

# Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

January 2022

Pearson Edexcel International A Level in History (WHI04 1C)

Option 1C: The World Divided: Superpower Relations, 1943-90

# **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

# Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2022 Publications Code WHI04\_1C\_2201\_ER All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2022

#### Introduction

**Please note:** that it is recommended that centres look at a selection of Principal Examiner Reports from across the different options within WHI04 1A-1D and previous series to get an overall sense of examiner feedback, centre approaches and candidate achievement. It is also highly recommended that centres read the general Introduction and Section A and B introductions in the Principal Examiner Reports for June 2017. These generic introductions outline the assessment requirements for WHI04 and give an indication of the skills required.

Centres may wish to refer to the *Getting Started* guide that is to be found on the IAL History Pearson Edexcel website. It is also useful to take note of the indicative content in the mark schemes.

Further resources that may be of use are the *Applying Criteria* and *Developing Student's Understanding of Historical Interpretations* documents to be found on the Pearson Edexcel History GCE website along with the Principal Examiner Reports for Paper 1 of the Pearson Edexcel History GCE. The *Applying Criteria* document gives guidance with regard to the application of criteria for the different AOs tested at A Level. The GCE Paper 1 Reports will be particularly useful for exemplification of AO3 interpretations skills (but please be aware that there are slight differences within the general level descriptors).

#### **General Comments**

In light of the ongoing global pandemic, and the challenging circumstances in which students are being prepared for public examinations, it is not possible, or indeed helpful, to make comments about series-on-series developments. However, there are some general observations that can be made about candidate performance (see below for more specific feedback):

Selection and deployment of knowledge - Candidates, in general, produce interesting responses that it is a pleasure to read and reward. The candidates were usually very well prepared in relation to knowledge of the specification and centres are to be commended for this. Candidates have good, detailed knowledge of the specification content and this is a facet that often stands out. Many responses were well-informed and well-written. However, there does need to be more discrimination in the selection and deployment of knowledge in both Section A and Section B. Some candidates write 'all they know' about a topic without selecting and deploying information and evidence relevant to the question being asked. In Section A, to reach the higher levels, the use of own knowledge is required to discuss the views being presented in Extracts, not as stand-alone information, and in Section B, to reach Level 5, knowledge should be 'precisely selected'(L5-BP2).

Conceptual understanding and application of skills - Despite good knowledge, candidates were not always able to access high Level 3 marks and above due to a limited understanding of the conceptual focus of questions and the application of analytical skills. Some candidates are still not using the Extracts as the basis of their response in Section A and candidates do need to reach a judgement on the given view to access the higher levels. In Section B, lower-level responses often lack focus on the wording of the question and/or the second-order concept being targeted.

As in previous reports, it is worth noting that the responses are marked using a 'best-fit' process. Each bullet point strand within the generic mark scheme is considered to create an overall sense of level and a mark applied within the level. If a response has qualities which exemplify a variety of levels or a strand is missing then this will be reflected by applying a 'best-fit' level and mark. For responses which do not address an aspect of a particular

strand, for example reaching a judgement in bullet point 3 for Q1, this will be reflected in the mark rewarded.

Some candidate responses reflect the wording of the generic descriptors and the format of the indicative content in such a way that it becomes detrimental to the overall analysis and organisation of the response. The descriptors reflect the qualities examiners would expect to see in an essay answering the question set rather than a scaffold on which responses should be built. It is the examiner who determines whether criteria are valid or if the analysis is sustained rather than the candidate by asserting 'so it can be seen by the valid criteria I have used...' or 'In conclusion, this sustained analysis...'. This does not necessarily add value to the response and can be detrimental if this assertion is clearly not substantiated. The indicative content is also not intended to provide a scaffold and is organised to give examiners an overview of what evidence might be included in a response.

Despite the ongoing challenges faced by candidates, very few failed to attempt both Sections, and most were able to produce two balanced responses, so enabling them to show their ability across AO1 and AO3 skills.

General candidate performance on each Section and specific performance on individual questions for Paper 1C are considered below.

#### Section A

**Please note:** it would be particularly useful to access <u>the 2019 Examiner Report</u>, where the detailed general commentary on Section A responses continues to be extremely relevant.

It is important that candidates read the Extracts carefully and are able to determine the overarching view being put forward before analysing more closely some of the more nuanced points being made. It is clear that some candidates only use the first few sentences of the Extracts and/or select some sentences out of context without fully reading the whole Extract. There is sufficient time available at IAL to consider the Extracts carefully before planning an answer based on the differing viewpoints being presented.

#### Question 1

Question 1 is a compulsory question.

For WHI04 1C, most candidates were aware that they were required to discuss the Extracts in relation to the view given in the question. However, some only utilised Extract 1 effectively and a small, but significant number, did not use the Extracts at all. Although AO1 is assessed in Section A, the majority of the assessment is focused on AO3 skills relation to historical interpretations and this meant that candidates who only used own knowledge could not be rewarded beyond Level 2 at best. A few candidates wrote a formulaic response about the development of the Cold War with no reference to the enquiry focus on Soviet expansionism at all.

Extract 1 suggested that Soviet expansionism fuelled the Cold War after the Second World War while Extract 2 suggested that Soviets were not expansionist and not in a position to fuel the development of the Cold War. Candidates who utilised both Extracts were able to discuss the validity of both views, using the evidence from the Extracts and their own knowledge, and reach a judgement on both views in the course of the essay and/or in a conclusion. Those candidates who only referred to Extract 1 often provided an alternative

reason for the development of the Cold War from their own knowledge, which although valid, meant that they were not able to reach a judgement on views in both Extracts and so were unable to access higher level marks. There were some good Level 3 responses that analysed the evidence provided in one or both of the Extracts but, as in previous series, did not show an awareness of the Extracts as historical interpretations and/or did not reach a judgement on the views being presented.

-

	SECTION A
	Answer Question 1. Write your answers in the spaces provided.
Study Extra	cts 1 and 2 in the Extracts Booklet before you answer this question.
	do you agree with the view that the <u>Cold War developed after</u> the <u>Norld War</u> mainly as a result of <u>Soviet expansionism</u> ?
	our answer using Extracts 1 and 2 and your knowledge of the issues related antroversy.
L L	The Second world war ended in 1945 and
	nechdawn of the transformer of the American
	Sould Allinne and the start of cold war
tension	s vestis thought to have strated then. The
Yerlton	and Patedam conferences created agreements but
the c	henge in leadership and confliction ideologies created
	The somet at this time are viewed as
- taking	a testion advintage of the unstable sound and
lionam	ic situation in Ecope and Expending theein
tuniter	es. Both extracts can this view but one
ala	appress the reasons for the expansion- In Extract 1 the author with the
1.	
Rap So	riet lenders as apportmictic and weating to
<u>establis</u>	h commit negimes in & former apitulist countries.
this i	this to an extent as the Society wished
tu expan	Consultion
rebuild	the social economy the idealogies of the samets
	m was viewed as an agression expression to
	and Wester European campies and the Apuna

quechly fried to cauther this by creating the thin the Morshallplan (1947) Doctrine and Souriets viewed these plans as income with their anon economic Comecon which held the Eastern les sexpen blocks under this control. The Expect also states that territoriest ware needy all brought worder Moscours political Carta from the did not have any autonoy. USR. The Any political apposition of the was crushed was seen in the 1950s in Hungar, and Paland The sons attempt to also the interplu of countries outside of the Eastern Bloc, such with Turky, Van and Greece was vanied ard ... A.S. ... Effective expansionistic and caused tension unid MANNA. the divelupment of the Cold carticipulad to Have there are complete views in Which need to be documed. The S Seriets orginaly did not expand past the agreements and confines. The servets did expend 1143-1945 Easturn bler countries but this was none for of a German retaliation and wished ecomonic and social Strate a stalle The Soviets had been ecomonical launtries. lay the second world ...deanzyes war and Unear orme concernent with rebailding this economy them TA016507256

(unflict and evi th Creetin 41 Anincune. were ISBABBLE CONTENTS CLAMBUSE an eastern andas nulad \$1.40m 1 extrude  $\alpha l$ upr 0 NEAPERS 50 ppinA 000 VIN nehill <u>'</u>(2000) trut Secon View maints 010 experi econs Condu -0.0 Ness ON BOWS vicho LD. A. laneric and PLSOURC#S countries to the nestor .00.k Soniets the economa

Commentary - this is a Level 3 response. There is some analysis of both extracts by selecting information and key points and indicating differences. Knowledge is used to link to and expand some of the views given in the extracts. A judgement is related to the views in the Extracts.

#### SECTION A

## Answer Question 1. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

# Study Extracts 1 and 2 in the Extracts Booklet before you answer this question.

1 How far do you agree with the view that the Cold War developed after the Second World War mainly as a result of Soviet expansionism?

Explain your answer using Extracts 1 and 2 and your knowledge of the issues related to this controversy:

The <del>perdopount of</del> cold way was the inertable	
result of the integlary of multiple complex factors, manly	
having to do with the dest of 2 superpower with sixal	
Ideologies White the main reason for development of	
He cold was can be said to have been soviet	
expansion, there is also reason to believe atterwise	
Extracts 1 and 2 contracted each other in the	
way in which they explain the position of the wasp	
past world War 2.	
Extract 1, published in 1967, mend roughly 20	
years part www. takes a stand on which USER and the	
Hs expansionist native led to the rapid durlapment of	
hestiling and confrontation. The extract paints use in a	
non-treatening peacebul light, deeming the superpenses	
"Solaborist' Suggeste The writer therefor suggests that use	
was not on withat aggressor and kept to hercell much	
Where she did post which and the water the which	
the warding chose put to per the begins of Netser	
to as a wany to had get instruct in turn per	
wettert The suggestion trad use was a practed	

nation and did not mitrate any of the booth hestlites that led to the Gld War meen that would was the itustal aggressor and here the erly USER for the divelopment of Cold Wor. main reason He Adding on A the periodice of Serret expensionism. the expand status that the nutrony stronghth of their nation led to the balled that it the Soviet Leader asare that they could use this apportunity to spread communism. H top is evident that Soviet amplituns dod Ue in establishing a communal Regime, starting with eastern Europe and then expending Barn that an Morover. under Stations potrition rule, such ambitions were amed be achieved <u>fp...</u> considiong stalm's ambihus personaliting to at whatever last his habid buords USA and capitalion A 13 \_end..... easy & believe that & Source Union had such ambihons. Proof of it mes in the saveral Sattelike Status that They had mobilized and be brought under the regime: Their mettering charget Pros Evidence of their USR that the mitetany strength (1.es In the fast single handedly held of the German proges on the erstin port . . shength Soviel military strength lies in the point it the Red army and the ext act retain to the addientege this put the communist state of experially considering the state the rest of Europe was in: World Wor2 1et loves 1052 the European. campies in shemples Millions of 73/04/65/07/2208

and the outdany capacity of prevous supposers like Fare and Botain were semandy limited more importantly the woneney of the year of Europe had suffered ald of demage and recorry would hel corr early. Henry Ar these reasons, the Soviet State was put at an advantage in which they wan the most superor n Evrepe, grong tem the oppopenday to spread gare capitalion. The sattelle fates make the Soviel Union a lot of resource to work with makeling land as well as labour, adding to the carpubilities in time of military and elenomic strength. Soviet responsibility in the development of the cald When as a result of Soviel expansion also grantly tree in the personality and ambition of their leader Station. fle coas messas endently hostile at 10 writishon communist ambition with repitalism and also die to the fact that they receard little to help that on the Eastern Bord this hospile lenderchip and amption meant that Soviet expansiont attracte ever highly litely and hence to blame for the divelopment of the Cold War: The extract adds onto This paint as A states that On February 9th 1946, Stalin referred to a possibility of conflict between capitalism and communism. This is very quilly offer the end of the sciend World War here suggests that he was confident enough

capabilities of the Somet Unon and the red amy th agminal capitalishe Europe and Copitalishe givert USA not Not More prof of expansionsti ambihon but expansions/ action lies in the behaviour of and actions of the Soviet Unon post WWZ - 10 addition to the mobilisabab of apphal of states and bringing sun under community rule, the extract also ctode that territories that they had getter past MN2 was also brought under marcen's the communist capital's, political Sovied control was also attempted to be extended into control. Turkey bron and bruce state the expect and this stands as not only a suggestion but proof of Soviet expansion And mentably the spread of communition and increasing societ controly stands as a threat ush and capitalism, leaving them with SATHE to no chore but to respond. Menu, there aligning with the nature of Extract 2. Aur is reason to believe that Sonat Expansion, and was the main reason for the duelegement of the lold war. Extract 2, not only can tradicts the aforementioned statement but also provides significant proof as to eatry to be He Soviet Union did not have the capaciting expansionist much use the mest of Europe, the exhaut stry is that ' The USER emerged Born & war is runs, tired and materials exhausted, with the peacehone economy in shreas Suggesting that the USSR was not economically 5

TA:3465072275

sufficient enough to Kind 15 expansioned ampitions. H could not afford to be aggregave. It is also important to consider that the pracebore economy was not Rounshing either come perhaptis compantively kitter, Sowel economy under Stalin and Vil corptalism was striggling long before www. People storved, tive year Plans were bailly porchy successfy and stalin benned all of USSR anony and resources plurarde mitory expansion . Reaction soviet eun any was hardly doing any good, but post way soviet ennowy was not readay good enough Br them to be able to afford aggression against the capitaliste wast or atteast aggressive everyon to be the main xeepon by developped & the Edd Why The extract also provides proof at user commitment agreements made and Yatta and Potsdam. Hot onl Thuy stayed the to their word is terms of not extending communism buyond territories under they control in auardance to the agreements and contradicting extract I extract 2 states that the USSR was spectrally committed to not building states on the model at the USSA this line suggests that not only was UEBR non-aggressive, they were also not aggressive in the promotion And optend of communition. the expalt goes as far as to say that USSR was not aggressive but indeed defensive adding to the neurotive that the USSR was

sechapte a non- 133x estile withm. Hontashing te And extract of points use in a threatening light, going as for as to store say, USA's weath and power made the USSR abound. USA did in that have alot. Weath net power . War time protite and the fact that the country was the central of costelians meant that the war made them when whereas the rest of the countries suffered that the Extract states that USSR tow capitalism would contra long time and that remained two and v em any fore \$ this day. Both extracts are the to an extent. While USA was 13 dabonsi for a while post ww2, 17 13 underwable that Any possesed marchen weath and power Passesion most of such power weupled with the fait that This Politicans and at the time were hospile towards user ismmunit aganda is bound to make USSR unersay and defensive Soon after come the hon Curtan speech and this was bound to make USSR deform as well. USSR and USA had conflicting interests and ideologies Both could not convist and USA was large and threating. USSR & had liftle to no chose but to remain aggreesively defensive Monner, extract 2 paints USSR In an extremely victimized light which cannot be antirely agreed with Stalm, the aggreeate phalotonion control leader, 100%

CONTRACTOR AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRI

ampitron to had more expand Lommynism. Yalta na Pot dam. YLSPULL Mouments and Sourt exteria and In Saniet Nerricheless Union and KAANSTONISM the main reason Cold War. USA and then development WAK Soviel detensiveness (brinninism wapled du hospiliter Escalation scoden... main Yengan for the escalation country YESPERAN 17 the WW Godd

Commentary - this is a low Level 5 response. The issues raised in the Extracts are analysed and understanding is demonstrated of the arguments offered by both authors. There is an understanding of the nature of historical debate. Knowledge is integrated with the issues raised and selected to explore the matter under debate. There is a sustained evaluative argument that reaches a substantiated judgement in the conclusion. It should be noted that knowledge of the historiography of the development of the Cold War is not required in Section A answers.

## Section **B**

**Please note:** it would be particularly useful to access the 2019 Examiner Report, where the detailed general commentary on Section B responses continues to be extremely relevant.

Candidates have a choice of one question from two - Question 2 or Question 3. Candidates answered both questions but Question 2 on increasing tensions between the USA and USSR in the years 1956-62 was more popular than Question 3 on the resolution of Cold War tensions in the years 1989-90. Most candidates had good knowledge but differentiation in marks was mainly determined by the ability to deploy focused knowledge effectively in relation to the second-order concepts being assessed. Centres should note that an understanding of chronology is important in the organisation of responses and that some candidates showed insecure chronology at times, both within responses and in relation to the time period of the question.

## Question 2

Question 2 required candidates to determine whether the USA was more responsible than the USSR for increasing tensions between them in the years 1956-62. A small, but significant,

minority of candidates addressed the question in regard to the years 1945-49, deploying both irrelevant and inaccurate knowledge in support of their argument. A few candidates wrote an extended narrative of the history of the Cold War from 1943-90, meaning that only limited parts of the response could be rewarded. It is vital that candidates are aware that they need to address the specific question wording and conceptual focus.

Most candidates produced responses of Level 3 and above that addressed key issues related to US-USSR relations in the period 1956-62. There were a variety of arguments put forward with some agreeing that the USA provoked tension, while others suggested that it was the USSR or that both sides were equally to blame. There were some excellent responses that understood the nuances of the different approaches to the Cold War under Eisenhower and Kennedy and the variable attitude of Khrushchev. Responses referred to the summit diplomacy of the early period, the Hungarian Uprising (1956), the U2 incident, the Berlin crisis and the situation in Cuba. Some candidates were unsure of the chronology of the situation in Cuba and conflated the Berlin Blockade crisis with the crisis leading to the building of the Berlin Wall. Many candidates were able to come to a substantiated judgement and to organise an interesting, discursive response in coming to a conclusion.

#### Question 3

Question 3 required candidates to determine whether the process of German reunification was the most significant factor resolving Cold War tensions in the years 1989-90. There were a few candidates who confused the beginning of the Cold War with the ending of the Cold War but most candidates had a good knowledge of the situation in 1989-90. Candidates were able to discuss the general international climate at the time and were confident in their discussion of the role of Gorbachev in the process of resolving tensions. However, many were unsure of the role of German reunification and so were unable to discuss the given factor in anything more than general terms; this meant that most responses were rewarded in Level 3.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box 🗷 If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🗟 and then indicate your new question with a cross 🗟. Chosen question number: Question 2 Question 3 2. Anny the years 1956 - 1962, stort was the first poriod of the then, several services will be developed sensions on several factors had mineased despine the shan? The USA had constituted in seneral ways. One factor is Standing its trate foreign pulling under transformer the president Pringles Eisenhumor. Polizies such as Brinkmanhip and Massive Rehalmann, which has constituent of the New De Look polay, had made anomaged controngation with the VSSR. Another way us shough Kennedy's foreign This policy no followed by kennedy's imanic te Another factor was She arturns saken by John F. Ker Kenedy, which had realized in the Cuban Mirile Chipson in 1962. However, the USSR had also constituted ou the mirang sension. One way & Khwagh is developments in She away race, which now remained by khunchecher's well affinde of brasing the ponen of the USSR Another may was strongh the suppression & mais demonstration is contem Europe. Under the precidency of Fiscenhamer, the VSA had more and lenning by constraining its attribute & contrastation wh community Under the Wen the Look policy Elenhauer, cognificantly noveauch the nuclear variesh the USA had TAD10507209

capability, as Eirenhaus placed great suphan on the unclear neapone. The emphasis was highlaghed by the polony of Brankmichip, Mrch emerce enemaged the use of nucleur neapons of a diplomation reapon, forting the fories to back down. It wake not only wake the nuclear reapon The marca on under Paria she 1950s, she US production of undear nearrows had a grandy overshelmed has graver than the # Soviet production, going the USA an edge m undean expansing and diplomate superinity. The USA also developed SLBMS in the Carrow 1950s, which many its advancements of sectionalogy. Three Sevelopments of undear reapsing highlight die aggression of the USA. Austher policy under the New book was Massive Resolvasion, which streasens the USSR was with an aggressive response of a large scale and wilitary confronsation. The and i forest ascitudes in the Filenhauer administration can also be seen by the ierresony of forme, John Dulles. The another Haunch anxi- communes astrindes of Dulles had refluence Eventimen on applying anti- loves policies. Under Kennedy, the USA 's aggression bounds the USSR and wimmin personal Kenedy's work the & approach towards Energy policy was "Resible responsed, which promoted cantronsation the many transiers, ench of the will special fords, advisors, spiels, as well as conversent she wilrowy. Milizary spendag had mercand under

I TANIMA IN AND AND IN A SUBMIT AND ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPT

Kennedy, and the number of colliers in the 15 any had also mireard. Kenady also some advisors to Vietnam which the short that kennedy we madred use foreigh asconemons. Perelopments on espinage wethods, such as the U2 spy a plane, had a conced support on matter the Cold Worn. In The plane us modered in many contrained such as the Capsone of Pilot Grang Poners on 1961 and she cuban Misile Cordel. It shows that the us willing to contrast communism, the a no maker meshod. A major event which highlighted US a gg namen nos the Bay of Pigo mound 1161 uhich miled suppose led to the Cuban Millile Crisis. of the 155R It she desire it the USA it keeping The state nk its use Sychere it refluence, but the consignences was Second is had led in the canequence it a midean war. Therefore, the USA had a major role in soreding contraction Ou the other hand, US aggression new also met with Conies aggreenten. Alatwagh the 45 Like the UrA , . Me VSSR we also heavily modered with the arms race R hat we The USSR and produced she world's some ICBM in 1957, which there are desire of achievery midlan no experiming Ehn shalog by the USSR. This desire & can also be Seen. ......ida. askitudes tomards dre nett, where he constandly that about Soviet Supportenty in under respons. To show that She VSSR and was referred on contrologood. Austher 15

Soviet Jevelopment on 1757 which was sending the Fort careleste Sprinik rate space had nureaul western teas, 9.5 millen strike space. The were apprehense of hom л. up the keeping vale Ziet ams Commissions. Mr. mainsormed ferrins M ole. nes Finally, she Soviet supportsour The Tup et. mass eonem Empe 1956 pho hats A also greatly nert Mort Provider in Polan Lengton og whe possion , Are Hungarm In oreaner instables Uprisny, ren Contronton twork andians when ever 2,000 deadly. had many led \$12 conclementation of Ersenhuser, as uell QL. grining ympathy for An extern Emercan populasion under community impresión to show that 8 S R SUL nos willing to send its any to manufach sA. www. Overall, but the USA and USIR so blame nere Bash were prolived For CANENA blussions. arms nue Chr. 3. Morewer, Missile She. Inpan wipertnelly had the Consibured Au USSR measing difference nays. The The Conner in Mr. of Pigs und Bay JS 512 uleseoy She wy Mach Hungary. In other words how 16

Commentary - This is a low Level 5 response. The response securely meets the descriptor for Level 4 by addressing key issues with sufficient knowledge to demonstrate an understanding of the conceptual focus of the question and reaching a substantiated judgment, however, there are limited but clear elements of the Level 5 descriptor to be rewarded at low Level 5. The analysis is sustained and the knowledge is selected to support a sustained and reasoned judgment.

# Paper Summary

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

Section A (AO3/AO1)

- Candidates should use the time available to read both Extracts carefully all the way through before planning their answer; the information in the Extracts should be the foundation upon which the answer is constructed
- Candidates should aim to interpret both Extracts by analysing the issues raised and showing an understanding of the arguments presented by both authors
- Candidates should come to an overall judgement with regard to the view stated in the question; it is not sufficient just to summarise the views presented in the Extracts.

Section B (AO1)

- Candidates should provide more precise contextual knowledge as supporting evidence. Use knowledge to provide evidence to support a sustained evaluation in relation to the conceptual focus of the question. Secure chronological knowledge enables candidates to produce a logical and coherent answer.
- Read the wording of the questions carefully, particularly if the time period of the question is stated; responses that refer to the wrong time period deploy irrelevant and inaccurate knowledge that does not directly address or only implicitly addresses the question.
- Use conclusions to state the judgement reached clearly and to show the relative significance of or the inter-relationship between key issues discussed in the main body of the essay; leave the examiner in no doubt as to what your judgement is and why.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom