Qualification Accredited



AS LEVEL

Examiners' report

HISTORY A

H105

For first teaching in 2015

Y136/01 Summer 2022 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper Y136/01 series overview	
Section A overview	
Question 1	5
Question 2	8
Section B overview	13
Question 3	13
Question 4	17

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Advance Information for Summer 2022 assessments

To support student revision, advance information was published about the focus of exams for Summer 2022 assessments. Advance information was available for most GCSE, AS and A Level subjects, Core Maths, FSMQ, and Cambridge Nationals Information Technologies. You can find more information on our website.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as...** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper Y136/01 series overview

Y136 is one of a number of British Period Study and Enquiry units. Each unit has two elements: a period study and an enquiry. The enquiry element either precedes or continues the period study so as to provide increased coherence and coverage of the chosen period of British History. The Period study element of the component group is assessed by essays, with two set from different key topics and candidates are required to answer one. In the Enquiry element candidates are required to critically use three sources. The first question requires candidates to consider the utility of one source in relation to an issue and the second question requires candidates to use all three sources in their historical context to assess the validity of a view. In both questions, candidates are required to explain the source or sources in relation to the question and apply both contextual knowledge and consider the provenance of the sources in order to reach a judgement based on the sources on the issue in the question.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:

used both contextual knowledge and provenance to evaluate the sources used in Questions 1 and 2

- came to an overall judgement on the strength of the sources in relation to the question in Question 2
- compared or weighed up the importance of factors in the essay questions
- made a supported judgement in line with the arguments made in the essay questions.

Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:

- did not use contextual knowledge and/or provenance in Questions 1 and 2
- described the sources in Questions 1 and 2
- wrote descriptive essay questions which were topic based rather than focused on the issue in the question
- did not grasp the requirements of the essay questions on the paper.

Section A overview

The question requires candidates to consider the utility of a source in relation to a specific issue.

Question 1

1 How useful is Source C as evidence for the ability of Mary Tudor as a ruler?

[10]

Many candidates were able to explain the source in relation to Mary's ability as a ruler, considering issues such as her courage, grandeur and dignity in order to argue that she was able. However, some also noted that her ability might be questioned and made reference to issues of her gender and her use of ministers. In considering the latter some explained that it was a weakness as there were divisions. Contextual knowledge was used to support both sides of the argument with many referencing her courage during Wyatt's rebellion and her refusal to leave London despite advice and her ability to rally Londoners. In discussing weaknesses, responses considered the issue of faction within the Council, particularly the division between Paget and Gardiner and also mentioned her reliance on the advice of Renard. Discussion of provenance was done much less well as Exemplar 1 shows, with comments often not progressing beyond the stock that he was an ambassador and therefore it was his job to report accurately or that he was a foreigner and would therefore not understand the intricacies of court life. Stronger responses did note that it was written towards the end of Mary's reign. Candidates sometimes did not reach a judgement as to the overall utility of the source in light of their evaluation.

Misconception



Question 1 will not always require Candidates to use Source A; candidates must read the question carefully.

5

© OCR 2022

Exemplar 1

l		The source suggests that Many's ability to null is impeded, simply by her gender and clso the factions within government.
		rule is impeded simply by her gender and
		clso the factions within aniernment.
	l	
	-	This theory is supported by the common fear at
		the time of a female monarch due to the
		steneotypes at the time i a woman wouldn't
		or abjeto involve herself in politics as one
]	wam't wouldn't be clever en ough, a woman
	1	wouldn't be able to lead thoops to battle-leaving
	1	the country to buttle the country wherethe
	-	the country to buttle the country nunerable of other ecountries looking to exprait this & and
	0	Uso the idea that a woman on the throng would
		eave the country vulnerable due to marriage. This is
		because by marning an Englishman, their family
		vould dominate court but by marning a foreigner
		their country could exploit England as a woman
		was expected to be submissive within a marriage.
		The source also suggests that faction was an

6

© OCR 2022

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	issue when it came to Many's ability to rule. This can
	be seen wi through Paget and Gardiner. Many
	brought gardiner into her government as he
	was a strong Catholic and Mary wanted a Catholic
,	majority within court. She also kept taget within
	her government as she recognised his experience
	despite the fact of he was a retormist. These
<u>'</u>	conflicting belief would naturally causes tensions
`	within government due to the importance of
	religion at the time This would lead Many to not
	have thust in her government and therefore,
	this & suggests the source is useful in describing
	the limitations to Mary's ability as a piler. Further-
	mone the source can be seen as useful as it's
	witten by an Ambassador whom job it was to
	report the true finding events as they happeved.
,	report the true finding events as they happened. On the other hand, the
,	scurce dals seemingly disnegard the the achievement
	Many did make as Overn. For example, she actually managed to avoid being exploited through marriage
' . ;	managed to avoid being explaited through marriage
	managed to above being expensed through manage lay the Marriage Treaty and would therefore suggest that how of gender dudn't prevent her being a good ruler. Also, the saurie can't be seen as useful as though Many didn't lead troops to battle, she was able to rally together a sure to protect her from the Denise for the succession.
	suggest that her of gender didn't prevent her being
	a good ruler. Also, the saure can't be seen as
	useful as thany although Mary didn't lead troops to
	battle, she was able to rally hopether a force to
\	protect her from the Devise for the Succession.
'	•

Question 2

2 Using these three sources in their historical context, assess how far they support the view that Mary Tudor's government was weak. [20]

Most responses did consider the three sources but there were some that did not use Source C, perhaps believing that because it was discussed in Question 1 so it was not necessary to discuss it in this question.

Candidates did not struggle to access the sources and while Source B was clearly in support of the view that the government was weak, many were able to use A and B to support both sides of the argument. In discussing Source A many suggested that Mary's response to Wyatt's rebellion showed the strength of the government and pointed to the numbers she raised to counter it and went on to argue that this was similar to her reaction to events of 1553, while others suggested that the fact there was a rebellion and royal troops deserted to Wyatt showed weakness, some also arguing that it failed only because it was in winter. Provenance was not handled well with many thinking this was the same Wriothesley who was in Somerset's government; many simply suggested that as a Chronicler he would be reliable.

In discussing B candidates were stronger on the provenance and often commented that as a trusted advisor, Renard would have a clear understanding of issues and that you might expect him to be pro-Mary in writing to the Imperial ambassador because of the restoration of Catholicism and the marriage of Charles' son to Mary and therefore because it offered a negative view it was more reliable. The strongest responses commented on Renard's attitude to Mary's religious policies, using their contextual knowledge to explain his view. There was also reference to the issue of monasteries and the struggles over that and the Exiles Bill in parliament to show weakness.

In discussing Source C some did use information used in Question 1, but others considered the size of her privy council and reforms to it. Exemplar 2 provides a good example of a response where contextual knowledge was used and there was some provenance. In order to reach the top level it is vital that responses reach a judgement about the sources in relation to the question. Do the sources, in light of evaluation support or challenge the view?



Centres should focus on making sure candidates use both contextual knowledge and provenance to evaluate each source and that their judgement is about the sources and their view about the issue, in light of their validity and not simply about the issue

8

Exemplar 2

2		Both sources B and Cagnee with the statement
		by suggesting that Mary's shirte of rule and
		by suggesting that Many's style of rule and government is despised by the people and is
		united by her gender. Source A, however, suggests
		Many was a great niter and therefore contradicts
		the statement.
	,	
		Source A portrays Many to be a great nuter and it was her 'good spirit' which defeated Wyatt's
		was her 'good spirit' which defeated Wyatt's
		rebellion and in turn suggests it was her solo
		achons alone that put down the unrest. This source
		is referring to the speech she made in which resulted
	,	defeat the rebellion. This would suggest that Manys
		defeat the rebellion. This would suggest that Manys
	<u></u>	government was 80,0000 that people were willing
<u> </u>		To Nok their own lives and die For their Queen.
		However, the source ignores the other factors which
		lenabled Many's troops to come out victoriaus -
·	-	the fact that Wyatt's army progressed clowly
		throughout the country meant that Many had time
<u> </u>		TO raily troops enad the repeas mould taste, we
<u> </u>	ļ	outcome may have been different, also the truct
		that the respection occurred in the unintermode
	1	it harder for Wyatt to rally troops in order to
		march accross the country those fuctors worked
	<u> </u>	greatly in Many's Baveur and can be argued
	<u> </u>	that without these elements of Lucie, her she twould wereld'ue hilled and would'ue o may
		trans wered he hiled and would he may
		have even boen over thrown. The provenance

	fact that the author of the source was a
	it means it was united at the time the arent
	It means it was written at the time the event
.	occurred. This would therefore wear they know
	all the context ourrounding it. On the other hand,
	it was unitien by a chronider which means they
	were emproyed by the crown and this could've
	been used by Mary as propaganda. This is
	plansible given the overly positive tone throughout
,	AHOUR HERO TO MOVO NAME AND ALL TO THE DOCUMENT
	attempting to make Many appeal to the people
	in a time of unjest, by making her seem as
†	though she's the one to sort things out. Therefore,
	whilst this source of suggests her government
-	was strong, there are many factors of luck which
	allowed the chronicler to portray her this way-
	On the other hand, sources
	B and c chiase her government through
	her marriage, gender and tachians. Source B
	suggests that her marriage caused unrest
	through fears of colonisation. This is supported by
	Wyatt's rebellion, as highlighted in Fource A.
	Wyatt's rebellion, as highlighted in Fource A., where people gathered together due to the marriage proposed marriage to Philip of Spain. The source
	proposed marriage to Philip of Spain. The source
	baghlight The source also highlights the fear
	of the venum of the monastenes However this
	wasn't a common fear as there were few
	Protestants accross tradand at the time and
	tonly affected the nobility whold purchased former manastic lands. As well as this, Many
	former manager lands. As well as this, Many

had achially dealt with these fears caused by	4
the marriage through the Marriage Treatywhich	
prevented any real power for Philip in England	
In terms of provenance. This shaws that althou	ah
those word have fruit in 1564. Sho do so deal	1
with those engagering that her government was	al
with these, suggesting that her government we achieve strong. In terms of provenance, Simon	
Renard was her trusted advisor and so this	
source is likely to be accurate. Also, it's a letter	\mathbf{c}
meaning it's only meant to be read by tha	Ter.
making it reliatore as there's no real intention	٨
to dista persuade people Source C also appea	ik
to agree as it claims her government was	
divided and she had to rely on advisors.	
This is supported by the factional struggles she)
Great and for at and Cordinar in Man Loc	<u> </u>
faced euch as Faget and Gardiner, who has opposing vehigners beliefs. Havever, it's been	
opposing religious chief in second the secon	\dashv
ran smoothly and their peliets didn't impac	
Tan smoothing and men peners man in the	4
the day to day running of government. This would suggest the source exaggerates the	-
walla suggest the some enaggerates the	
unitations of Many's government. The provene	
May also support itis theory as ambassador	<u>(3</u>
have toneigh inverests and it is paintible that	
may also support this theory as ambassador have foreign interests and it's pravione that they were trying to convince the Venihan	
nuler to urvade England.	
Overall, the majority of the sources seem to	<u> </u>
disagree with the so agree and on by	

	arguing her government was infact weak
	and influenced by her marriage, genderand
	factions. Hauener, the sources are in Huenced
*:	by tongeon interests when in actual
,	fact, her day to day running of government was efficient and the managed to control the
,	was efficient and the managed to control the
	impack of her mamage through the
11.	Marriage Theaty

12

Section B overview

In answering essay questions candidates should consider a range of issues or factors and reach a supported judgement in order to reach the highest levels.

Question 3

3* How effectively did Henry VII manage the nobility?

[20]

The question required candidates to focus on the issue of the nobility. Weaker responses saw it as an opportunity to consider a range of other issues or simply focus on rebellions, which were often not noble driven. Stronger responses explained the problem of the nobility, most notably as over-mighty subjects and considered how effective Henry was at managing them. This provided an opportunity to consider a range of methods, often referenced as 'carrot and stick'. Many considered methods such as the Order of the Garter, Bonds and recognisances, Acts of Attainder, the Act of Resumption and restrictions on private armies. However, the key to a more successful response was to analyse the effectiveness of these methods. There were few who realised that Attainders caused serious problems towards the end of Henry's reign, with some historians believing that the country was on the verge of civil war. In discussing unrest the early Yorkist rebellions were discussed, some arguing he was effective as they were crushed while others suggested that the very challenge suggested he was not effective. Discussions of Simnel and Warbeck were effective only if they were linked to the issue of nobility.

Exemplar 3 provides a Level 4 response where the candidate is aware of a good range of methods and there is some discussion of effectiveness, but it lacks specific examples of nobles to take it higher in the level and does not reach a supported judgement as to how effective.

Exemplar 3

3	When Henry came to the throne in 1485 he had
	an unstable star as he was a usurper and had
	spent 4 years in exile, as well as there shill
1	being yorkist support, therefore he had to vely an
,	nables to support him has also manage them to
	mane sure they didn't manipulate him and become
	mare bomerm.
	One way Henry managed to control manage
	the nability was by carros and stick policies. An
	incensive was Acts of Attainder which meant
	that is nable families went against him then
	they word have everything taken away from them
	Thin was succes significant as it meant that
	nobles followed laws and viles as if they had all
	their land taken away from them they wound tall
	from power as land was directly proportionate
	to power in addition, order of the trarter was
	a unighthood that was offered to nobles who
	were loyal to him at organd and Boshova. This
	was a successful way to control the nobles as
	it was seen as a great honour to have a
	unighthood for being loyal, and also Henry was
	more texasining and offered more benefits to loyal
	notores. Also, the kings council provided a
	membership for certain nobles, which was seen

	an a great sine of truct in the model of There
	incensives were all successor in controlling the
	1
	nability as they all linked to honour and states
	mich was very important. However it did cause
	some nativa howards Henry is punishments had
	to be given ora, but because the incentives were
	so successful this rowely happened.
	Henry VII also ned his government to manage
	the nobitity. land to manage the nobility. When
	Henry was managing his finances, he made are
	that he didn't take all the land back from the
	nobles as he didn't want to anney them Even
	though this was a failure of ordinary versence, it
	was a huge success in & managing nability. This
	is significant because it meant that nother gos
	to veep their land, and utimately power so
	they had no need to cause any unrest or rebel
	against Henry. Another incentive was jevdal
	dies which placed Henry at the top of the system
	but also gave nobles land which made mem
	passied. This incensive is particularly significant
	as it was important that Henry didn't give
	the nebles too much power and they when he was
	at the top othermine they could create tackions
	and maniporate Henry: The restoration of the
	crown rando also managed nables as in the
	1486 Act of Resumption it how book land
	granted away before the war of the Roses
L	THE WIND PROPERTY OF THE ROLL OF

<u> </u>	and granted it to hables. Again this was
	significant because the nability were happy and
	under control as long as they had land to
	portray their power.
	Finally, another way Henry managed the
	nability was through his government. With
	the central regional and local governments
	Henry elected members from a the gens slightly
	laner states to make are that notices didn't
	get no powers. The central government was
	elected by Henry and chosen from the gentry
	instead of nobility to make sure that the advisor
	in his government remained layar. In addition,
	for the regional government he avoided choosing
	nobility and for the local government he elected
	people from the second rank of landowness. This
	was significant as it meant that notices had
	power through their land, but they could also
	not have to mary about government and allow
	the gentry and second rank glandowners do
	that tak tak. Throughout Henry VII's Veigh
	ne faced rebellions, but never because of nobles
	irraning more power or vehelling against him,
	urnich shows that he managed the nobility
	effectively so that they were soursined bytalso.
	dian't take advantage of Henry and become
	no powerm.

Overall, it can be said that Henry VII managed
the rapidity effectively or he managed to offer
them enough land, remards and support, whilst
maintaing the nignest level of power for himsely
This is important as the nables could have easily
rebelled because and taken advantage of his
runerability at the start of his reign, however
he was successors in managing them so they stayed under control knowyhout his entire reign.

Question 4

4* 'Foreign policy in the years from 1509 to 1529 was largely unsuccessful.' How far do you agree? [20]

This was the less popular question and candidate knowledge was often very patchy or inaccurate with very few being able to cover the whole period. It was particularly surprising that the issue of the King's Great Matter in relation to foreign affairs was given little attention. Responses were usually much stronger on the earlier period, particularly regarding wars against France and Scotland. Many adopted a country by country approach, while others looked at Henry's aims and tried to assess whether they were achieved. However, knowledge was often thin or in a number of instances inaccurate with candidates getting into a tangle over the chronology and undermining their arguments, particularly when discussing events in the 1520s.

17

Supporting you

Post-results services

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the OCR website.

Keep up-to-date

We send a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our <u>website</u> or visit <u>OCR professional development</u>.

Signed up for ExamBuilder?

ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. Find out more.

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an Interchange username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Active Results

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- · review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- · facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- · identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

Find out more.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on **support@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

- □ ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- **6** /ocrexams
- **y** /ocrexams
- display="block" company/ocr" [additional company/ocr"]
- /ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

 $Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our \underline{\text{Expression of Interest form}}.$

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.