Qualification Accredited



A LEVEL

Examiners' report

HISTORY A

H505For first teaching in 2015

Y103/01 Summer 2019 series

Version 1

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper Y103/01 series overview	4
Section A	5
Question 1	5
Section B	8
Question 2	8
Question 3	10



Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this pdf as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Save As Other . . . and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select *Save as...* to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for *pdf* to word converter).



We value your feedback

We'd like to know your view on the resources we produce. By clicking on the icon above you will help us to ensure that our resources work for you.

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates. The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report. A full copy of the question paper can be downloaded from OCR.

Paper Y103/01 series overview

Y103 is one of thirteen units for the revised AS Level examination for GCE History. This unit tests an extended period of History of about fifty years through an enquiries or source-based option and an essay. The paper is divided into two sections. In Section A candidates have to answer a compulsory source questions based on four written primary sources. The question requires them to use all four sources to assess the validity of a view. In Section B candidates are required to answer one essay question from a choice of two.

To do well on Section A, candidates need to be able to consider both provenance of the sources and apply contextual knowledge to them in order to reach a judgement about the sources in relation to the issue in the question.

To do well on Section B, candidates need to address the issue in the question, using detailed supporting knowledge. In order to reach the higher levels candidates will need to assess the issues they discuss and reach a supported judgement at least in the conclusion.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:

- considered the provenance of the source(s) and used relevant contextual knowledge
- linked the contextual knowledge clearly to the source being discussed to show whether the view of the source was valid or not
- reached an overall judgement as to the extent to which the sources supported the view in the question
- in answering the essay question, discussed at least two issues in depth
- gave supporting detail that was both accurate and relevant to the question set, not just the topic
- reached a supported judgement about the issue in the question
- made a series of interim judgements about the issues discussed in relation to the question.

Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:

- did not consider both the provenance and use contextual knowledge to evaluate the sources
- wrote an unbalanced answer in their treatment of the sources, with very little consideration of one of the sources
- reached a judgement based on their knowledge rather than the sources
- showed a poor understanding of the major issues relevant to the essay
- were unable to support their response with relevant material
- did not focus on the precise wording of the question
- made unsupported comments about issues which were assertions.

Section A

Question 1

King John 1199-1216

1 Using these four sources in their historical context, assess how far they support the view that the actions of King Philip were the reason for John's loss of his French lands in 1204. [30]

Candidates were able to engage with all four sources, although some found it difficult to avoid repeating general observations relating to the provenance of A and D: that both were written by monks, who, as members of the Church, would automatically be hostile towards King John. In other responses, candidates were able to provide more nuanced assessments of the provenance of these sources by utilising more detailed knowledge of the king's problems with the Church. Knowledge of the provenance of B was uneven: some candidates were aware of the role of the Marshall's son in the work's creation, while others simply stated that the author could not have been present and so the source itself should be treated as unreliable. In most responses, there was good knowledge of the events leading up to King John's condemnation by the French court, as described in A, notably his marriage to Isabelle d'Angoulême. Treatment of Source C, by the king himself, was mixed, with some candidates finding it difficult to contextualise his message to the commander of Château Gaillard. Overall, many responses identified the themes highlighted in the sources: King Philip's military prowess and King's John own culpability in creating a situation that could be readily exploited by his enemies. Answers that achieved the higher levels made effective use of contextual knowledge and provenance in evaluating the content of each source; these responses also included judgements, notably at the end. In the lower levels, responses tended to explain source content with little attempt to evaluate or form judgements.

Exemplar 1

By Roy John had lost all of what remained
of his Angevin empire in France due to a
number of factors, namely the actions of ling
Philip and Johns lack of Support from the
English boronage. The view that Philip's actions
were an monort couse for an lost and is the
most convincing, supported by sources A, C and
D. However Source B supports the view that
Johns lack of alies and support from the English
borons along with his own actions, were the
recoon for the loss of his londs in Frence.
Source A present the organient that it was
philip's quick movement through France following
John's repuch to outend his court mont wikim-
ately led to John losing his empire in
France by 1704. This incs because John was
decired a consumacións vassal a philip
who was his antiord in Frence due to no
treaty of le Goviet in 1200, Ofter refusing to
attend a court he had been summered to by
Philip Kowever, hu source was written by
Ralph of Coggedshall in a chronicle, which
were often filled with exagorated circumstances
due to it being a Story. So the contents
a lu source may be hyperbolic, or presented
in such a way that world make John look
like a poor leader, hence be addition of 'meet
with no resistance. This is backed up by the

was written time at llu 1207/1208 (ontomations disagrees with the view, perons Bruklnie MIN WM. n ach bones moshal

This exemplar highlights some of the more general observations about the provenance of A, as noted above. Here, the candidate evaluates its provenance by noting the king's troubles with the Church and the likely impact of these problems on Ralph's interpretation of events as a 'member of the clergy' (rather than as a monk).

Section B

Question 2

England 1216-1272

2* Assess the view that the support of the pope was the main reason why Henry III was able to regain power in 1261–1262. [20]

Responses to this question tended to focus on King Henry's ability to exploit divisions among the barons as the main reason for his resumption of power in 1261-62. Knowledge of his interactions with the papacy were generally well known, in particular the issue of the papal bull absolving the king of his obligation to adhere to the Provisions of Oxford. The impact of this event on baronial unity formed part of this discussion in many responses. Other factors discussed included the promotion of men who favoured the king and de Montfort's sojourn in France, which provided the king with an opportunity to build up his influence. Some responses that only partially addressed the question consisted more of general knowledge and observations, such as de Montfort's ambition; while these points held some validity, they were often not properly related to the question itself or its context. High-scoring responses provided a line of reasoning related to the question culminating with a focused judgement. Answers in the lower levels tended to focus more on description.

Exemplar 2

and itided under the consistent of
and abouted under the provisions of the Bovens in
the Hence two can be seen as the
main reusen for Henry regain to pare as
the tensions between the borrow Richard de
Clare and simon do Montfult made England
a hostile and resentment arose at alongside
Anancial instability. Despit Although in
comparation to the support from the Pape
which Henry recioned recioned miracucusty
began to beneft him and the agreement
for me Treaty of Paris vibrersed also by
the pape in 1261 = nent ahead.
Furthermore, Simon de Montfort uge for
power for himself cald also be angred
to into player playing a micd recon
for Henry VIII regain of pay ans
for Henry VIII regain of par ans
he had obtaine the Foldon of liciety.
he had obtaine the Fordern of licition and was continuously awarded much of
for Henry VIII regain of pay and he had obtaine the Fordern of licition and was continuously awarded much of Folward land in water from Unellyn.
for Henry VIII regain of pay and he had obtaine the Fordern of licition and was continuously awarded much of Followed land in water from Unellyn for himself. his sep simon de Montare.
for Henry VIII regain of pay and he had obtaine the Fordern of licition and was continuously awarded much of Folward land in Wales from Unellyn for himself. his sep simon de Montant cleverly distributed land to 5 of his
for Henry VIII regain of pay and he had obtaine the Fordern of licition and was continuously awarded much of Folward land in water from Unellyn for himself. his son simon de Monteut clevely distributed land to 5 of his sens and escipi is especially Peter de
for Henry VIII regain of pay and he had obtaine the Fordern of licition and was continuously awarded much of Folward land in Wales from Unellyn for himself. his sep simon de Montant cleverly distributed land to 5 of his

	stems cald also be organish to stem.
	and add to comparatively add to
	tensions between borons as Richard de
	clare was quite hospile to the contioner
	giving at of land.
Т	

This exemplar highlights some of the general observations often made in responses to this question. In this extract, the candidate makes a broad reference to de Montfort's ambition and his enrichment of his family.

Question 3

3* 'Simon de Montfort lacked the necessary military and political skills to retain power.' How far do you agree? [20]

More popular than Question 2, in many responses, candidates were able to assess de Montfort's military and political skills with some confidence, balancing – for example – his success at the Battle of Lewes with his eventual demise at Evesham in 1265. In terms of his political skills, reference was often made to his largely unsuccessful spell as the king's lieutenant in Gascony as early sign of his lack of political and diplomatic nous. This point was often related to de Montfort's inability to maintain sustained baronial support, largely because of the way he dominated government and presented himself as king in all but name. Other explanations for his failure to retain power included the actions of King Henry and the support he received from the French king and the papacy, the role of Lord Edward in opposing de Montfort, particularly through his martial skills, as evidenced as the Battle of Evesham, and the illegitimacy of his position. Some responses referred to a more interesting point, that de Montfort's aims were simply too ambitious, even revolutionary, for his time, and that his rebellion against the king was doomed to failure even before it had properly begun. High-scoring responses provided a line of reasoning related to the question culminating with a focused judgement. Answers in the lower levels tended to focus more on description.

Exemplar 3

antificially behavior in Gasconi in 1252, author down the controp's times and creating of unast as in result. This ment that teary neved symon as a piece anth symonymous with wrest, and meatered his possion as a political and mintary leader the reason he could not retain proper massist his to a last of shells, but was more a result of a meatered time the surror brice which rule accorated with the surror brice which ready to a stronge site with his approsition. This can be seen in that unest under his region follows his breathers taken against for express as breathers taken against for express as breathered his supprorts as his residency promer seemed limited in its legitimacy and consent as a centil. The fact that king there was play being more high to as historys shy being more high with promer as being curto cranic and arrandic, man no stability of moreodry to limit with more thanks of moreodry to limit with more stability of moreodry to limit with more stability of moreodry to limit with some	•
coentring of anast as a result. This ment that theory would summ as a place with unest, and weather that where I was the summer with unest, and multing leader in the proper was the could not retain proper was the to a lack of shells, but was more a result of a ment and himded the was more a result of a ment and himded the was more a result of a ment and himded the west and the afternation of demander the supposition. This can be seen in the unest under his preparation of from monerary realized his support as his teath as the power as his teath and his support as his teath as his fact that king thereof his support as his teath as his fact that king thereof his support as his teath as his fact that king thereof his support as his fact that king thereof his bottom, as they saw his power as here such crahic and arrandic, who was here such crahic and arrandic.	grieftonable bahavion+ in Gasconi in 1252,
recenting of anast as a result. This ment that Heavy revied Summ as a peace author symmymous with wheely, had neahered his position as a point of and multing leader. It is more hely that the reason he could not retain proper was it has nore a result of a ment and hundred transmit have to a lack of shalls, but was more a result of a ment and hundred transmit brice which rule accorded with welliamany of disription, reading to a stronger which his approsition. This can be seen in but until hinder has regard follower his success in he Rattle of Lewes in 1264. The actions taken against for express as breakened his support as his testion primer seemed limited in its legitimacy and consent as a cernt. The fact that king therey as showed never hept is as history is only being were figureheads, freshered he borrows and they saw his promer as being courts cratic and arrandic, with no itability of monording to limit his point.	
ment that Heavy neved Simon as a peace sith synonymone with unest, he heatered his position are a point of multing leader the reason so could not retain prince massis here to a lack of skills, but was more a result of a ment and hunded the prince their trule associated into legitimany of disription, ready to a stronge sittle inster the apposition. This can be seen in late unest under his regin following his luccess in he Rattle of Lewes in 264. The actions taken against for express as breakened his supports as his testin power seemed limited in its legitimacy and consent as a coult. The fact that king theory as showed never hept to al history is only being were figureheads, frightened his bosons as they saw his poner as being outo cratic and arraduic, with no stability of monoding to limit his poner.	
e peace anthe symonymore with wrest, and making leader this position are a pointical and multiple that the reason he could not retain more wasn't have to a lack of shalls, but was more a result of a meat and hunded who was more a result of a meat and hunded with many and disription, reading to a stronger with me apposition. This can be seen in that west unest under his regin following his successive pre Rattle of Lewes in 264. The actions taken aparist for express as weakness his support as his section promer seemed him support as his section promer seemed him the fact that king therey are shown as short as a second hours of prove hept is all history, sonly being more from the as his fact that king there are becomed and tray of which promes as heir courts cratic and arrandic, with no stability of monordry to limit his bone.	
not realized bis mostin as a political and mulitary leader it is more whelly that he realish he could not retain pure was it has a lack of shalls, but was more a result of a meat and hunded legitimacy and disription, rule accorded with legitimacy and disription, ready to a stronger with mest unest under his regin following his success in last unest under his regin following his success in the unest under his regin for express as breathered his support as his teating realized his support as his teating monarchy weathered his support as his teating from a cemed limited in its legitimacy and consent as a cepult. The fact that king there as a cepult the fact that king there as he periods, frightened he become and they saw his power as being curto cratic and arrandic, with no stability of monordry to limit his power.	
though the sould not retain poner was it he sould not retain poner was it here to a lack of shills, but was more a result of a ment and hunded the surprise rule associated who meginary is discourantly to a stronge with mother the opposition. This can be seen in that unest under his reight follows his success in the matter of heures in 264. The actions taken against foreigner as his teating realized his support as his teating meahaned his support as his teating and consent at a centil. The fact that king theory no should never hept to all historys; only being were figureheads, frightened he bosons as trey saw his poner as being cuto cratic and arrachic, with no italished of moneodry to hunt his poner.	
the reason he could not retain pone massive him to a lack of shells, but was more a result of a mean and hunded they was home which rule accorded who degitimacy of description, reading to a stronge with moth the approsition. This can be seen in that unest under his region follows his success in the Rattle of heures in 1264. The actions taken against foreigner as the reading to support as his people was proved his support as his people and consent at a centre of the fact that king thereof no should never hept in all historys of only being were figureheads, frightened he become at they can his poner as being cuto cratic and available, with no italished of moneany to hunt his poner as being cuto cratic and available, with no italishing of moneany to hunt	
the realism as could not retain proper insist hie to a lack of shulls, but was more a result of a mead and hundred the displant of rule associated with displanary and dissingtion, rule associated with displanary and dissingtion. This can be seen in but unest under his reign following his success in the Rattle of Lewess in 264. The actions taken against for expired as twenty realized his support as his reation power seemed himsted in its legithracy and consent as a result. The fact that king there as a second never hept to all histories only being were figureheads, frightened his bosons as trey saw his power as being cuto cratic and arraduic, who wo stability of moneany to hunt his power.	
inas in the to a lack of shills, but was more a result of a real and hunded the ship bear and hunded the supposition, rule accorated who where the interpretation, ready to a stronger like with the opposition. This can be seen in last unest under his regin following his success in he Rattle of Lewes in D64. The action taken against for express as twenty weathered his support and his regionacy and consent as a result. The fact that king theory as should never hept in all historys of only being were figureheeds, freshered he bosons as trey saw his poner as being cuto cratic and arrandor, who were he all arrandor, who is about, of moneoding to hunt his poner.	
was more a result of a real and hunded thingsoft books which rule accorded with the supposition. This can be seen in last unest under his reign solven his success in he Rattle of Lewes in 264. The actions taken against for entrev as weakened his support as his teator power seemed limited in its legituary and consent as a centle. The fact that king there as example mere hept to all hostages, frequenced his power as being mere hept to all hostages, only being mere hept to all hostages, frequenced he becomes in they saw his power as being cuto cratic and arrandic, who wo that they of moneodry to limit his books.	
the water the paper that rule accorated who description, ready to a stronger with the apposition. This can be seen in the unest under her regin tolloons his success in D64. The actions taken against for express as the testing weathered his supports as his testing weathered his supports as his testing and consent as a secret. The fact that king there as a coult. The fact that king there as being being were figureheads, from as being autocratic and arraduic, who was help autocratic and arraduic, who wo that they force.	
in the unest under his reign following his Success in the Rattle of Lewes in 264. The actions taken against for express as treatment of the payof from monorchy meahined his support as his performancy and consent as a result. The fact that king there as Edward ness hept to as hostagls, only being were from the poner as being cuto cratic and arrandic, who was being cuto cratic and arrandic, who was both of monorchy to hunt will borse.	tappor lorse which rule accorated unh
in the unest under his reign following his Success in the Rattle of Lewes in 264. The actions taken against for express as treatment of the payof from monorchy meahined his support as his performancy and consent as a result. The fact that king there as Edward ness hept to as hostagls, only being were from the poner as being cuto cratic and arrandic, who was being cuto cratic and arrandic, who was both of monorchy to hunt will borse.	degumacy and disription, reading to a stronger
in but unest under his regin tolloup his Success in he Rattle of Lewes in D64. The actions taken against for eight as breathered his support as his ready meahined his support as his ready meahined his support as his ready and consent as a centle. The fact that king thereof no Edward never hept to al historys, only being mere figureheads, frightened he bosons as trey saw his poner as being outs cratic and arraiduc, who wo stability of monodry to hunt my poner.	sike into the opposition. This can be seen
Inccess in he Rattle of Lewes in 264. The actions taken against for eight as his teath weaking his support as his teath power seemed himsted in its legitimacy and consent as a result. The fact that king there as a search never hept is as histographic, only being mere figureheads, frightened he borons as they saw his poner as being auto cratic and arrandic, with no stability of monoding to limit will pone. Thereof.	
actions taken against foreigner as breatpent of he sound from monordry meahined his supprort as his teather poner seemed himsted in its legitimacy and consent as a coult. The fact that king theory as Edward nere hept is as hostages, only being mere from cheeds, frightened he bosons as trey saw his poner as being autocratic and arraidure, who ho stability of monordry to hourt his poner. Timbonism, the actions	
reathered his support as his restriction power seemed himsted in its legitimacy and consent as a result. The fact that king theory as Edward nese hept is as history of more figureheads, frequenced he bosons as trey saw his poner as being cuto cratic and arrandic, who wo stability of moneodry to hand his boner. Timbonish, the actions to hand	
poner seemed his support as his testandy poner seemed himsted in its legitimacy and consent as a result. The fact that king theory no Edward nece hept is as hostages, only being mere figureheads, frightened the bosons as trey saw his poner as being outs cratic and arraduc, who wo Hability of monodry to hand his poner. Trothomore, the same	treatment of he asynt from monordry
poner seemed "hometed in its legetimacy and consent as a result. The fact that king thereing no Edward neces hept to as hostryls, only being mere from the eds, from as being autocratic and arrandic, who wo stability of monerally to hour his will bone. The money are monerally to hour the will bone.	realized his support as his restan
and consent as a result. The fact that king thereing no Edward neces hept is as hosty being mere figureheads, frightened the bosons as trey saw his poner as being outs cratic and arrandic, who was traditionally of monorary to hand his bone. Trothomore, the art areas	
king Henry and Edward nece hept to all hostryls, only being mere from theads, from his poner as being outscrape and arrandic, who wo itability of moncoling to limit will bone. Trollowers, the attended	and consent as a result. The fact that
freghtened the basons as they saw his poner as being autocratic and arraiduc, who wo stability of moncoling to limit will bone. Trothomose, the actions	
forgitered the basons of they saw his poner as being outs cratic and arraiduc, who wo itability of moncodry to hout will bone. Fromone, the attention	hostages, only being mere francheads,
money as being outs cratic and arraiduc, months no itability of moneday to hand. The pone.	fromened the basons as trey saw his
who wo stability of moncoling to limit wi some. Fishermore, the attende	
Fishermen, he and	
Fishermore, ne and and	
	Mentinale rule can be also seen in the

This exemplar highlights the significance of the illegitimacy of de Montfort's rule, broadened out into consideration of the autocratic nature of his style of governance, as a reason for his inability to retain power. It includes some analysis towards the end of the paragraph.

Supporting you

For further details of this qualification please visit the subject webpage.

Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u>. If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.



Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. Available for GCSE, A Level and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level*
- · compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

*To find out which reports are available for a specific subject, please visit <u>ocr.org.uk/administration/support-and-tools/active-results/</u>

Find out more at ocr.org.uk/activeresults

CPD Training

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear exam feedback directly from a senior assessor or drop in to an online Q&A session.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our website.

www.ocr.org.uk

OCR Resources: the small print

OCR's resources are provided to support the delivery of OCR qualifications, but in no way constitute an endorsed teaching method that is required by OCR. Whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the content, OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions within these resources. We update our resources on a regular basis, so please check the OCR website to ensure you have the most up to date version.

This resource may be freely copied and distributed, as long as the OCR logo and this small print remain intact and OCR is acknowledged as the originator of this work.

Our documents are updated over time. Whilst every effort is made to check all documents, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, therefore please use the information on the latest specification at all times. Where changes are made to specifications these will be indicated within the document, there will be a new version number indicated, and a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource please contact us at: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR, or are considering switching from your current provider/awarding organisation, you can request more information by completing the Expression of Interest form which can be found here: www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support delivery of our qualifications: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk

Looking for a resource?

There is now a quick and easy search tool to help find **free** resources for your qualification:

www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

www.ocr.org.uk

OCR Customer Support Centre

General qualifications

Telephone 01223 553998 Facsimile 01223 552627

Email general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge. For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored.

© **OCR 2019** Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.



