 6th in b 1 <br> Elend (misery): harmonic 9th, and vocal descending diminished $7^{\text {th }}$ (b 2) <br> Gift (poison): melodic augmented 4th in b 3 <br> (See holistic grid) | 13 |


| Mark | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | No positive features can be clearly identified. |
| 1 | Poor. Typically 1-2 relevant points with no examples. <br> QWC: The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 2-3 | Limited. Typically 1-2 illustrated points. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 4-5 | Basic. Typically 3-4 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 6 | Adequate. Typically 3-4 relevant, illustrated points, or 5-6 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The writing may show some degree of organisation and clarity but this will not be sustained throughout the response. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 7-8 | Competent. Typically 5-6 relevant, illustrated points, or 7-8 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent.. |
| 9-10 | Confident. Typically 7-8 relevant, illustrated points, or 9 or more relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent. |
| 11-12 | Excellent. Typically 9 or more relevant points with most appropriately illustrated. <br> QWC: Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |
| 13 | Outstanding. Typically more than 9 relevant, well illustrated points. <br> QWC: Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |



| Mark | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | No positive features can be clearly identified. |
| 1 | Poor. Typically 1-2 relevant points with no examples. <br> QWC: The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 2-3 | Limited. Typically 1-2 illustrated points. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 4-5 | Basic. Typically 3-4 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 6 | Adequate. Typically 3-4 relevant, illustrated points, or 5-6 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The writing may show some degree of organisation and clarity but this will not be sustained throughout the response. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 7-8 | Competent. Typically 5-6 relevant, illustrated points, or 7-8 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent. |
| 9-10 | Confident. Typically 7-8 relevant, illustrated points, or 9 or more relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent. |
| 11-12 | Excellent. Typically 9 or more relevant points with most appropriately illustrated. <br> QWC: Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |
| 13 | Outstanding. Typically more than 9 relevant, well illustrated points. <br> QWC: Very fow syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |



| Mark | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | No positive features can be clearly identified. |
| 1 | Poor. Typically 1-2 relevant points with no examples. <br> QWC: The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 2-3 | Limited. Typically 1-2 illustrated points. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 4-5 | Basic. Typically 3-4 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 6 | Adequate. Typically $3-4$ relevant, illustrated points, or 5-6 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The writing may show some degree of organisation and clarity but this will not be sustained throughout the response. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 7-8 | Competent. Typically 5-6 relevant, illustrated points, or 7-8 relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent |
| 9-10 | Confident. Typically 7-8 relevant, illustrated points, or 9 or more relevant points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent. |
| 11-12 | Excellent. Typically 9 or more relevant points with most appropriately illustrated. <br> QWC: Very fow syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |
| 13 | Outstanding. Typically more than 9 relevant, well illustrated points. <br> QWC: Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |

## PART C: CONTINUITY AND CHANGE IN INSTRUMENTAL MUSIC

| Question Number | Question | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(a) | J ohannes Brahms, Piano Quintet in F minor, Op. 34: movement III (NAM 18, pp. 231 241). <br> J oseph Haydn, Symphony No 26 in D minor, 'Lamentatione': movement I (NAM 2, pp. 231-41). <br> Duke Ellington and his orchestra, Black and Tan Fantasy (NAM 49, pp. 465-468). <br> Compare and contrast approaches to structure and tonality in the three works listed above. <br> Acceptable Answers <br> Indicative content <br> Where appropriate, points should be illustrated with examples from the music <br> Keys must be identified with bar numbers for an illustrated mark, or by section reference (eg 2nd subject) for an unillustrated mark <br> No credit for abstract descriptions of sonata form <br> Allow 1 illustrated mark for such generalizations as: <br> - All works are in a minor key <br> - All works use functional tonality <br> Haydn (max 8 illustrated marks or 12 unillustrated marks) <br> Structure <br> - Sonata form <br> - Lacks transition <br> - Coda more extensive than codetta <br> Tonality <br> - Modulations to relative and tonic majors <br> - Wider-ranging tonal scheme in development <br> Further credit-worthy analytical detail: <br> Only allow credit where key changes | 36 |



|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Mark | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | No positive features can be clearly identified. |
| 1-5 | Poor. Typically 1-4 points but no examples. <br> QWC: The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 6-10 | Limited. Typically 1-4 appropriately illustrated points. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 11-15 | Basic. Typically 5-8 points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 16-19 | Adequate. Typically 5-8 appropriately illustrated points, or 9-12 points with limited examples. <br> QWC: The writing may show some degree of organisation and clarity but this will not be sustained throughout the response. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 20-23 | Competent. Typically 9-12 appropriately illustrated points, or 13-16 points with limited examples. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent. |
| 24-27 | Confident. Typically 13-16 appropriately illustrated points, or 17+ points with limited examples. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent |
| 28-31 | Excellent. Typically $17+$ points with most appropriately illustrated. <br> QWC: Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |
| 32-36 | Outstanding. Typically $18+$ well illustrated points. <br> QWC: Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |


| Question Number | Question | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(b) | Anthony Holborne, Pavane 'The image of melancholy' and Galliard 'Ecce quam bonum' (NAM 13, pp. 191-193). <br> J. S. Bach, Partita No. 4 in D, BWV 828: Sarabande and Gigue (NAM 21, pp. 249-252). <br> Miles Davis Quintet, Four (NAM 50, pp. 468-470) <br> Comment on the different approaches to melody and harmony in the three works listed above. <br> Acceptable Answers <br> Indicative content <br> Where appropriate, points should be illustrated with examples from the music. <br> Holborne (max 8 illustrated marks or 12 unillustrated marks) <br> Melody <br> - Mainly conjunct <br> - Relatively narrow range, e.g. $9^{\text {th }}$ in top part of Pavane, $7^{\text {th }}$ in top part of Galliard <br> - Leap of minor 6th in Galliard (bars 5-6) <br> - Pavane built on descending line <br> - Occasional chromatic alteration <br> - Sequence in Galliard (bars 21-22) <br> Harmony <br> - Mainly root and first inversions <br> - Second inversion at b. 54 of Pavane <br> - Perfect and imperfect/ Phrygian cadences [max. one example of each] <br> - Tonic pedal <br> - Dominant pedal <br> - Suspensions <br> - False relation, e.g. bar 13 of Pavane <br> - Tierce de picardie, e.g. Galliard bars 8 and/ or 24 <br> - Circle of fifths, e.g. Pavane, bars 43-47. | 36 |

## Bach (max 8 illustrated marks or 12 unillustrated marks)

## Melody

- Motivic
- With use of Fortspinnung [max two examples of method, e.g. repetition, sequence, inversion]
- Some large leaps
- Melodies composed of both broken chord patterns and conjunct lines
- Chromatic inflections
- Frequent passing notes and appoggiaturas
- Ornamentation [max. one example]


## Harmony

- Functional, with cadences [max. one example]
- Root, 1st and 2nd inversion chords
- Passing notes
- Auxiliary notes
- Suspensions
- Dominant and diminished sevenths
- Neapolitan $6{ }^{\text {th }}$, e.g. Sarabande at bar 23

Miles Davis (max 8 illustrated marks or 12 unillustrated marks)

## Melody

- mixture of conjunct and disjunct
- Opens with three note motif
- Inversion applied at H1 bar 2
- considerable chromaticism
- blue notes
- wide range, with top F
- numerous ornaments [max. 2 for examples]
- improvised
- virtuoso
- melodic patterns constantly change
- Melody frequently away from key
- fragmented

Harmony

- Dissonant
- Chromatic
- Frequent 7th chords
- Parallelism
- Secondary dominants
- Circle of fifths
- Substitution chords
(See holistic grid)

| Mark | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | No positive features can be clearly identified. |
| 1-5 | Poor. Typically 1-4 points but no examples. <br> QWC: The writing may have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but lack both clarity and organisation. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 6-10 | Limited. Typically 1-4 appropriately illustrated points. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 11-15 | Basic. Typically 5-8 points with limited illustration. <br> QWC: The writing may show elements of coherence but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and proper organisation. Range of skills needed to produce effective writing is likely to be limited. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present. |
| 16-19 | Adequate. Typically 5-8 appropriately illustrated points, or 9-12 points with limited examples. <br> QWC: The writing may show some degree of organisation and clarity but this will not be sustained throughout the response. The candidate will demonstrate most of the skills needed to produce effective writing but there will be lapses in organisation. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be prosent. |
| 20-23 | Competent. Typically 9-12 appropriately illustrated points, or 13-16 points with limited examples. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent. |
| 24-27 | Confident. Typically 13-16 appropriately illustrated points, or 17+ points with limited examples. <br> QWC: The skills needed to produce convincing writing mostly in place. Good organisation and clarity. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but overall the writing will be coherent |
| 28-31 | Excellent. Typically $17+$ points with most appropriately illustrated. <br> QWC: Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |
| 32-36 | Outstanding. Typically $18+$ well illustrated points. <br> QWC: Very few syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found, but these will not detract from the overall coherence. Excellent organisation and planning. All the skills required to produce convincing writing will be in place. |
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