Qualification Accredited



A LEVEL

Examiners' report

HISTORY A

H505For first teaching in 2015

Y321/01 Summer 2019 series

Version 1

Contents

Introduction	
Paper Y321/01 series overview	
Question 1	
Question 2	
Question 3	
Question 4	



Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this pdf as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File** > **Save As Other...** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select *Save as...* to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for *pdf* to word converter).



We value your feedback

We'd like to know your view on the resources we produce. By clicking on the icon above you will help us to ensure that our resources work for you.

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates. The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report. A full copy of the question paper can be downloaded from OCR.

Paper Y321/01 series overview

Y321 is one of twenty-one components for the revised A Level examination for GCE History. This component tests an extended period of History of at least one hundred years through an interpretation option on a named in-depth topic and two essays. The paper is divided into two sections. In Section A candidates are required to use contextual knowledge to test the views of two historians about one of the three named in-depth topics or an aspect of one. The question does not require them to comment on the style of writing or the provenance of the interpretation. In Section B candidates are required to answer two essay questions from a choice of three. To do well on Section A, candidates need to explain the view of each interpretation in relation to the question and then evaluate the interpretation by the application of contextual knowledge. Responses should show an understanding of the wider debate connected to the issue. To do well on Section B, candidates need to make connections and links across the whole period, explaining similarities and differences between the events they are discussing in order to show an awareness of continuity and change across the whole period unless instructed otherwise. The comparisons made may be either between periods within the topic or between regions. The strongest answers will test a hypothesis and reach a supported judgement.

Candidates who did well

- Showed a clear understanding of the views of the two interpretations in relation to the question.
- Were able to use contextual knowledge to test the interpretations, linking that knowledge directly to the interpretation through evaluative words.
- Were able to consider both the strengths and limitations of both Interpretations using contextual knowledge.
- In answering the essay questions, covered the whole period in a balanced way.
- Adopted a thematic approach.
- Made links and comparisons between aspects of the topic.
- Explained the links and comparisons.
- Supported their arguments with precise and relevant examples.
- Reached a supported judgement about the issue in the question.
- Demonstrated an understanding and familiarity with the different command verbs e.g. identify, describe, explain and discuss.

Candidates who did less well

- Showed a limited understanding of one or both of the interpretations.
- Did not go beyond a basic explanation of part of the interpretation.
- Did not link any contextual knowledge directly to the interpretation and therefore did not evaluate the interpretation.
- In answering the essay adopted a chronological rather than thematic approach.
- Did not make links or comparisons even if events from different parts of the period were discussed in the same paragraph.
- Did not cover the whole period.
- Did not focus on the precise wording of the question.
- Made unsupported comments about issues which were no more than assertions.

Question 1

1 Evaluate the interpretations in **both** of the two passages and explain which you think is more convincing as an explanation of the reasons for Israel's survival in 1948–1949. [30]

The interpretation question was, on the whole, answered well. The majority of candidates were able to access the higher levels by clearly linking their own knowledge to the views and opinions mentioned. At the top end, candidates used precise and accurate own knowledge, explaining that A reflects the strength of Israeli national will whereas B argues the converse view, that Israel survived due to Ben Gurion.

The most successful answers dealt with each interpretation in turn before coming to a measured conclusion. Answers which attempted a thematic approach often were confused and lacked evaluation, leading to an essay-like approach. Centres should be aware that credit is given in assessing the relative convincingness of the views that are present in each interpretation. Answers which proceeded to list impacts that were not present in each interpretation did not score highly, as they were not explicitly evaluating the view of each historian. This was often highlighted or prefixed by the phrase "fails to mention". Candidates should focus on the actual views presented and test them against historical knowledge.

Centres should also be aware that there is no requirement to mention other historians.

In regards to Interpretation A, the majority of candidates were able explain the strength of the Israeli's in comparison with the weaknesses of the Arabs. Those at lower levels merely described the interpretation. As for Interpretation B, most were able to identify its key message that the leadership of Ben Gurion was crucial. In the lower levels, however, many mentioned the fact that there was no direct exploration of Arab weaknesses, rather than focusing on the content of Interpretation B.

Exemplar 1 was marked in Level 6.

Question Part 1. The debate is Ismelifornian and the father plessina in the Panage Adenominates that Israels on miral in 1948-49 was due to the disnuity and lack of conversale to the Andro This is seen in this defeats later in 6-day war and during the 1943-49 war. Theree, Panage & Marsher that Israels surind was due to strong leadership of Ren (union and the high Marshe of Israelis; disregarding the deb Panage A's argument. In resprespectation

Survival and increasing shereth in later
survival and increasing other 8th in later
wws.
Para Material Lander
Panage A denomentes that I snels summed was due to a doch of national Wills.
This is strengthered by the fact that
Jordan wanted to naintin and contributionalen
rutter than, acting in the mill of Palaphrians.
The is again count a it highlights discretize;
conflict in dijecties later heading to too
And but have buchen And armes
were unorganized which led to limelis
survival. This is spenglened by a smug,
argument of lack of organization and
person preparation from toget, some and Jurdan
neart that limel my orbite to truthe
admitage and use spentle attacks to deling
the Intaline of arme and tala consequently
led to Al-Nakha; the contraple!
refigies. However; this is a realise point
canoned to lack of range of echies as
their disnity carpbolled to the tren
bije divogament i la which ted to
inul's nichon and survival. Parrage A futter
highlight, the Palethrian a lactive identity
this is a voice argument on Paleshman's
lack of some voice I hade this by then

to be diplomatic. This is important as it stand
show the realizant of Palertinians; feading
to Panage A first exquired of dimining of
dunning of Aribo to Green quin hutter
credibility on every Ands that mented to
expand and grindland eig kidam wenting
to control Gaza: Oresill. Porsage A demonstrate
a comment as tuling I snot sumed
with the nest organicant argument dut
the dismity of Anh and her lack of conna
objections; that consequently then led
to lack of organis atten combuting to
und liverel's surrival in 1948-1945.
Both Parsage A and B where It new that

Grad my milling unegraped and widely
depend This is expertant as It highlight
brill's militury ormacle account Analys as a
newly formed thate. However, Panage A.
hightheti allhard they here northy equipped
hightight although they have purify equipped ; Ite forthere about line to gain now.
This is important as it dis wedits Parage 811
argument of luck of neapone. This is
inpolat a dine the host Time, limes,
who able to gain arms and reasemble and
renew their deethres; gaining whom I wany
support from the Creck this could be used to
segat under mie Prinage Rhighthag Her birrel
million walnus strength . Arandry Panace
Battle a thentraces the obme headerhis
of Ren tryen who had a men to huld
I small un the reason to bracks invival

This is superfunt on it is improved by Ren- Crusians with of stern Good ord I row to tron the IDF; as a result is now the Mongest nuthing brue in the middle tast.
Courines unity of Stern (may and logue to tron
the DF; as a result is now the shought rulking
hre in the middle tast.
Panage B juggests Ismeli marile and
determet en Dans the main reason hops
existence. This is n'amficant as international
Senich ripped and the debe micken of Jensh
Lashies in the states contributed to suppor
support for its existence and advicated interiored
· Hoverer! It is a neather argument as
the main reas on to its frement up International
men marsh in I been drive the WW2
man magation of bein during the WW2
has a di la live contra manha contra mala
to its moral lange & culso niggethe last
It it inversal lanage & also nigget that I'melsis moral was due to muliny phraphy
rutter than it's multing weaknes. This is
mant a real arguent as finall
army country of steen and bryon gave nere
not military near as they mined in the
with the Bortish; pronder then set hote
milling taches and brailedge This is
of a time importante than more med
right cance to the back name arguent;
in it meant military they were strong and
able to defeat Amba are to the
India 6
To conclude; lamage H prince a mar
And carboted to the un preparation
Arabi carlibrated to their un preparatives

and brief withing; their dirinky led hem
to a carther of objectives consequently
not dreining the wills of Palgonnams hitter
contracting to the defeat and it bighlighted
in later years much a 6-day War and 1973
Junkpan the strength of the IDF. This
in she of a an impulsion course against
than Panage B; as Panage B (zile to conside
thereating of the Amb Army.
It The the hir cal debate in ret inthate
the the hir can debute in ret with the weakn of more 1948 and it survival.

Question 2

2* To what extent have the Great Powers achieved their aims in the Middle East in the period from 1908 to 2011? [25]

This was a fairly popular question. Candidates were able to identify the aims of the Great Powers and then evaluate the extent to which they achieved their aims. At the top end, candidates either grouped their answer by aim (e.g. oil, land etc) and explored via each Great Power or evaluated the changing nature of aims across each Great Power (using the power as a thematic paragraph). Either approach worked well, as long as there were three or four examples, from across the time period, in each paragraph. Answers in the top level also contained interim, end of paragraph, judgements and a developed conclusion. The more successful candidates were also able to identify and explore the changing nature of aims depending on external events (e.g. War, development of the state of Israel, terrorism etc).

At the lower end of the mark range candidates either wrote chronologically, were too narrow in their selection of Great Powers or were unable to compare across the time period.

Question 3

3* 'Conflicts between Zionists and Palestinians from 1908 to 2011 have been primarily caused by disputes over settlements.' How far do you agree? [25]

This was the least popular question.

Candidates were able to identify differing conflicts and assess their causes. At the top end, candidates approached the answer via cause and used different conflicts (three or four per paragraph) to assess the legitimacy of the claim. To reach the top level, candidates needed to assess the overall response to the question in the conclusion.

More often than not, responses to this question were in the lower levels. These were characterised either by a chronological list of conflicts with little development of causation or a narrow range of examples. Centres should be reminded that answers to all questions need to contain examples from across the time period that are compared.

Question 4

4* To what extent did the reasons for the development of Pan-Arabism in the period from 1908 to 2011 remain the same? [25]

This was a popular question. Candidates were able to formulate three clear reasons for the development of Pan- Arabism (see exemplar) and compare and analyse the development of these over time. The most successful answers utilised three or four examples from across the time period in each paragraph before coming to a clear judgement at the end of each paragraph. This judgement was then expanded on in the conclusion. At the very top, candidates were able to assess whether the reasons were affected at different times by different external factors.

At the lower end of the mark range candidates either approached the question chronologically or were unable to compare within thematic paragraphs. Crucially, they were also not able to judge whether the reasons for development remained the same or changed over time.

Exemplar 3 was marked in Level 6

Exemplar 3

4	In many users the reasons for
	the development of Pan-Brakism remained
	the same throught the period. Pan-Arabism
	was created to unite against brown
	and later, the Creat Powers. It was
	can also be seen to develop for
	Stability. Overall, the reasons remained

 the same throught the period.
V
The development of The reason for
the development of Pan-Arabism
was to othnately, unite against
Israel. This users demonstrated during
the 1948 1st Arab-18rael War in 1948.
 The Arab reague was created in
1945, for the possible need to unite
in conglict which the 1948 war
Shows. The Arabs united against Israel
although, the secret peace deal between

Israel and Adullah of Transporden
ar dispells this, because it agreed to
Stop the dordien advance on Jensalem
and stay in the East. This cleerly
proves that self-interest blocksas a
more dominate reason than eniting
against Brael. Although, as a whole
The Arabs United agenist Israel as
there main reason. Similarly, the 1973
Ion kipper war illustrates the Arabs
uniting against Israel Syria and Egypt
aranted to push brack back and regain
land in the Siani and Golan Heights.
The Both these events show that
uniting aganist 18 roel in 1948 was
still a reason in 1973, whilst there
are alteria notives for both, the

4	underlying reason was to unite
	against 18roel. In both cases, the
	Arabs varied to dering Therefore, the
	reason for the development of Pan-Arabism
	stayed the same because the events
	demonstrate the Arabs uniting to dejeat
	broel. end
	A reason for the development of lorael
	was also for stability amoungst the
	Aroubs. For instance, the OAR United Aroub
	republic) was created by Nasser to
	unite the Arabs or politically, economically
	and domestically as well as militarily.
	Syria only having a 4 million population needed the support of Egypt and so this

Mowever, only Sof Syria united ander the OAR, Iraq reposed to join and partled out of the Baghdad Pact which led the to the collapse of the OAR in 1961. Ge Evidently, Stubility was a reason for Pan-Arabism to develop between toget and Syria but not for the rent of the Arabism to develop between toget and full which the dep development of Pan-Arabism to access instability through constict. Arabs and surrounding countries United agenist Iraq in Luwrit which caused huge instability, which contrast to the OMR which aroundly brought stability to Syria Collect well, the UAR and Gulg wher shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the collector of UAR brought more instability in a concentrated point but overall, the	
out of the Eaghdad Pact which led the to the collapse of the OPR in 1961. The Evidently, Stubility was a reason for Pen-Arabism to develop between toget and Syria but not for the rent of the Arabos. In contrast, the 1990-91 First Bulg war was a highlighted the dep development of Pan-Arabism to cause instability through conflict. Arabos and succounding countries United agenist Iraq in Lumid which caused huge instability, which contrast to the OPR which arguebly brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed acress the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleapse of UAR brought more instability.	allowed Byria to stablise under Egypt.
out of the Boghdad Pact which led the to the collapse of the OPR in 1961. The Evidently, Stability was a reason for Pan-Arabism to develop between toget and Syria but not for the rent of the Arabos. In contrast, the 1990-91 First Bulg war was a highlighted the dep development of Pan-Arabism to cause instability through conflict. Arabos and succounding countries United agenist Iraq in knumit which caused huge instability, which contrast to the OPR which arguebly brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed acress the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleapse of UAR brought more instability.	Mowever, only Sof Syria united ander the
out of the Baghdad Pact which led the to the collapse of the OPR in 1961. The Evidently, Stability was a reason for Pen-Arabism to develop between togethe and Syria but not for the rest of the Arabis. In contrast, the 1990-91 First Gulg war was a highlighted the dep development of Pan-Arabism to cause instability through conflict. Arabs and surrounding countries United agenist Iraq in Luwrit which caused huge instability, which arguably brought stability to Syria. Collectively, the UAR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability charged acres the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the collectively the period, whereas the first of Gulg War woon to development of	OAR, trag represed to join and pulled
Etc 65 the collapse of the OAR in 1961. The Evidently, Strubility was a reason for the Arabism to develop between togethe and Syria but not for the rent of the Arabism to develop between togethe and Syria but not for the rent of the Arabis. In contrast, the 1990-91 First Gulg war was a highlighted the dep development of Pan-Arabism to couse instability through conflict. Arabs and succounting countries United agenist Iraq in Luwrit which caused huge instability, which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed acress the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleapse of UAR brought more instability that the first she period, whereas the first	
Ge Evidently, Strubility was a reason for Pen-Arabism to develop between Egypot and Syria but not for the rent of the Arabis. In contrast, the 1990-91 First Gulg war assus a highlighted the dep development of Pan-Arabism to cause instrubility through conflict. Arabs and surrounding countries United agenist Iraq in Luwrit which caused huge instrability, which arguably broughet stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg wher shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed acress the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleape of UAR brought more instability the Gulg war woors to development of Gulg war woors to development of	
for the Arabism to develop between figural and Syria but not for the next of the Arabos. In contrast, the 1990-91 First Bulg war was a highlighted the dep development of for Arabism to cause instability through conflict. Arabos and succounding countries united agenist trag in knowled which caused huge instability, which contrast to the OAR which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Griff war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability charged acress the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleapse of UAR brought more instability actions the period, whereas the first	Ge Evidently, Stability was a reason
Egypt and Syria but not for the reat of the Arabs. In contrast, the 1990-91 First Gulg war war a highlighted the dep development of Pan-Arabism to cause instability through conflict. Arabs and surrounding countries United agenist Iraq in knusit which caused huge instability, which contrast to the OMR which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability charged agress the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleape of UAR brought more instability actives the period, whereas the first e Gulg war wow to development of	lot Pan-Arabian to develop between
of the Arabs. In contrast, the 1990-91 First Gulg war was a highlighted the dep development of Pan-Arabism to cause instability through conflict. Arabs and succounting countries United agenist Iraq in Luvail which caused huge instability, which contrast to the UMR which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UMR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UMR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the collection of UMR brought more instability after the period, whereas the first of Gulg War work to development of	
First Gulg war was a highlighted the dep development of Pan-Arabism to cause in stability through conflict. Arabs and surrounding countries united agenist Iraq in humail which caused huge instability, which contrast to the OAR which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the collection of UAR brought more instability the period, whereas the first of Gulg war woons to development of	of the Arrests to contrast, the 1990-91
dep development of for-Arabism to cause instability through conflict. Arabs and sucrounding countries United agenist Iraq in humail which caused huge instability, which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the collectope of UAR brought more instability thereon the pirst of Gulg war woors to development of	
cause instability through conflict. Aroubs and sucrounding countries United agenist Iraq in Lunait which caused huge instability, which contrast to the OMR which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Golf where shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleaper of UAR brought more instability laterists the period, whereas the first of Gulf War worn to development of	des development of Pan-Arabism to
Arabs and succounding countries United agenist Iraq in Luwait which caused huge instability, which contract to the OPTR which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulf where shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed agrees the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the collections of UAR brought more instability factorists the period, whereas the first a Gulf War wow to development of	course instribility through condict
agenist trace in knownth which caused huge instability, which aroundly brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg wher shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arrubism to bring stability changed across the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleaper of UAR brought more instability attributes the period, whereas the first a Gulg War wows to development of	
huge instability, which contrast to the UMR which arquably brought stability to Syriar Collectively, the UAR and Gulg wer shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleappe of UMR brought more instability across the period, whereas the first a Gulg war were to development of	agentiff long in kny nit . Thich caused
the OMR which arguably brought stability to Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg wher shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the collectope of UAR brought more instability across the period, whereas the first a Gulg War were to development of	la vod instribility Abico comprised to
stability Go Syria Collectively, the UAR and Gulg war shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UAR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleappe of UAR brought more instability attross the period, whereas the first of Gulg war wows to development of	Ha CARR () his to Come the programate
and Golf War shows that the reason for the development of Pan-Arabism to bring stability changed across the period. The UPR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleappe of UPR brought more instability across the first of Gulf War wows to development of	et hilita en Suica Collectualla the CAP
por the development of Pan-Arrubism to bring stability changed across the period. The UPR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleappe of UPR brought more instability interests the period, whereas the first across the period, whereas the first a Gulg war wows to development of	and and when the remain
bring stability changed across the period. The UPR brought stability in a concentrated point but overall, the colleape of UPR brought more instability across the period, whereas the first a Gulf war were to development of	non the devalue on each of Pan-Arribism to
a concentrated point but overall, the collespe of UPR brought more instability across the period, whereas the first a Gulg War were to development of	for con decemperated of the flavores to
a concentrated point but overall, the collerage of UPR brought more instability tateries the period, whereas the first a Gulg war were to development of	bring sparsing charged acress the
colleape of UMR brought more instability across the period, whereas the first a Gulf war were to development of	period. The OTIK Brought Stability on
a Gulg War wers to development of	a concentrated point but overcul, the
a Gulg War wers to development of	collerable of OMK bronging more instability
o Gulg War wers to development of	the period, whereas the first
	a Gulg liber wers to development of
	Pan - Arabion was to created instability
so there was a tacherye in reasoning	so there was a ta chereje in reasoning V
on the period progressed.	ens the period progressed.

Another reason for the development of
Pon-Arabism was resources protecting
 resources from the Great Powers.
This coon be seen through OPEC's
creation. The areat Powers self-interest
made them want to control oilpingin
the Middle East, but the Arabs
united behind aPEC to have control
of there oil and use it as a weapon
agariso the West. When the Arabs
controlled the prices they could use
this to control the West as the West
retied heavily on oil which resulted in
the West bowing down to prosure
grow the Arabos. This underivably sho
highlights oil as a reason for the
development of Pan-Arabism because
the majority of the Arab countries united within OPEC. In a similar vein,
the Suez war in 1956 was
another clear example of Pan-Arabism
developing because of resources due
60 the Arrobs uniting against the Great
Powers & and proshing them out of
Suez. This demonstrated that the
Great Powers were not invincable
and that per lovoreight about Pan-Arabism
Together, these both OPEC and Suez
illostrate that he development of

O A Nim Man A mil sommer	
Pan-Arabiron through oit resources	
because neo resources combrolled the	
west - particully oil - so with	
the Arabas united they developed	
unity agents the west through	pil,
theregore the reasoning remained the	
In conclusion, same.	
La consclusione 11 - 22 c. a.	
In conclusion, the re as a	
whole the reasonings behind the	
development of Pan-Arabsin stayed	
the same. There main reason we	200
to defend against Israel which	
happened during 1948 and 1973	
You kipper. Resources brought un	My
through the went of controlling	
West. As & Although, Stability die	k
Est charge com principa stabilita	
60 engelson instability a recording	Han
Cute who comedat are bility to the	0
60 creating instability, arguelby and was brought stability to the	-11
tros commes agenso lag. Over	an,
Ards countries against trag Over the reasons for the development of Por-Arwoism of remained the same	-
For Frankism of remained the same	<u> </u>
across the period	

Supporting you

For further details of this qualification please visit the subject webpage.

Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u>. If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.



Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. Available for GCSE, A Level and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level*
- · compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

*To find out which reports are available for a specific subject, please visit <u>ocr.org.uk/administration/support-and-tools/active-results/</u>

Find out more at ocr.org.uk/activeresults

CPD Training

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear exam feedback directly from a senior assessor or drop in to an online Q&A session.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our website.

www.ocr.org.uk

OCR Resources: the small print

OCR's resources are provided to support the delivery of OCR qualifications, but in no way constitute an endorsed teaching method that is required by OCR. Whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the content, OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions within these resources. We update our resources on a regular basis, so please check the OCR website to ensure you have the most up to date version.

This resource may be freely copied and distributed, as long as the OCR logo and this small print remain intact and OCR is acknowledged as the originator of this work.

Our documents are updated over time. Whilst every effort is made to check all documents, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, therefore please use the information on the latest specification at all times. Where changes are made to specifications these will be indicated within the document, there will be a new version number indicated, and a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource please contact us at: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR, or are considering switching from your current provider/awarding organisation, you can request more information by completing the Expression of Interest form which can be found here: www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support delivery of our qualifications: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk

Looking for a resource?

There is now a quick and easy search tool to help find **free** resources for your qualification:

www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

www.ocr.org.uk

OCR Customer Support Centre

General qualifications

Telephone 01223 553998 Facsimile 01223 552627

Email general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge. For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored.

© **OCR 2019** Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.



