

GCE

History A

Y137/01: England 1547-1603: the Later Tudors

Advanced Subsidiary GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2019

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2019

These are the annotations, (including abbreviations), including those used in scoris, which are used when marking

Annotation	Meaning of annotation
BP	Blank Page
	Highlight
Off-page comment	
A	Assertion
AN	Analysis
EVAL	Evaluation
EXP	Explanation
F	Factor
ILL	Illustrates/Describes
IRRL	Irrelevant, a significant amount of material that does not answer the question
J	Judgement
KU	Knowledge and understanding
Р	Provenance
SC	Simple comment
}	Unclear
V	View

Here is the mark scheme for this question paper.

MARK SCHEME Section A

Question	Answer/Indicative content	Mark	Guidance
1	 How useful is Source C as evidence for the reaction to the Marian burnings? In discussing how Source C is useful, answers might consider that it was written soon after the first burning and is therefore contemporary to the event. Answers might consider that the language that is used shows the writer is concerned about the impact. Answers might consider it is written by the Imperial Ambassador to Mary's husband and might be concerned about her safety. Answers might consider that this refers only to events in London which was more Protestant than other areas. Answers might consider that the Imperial Ambassador might want to encourage Philip to return to England. 	10	 No set answer is expected The answer must assess utility for the issue specified. Analysis and evaluation of utility for other issues is not required and should not be credited. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used to analyse and evaluate the sources, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.
2	Using these three sources in their historical context, assess how far they support the view that aim of Mary's religious policy was reconciliation. • In discussing how Source A does support the	20	 No set answer is expected. At level 5 there will be judgement about the issue in the question To be valid judgements, they must be supported by accurate and relevant material. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should

	only be gradited where it is used to engly as and
view, it could be argued that she will not compel	only be credited where it is used to analyse and evaluate the sources, in line with descriptions in the
people to be Catholic until further decisions are	levels mark scheme.
made and that she will punish any who cause	icveis mark seneme.
disorder.	
In discussing the provenance of Source A,	
answers might consider that it was a	
proclamation at the start of the reign designed to	
promote order.	
 In discussing the historical context of Source A, 	
answers might consider that Mary had faced	
opposition in gaining the throne and needed to	
gain support at the start of her reign.	
 In discussing how Source B does support the 	
view, answers might refer to the need to reach a	
settlement over the future of former monastic	
lands before Pole comes to England.	
 In discussing the provenance of Source B, 	
answers might consider that it is written by the	
Imperial ambassador whose job it was to inform	
his master of the true state of affairs in England.	
 In discussing the historical context of Source B, 	
answers might consider the debates in	
Parliament about monastic lands and opposition	
to the Second Act of Repeal until they had	
reassurances on land.	
 In discussing how Source C does not support 	
the view, answers might refer to the	
introduction of the heresy laws and the fear of	
unrest, as well as the burning of Rogers.	
 In discussing the provenance of Source C, 	
answers might refer to it being written by the	
Imperial Ambassador to Mary's husband.	
 In discussing the historical context of Source C, 	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	answers might refer to the numbers burnt, reaction at the burnings, the Marian exiles, the burnings of the bishops, how the burnings were viewed.		
3*	How serious a threat to Elizabeth I was Mary, Queen of Scots? In arguing that she was a serious threat: • Answers might consider that she was seen by many Catholics as the rightful heir. • Answers might consider that she had support from France, particularly the Guise, and later Spain. • Answers might consider the threat posed by the Rising of the Northern Earls. • Answers might consider that she was the centre of plots and conspiracies to remove Elizabeth. • Answers might consider the threat posed by the issuing of the Papal Bull in 1570 which justified rebellion to remove Elizabeth. • Answers might consider that she was a threat given the pressure that parliament put on Elizabeth to execute Mary. In arguing that Mary was not a serious threat: • Answers might consider that Spain would not support her as they did not want the Channel controlled by the French. • Answers might consider the lack of support for the Rising of the Northern Earls. • Answers might consider that once she was captive in England she had little scope for independent action.	20	 No set answer is expected. At Level 5 there will be judgement as to whether how serious the threat was. At higher Levels candidates might establish criteria against which to judge 'serious'. To be valid judgements, claims must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.
	Answers might consider that there were few in England who were willing to remove Elizabeth and		

	replace her with Mary.		
	How effective was Elizabeth I in defending the royal prerogative in the period from 1588 to 1603?		
4*	In arguing that Elizabeth was effective: • Answers might consider her reaction to the issue of purveyance in 1593. • Answers might consider her management of Peter Wentworth over the discussion of parliamentary business outside Westminster. • Answers might consider her handling of the issue of monopolies when she yielded gracefully in 1597. • Answers might consider Elizabeth's management of monopolies in 1601, ending some immediately and referring others to the courts. • Answers might consider the success of her Golden Speech. • Answers might consider her control over religion. • Answers might consider that, despite any conflict, Elizabeth maintained her powers intact. In arguing that Elizabeth was not effective: • Answers might consider Elizabeth's promise to redress grievances over monopolies but revoked only a few and issued more. • Answers might consider the difficulty in dealing with a new generation of MPs. • Answers might consider the use of monopolies to reward supporters and their impact on prices of basic goods. • Answers might consider the failure to take action on monopolies before the 1601 parliament. • Answers might consider that her failure to act led to petitions from outside parliament.	20	 No set answer is expected. At Level 5 there will be judgement as to whether Elizabeth was effective in this aspect of her rule. At higher Levels candidates might establish criteria against which to judge 'effectiveness'. To be valid judgements, claims must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) The Triangle Building **Shaftesbury Road** Cambridge **CB2 8EA**

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA Registered Company Number: 3484466 **OCR** is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)

Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



