version: 1.0 9/9/2005



## General Certificate of Education

# Mathematics and Statistics 6320 Specification B

MBS6 Statistics 6

## Mark Scheme

## 2005 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

#### **Key to Mark Scheme**

M	mark is for	method
m	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for	method
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for	accuracy
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for	accuracy
E	mark is for	explanation
√or ft or F		follow through from previous
		incorrect result
cao		correct answer only
cso		correct solution only
awfw		anything which falls within
awrt		anything which rounds to
acf		any correct form
ag		answer given
sc		special case
oe		or equivalent
sf		significant figure(s)
dp		decimal place(s)
A2,1		2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
- <i>x</i> ee		deduct x marks for each error
pi		possibly implied
sca		substantially correct approach

### Abbreviations used in Marking

MC-x	deducted x marks for mis-copy
MR - x	deducted x marks for mis-read
isw	ignored subsequent working
bod	given benefit of doubt
wr	work replaced by candidate
fb	formulae book

## **Application of Mark Scheme**

## No method shown:

Correct answer without working	mark as in scheme
Incorrect answer without working	zero marks unless specified otherwise
More than one method / choice of solution:	
2 or more complete attempts, neither/none crossed out	mark both/all fully and award the mean mark rounded down
1 complete and 1 partial attempt, neither crossed out	award credit for the complete solution only
Crossed out work	do not mark unless it has not been replaced
Alternative solution using a correct or partially correct method	award method and accuracy marks as appropriate

#### Mathematics and Statistics B Statistics 6 MBS6 June 2005

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
1 (a)	$H_0  \eta_d = 0$	B1		or
	$H_1  \boldsymbol{\eta}_d \neq 0$			H <sub>0</sub> Population median price same for both
	2 tail test 10 % level			supermarkets
				H <sub>1</sub> Population median price not the same for both supermarkets
	Signs or differences	M1		for both supermarkets
	+ + + + + +	1411		
	test stat 7 <sup>+</sup> / 3 <sup>-</sup>			
	B (10, 0.5) model	M1		M1 if model seen to be used
	$P(\ge 7^+) = P(\le 3^-) = 0.172$			
	0.172 > 0.05	M1		Comparison with 0.05 or use of identified
				critical region
	Hence, no significant evidence to reject			
	H <sub>0</sub>			
	There is no significant evidence to suggest a difference in median prices between the			
	two supermarkets	A1	5	
	two supermarkets	AI	3	
(b)	P (Type II error) = 0	B1	1	
	Total		6	
2 (a)	$\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{5} = \frac{1}{60}$ or 0.167 or 16.7%	M1		For product
	. 2 2	A1	2	
(b)	$1 - \frac{1}{60} = \frac{59}{60}$ or 0.983 or 98.3%	B1	1	
(b)	00 00			
	51 2 4 3 . 53 1 4 3 . 53 2 1 3	M1		For 3 products attempted
(c)	$\left[ \left[ \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{4}{5} \right] + \left[ \frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{4}{5} \right] + \left[ \frac{3}{4} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{5} \right] \right]$	M1		For sum
				1 01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	$=\frac{8}{60}+\frac{12}{60}+\frac{6}{60}=\frac{26}{60} \text{ or } \frac{13}{30}$			
	or 0.433 or 43.3%	A1	3	
(4)	6 3 0.221 22.124		_	
(d)	$\frac{6}{26}$ or $\frac{3}{13}$ or 0.231 or 23.1%	M1 A1	2	M1 for use of 26 as denominator
	T-4-1		0	
	Total		8	

#### MBS6 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3(a)(i)	The 'highest' negative difference from $250$ is $28 (222 - 250 = -28)$ and, if the remaining 6 batteries had lifetimes in excess of 280 hours, their positive differences from 250 will all be greater than 30.	E1		Evidence of consideration of differences
	This means that the ranks assigned will be 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15	E1	2	Understanding that 'missing' 6 will take the top 6 rank values.
(ii)	$H_0$ $\eta = 250$ $H_1$ $\eta > 250$ 1 tail test 5% sig level  Differences	B1		or H <sub>0</sub> Population median(average) = 250 H <sub>1</sub> Population median(average) > 250 sc B1 here for no 'pop' if B0 for no pop in Q1
	-28, -22, -15, -8, -2, +1, +9, +10, +25 and 6 in excess of 250	M1		Differences seen
	Ranks -9, -7, -6, -3, -2, +1, +4, +5, +8 and then +10,+11,+12,+13,+14,+15	m1		Rank orders
	$T_{+} = 1+4+5+8+10++15 = 93$ $T_{-} = 9+7+6+3+2 = 27$	m1		Attempt at total of ranks ( + or - )
	Test stat $T = 27$ Critical value, $n = 15$ 1 tail, 5%	A1		Both totals OK or sight of cv =30
	cv = 30 T < 30	B1 M1		For cv Comparison of $T$ with cv Do not allow cv = 8
(b)	Significant evidence to reject H <sub>0</sub> There is significant evidence to suggest that the median lifetime of the new batteries is more than 250 hours.	A1	8	Do not unow ev
(6)	Battery lifetimes are symmetrically distributed	B1		
	Batteries in trial were selected at random	B1	2	
	Total		12	

#### MBS6 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)	Ranks for $x$ , $y$ and $z$			
	x 11, 3, 15, 1, 5, 13, 6, 4, 8, 12, 2, 9, 17, 14, 10, 7, 16	M1		for ranks
	y 3, 4½, 6½, 10, 8, 17, 15, 13, 1, 9, 16, 12, 14, 11, 2, 6½, 4½	M1		for ties
	z 13, 1, 16, 2, 5, 11, 6, 3, 7, 12, 4, 9, 16, 16, 8, 10, 14	A1	3	
(b)(i)	$r_{\rm s}$ (from calculator) = $-0.0847$	В3√	3	Alternatively differences, d
	can ft on incorrect ranks			$8, 1\frac{1}{2}, 8\frac{1}{2}, 9, 3, 4, 9, 9, 7, \\ 3, 14, 3, 3, 3, 8, \frac{1}{2}, 11\frac{1}{2}$ $\sum d^2 = 884  B1$ $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 884}{17 \times 288} = -0.0833$ $M1, A1$
(ii)	$r_{\rm s}$ (from calculator) = 0.9484	B3√	3	Alternatively
	can ft on incorrect ranks	ВЗ	J	differences, $d$ 2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 2 $\sum d^2 = 42  \text{B1}$ $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 42}{17 \times 288} = 0.9485$ $M1, A1$
(c)(i)	The calculated value indicates virtually no association in rank order between the number of offences reported per million population and the number males aged 16-24 per thousand pop.	E1√	1	In context; ft
(ii)	$H_0 \rho_s = 0$ $H_1 \rho_s \neq 0  2 \text{ tail}  5\%$	B1		For hypotheses
	test stat $r_s = 0.9484$ (or 0.9485) critical value = 0.4821 test stat > 0.4821 so significant evidence	B1 M1		for cv For comparison relevant ts/cv
	to reject H <sub>0</sub> Sig evidence of an association	A1√	4	Conclusion; ft
(d)	Crime rate has no sig association with the actual number of males aged 16 – 24 but has clear association (positive) with the number of males aged 16-24 who are unemployed	E2,1	2	Interpretation in context
	Total		16	

#### MBS6 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5(a)	H <sub>0</sub> Samples are from identical	B1		B1 if wording not exact but 1 tail idea
	populations			
	H <sub>1</sub> Samples are not from identical	B1		
	populations – average starting salary for			
	students who went to 'Top League'			
	universities is higher 1 tail test 5% sig level			
	Ranks			
	'Top League'	M1		for ranks as one group
	8 4 14 12 18 17 20 11	A1		Tor runks as one group
	Other	111		
	1 3 5 6 7 10 13 15 16 9 19 2	A1		
	$T_{\text{Top League}} = 104$	m1		for totals, either correct
	$T_{\text{Other}} = 106$	A1		
	$U_{\text{Top League}} = 104 - \frac{1}{2}(8 \times 9) = 68$	m1		for <i>U</i> values, either
	$U_{\text{Other}} = 106 - \frac{1}{2}(12 \times 13) = 28$	A1		note: various other alternative methods
	test stat $U = 28$	B1		accepted for use of correct cy consistent with $U$
	critical value = 26	DI		Tor use or correct cy consistent with O
	test stat $> 26$ Accept $H_0$	M1		
	No significant evidence (just) to suggest			
	that the samples are from different			
	populations ( or no evidence to suggest			
	that there is a difference in average			
	starting salary for the two university	A1	12	
	groups)			
(L)				
(b)	Max value			
	$(13+14+15++19+20) - \frac{1}{2}(8 \times 9)$			
	or			
	$(9+10+11++19+20) - \frac{1}{2}(12 \times 13)$	M1		For 174/132
	Max <i>U</i> = 96		2	Oth an mostle and a magailet-
	1VIAA U = 90	A1	2	Other methods possible
(c)(i)	Students in the trial should be matched			
	according to subject area studied and	E1		Concept of 'matched pairs' explained.
	gender so that a range of identical subjects			
	are covered at each type of university.			
	One pair of students, matched for gender,			
	in each of a range of subject areas, at each	E1, E1	3	Full explanation with some detail of
	type of university, would then be involved			subject relevance and gender
	in the trial.			
(ii)	Wilesyan signed rouls test or sign test	D1	1	
	Wilcoxon signed-rank test or sign test	B1	10	
	Total TOTAL		18 60	
	IUIAL		OU	