

ALLIANCE

# **General Certificate of Education**

# Mathematics and Statistics 6320 Specification B

MBS3 Statistics 3

# **Mark Scheme**

# 2005 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

## Key to Mark Scheme

Μ	mark is for	method
m	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for	method
Α	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for	accuracy
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for	accuracy
Ε	mark is for	explanation
$\sqrt{\mathbf{or}}$ ft or F		follow through from previous
		incorrect result
cao		correct answer only
cso		correct solution only
awfw		anything which falls within
awrt		anything which rounds to
acf		any correct form
ag		answer given
sc		special case
oe		or equivalent
sf		significant figure(s)
dp		decimal place(s)
A2,1		2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
<i>-x</i> ee		deduct x marks for each error
pi		possibly implied
sca		substantially correct approach

## Abbreviations used in Marking

MC - x	deducted x marks for mis-copy
MR - x	deducted x marks for mis-read
isw	ignored subsequent working
bod	given benefit of doubt
wr	work replaced by candidate
fb	formulae book

# **Application of Mark Scheme**

mark as in scheme
zero marks unless specified otherwise
mark both/all fully and award the mean mark rounded down
award credit for the complete solution only
do not mark unless it has not been replaced
award method and accuracy marks as appropriate

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
1 (a)(i)	$\frac{87}{170}$ or 0.512 or 51.2%	B1	1	
(ii)	$\frac{18}{170}$ or $\frac{9}{85}$ or 0.106 or 10.6%	B1	1	
(iii) (iv)	$\frac{96}{170}$ or $\frac{43}{85}$ or 0.565 or 56.5%	M1 A1 M1	2	For attempt at 18+46+23+9 For numerator
	$\frac{9}{83}$ or 0.108 or 10.8%	M1 A1	3	For denominator
(b)	Resident occupies a two-bedroomed apartment and replies excessive	E1 E1	2	Correct description No negatives, description clear If "given" used E1 only
	Total		9	
2 (a)	H <sub>0</sub> $\eta_d = 0$ H <sub>1</sub> $\eta_d \neq 0$ 2 tail test 10 % level	B1		or $H_0$ Population median price same for both supermarkets $H_1$ Population median price not the same for both supermarkets
	Signs + + + + + + + test stat $7^+/3^-$	M1 A1		Or differences
	B (10, 0.5) model P( $\ge 7^+$ ) = P( $\le 3^-$ ) = 0.172	M1		M1 if model seen to be used
	0.172 > 0.05 Hence, no significant evidence to reject H <sub>0</sub>	M1		Comparison with 0.05 or use of identified critical region
	There is no significant evidence to suggest a difference in median prices between the two supermarkets	A1	6	
(b)	Conclude that there is a difference in prices between the supermarkets when, in fact, there is no difference.	E1 E1	2	Explanation of Type I error Explanation in context
(c)	Wilcoxon signed-rank	B1	1	
	Total		9	

#### Mathematics and Statistics B Statistics 3 MBS3 June 2005

## MBS3 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>3(a)</b>	Ranks for <i>x</i> and <i>y</i>			
	x = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 y = 2, 4, 1, 3, 5, 6, 10, 7, 9, 8, 13, 12, 11, 16, 16, 14, 16 $r_{s} \text{ (from calculator)} = 0.9484 \text{ (0.948)}$	M1 M1 A1 B3	6	for ranks, can be reversed for ties (y only) <b>Alternatively</b> differences, d 1, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 3, 1, 0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1 $\sum d^2 = 42$ B1 $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 42}{17 \times 288} = 0.9485 (0.949)$
(b)(i)				M1, A1
	$H_0 \rho_s = 0$	B1		For hypotheses
	$H_1 \rho_s > 0  1 \text{ tail}  5\%$			
	test stat $r_s = 0.9484$ (or 0.9485) critical value = 0.4124	B1		for cv If 2 tail H <sub>1</sub> , allow cv=.4821 M1A1
	test stat $> 0.4124$ so significant evidence			
	to reject $H_0$ Sig evidence of an association	M1 A1	4	For comparison relevant ts/cv; ft Conclusion
(ii)	Crime rate has a significant positive association with the number of males aged 16-24 who are unemployed. The more males aged 16-24 who are unemployed, the higher the crime rate	B1√	1	Conclusion in context ft if <i>r</i> sensible
	Total		11	
	Iotai		11	

## MBS3 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)	H <sub>0</sub> $\eta = 250$ H <sub>1</sub> $\eta > 250$ 1 tail test 5% sig level	B1 B1		or $H_0$ Population median(average) = 250 $H_1$ Population median(average) > 250
	Differences -5, +33, +25, -8, -2, -28, +9, +10, +8, +31, -15, +42	M1 A1		Differences
	Ranks -2, 11, 8, -3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> , -1, -9, +5, +6, +3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> , +10, -7, +12	m1 A1		Rank orders m1 A0 if rank 1 = biggest
	$T_{+} = 11 + 8 + 5 + 6 + 3\frac{1}{2} + 10 + 12 = 55\frac{1}{2}$ $T_{-} = 2 + 3\frac{1}{2} + 1 + 9 + 7 = 22\frac{1}{2}$	A1		total of ranks ( + or – )
	Test stat $T = 22\frac{1}{2}$ Critical value, $n = 12$ 1 tail, 5% cv = 17 T > 17 Accept H <sub>0</sub> There is no significant evidence to suggest	B1 M1		For cv Comparison of <i>T</i> with cv
	that the median lifetime of the new batteries is more than 250 hours.	A1	10	In context
(b)	Battery lifetimes are symmetrically distributed Batteries in trial are selected at random	B1		
	Total	B1	2 12	Other sensible comments possible

## MBS3 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5(a)	$\begin{array}{ll} H_0 \text{ Samples are from identical} \\ \text{populations} \\ H_1 \text{ Samples are not from identical} \\ \text{populations} - \text{average starting salary for} \\ \text{students who went to 'Top League'} \\ \text{universities is higher} \\ 1 \text{ tail test} & 5\% \text{ sig level} \end{array}$	B1 B1		
	Ranks 'Top League' 8 4 11 10 13 15 Other 1 3 5 6 7 9 14 12 2 $T_{\text{Top League}} = 61$	M1 M1 A1 A1		for ranks as one group (can be reversed)
	$T_{\text{Other}} = 59$ $U_{\text{Top League}} = 61 - \frac{1}{2}(6 \times 7) = 40$ $U_{\text{Other}} = 59 - \frac{1}{2}(9 \times 10) = 14$ test stat $U = 14$	m1 A1 m1 A1		for totals, either correct for $U$ values, either note: various other alternative methods accepted
	critical value = $12$ test stat > $12$ Accept H <sub>0</sub> No significant evidence (just) to suggest that the samples are from different populations ( or no evidence to suggest that there is a difference in average starting salary for the two university groups)	M1 B1 m1 A1	14	for use of correct cv consistent with U for comparison ts/cv
(b)	Result might be influenced by such factors as gender or subject studied so a matched pairs design would reduce risk of experimental error as a consequence. People might have lied about their salaries as they were asked to state, not provide verification. Obtaining verification would eliminate this problem. Sample sizes should be more evenly balanced.	E1 E1	2	For concept of likelihood of experimental error – with reason – and matched pairs preferred Other sensible reason Other methods possible
(c)	$(10+11+12+13+14+15) - \frac{1}{2}(6 \times 7)$ or $(7+8+9+10++15) - \frac{1}{2}(9 \times 10)$	M1 M1		For 75 or 99
	Max U = 54	A1	3	
	Total		19	
	TOTAL		60	