

Instructions

- In the boxes on the answer book, write your centre number, candidate number, your surname and initials, the paper reference (YLA0/01) and your signature.
- Answer **FOUR** questions: **TWO** questions from Part One and **TWO** questions from Part Two.
- Your answers must be fully supported with appropriate legal authority and examples.
- Answer your questions in the answer book.
- Indicate which questions you are answering, in the boxes on the front page of the answer book **AND** in the left-hand margin of the answer space.
- Do not use pencil. Use blue or black ink.

Information

- All questions carry equal marks.
- The total mark for this paper is 100.
- This paper has 10 questions. Page 4 is blank.

Advice

• Write your answers neatly and in good English.





Turn over 🕨



	Answer FOUR questions.
	TWO questions must be chosen from Part One and TWO questions must be chosen from Part Two.
	PART ONE
1	To what extent, if at all, should law be used to enforce morality?
	(Total for Question 1 = 25 marks)
2	"Law can change people's behaviour, but can never change their attitudes." Explain and evaluate this statement.
	(Total for Question 2 = 25 marks)
3	"The role of equity today would not be recognised by those only familiar with the reasons for the origin of the doctrine." Explain and evaluate this statement.
	(Total for Question 3 = 25 marks)
4	"The doctrine of strict liability has no place in modern criminal law." Explain and evaluate this statement.
	(Total for Question 4 = 25 marks)
5	"The Human Rights Act has not had the impact that its initial supporters anticipated." Explain and evaluate this statement.
	(Total for Question 5 = 25 marks)
_	TOTAL FOR PART ONE = 50 MARKS

	PARTTWO
6	"The literal rule of statutory interpretation is invariably the most reliable rule for the judiciary to apply." Explain and evaluate this statement.
	(Total for Question 6 = 25 marks)
7	"The doctrine of precedent in the UK is incoherent, because the rules vary at different levels in the court hierarchy." Explain and evaluate this statement.
	(Total for Question 7 = 25 marks)
8	"The connection between civil legal aid and access to justice has effectively been severed in recent years." Explain and evaluate this statement.
	(Total for Question 8 = 25 marks)
9	"The jury is now an outdated institution in the UK and should be abolished." Explain and evaluate this statement.
	(Total for Question 9 = 25 marks)
10	In 1974 Lord Denning claimed that EU law was like "an incoming tide. It flows into the estuaries and up the rivers. It cannot be held back." To what extent has this statement been true in relation to the development of law in the UK?
	(Total for Question 10 = 25 marks)
_	TOTAL FOR PART TWO = 50 MARKS TOTAL FOR PAPER = 100 MARKS

BLANK PAGE