


Question Number	Scheme	Marks
1. (a)	Mode is 56	B1 (1)
(b)	$Q_1 = 35, Q_2 = 52, Q_3 = 60$	B1,B1,B1 (3)
(c)	$\bar{x} = \frac{1335}{27} = 49.\dot{4}$ or $49\frac{4}{9}$	
		exact or awrt 49.4
	$\sigma^2 = \frac{71801}{27} - \left(\frac{1335}{27}\right)^2 = 214.5432\dots$	M1A1ft
	$\sigma = 14.6$ or $14.9$	awrt 14.6(5) or 14.9
(d)	$\frac{49.4-56}{14.6} = -0.448$	awrt range -0.44 to -0.46
		M1A1 (2)
(e)	For negative skew; Mean < median < mode (49.4 < 52 < 56 not required) $Q_3 - Q_2 < Q_2 - Q_1$ 8 and 17 Accept other valid reason eg. 3(mean-median)/sd as alt for M1A1	2 compared correctly 3 compared correctly M1 A1 M1 A1 ft (4)
		<b>Total 14 marks</b>
2. (a)	$p + q = 0.4$ $2p + 4q = 1.3$	B1 M1A1 (3)
(b)	Attempt to solve $p = 0.15, q = 0.25$	M1 A1A1 (3)
(c)	$E(X^2) = 1^2 \times 0.10 + 2^2 \times 0.15 + \dots + 5^2 \times 0.30 = 14$ $\text{Var}(X) = 14 - 3.5^2 = 1.75$	M1A1ft M1A1 (4)
(d)	$\text{Var}(3 - 2X) = 4\text{Var}(X) = 7.00$	M1A1ft (2)
		<b>Total 12 marks</b>

3. (a)	Sensible graph scales, labels, shape  	B1,B1,B1
(b)	Points lie close to a straight line	(3)
(c)	$S_{xy} = 8354 - \frac{106 \times 704}{10} = 891.6$	(1)
	$S_{xx} = 1352 - \frac{106^2}{10} = 228.4$	B1
	$b = \frac{891.6}{228.4} = 3.903677\dots$	B1
	$a = \frac{704}{10} - b \frac{106}{10} = 29.021015\dots$	M1A1
		awrt 3.9
		awrt 29
		29.02, 3.90
(d)	For every extra week in storage, another 3.90 ml of chemical evaporates	A1ft (7)
(e)	(i) 103.12      (ii) 165.52	B1 (1)
(f)	(i) Close to range of $x$ , so reasonably reliable (ii) Well outside range of $x$ , could be unreliable since no evidence that model will continue to hold	B1B1 (2) B1 (2) B1 (4)
		<b>Total 18 marks</b>

4. (a)	<p style="text-align: right;">Tree</p>	M1
	$\frac{9}{12}, \frac{3}{12}$	A1
	Complete & labels	A1 (3)
(b)	$P(\text{Second ball is red}) = \frac{9}{12} \times \frac{3}{11} + \frac{3}{12} \times \frac{2}{11} = \frac{1}{4}$	M1A1 (2)
(c)	$P(\text{Both are red}   \text{Second ball is red}) = \frac{\frac{3}{12} \times \frac{2}{11}}{\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{2}{11}$	exact or awrt 0.182 M1A 1 (2)
		<b>Total 7 marks</b>
5. (a)	To simplify a real world problem To improve understanding / describe / analyse a real world problem Quicker and cheaper than using real thing To predict possible future outcomes Refine model / change parameters possible	Any 2
(b)	(i) e.g.s height, weight                          (ii) score on a face after tossing a fair die	B1B1 (2) B1B1 (2)
		<b>Total 4 marks</b>

6. (a)		$\varepsilon$	
(b)	$P(A) = 0.32 + 0.22 = 0.54; P(B) = 0.33$	M1A1ft;A1ft	(3)
(c)	$P(A B') = \frac{P(A \cap B')}{P(B')} = \frac{32}{67}$ awrt 0.478	M1A1	(2)
(d)	<p>For independence <math>P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)</math>            For these data <math>0.22 \neq 0.54 \times 0.33 = 0.1782</math>            (OR <math>P(A B') \neq P(A)</math> for M1A1ft OR <math>\frac{2}{3} = P(A B) \neq P(A) = 0.54</math> for M1A1ft)  <math>\therefore</math> NOT independent</p>	A1ft	(3)
		<b>Total 11 marks</b>	
7. (a)	<p>Let <math>H</math> be rv height of athletes, so <math>H \sim N(180, 5.2^2)</math>  <math>P(H &gt; 188) = P(Z &gt; \frac{188 - 180}{5.2}) = P(Z &gt; 1.54) = 0.0618</math> ± stand. √, sq, awrt 0.062</p>	M1A1A1	(3)
(b)	<p>Let <math>W</math> be rv weight of athletes, so <math>W \sim N(85, 7.1^2)</math>  <math>P(W &lt; 97) = P(Z &lt; 1.69) = 0.9545</math> standardise, awrt 0.9545</p>	M1A1	(2)
(c)	$P(H > 188 \text{ & } W < 97) = 0.0618(1 - 0.9545)$ $= 0.00281$ awrt 0.0028 allow (a)x(b) for M	M1A1ft A1	(3)
(d)	Evidence suggests height and weight are positively correlated / linked Assumption of independence is not sensible	B1	(1)
		<b>Total 9 marks</b>	