

Examiners' Report/ Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2012

GCE Statistics S4 (6686) Paper 01

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u> for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: <u>www.edexcel.com/teachingservices</u>.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at <u>www.edexcel.com/ask</u>. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2012 Publications Code UA033142 All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2012

Introduction

Candidates found this paper very accessible and scored well. They were able to make a reasonable attempt at the majority of questions with some excellent scripts submitted from a number of centres.

Presentation of their answers and the use of good notation were areas that need to be improved together with the giving of conclusions in context. This being said it was pleasing to see some very good scripts.

Report on individual questions

Question 1

The question proved to be a friendly starter for the majority, with many candidates gaining full marks. The most common errors were made in part (a) where they omitted to give the hypotheses and, although they knew something was normally distributed, few said it was the differences that were normally distributed. A minority of candidates did not answer the question.

Question 2

Part (a) of this question was generally very well answered. Weaker candidates sometimes got confused over the hypotheses, writing H_0 : $\mu_F = \mu_M$ and H_1 : $\mu_F > \mu_M + 5$, and consequently muddled the conclusion demonstrating a misunderstanding of what they were testing.

Part (b)(i) was poorly answered. The main error was that candidates appear to have assumed that they must use an S4 technique and used the *t*-distribution rather than the normal. The candidates are required to know the material from S3 and should be able to select the appropriate distribution to use. The main error in part(ii) made by the candidates who had not given up after part(i) was to use 0.9 as the variance instead of 0.225.

Question 3

The candidates found this question very much to their liking and only a few of them did not gain most of the marks. Common errors were to use the incorrect critical region and to not say what differed in variability in the conclusion.

Question 4

The majority of candidates gained full marks for part (a) and part (b). In part (c) many candidates simply used 0.7 and stated it was not in the interval rather than use 0.49.

Question 5

The majority of candidates gained full marks for part (a) and (b). In part(c) many candidates mentioned that something had to be normally distributed, usually "it", but were not clear as to what need to be normally distributed.

Question 6

The majority of candidates were able to show that they understood the proofs needed in parts (a) and (b) but in many cases the presentation was poor. In future series this may be penalised and it is recommended that candidates include every step in their proofs and explain carefully the steps they take. In part (c) the inequalities were in fact easy to solve but many candidates were put off and unable to make a start. The most common errors made by those able to make progress

were to get to $\frac{m}{n} < \frac{7}{3}$ and then decide $\frac{n}{m} < \frac{3}{7}$ or to not combine their solutions into

one statement.

In part (d) many candidates started again and substituted 20 and 60 into the variances. Whilst many were able to then draw the correct conclusion it was surprising how many candidates thought that $\frac{31}{1500}$ was less than $\frac{1}{60}$ and hence incorrectly stated that \hat{n} was the best estimator as it had the smallest variance

incorrectly stated that \hat{p}_3 was the best estimator as it had the smallest variance. The candidates who used part (c) to answer part (d) provided some very neat explanations as to why \hat{p}_2 was the best estimator.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code UA033142 Summer 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit <u>www.edexcel.com/quals</u>

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





